ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my pleasure to acknowledge the help and encouragement of all who have supported and assisted me during this thesis research. Without their guidance, advice and motivation, I would have never been able to accomplish the work of this thesis.

Foremost, I would like to thank the Vice Chancellor, Registrar and Dean Research and Consultancy Division, BITS Pilani for giving me an opportunity to do this research and enhance my professional career.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the members of the Doctoral Advisory Committee BITS Pilani, for their valuable comments on my research proposal which have paved the correct path for pursuing my thesis.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. R.N. Saha**, Director, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus for his encouragement and facilities he has provided to carry out this research work. I would like to thank **Senior Prof. Dr. R.K. Mittal**, Director, Special Projects, BITS Pilani and Former Director BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus for the constant encouragement, facilities and support he has provided to carry out this research work.

I am grateful to Dr. M. Ramachandran, Dr. G. Vijaya, Dean Research and Consultancy Division, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus, Dr. K. K. Singh, the Doctoral Advisory Committee, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus, Dr. M. Madiajagan, the Doctoral Research Committee Convenor for their

constant monitoring and advisory role throughout my research work. My sincere gratitude to Dr. Sameen S. Fathima, who introduced me to this research area.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor **Dr. V. Santhosh Kumar,** Assistant Professor, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus for his research guidance, advice, technical inputs and constant encouragement during the course of this research. Our valuable discussions encouraged me to complete this work. I would like to thank Dr. S. Vadivel, HOD CS and Dr. B. Vijaya Kumar, Associate Professor, CS, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus and all of my department colleagues for their valuable queries during my presentations. I would also like to thank Dr. Jagadish Nayak, Assistant Professor, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus for his valuable inputs and guidance to complete my research.

I would also thank the IT Support Team and Dr. K.N. Sheshadri, Librarian, BITS Pilani, Dubai Campus for extending excellent technical facilities and providing library resources on time that helped me to complete this thesis. I acknowledge all my colleagues, department technical staff for their support to complete my research.

I am very much thankful to my family for providing me continuous moral support and encouragement. Above all, I sincerely thank the Almighty for giving me an opportunity, strength and support to carry out my research work to the best of my abilities.

J. ALAMELUMANGAI

2008PHXF401U

ABSTRACT

Recent studies have shown that the significance of data-driven decision making and the notion of "Big-Data" are recognized broadly. Advances in data collection and storage technologies have made large organizations to accumulate vast volumes of data with ease in digital format. But extracting useful information from such massive volumes of data using traditional data analysis techniques is quite challenging. The various factors that impede the progress of "Big-Data" analysis in all phases during its analysis are heterogeneity, scale, complexity, timeliness and privacy problems. Also, it is important to decide which data to retain and which to discard during the data acquisition phase itself. Data Mining is a solution to overcome much of the challenges faced by the traditional data analysis techniques in such situations. Data Mining is a technology that combines traditional data analysis methods with sophisticated algorithms for processing large volumes of data. It is a process of automatically discovering novel and useful patterns that are hidden in large data repositories.

Traditional data mining techniques have been developed mainly for structured data types. But much of the data available today is not in the native structured format; for example, tweets and blogs have large pieces of unstructured text data; Images and videos are structured for storage and display, but not for semantic content and search; Web pages have data of different formats embedded in them and hence are semi-structured. Transforming such contents into a structured format for later analysis is itself a major challenge.

The objective of this thesis is to design and implement algorithms for improving subject based classification of web page and medical image data sets using data mining techniques. Classifying

a web page/a medical image into one of a pre-defined category is known as web page/medical image classification. With millions of new web pages being added each day the volume of WWW will defeat any conceivable team of human classifiers. Hence automatic classification of web pages is required. Such automated tools also help the search engines to construct web directories and hence make a relevant and quick retrieval of information for the user query. The ever increasing amounts of patient data in the form of medical images, imposes new challenges to clinical routine, such as diagnosis, treatment and monitoring. Medical data mining refers to the process of transforming raw imaging data using knowledge-based data mining algorithms into clinically relevant information. The target mining model enables a physician to spend less time in spending on the image volumes to extract the clinical information in it, while improving the diagnostic accuracy.

The data sets are classified using the content embedded in them and hence the present classification framework is subject-based. Algorithms for the various pre-processing steps namely feature extraction, feature selection and discretization are designed and implemented to improve the predictive accuracy of the classification model. Two new feature selection methods are designed and implemented in this thesis. First is a hybrid model using CFS (Correlation Based Feature Selection) and Decision Tree. Second is a novel method using Ward's minimum variance measure to identify clusters of redundant features. This research also presents two new classification models for web page and image data sets. The first model is a probabilistic method (Probabilistic Web Page Classifier and Probabilistic Medical Image Classifier). Experimental analysis is done for both binary-class and multi-class classification.

