## Studies in Graceful Labelings of Graphs and its Variations

### A SYNOPSIS

submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

#### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

by

#### FERNANDES JESSICA

under the supervision of

#### Dr. Tarkeshwar Singh



BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI 2016

# Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
<b>2</b>	ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS	7
R	EFERENCES	11
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		14

### **1** INTRODUCTION

By a graph G = (V, E) we mean a finite undirected graph, with neither loops nor multiple edges, of order p and size q. For graph theoretic terminology, we refer to Chartrand and Lesniak [15].

Graph labeling is one of the fastest growing research areas within graph theory. New results are being discovered and published at a rapidly increasing rate. Further we have an enormous number of conjectures and open problems in graph labelings. For an excellent and up to date dynamic survey on graph labeling we refer to Gallian [19].

Most of the graph labeling methods trace their origin to the concept of  $\beta$ -valuation introduced by Rosa [33]. The same concept was introduced by Golomb [20], who called it a graceful labeling. Various other types of graph labelings such as harmonious labeling, magic labeling, antimagic labeling, prime labeling, additively graceful labeling, hypergraceful labeling, Skolem graceful labeling and cordial labeling have been investigated by several authors.

The concept of graph labeling has a wide range of applications to other branches of science such as conflict resolutions in social psychology, electrical circuit theory, energy crises, X-ray crystallography, coding theory, astronomy, communication networks design, cryptography and circuit design ([2, 6, 14, 16, 32, 40]). For such applied aspects of graph theory, one may consult specialized references such as Acharya ([3, 4, 6]), Balaban [11], Chartrand [14], Chen [16], Harary et al. [21], Jensen and Gutin [24] and Roberts [32]. A sociogram, as another instance, is a graph whose edges are labeled as being positive or negative according to whether the two interacting persons forming a given edge have a qualitatively positive or negative type of inter-personal relationship; such a network has been called a signed graph or simply, a sigraph in the literature ([2, 6, 12, 13, 21, 39]). In fact, sigraphs were first discovered by Harary [21] as appropriate prototype models to represent structures of cognitive inter-personal relationships in a social group. Ever since, sigraphs have received much attention in social psychology because of their extensive use in modeling a variety of cognition-based social processes ([1, 2, 4, 13, 18, 21]).

Informally, by a graph labeling we mean an assignment of numbers to graph elements such as vertices or edges or both subject to some conditions. The labeling is called vertex labeling or an edge labeling or a total labeling according as the domain of the labeling is V or E or  $V \cup E$  respectively. Further conditions to be satisfied by any such labeling are normally expressed on the basis of some values (weights) of an evaluating function. One situation is the labeling of vertices, using distinct elements from the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ , in such a way that for every edge in G, the induced edge labels, defined by the absolute difference of its vertex labels, are distinct. Such a labeling is called a graceful labeling. Another situation is to assign labels to the vertices of G from the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, \lceil \frac{(q+1)}{2} \rceil\}$ , such that the edge induced labels, defined by the sum of its vertex labels, are all distinct and from the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ . A graph which admits such a labeling is called an additively graceful graph.

The notion of graceful labeling can be extended to sigraphs. A sigraph S on p vertices, m positive and n negative edges denoted by S(p, m, n) is said to be graceful if there exists an injective function  $f: V \to \{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$  such that the induced edge labeling  $g_f$  defined by  $g_f(uv) = s(uv)|f(u) - f(v)|$  gives  $g_f(E^+) = \{1, 2, \ldots, |E^+|\}$ and  $g_f(E^-) = \{-1, -2, \ldots, -|E^-|\}$ , where  $s: E \to \{+, -\}$  is the function which assigns a sign + or - to each edge,  $E^+$  and  $E^$ denote the set of all positive and negative edges of S respectively. The notion of graceful labeling of sigraphs is given by Acharya and Singh [8]. In this study we concentrate mainly on graceful labelings and its variations.

