ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis has been a journey of tremendous learning and academic growth. I have been fortunate to have the support of numerous people without whom this journey and its outcome would not have been possible. I would like to gratefully acknowledge all of them.

I am sincerely grateful to my guide Dr. Jyoti Tikoria – Head, Department of Management, for her unstinting support, guidance and advice throughout my journey, without which this would not have been possible. An earnest thanks to Dr. Sunil Lakdawala, my co-guide for his constant encouragement and guidance on the domain.

I would like to express my gratitude to my Doctoral Advisory Committee (DAC) members, Dr. Leela Rani and Dr. Satyendra Sharma for reviewing my research proposal and my thesis, as well as their continued guidance on my intermittent questions.

I sincerely thank the entire administration at the BITS Pilani - Pilani Campus. I also express my gratitude to Prof. Souvik Bhattacharyya (Vice-Chancellor, BITS Pilani), Mr. Ernest Samuel Ratnakumar J. (Registrar), Prof. A. K. Sarkar, Ex-Director, BITS Pilani - Pilani Campus for supporting me to pursue my research work. My sincere thanks to Prof. S. K. Verma (Ex-Dean, Academic Research) and Prof. Jitendra Panwar (Associate Dean, Academic-Graduate Studies and Research) for providing their support throughout the Ph.D. programme.

I am grateful to our former Dean Late Dr. M.L. Shrikant for believing in me and instilling in me a love for teaching. I am extremely thankful to our current Dean Dr. Ranjan Banerjee for inspiring and introducing me to the world of research. A heartfelt thanks to my colleagues and good friends at SPJIMR - Dr. Jyoti Jagasia for her comforting support and our countless discussions regarding the thesis, Dr. Debasis Mallik for his help in getting me started with the research methodology, Dr. Snehal Shah and Dr. Shekhar Shukla for our brainstorming sessions for paper writing, Dr. Rajiv Agarwal for his continuous encouragement and helpful

suggestions, Dr. Anil Vaidya, Dr. Sajeev George and Mr. Preobroto Ganguly for their understanding support and Prof. Bindu Kulkarni for being my PhD buddy. My earnest thanks to all my colleagues who have encouraged and supported me through this journey.

I am grateful to all the industry practitioners and the academician friends who have played an important role in this journey — either as part of the Expert Panel, Pilot study, Questionnaire Testing or Case Study interviews — Mr. R Radhakrishnan, Mr. Sudipto Ghosh, Mr. Siddharth Sengupta, Mr. Shirish Kotmire, Mr. Ishant Garg, Ms. Lakshmi Gopal Santhana, Ms. Malathi Bhupal, Mr. Mayank Jaiswal, Mr Niteen Bhagwat, Mr. Rajiv Vasudeva, Dr. Manish Dave, Dr. Sheila Roy, Dr. Ashish Kumar Jha and Dr. Swapnajit Chakraborty. My special thanks to all the SPJIMR Alumni who helped me with the data collection.

I am especially grateful to my family - my children, Shaishir and Hrushit, and my parents-in-law, Smt Meena and Shri Sunandan Divatia for their patience and support through this journey. A special thanks to my co-sister, Dr. Ami Divatia for the many discussions and help with the data analysis. A very special mention for my husband Shalin, for initially motivating me to join the field of academics and for his constant encouragement through the days & nights I was working on the thesis – always supporting me to manage the pressures of work. I respectfully and fondly remember my parents, Late Smt Pallavi and Late Shri Paresh J. Hora, who would have been so proud and joyous today. I dedicate this thesis to them in memory of the beautiful and loving childhood they gave my brother- Anuj and me – which

Finally, my humble pranaam to the Almighty for giving me this opportunity and ability to work with two eminent Institutes in India – The S. P. Jain Institute of Management & Research (SPJIMR) and Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani (BITS Pilani).

has shaped us to be the persons we are today.

ABSTRACT

The importance of Business Intelligence & Analytics (BI&A) as a capability in an organization, has grown over the years. Most organizations today invest in resources required for BI&A. What is the impact of this investment? How can an organization adopt, build and grow the BI&A capability maturity? To adopt and build an implementable strategy for BI&A and to move to higher levels of analytical capability, organizations need to first assess their maturity level or capabilities of business analytics as mentioned by (Davenport and Harris, 2007). A wide range of maturity models (MMs), with multiple dimensions, have been developed over the years by academicians as well as practitioners, to assess BI&A capability maturity. Managers need to identify the critical factors which influence the maturity of BI&A capability and pick a maturity model to assess the maturity. It becomes difficult for managers to select the appropriate MM to use especially with the changing characteristics of data over time and the advent of big data.

There have been numerous studies done internationally to identify the critical factors and assess the maturity of BI&A in organizations but none done with organizations in India. This research was guided by the intention to identify the critical success factors influencing BI&A capability maturity, understand the state of BI&A, determine the maturity of BI&A capability and analyse the usage and effectiveness of BI&A capability of organizations in India.