The results of the research identify that the present feature selection method helps in improving the predictive accuracy and modeling the classifier with less number of features. The performance of many of the binary-class classifiers is better in the discrete domain than in continuous domain. The predictive accuracy of the present MKNN classification framework with both web page and image data sets is better than the traditional KNN for both binary-class and multi-class classification. The performance of the present PWPC and PMIC is also better than many of the existing classifiers for both binary-class and multi-class classification. Its performance depends on the discretization algorithm that is run prior to classification.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACF	KNOWL	EDGEMENTS	i
ABS	TRACT	,	iii
LIS	T OF TA	ABLES	xi
LIS	T OF FI	GURES	XV
LIST	OF AB	BREVIATIONS	xvii
СНА	PTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Challe	enges of Traditional Data Analysis Methods	1
1.2	Object	tive, Scope and Limitations	3
	1.2.1	Web Page Classification.	4
		1.2.1.1 Motivation	4
		1.2.1.2 Architecture of a Web Page Classification Model	6
		1.2.1.3 Web Page Representation	8
	1.2.2	Medical Image Classification	12
		1.2.2.1 Motivation	12
		1.2.2.2 Architecture of a Medical Image Classification Model	12
		1.2.2.3 Image Representation	14
1.3	Backg	ground Work	15
	1.3.1	Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining	15
	1.3.2	Data Pre-Processing	17
	1.3.3	Data Mining Tasks	24
	1.3.4	Applications of Data Mining	25
	1.3.5	Data Mining Tools	29

	1.3.6	Supervised Machine Learning	30
	1.3.7	Naïve Bayes Classification	33
	1.3.8	K Nearest Neighbor Classification	34
	1.3.9.	Association Rule Mining	36
1.4	Resea	rch Gap	37
1.5	Organ	ization of the Thesis	39
CHA	APTER 2	2 RELATED WORK	41
2.1	Survey	of Web Page Classification Methods	41
2.2	Surve	y of Medical Image Classification Methods	51
2.3	Summ	nary	53
СНА	APTER 3	S ALGORITHMS FOR IMPROVING SUBJECT BASED CLASSIFICA	TION
		OF WEB PAGE AND MEDICAL IMAGE DATA	54
3.1 P	resent Fr	amework for Web Page Classification WPC	54
	3.1.1	Feature Extraction and Web Page Representation	54
	3.1.2	Feature Selection	58
		3.1.2.1 Feature Selection using CFS and C4.5	59
		3.1.2.2 Feature Selection Framework using Ward's Minimum Variance	
		Measure	62
	3.1.3	Data Tuning	67
	3.1.4	Discretization	68
	3.1.5	The Web Page Classification Algorithms	71

		3.1.5.1 The Probabilistic Web Page Classifier PWPC	71
		3.1.5.2 The Modified k Nearest Neighbor Classification MKNN	74
3.2 P	resent F	ramework for Medical Image Classification MIC	78
	3.2.1	Image Preprocessing	78
	3.2.2	Feature Extraction	79
	3.2.3	Feature Selection	80
	3.2.4	Feature Discretization	80
	3.2.5	Classification Algorithms for Medical Images	81
3.3	Perfo	rmance Evaluation Metrics and Methods	82
3.4	Sumn	nary	85
СНА	PTER 4	4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	87
4.1	Exper	imental Setup	87
	4.1.1	Data Set Description	88
	4.1.2	Implementation Overview	89
4.2	Web	Page Classification	90
	4.2.1	Binary Class Web Page Classification	91
		4.2.1.1 Feature Extraction	91
		4.2.1.2 Feature Selection	92
		4.2.1.3 Feature Discretization	105
		4.2.1.4 The Probabilistic Web Page Classifier PWPC	111
		12.15 The Modified k Negreet Neighbor MKNN Classifier	11/