Hypergraceful decomposition of graphs was first introduced by Acharya [5]. A (p,q)-graph G = (V, E) is said to be *k*-hypergraceful if there exists a decomposition of G into edge induced subgraphs  $G_1$ ,  $G_2, \ldots, G_k$  having sizes  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$  respectively, and an injective labeling  $f : V(G) \to \{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ , such that when each edge  $uv \in E(G)$  is assigned the absolute difference |f(u) - f(v)|, the set of integers received by the edges of  $G_i$  is precisely  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m_i\}$ for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . The decomposition  $\{G_i\}$ , if it exists, is then called a hypergraceful decomposition of G and f is called a khypergraceful labeling of G. Further, G is said to be hypergraceful if it possesses a hypergraceful decomposition. When k = 1, the above definition yields the well known notion of graceful graphs and k = 2corresponds to the extension of the notion of graceful graphs to the realm of sigraphs as studied in ([8–10, 36]). Characterization of k-hypergraceful complete graphs for k = 2 and some partial results for  $k \geq 3$  are obtained by Rao et al. [30].

While studying the structure of Steiner triple systems, Skolem [38] considered the following problem: Is it possible to distribute the numbers  $1, 2, \ldots, 2p$  into p pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  such that we have  $b_i - a_i = i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., p? In the sequel, a set of pairs of this kind is called 1, +1 system because the difference  $b_i - a_i$ begins with 1 and increases by 1 when i increases by 1. Skolem |38|proved that a 1, +1 system exists if and only if  $p \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ . A 1, +1 system is also known as Skolem sequence, which is defined as follows: Let  $\langle C_i \rangle$  be a sequence of 2n terms, where  $1 \leq C_i \leq n$ . If each number *i* occurs exactly twice in the sequence and  $|j_2 - j_1| = i$  if  $i = C_{j_1} = C_{j_2}$  then  $\langle C_i \rangle$  is called a Skolem sequence. This concept was used by Lee and Shee [26] to introduce the notion of Skolem gracefulness of graphs. A Skolem graceful labelong of a graph G = (V, E) is a bijection  $f : V \to \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that the induced labeling  $g_f : E \to \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$  defined by  $g_f(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)| \ \forall uv \in E$ , is also a bijection. If such a labelong exists, then the graph G is called a *Skolem graceful graph*. If a graph G with p vertices and q edges is graceful, then  $q \ge p - 1$ , while if it is Skolem graceful, then  $q \leq p-1$ . Thus, as noted in [26],

Skolem graceful labelings nearly complement graceful labelings, and a graph with q = p - 1 is graceful if and only if it is Skolem graceful. A sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  of integers  $1, 2, \ldots, n$  is called a (2, n) Langford sequence if for  $a_i$  appearing first at the  $i^{th}$  place, the next appearance of  $a_i$  is at  $(a_i + i + 1)^{th}$  place [25]. For example the (2, 3) Langford sequence is (3, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2) and the (2, 4) Langford sequence is (4, 1, 3, 1, 2, 4, 3, 2). Priday [29] and Davies [17] have proved that a (2, n) Langford sequence exists if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ . Priday [29] and Davies [17] also introduced the concept of a perfect sequence. A sequence of m consecutive positive integers  $\{d, d + 1, \ldots, d + m - 1\}$  is said to be perfect if the integers  $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2m\}$  can be arranged into disjoint pairs  $\{(a_i, b_i) : 1 \leq i \leq m\} = \{d, d + 1, \ldots, d + m - 1\}$ .

Skolem [38] proved that a Skolem sequence does not exist if  $n \equiv 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ . O'Keefe [28] extended the concept of Skolem sequence for  $n \equiv 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$  by showing that the numbers  $1, 2, \ldots, 2n - 1, 2n + 1$  can be distributed into n disjoint pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  such that  $b_i = a_i + i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Motivated by this, Shalaby [27] defined the notion of hooked Skolem sequences.

A hooked Skolem graceful graph [37] is defined as follows: A (p,q) graph G = (V, E) is said to be hooked Skolem graceful if there exists a bijection  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p - 1, p + 1\}$  such that the induced edge labeling  $g_f : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, ..., q\}$  defined by  $g_f(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)|, \forall uv \in E$  is also bijective. Such a labeling f is called hooked Skolem graceful labeling of G. Bloom and Golomb considered two interesting and significant problems.

- Find largest graceful subgraph of the complete graph.
  This led to the limitation of the Design of a Communication Network.
- 2. Increase the maximum vertex label so that the induced edge labels are distinct.

This resulted in finitely many counter examples to a "theorem" of S. Picard which was relied upon (erroneously) for some 35 years in the field of X-ray diffraction crystallography (cf.: [23]).

The second problem led to the concept of gracefulness of graph which is defined as follows: The gracefulness grac(G) of a graph G with  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p\}$  and without isolated vertices is defined as the smallest positive integer k for which it is possible to label the vertices of G with distinct elements from the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$  in such a way that edges receive distinct labels.

