

List of Abbreviations

EWS- Early Warning System
QPS- Quadratic Probability Score
GSB- Global Squared Bias
PCA- Principal Component Analysis
ROC- Receiver Operating Characteristic
AUC- Area under Curve
ANN- Artificial Neural Network
GDP- Gross Domestic Product
GFC- Global Financial Crisis
SENT- Indian Sentiment
ML – Machine Learning
NSR- Noise to Signal Ratio
ERM- Exchange Rate Mechanism
CCI- Consumer Confidence Index
EMEAP- Executives' Meeting of East Asia Pacific
MSE- Mean Square Error
FDI- Foreign Direct Investment
RBI- Reserve Bank of India
IMF- International Monetary Fund
EMH- Efficient Market Hypothesis
FII- Foreign Institutional Investors
SCB- Scheduled Commercial Banks

List of Figures

Figure 3-1: Research Framework	59
Figure 3-2: Diagram of a single hidden layer ANN	77
Figure 3-3: Trend of NSE Nifty 50 stock returns and CMAX from June 2001 to December 2018	85
Figure 3-4:Trend of raw and final sentiment for India	90
Figure 3-5:Closing price of Nifty 50 and investor sentiment	91
Figure 3-6:Nifty 50 stock returns and Final stage sentiment	91
Figure 3-7: Process of cross-validation	94
Figure 4-1:Banking Stress Fragility Index	99
Figure 4-2:Feedforward Backpropagation Neural Network	111
Figure 4-3:Elman Recurrent Neural Network.....	111
Figure 4-4:Area under the ROC curves	126
Figure 4-5:Comparison of AUC for ROC curves	128
Figure 4-6:Construct for the ANN	163

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Literature Review Summary	53
Table 3-1: Potential Early Warning Indicators and their data transformations	66
Table 3-2: 2x2 matrix representing outcomes of an indicator	72
Table 3-3: PCA analysis for construction of Indian sentiment variable	88
Table 3-4: Principal Components calculated for Indian sentiment	88
Table 4-1: Optimal thresholds, NSR and Persistence of Univariate Potential Lead Indicators	100
Table 4-2: Weights of the indicators used in CI²	103
Table 4-3: Comparison of Performance of CI¹ and CI²	104
Table 4-4: Distribution of conditional probabilities of banking crisis based on CI¹ and CI²	105
Table 4-5: Correlation Matrix of leading indicators	106
Table 4-6: Estimates of Multivariate Binary Logit model	107
Table 4-7: Goodness of fit at different cut-off probabilities	109
Table 4-8: Calibration Scores – within the sample and out of sample for Neural Networks	112
Table 4-9: Forecasting estimates of Signals approach, Logit regression and ANNs	113
Table 4-10: Forward stepwise feature selection	114
Table 4-11: Backward stepwise feature selection	115
Table 4-12: Performance comparison of variables on ANNs with max_fails = 6	116
Table 4-13: Performance comparison of variables on ANNs with max_fails = 1000	116
Table 4-14: Comparison of static Logit models with t, t-3, t-6, t-12 variables, and dynamic Logit model- In Sample	120
Table 4-15: Prediction Evaluation for Static and Dynamic Logit Models- In Sample	125
Table 4-16: Comparison of AUC for ROC curve- In sample	127
Table 4-17: Hosmer-Lemeshow statistics for static and dynamic logit models	128
Table 4-18: Comparison of static Logit models with t, t-3, t-6, t-12 variables, and dynamic Logit model- Out of Sample	129
Table 4-19: Prediction Evaluation for static and dynamic Logit Models- Out of Sample	132
Table 4-20: QPS Scores for Static and Dynamic Logit Models- Out of Sample	133
Table 4-21: Estimation Results (time-series logit models) using lagged dependent variable	136
Table 4-22: ROC comparison test- in sample analysis	137
Table 4-23: ROC comparison test- out of sample analysis	138
Table 4-24: Logit models using sentiment variables – In sample	139
Table 4-25: Hosmer Lemeshow Goodness of Fit tests	141
Table 4-26: Prediction Evaluation for Individual Sentiment Logit Models- In Sample	144
Table 4-27: ROC comparison for Individual Sentiment Logit Models- In Sample	145
Table 4-28: Hosmer Lemeshow Goodness of Fit tests	146
Table 4-29: Prediction Evaluation for Combination Sentiment Logit Models- In Sample	147
Table 4-30: ROC comparison for Combination Sentiment Logit Models- In Sample	148
Table 4-31: Prediction Evaluation for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample for 2001-2015 at 0.5 cutoff	151
Table 4-32: Prediction Evaluation for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample for 2001-2015 at 0.25 cutoff	152
Table 4-33: Prediction Evaluation for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample for 2001-2016 at 0.5 cutoff	153
Table 4-34: Prediction Evaluation for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample for 2001-2016 at 0.25 cutoff	154
Table 4-35: Prediction Evaluation for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample for 2001-2017 at 0.5 cutoff	155
Table 4-36: Prediction Evaluation for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample for 2001-2017 at 0.25 cutoff	156
Table 4-37: ROC comparison for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample (2001-2015)	157
Table 4-38: ROC comparison for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample (2001-2016)	158

Table 4-39:ROC comparison for Sentiment Logit Models- Out of Sample (2001-2017)	158
Table 4-40:Best performing models-In sample	159
Table 4-41:Best performing combination models-In sample	159
Table 4-42:Selection of best performance ANN using K-fold cross validation	161
Table 4-43:Calibration Scores- Logit vs ANNs- In sample and Out of sample	164