	4.2.2	Multi Class Web Page Classification	117
4.3	Medic	cal Image Classification	119
	4.3.1	Binary Class Medical Image Classification	119
		4.3.1.1 Image Feature Extraction and Feature Selection	119
		4.3.1.2 Image Feature Discretization	124
		4.3.1.3 The Probabilistic Medical Image Classifier PMIC and MKNN	
		Medical Image Classifier	126
	4.3.2	Multi Class Medical Image Classification	127
4.4	Area u	nder the Curve AUC for MKNN Medical Image Classification	132
4.5	Summ	ary	136
СНА	PTER 5	5 CONCLUSION	138
5.1	Specif	fic Contributions	139
5.2	Future	Scope of Work	141
REF	ERENC	CES	143
APPE	ENDICE	ES .	
APPE	NDIX A	A: Sample Web Pages of Each Category	161
APPE	NDIX E	3: Brief Description of the Implementation of the Present Algorithms	165
APPE	NDIX (C: System Configuration	173
APPE	NDIX E	D: The 70-30 File after Feature Selection by CFS in Sparse ARFF for Binary	
		Class WPC	174
APPE	NDIX E	E: The 70-30 File after Feature Selection by the Hybrid Model in Sparse ARFF	7
		for Binary Class WPC	181
APPE	NDIX F	F: Features Selected by the Hybrid Model from each Input File for Binary Class	SS

WPC	186
APPENDIX G: Features Selected by the Ward's Method from each Input File for Binary Cla	ass
WPC	.187
APPENDIX H: The Sparse ARFF after Feature Selection Using CFS for Multi Class WPC .	.188
APPENDIX I: The 30-30 File after Feature Selection by CFS in ARFF for Binary Class	
MIC	210
APPENDIX J: The 30-50-30 File after Feature Selection by CFS in ARFF for Multi Class	
MIC	221
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS	244
BRIEF BIOGRAPHY OF THE CANDIDATE	246
BRIEF BIOGRAPHY OF THE SUPERVISOR	247

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Instances with Known Class Labels
Table 3.1 The Confusion Matrix
Table 4.1 Results after Feature Extraction for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.2 Classification Accuracy after Feature Extraction for Binary Class WPC93
Table 4.3 Number of Selected Features by each Feature Selection Algorithm for Binary Class
WPC96
Table 4.4 % Reduction in the Number of Features by the Present Hybrid Model for Binary
Class WPC97
Table 4.5 % Classification Accuracy of Binary Class WPC with Numeric Features after Feature
Selection and Data Tuning98
Table 4.6 Wards Cluster of Redundant Features Formed and the Final Selected Features99
Table 4.7 Comparison of the Wards Feature Selection with Other Feature Selection Methods
for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.8 Classification Accuracy of KNN Classifier on the Features Selected by each Feature
Selection Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.9 Classification Accuracy of NN Classifier on the Features Selected by each Feature
Selection Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.10 Classification Accuracy of Boosting Classifier on the Features Selected by each
Feature Selection Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.11 Classification Accuracy of SVM Classifier on the Features Selected by each
Feature Selection Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.12 Classification Accuracy of NB Classifier on the Features Selected by each

Feature Selection Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.13 Classification Accuracy of J48 classifier on the Features Selected by each
Feature Selection Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.14 Web Page Data Set before and after Discretization
Table 4.15 The Number of Intervals Identified for each Feature by the Present Discretization
Method for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.16 Classification Accuracy of Binary Class WPC with Features Discretized by the
Present Method
Table 4.17 Classification Accuracy by Simple Binning for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.18 Modeling Time of Binary Class WPC in msecs with Numeric Features110
Table 4.19 Modeling time of binary class WPC in msecs with Features Discretized by the
Present Method
Table 4.20 Performance Comparison of Classifiers in Numeric and Present Discrete
Domain for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.21 Comparison of Classification Accuracy with the Present PWPC Classifier
for Binary Class WPC Using Simple Binning
Table 4.22 Accuracy of the PWPC Classifier on the Present Discretized Features and Simple
Binning Discretized Features for Binary Class WPC
Table 4.23 Comparison of Classification Accuracy between KNN and MKNN for Binary Class
WPC115
Table 4.24 Comparison of Classification Accuracy of the MKNN Binary class Web Page
Classifier with other Existing Classifiers
Table 4.25 Results after Feature Extraction and Selection by CFS for Multi Class WPC117

Table 4.26 Comparison of Classification Accuracy with various Features for Multi Class
WPC
Table 4.27 Performance Comparison of the PWPC and MKNN for Multi Class WPC with other
Classifiers
Table 4.28 Results after Feature Extraction and Feature Selection for Binary Class MIC120
Table 4.29 Classification Accuracy after Image Feature Extraction for Binary Class MIC,120
Table 4.30 Classification Accuracy after Feature Selection by CFS
Table 4.31 Comparative Analysis of Classification Accuracy for Binary Class MIC with various
Features
Table 4.32 Comparative Analysis of Binary Class MIC with various Features Using AUC125
Table 4.33 Comparative Analysis of Classification Accuracy of Binary Class MIC with KNN
and MKNN
Table 4.34 Comparative Analysis of Classification Accuracy of PMIC with other Classifiers for
Binary Class MIC
Table 4.35 Results after Feature Extraction and Feature Selection for Multi Class MIC128
Table 4.36 Classification Accuracy after Feature Extraction for Multi Class MIC
Table 4.37 Classification Accuracy after Feature Selection for Multi Class MIC
Table 4.38 Comparative Analysis of Classification Accuracy for Multi Class MIC with various
Features
Table 4.39 Comparative Analysis of AUC for Multi Class MIC with various Features130
Table 4.40 Comparative Analysis of Classification Accuracy of KNN and MKNN for Multi
Class MIC
Table 4.41 Comparative Analysis of Classification Accuracy of PMIC with other Classifiers for