Hegde [22] introduced the notion of additively graceful graph as follows: A (p,q) graph G = (V, E) with  $q \ge 1$  and  $p \ge 2$  is said to be *additively graceful* if it admits a labeling f:  $V \to \{0, 1, \ldots, \lceil \frac{(q+1)}{2} \rceil\}$  such that the edge induced labels defined by  $f^+(uv) = f(u) + f(v)$  are all distinct, and  $f^+ : E \to \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ is a bijection. He has characterized some additively graceful graphs.

Acharya et al. [7] have considered the following problem:

Given a graph G, is it possible to embed G as an induced subgraph of a graceful graph H having a graph theoretic property  $\mathcal{P}$ ? In [7], they have answered the problem for triangle-free graphs, planar graphs, hamiltonian graphs and trees. Rao and Sahoo [31] have proved that any graph can be embedded in a graceful eulerian graph. However, in their proof the number of vertices in the eulerian graceful graph is  $O(3^p)$ .

### 2 ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS

The thesis consists of 8 chapters.

In Chapter 1, we give some basic definitions and theorems on graphs which are needed for the subsequent chapters.

Synch-set codes (designed by Simmons [34]) are used to synchronize the relative annular positions of a photo-detector on one side of a rotating disk with a stationary target light source on the other side. A  $S(p, \lambda)$ -synch set is defined as a set of p distinct nonnegative integers for which no more than  $\lambda$  pairs have the same common difference and for which the maximum element is as small as possible. A synch-set designates positions for the p holes so that distance from the first to the last hole is minimized. Hence a synchset represents a labeling of  $K_p$  with distinct positive integers such that the largest vertex label is minimized and an edge label is repeated at most  $\lambda$  times. For  $\lambda = 1$ , this is rephrasing of the Golomb ruler. For  $\lambda = 2$ , we get graceful labeling of complete signed graph ([30, 34]). In Chapter 2, we prove that the complete graph  $K_p$  is (p-4)-hypergraceful if and only if  $p \ge 8$ , (p-3)-hypergraceful for  $p \ge 4$ , (p-2)-hypergraceful for  $p \ge 3$  and (p-1)-hypergraceful for  $p \ge 2$ . We also give all nonisomorphic 3-hypergraceful decompositions of  $K_5$ .

In Chapter 3, we define (k, d)-Skolem graceful graph as follows: A graph G = (V, E) is said to be (k, d)-Skolem graceful if there exists a bijection  $f: V(G) \to \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  such that the induced edge labeling  $g_f$  defined by  $g_f(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)|, \forall uv \in E$ , is a bijection from E to  $\{k, k+d, \ldots, k+(q-1)d\}$ , where k and d are positive integers. Such a labeling f is called (k, d)-Skolem graceful labeling of G. We present several basic results on (k, d)-Skolem graceful graphs and prove that  $nK_2$  is (2, 1)-Skolem graceful if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ . We give necessary condition for a graph G to be (k, d)-Skolem graceful. We also prove that  $nK_2$  is (1, 2)-Skolem graceful. Finally we close the chapter with the observation that a (1, 1)-Skolem graceful labeling of G is a Skolem graceful labeling. A Skolem graceful labeling of  $nK_2$  gives the Skolem sequence, a (2, 1)-Skolem graceful labeling of  $nK_2$  gives the (2, n) Langford sequence and a (k, 1)-Skolem graceful labeling of  $nK_2$  gives a perfect sequence.

In Chapter 4, we introduce the notion of (k, d)-hooked Skolem graceful graph as follows: A (p,q) graph G = (V, E) is said to be (k, d)-hooked Skolem graceful if there exists a bijection  $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1, p+1\}$  such that the induced edge labeling  $g_f: E \rightarrow \{k, k+d, k+2d, \ldots, k+(q-1)d\}$  defined by  $g_f(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)|, \forall uv \in E$  is also bijective, where k and d are positive integers. Such a labeling f is called (k, d)-hooked Skolem graceful labeling of G. We observe that when k = d = 1, this notion coincides with that of hooked Skolem graceful labeling of the graph G [37]. It follows from the definition that if a graph G is (k, d)-hooked Skolem graceful, then  $q \leq p-1$ . In this chapter, we give a necessary condition for a graph G to be (k, d)-hooked Skolem graceful. We also prove that  $nK_2$  is (2, 1)-hooked skolem graceful if and only if  $n \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$ .