A comprehensive literature survey was done to understand the large number of MMs, their dimensions and maturity levels. There were 29 MMs found in extant literature from 2001–2018. All the models found had multiple dimensions which were not exhaustive and distinct. With the help of an expert panel, these dimensions were consolidated into a set of six distinct and critical factors needed for assessing BI&A capability in organizations. These six factors were Data Management, Enterprise Processes, People Skills, Organization Culture, Strategic alignment with BI&A, Infrastructure & Technology.

While there were studies for development and evaluation of maturity models for measuring Business Intelligence &Analytics (BI&A) capability maturity of organizations, there was no empirical study found solely for assessing the BI&A capability maturity of organizations in India. In this study, the level of BI&A capability maturity in organizations was determined through an empirical study with a sample of 145 organizations from different industry sectors in India. A questionnaire based on the six factors was prepared, pre-tested and a pilot was conducted for the e-questionnaire. The respondents from the 145 organizations were business users or business analysts who were using data for decision making and had an understanding of the importance of BI&A.

The collected data was analysed in two phases - In the first phase organizations were grouped based on industry sectors and segments. Findings have revealed that different industry segments had different levels of BI&A capability maturity. Results from the analysis also show that BI&A has highest usage for marketing, sales and customer analytics. BI&A is found to be most effective for making better informed decisions and for improving efficiency of internal processes.

In the second phase, organizations were grouped based on the similarity in maturity of the six factors using k-means clustering technique. They were grouped into six clusters based on the six factors influencing BI&A maturity capability. Each cluster indicated a different level of maturity. Each cluster was further analysed to understand the key characteristics of the organizations in that cluster.

One case organization was taken from each cluster to gain deeper insights through in-depth interviews. Analysis of the qualitative data which was collected was done using thematic analysis method. The findings gave further insights about the state of BI&A, the functions where BI&A is used and where is the effectiveness of BI&A seen.

Based on the findings from the quantitative and qualitative analysis, a roadmap has been proposed for organizations to move to next level of BI&A maturity.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
Chapter 1- Introudction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Need and Motivation for this study	4
1.3 Objectives of Research	5
1.4 Scope of Research	6
1.5 Plan of Chapters	7
Chapter 2 - Literature Review	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Business Intelligence & Analytics - Definition, Evolution and Implementation	11
2.2.1 Evolution of Business Intelligence and Analytics (BI&A)	12
2.2.2 Scope of BI&A in India	16
2.2.3 BI&A Implementation	17
2.2.4 Effectiveness of BI&A for Organizations	19
2.2.5 Building BI&A as a capability in organizations	20
2.3 Maturity Models for Business Intelligence and Analytics capability	21
2.3.1 Overview of Maturity Models	22
2.3.2 BI&A Maturity Models	24
2.3.3 Maturity levels in BI&A Maturity models	33
2.3.4 Mapping BI&A Maturity Models to the evolution period of BI&A	34
2.4 Study of the dimensions in the BI&A Maturity Models	35
2.5 Observations and research gaps from literature review	43
2.6 Operational Definitions from Literature review	45
2.7 Concluding Remarks	45
Chapter 3 - Research Methodology	46
3.1 Introduction	46
3.2 Research methodology adopted	47
3.3 Identification of critical success factors	49

3.4 Development of the tool	60
3.4.1 Questionnaire development	60
3.4.2 Interviews for Case Study method	63
3.5 Sampling procedure	64
3.6 Data collection and analysis	65
3.6.1 Exploratory Factor analysis	66
3.6.2 K-means clustering analysis	69
3.6.3 Case Method Analysis	82
3.7 Concluding Remarks	84
Chapter 4 – Analysis, Results and Discussion	85
4.1 Introduction	85
4.3 Descriptive Analytics	85
4.3.1 Profile of respondents	86
4.3.2 Respondent organization profile	87
4.3.3 Results for assessment of usage and effectiveness of BI&A	89
4.4 Findings from Analysis of Average Perceived Maturity	91
4.4.1 Organizations grouped into Industry-sector & segments	92
4.4.2 BI&A practice in organizations	95
4.4.3 Statistical significance of Perceived Maturity	97
4.5 Results from Factor Analysis	99
4.6 Results from k-means clustering	101
4.6.1 Understanding Individual Cluster characteristics	103
4.6.2 Reorganizing clusters in ascending order of critical factor maturity	106
4.8 Concluding Remarks	109
Chapter 5 – Qualitative Analysis through Case Studies	112
5.1 Introduction	112
5.2 Case Study: Organization A	114
5.2.1 State of BI&A in the organization	114
5.2.2 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	115
5.3 Case Study: Organization B	115
5.3.1 State of BI&A in the organization	116
5.3.2 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	116
5.4 Case Study: Organization C	116
5.4.1 State of BI&A in the organization	117