Multi Class MIC	132
Table 4.42 TPR and FPR for Binary Class MIC	133
Table 4.43 Confusion Matrix for Binary Class MIC	133
Table 4.44 TPR and FPR for Multi Class MIC	134
Table 4.45 Confusion Matrix for Multi Class MIC	134

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig 1.1 Searching the WWW	4
Fig 1.2 Web Page Classification	6
Fig 1.3 Architecture of a Web Page Classification Model	7
Fig 1.4 A Web Page Represented using Bag-of-words in the Vector Space Model	10
Fig 1.5 Web Page Feature Matrix	10
Fig 1.6 Architecture of a Medical Image Classification Model	13
Fig 1.7 Image Feature Matrix	14
Fig 1.8 Knowledge Discovery in Databases.	16
Fig 1.9 Various Forms of Data Preprocessing	18
Fig 1.10 The Feature Subset Selection Process	21
Fig 1.11 Pure and Impure Bins	22
Fig 1.12 Data Mining Tasks	25
Fig 1.13 The Weather Data Set in ARFF	29
Fig 3.1 The Present Framework for Web Page Classification	57
Fig 3.2. The Present Framework for Medical Image Classification	79
Fig 3.3. The Training Phase of the Present Framework for MIC	81
Fig 3.4. The Testing Phase of the Present Framework for MIC	81
Fig 3.5 The ROC Graph	85
Fig 4.1 Sample Medical Images of Normal Category	89
Fig 4.2 Sample Medical Images of Moderate Category	90
Fig 4.3 Sample Medical Images of Severe Category	90
Fig 4.4 Feature Selection using J48	94

Fig 4.5 Number of Features Selected by the Present Hybrid Method for Binary Class	
WPC	96
Fig 4.6 Comparison of the Wards Feature Selection with other Methods for Binary Class	
WPC	101
Fig 4.7 Comparison of the Modeling Time for NN Classifier with various Features for Bina	ary
Class WPC	103
Fig 4.8 Comparison of % Classification Accuracy of Binary Class WPC in Numeric and	
Present Discrete Domain	108
Fig 4.9 Comparison of % Classification Accuracy of Binary Class WPC with various	
Features	109
Fig 4.10 Comparison of the Performance of the PWPC using Simple Binning with other	
Classifiers for Binary Class WPC	113
Fig 4.11 Comparison of Average Classification Accuracy between KNN and MKNN for	
Binary Class WPC	115
Fig 4.12 Image File after Feature Extraction in ARFF for Binary Class MIC	122
Fig 4.13 The Image File after Feature Selection in ARFF for Binary Class MIC	123
Fig 4.14 The Image File after Discretization for Binary Class MIC	123
Fig 4.15 ROC Graph for Binary Class MIC	134
Fig 4.16 ROC Graph for Multi Class MIC	136

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

WWW – World Wide Web

WPC – Web Page Classification

HTML – Hyper Text Markup Language

TF – Term Frequency

DF – Document Frequency

IDF – Inverse Document Frequency

MIC – Medical Image Classification

KDD – Knowledge Discovery in Databases

MDL – Minimum Description Length

ARFF – Attribute Relation File Format

NB – Naïve Bayes

ML – Machine Learning

KNN – K Nearest Neighbor

DT – Decision Tree

CFS – Correlation Based Feature Selection

URL – Uniform Resource Locator

PCA – Principal Component Analysis

UCI – University of Irvine

SVM – Support Vector Machine

EM – Expectation Maximization

CART – Classification and Regression Trees

GA – Genetic Algorithms

BoW - Bag of Words

AI – Artificial Intelligence

PSO – Particle Swarm Optimization

FP-tree – Frequent Pattern tree

MKNN – Modified K Nearest Neighbor

ROC – Receiver Operating Characteristics Curve

AUC – Area under the Curve

TP – True Positive

TPR – True Positive Rate

FP - False Positive

FPR – False Positive Rate

MLP – Multilayer Perceptron

RBF – Radial Basis Function