Motivated by gracefulness of graph, in Chapter 5, we define a new measure of gracefulness of graphs called *m*-gracefulness of graph as follows: Let G = (V, E) be a (p, q) graph. Let  $f : V(G) \rightarrow$  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be an injection such that the edge induced function  $g_f$  defined on E by  $g_f(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)|$  is also injective. Let c(f) =max  $\{i : 1, 2, \ldots, i \text{ are edge labels under } f\}$ . Let  $m(G) = \max_f c(f)$ , where the maximum is taken over all f. Then m(G) is called the *m*gracefulness of G. This new measure m(G) determines how close Gis to being graceful. Note that if G is a graceful graph, m(G) = q and grac(G) = q. One may observe that grac(G) measures gracefulness of the graph G from above q, whereas m(G) measures gracefulness of G from below q. In this chapter we prove that there are infinitely many nongraceful graphs G with m(G) = q - 1, we determine m(G)for a few families of non-graceful graphs, in particular we find  $m(C_n)$ for  $n \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$  and  $m(F_k)$  for  $k \equiv 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ , where  $F_k$  is the friendship graph with k triangles. We give necessary conditions for a (p,q)-eulerian graph and the complete graph  $K_p$  to have m-gracefulness q - 1 and q - 2. Using this, we prove that  $K_5$  is the only complete graph to have m-gracefulness q - 1. We also give an upper bound for the highest possible vertex label of  $K_p$  if  $m(K_p) = q - 2$ . We prove that  $m(K_6) = 13 = q - 2$ , which is also shown in optimal Golomb ruler.

In Chapter 6, we extend the notion of additively graceful graphs introduced by Hegde, to the realm of sigraphs as follows: Let S = (V, E) be a (p, m, n)-sigraph with  $E = E^+ \cup E^-$ , Assume  $|E^+|=m$  and  $|E^-|=n$  where m+n=q. Let  $f:V\rightarrow \{0,1,...,m+$  $\lceil \frac{(n+1)}{2} \rceil$  be an injective mapping and let the induced edge function be defined as  $g_{f^-}(uv) = f(u) + f(v) \forall uv \in E^-$  and  $g_{f^+}(uv) =$  $|f(u) - f(v)| \ \forall \ uv \in E^+$ . If  $g_{f^-}(uv) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $g_{f^+}(uv) =$  $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , then f is called an *additively graceful labeling* of S. The sigraph which admits such a labeling is called an *additively graceful* sigraph. One can easily see that when n = 0, f is a graceful labeling of S, and when m = 0, f is an additively graceful labeling of S. We give some necessary or sufficient conditions for a sigraph to be additively graceful. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $K_4$  to be additively graceful. We also obtain some necessary conditions for eulerian sigraphs, complete bipartite sigraphs and complete sigraphs to be additively graceful.

In Chapter 7, we obtain a more efficient embedding of a graph G of order p as an induced subgraph of an eulerian graceful

graph H whose order is  $O(p^2)$ . We also consider the following problem for sigraphs: Given a sigraph S and a graph theoretic property  $\mathcal{P}$ , is it possible to embed S in a graceful sigraph  $S_1$  having the property  $\mathcal{P}$ ? We prove the existence of such an embedding where  $S_1$  is eulerian, hamiltonian, planar or triangle-free. We also prove that every signed tree can be embedded in a graceful signed tree.

Chapter 8 gives a conclusion of the study carried out and a brief summary of areas and problems for further research.

### References

- Abelson R.P., Aronson E., McGuire W.J., Newcomb T.M., Rosenberg M.J. and Tannenbaum R.H. (Eds.) *Theories of cognitive consistency: A sourcebook*, Rand McNally, Chicago, 1968.
- [2] Abelson R.P. and Rosenberg M.J., Symbolic psycho-logic: A model of attitudinal cognition, *Behav. Sci.*, 3 (1958), 1–13.
- [3] Acharya B.D., Applications of sigraphs in behavioural sciences, 1980, MRI Tech. Rep. No. DST/HCS/409/79, sponsored by Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India.
- [4] Acharya B.D., A spectral criterion for cycle balance in networks, J. Graph Theory, 4(1) (1980), 1–11.
- [5] Acharya B.D., (k, d)-graceful packings of a graph, In: Technical Proc. of Group Discussion on Graph Labeling Problems (Eds.: Acharya B.D. and Hegde S.M.), National Institute of Technology, Karnataka, Surathkal, India, during August 16-25, 1999.
- [6] Acharya B.D. and Acharya M., New algebraic models of social systems, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 17(2) (1986), 150–168.
- [7] Acharya B.D., Rao S.B. and Arumugam S., Embeddings and NP-complete problems for graceful graphs, Labelings of Discrete Structures and Applications (Eds.: Acharya B.D., Arumugam S. and Rosa A.), 2008, 57–62, Narosa Publishing House.
- [8] Acharya M. and Singh T., Graceful signed graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 54(129) (2004), no.2, 291–302.