5.4.2 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	118
5.5 Case Study: Organization D	118
5.5.1 State of BI&A in the organization	118
5.5.2 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	120
5.6 Case Study: Organization E	120
5.6.1 State of BI&A in the organization	121
5.6.2 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	121
5.7 Case Study: Organization F	122
5.7.1 State of BI&A in the organization	122
5.7.2 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	123
5.8 Case Study: Organization G: Cement Industry	123
5.8.1 Description of the organization	124
5.8.2 State of BI&A in the organization	124
5.8.3 Effectiveness & Usage of BI&A	126
5.9 Analysis of the case studies	127
5.9.1 Thematic analysis	127
5.9.2 Generalization from case study	130
5.10 Concluding Remarks	132
Chapter 6 - Conclusions, Limitations and Future scope of work	133
6.1 Consolidation of this study	133
6.2 Major findings from the research	136
6.3 Implications for Academics	140
6.4 Implications for Practitioners	141
6.5 Limitations and Future research directions	142
6.6 Concluding Remarks	144
References	145
Appendix A	163
List of Publications	175
A - Journal Publications	175
B- Paper presented/Published at International Conference	175
Brief Biography of Candidate	176
Brief Biography of Supervisor	177
Brief Biography of Co-Supervisor	178

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Conceptual foundation of BI&A	13
Table 2.2 Overview of maturity models (2001-2018)	26
Table 2.3 Maturity Model Origin & Method of Development	32
Table 2.4 List of 108 dimensions found from literature review	36
Table 3.1 Gaps, Objectives and Research methods used	47
Table 3.2 Identification of critical factors by Expert Panel members	53
Table 3.3 Typology & Measurement items for the six critical success factors	54
Table 3. 4 Sources for Responses to BI&A usage questions	61
Table 3. 5 Sources of Responses to the effectiveness of BI&A	61
Table 3.6 KMO measure of sampling adequacy	66
Table 3.7 Exploratory Factor Analysis Results	67
Table 3.8 Loading variance (%) in Factor Analysis	69
Table 3.9 Final Cluster centres for k=2	71
Table 3.10 Final cluster centres k=3	72
Table 3.11 Final cluster centres k=4	73
Table 3.12 Final cluster centres k=5	74
Table 3.13 Final cluster centres k=6	75
Table 3.14 Final cluster centres k=7	76
Table 3.15 Final cluster centres k=8	77
Table 3.16 Final Cluster Centres k=9	78
Table 3.17 Final Clusters Centres k=10	79
Table 3.18 Ratio used for Elbow method	81
Table 4.1 Average experience, designation and role of respondents	87
Table 4.2 Sector and segment wise organizations	88
Table 4.4 Respondent organizations with sector, segment & BIAM scores	93
Table 4.3 Measurement items for each factor	99

Table 4.6 Cluster Centres for k=6	101
Table 4.7 Cluster-wise BIAM score	103
Table 4.8 Cluster wise Analysis	107
Table 4.9 Usage of BI&A across various functions in an organization	108
Table 4.10 Effectiveness of BI&A seen in organizations across six clusters	109
Table 5.1 Summary of case studies discussed	114
Table 5.2 Themes emerging after third iteration of coding	127
Table 5.3 Key characteristics of organizations in six clusters	130
Table 6.1: Cluster-wise factors with lowest maturity value	137

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Evolution of the Analytics Continuum (Source: Gartner)	15
Figure 3.1 Overview of the Research Process	49
Figure 3.2 Final Cluster centres k=2	71
Figure 3.3 Final Cluster centres k=3	72
Figure 3.4 Final Cluster Centres k=4	73
Figure 3.5 Final Cluster centres k=5	74
Figure 3.6 Final Cluster Centres k=6	75
Figure 3.7 Final Cluster centres k=7	76
Figure 3.8 Results of k=8	77
Figure 3.9 Results of k=9	78
Figure 3.10 Results of k=10	79
Figure 3.11 Elbow method for identifying optimum cluster number	81
Figure 4.1 Organizations in each sector (%)	89
Figure 4.2 Functions and areas where BI&A is used	89
Figure 4.3 Effectiveness of BI&A	90
Figure 4.4 Segment wise BIAM score	92
Figure 4.5 BIAM scores for Manufacturing Sector organizations	94
Figure 4.6 BIAM scores for Financial Services organizations	94
Figure 4.7 BIAM scores for Non- financial services organizations	95
Figure 4.8 Sector-wise number of years of BI&A practice	96
Figure 4.9 Segment-wise organizations with average # of years of BI&A practice	97
Figure 4.10 Perceived maturity of each factor	98
Figure 4.11 Final Cluster Centres (snapshot from SPSS)	102
Figure 6. 1 Roadmap for organizations to move to higher level of BI&A maturity	138

List of Abbreviations

AVP Assistant Vice President

BI&A Business Intelligence & Analytics

BI Business Intelligence

BIAM Business Intelligence & Analytics Maturity

CEO Chief Executive Officer

CMM Capability Maturity Model

CMIE Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy

DaaS Data as a Service

DM Data Management

EP Enterprise Process

EFA Exploratory Factor Analysis

ETL Extract, Transform & Load

IT Information Technology, Infrastructure & Technology

MM Maturity Model

OC Organization culture

PM Performance Management

PS People Skills

SA Strategic Alignment with BI&A

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences



This document was created with the Win2PDF "print to PDF" printer available at http://www.win2pdf.com

This version of Win2PDF 10 is for evaluation and non-commercial use only.

This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.

http://www.win2pdf.com/purchase/