- [9] Acharya M. and Singh T., Graceful signed graphs: II. The case of signed cycle with connected negative section, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 55(130) (2005), no.1, 25–40.
- [10] Acharya M. and Singh T., Graceful signed graphs. III. The case of signed cycles in which the negative sections form a maximum matching, *Graph Theory Notes N.Y.*, **45** (2003), 11–15.
- [11] Balaban A.T., Chemical applications of graph theory, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [12] Behzad M. and Chartrand G.T., Line-coloring of signed graphs, *Elem. Math.*, Band, 24(3) (1969), 49–52.
- [13] Beineke L.W. and Harary F., Consistency in marked digraphs, J. Math. Psych., 18(3) (1978), 260–269.
- [14] Chartrand G., Graphs as mathematical models, Prindle, Weber and Schmidt, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, 1977.
- [15] Chartrand G. and Lesniak L., Graphs and digraphs, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2005.
- [16] Chen W.K., Applied graph theory: graphs and electrical networks, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [17] Davies R.O., On Langford's problem II, Math. Gaz., 43 (1959), 253–255.
- [18] Festinger L., A Theory of cognitive dissonance, Row Peterson, Evanston, Illinios, 1957.
- [19] Gallian J.A., A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.*, Dynamic survey, (2005), DS #6: 1–148.
- [20] Golomb S.W., How to number a graph, In: Graph theory and computing (Ed.: Read R.C.), Academic Press 1972, 23–37.
- [21] Harary F., Norman R.Z. and Cartwright D.W., Structural models: An introduction to the theory of directed graphs, Wiley, New York, 1965.
- [22] Hegde S.M., Additively graceful graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters, 12(11) (1989), 387–390.
- [23] Hegde S.M., Labeled graphs and Digraphs: Theory and Applications, 12-01-2012 Research Promotion Workshop on Introduction to graph and geometric algorithms (IGGA).
- [24] Jensen J.B. and Gutin G., Digraphs: Theory, algorithms and applications, Springer-Verlag, London, 2002.
- [25] Langford C.D., Problem, Math. Gaz., 42 (1958), pp.228.

- [26] Lee S.M. and Shee S.C., On Skolem graceful graphs, Discrete Math., 93 (1991), 195–200.
- [27] Nabil Shalaby, Skolem sequences, The CRC Hand Book of Combinatorial Designs, (Eds.: Charles J.C. and Jeffery H.D.) 1996, 457–461.
- [28] O'Keefe E.S., Verification of a conjecture of Th. Skolem, Math. Scand., 9 (1961), 80–82.
- [29] Priday C.J., On Langford's problem I, Math. Gaz., 43 (1959), 250–253.
- [30] Rao S.B., Acharya B.D., Singh T. and Acharya M., Hypergraceful complete graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 48 (2010), 5–24.
- [31] Rao S.B. and Sahoo U.K., Embeddings in eulerian graceful graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 62 (2015), 128–139.
- [32] Roberts F.S., Structural analysis of energy system, In: Energy: Mathematics and Models, SIAM, 1976, 84–101.
- [33] Rosa A., On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph; In. Theory of Graphs, Internat. Symp., Rome, 1966, (Ed.: Rosentiehl P.), Gordon and Breach, New York and Dunod, Paris, 1967, 349–355.
- [34] Simmons G.J., Synch-sets: A variant of difference sets, In: Proc. 5th S.E. Conf. Combin., Graph Theory and Computing, Winnipeg: Util. Math., (1974), 625–645.
- [35] Simpson J.E., Langford sequences: perfect and hooked, Discrete Math., 44 (1983), 97–104.
- [36] Singh T., Advances in the theory of signed graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Delhi, Delhi, 2003.
- [37] Singh T., Hypergraceful graphs, 2008, DST Project completion Rep. No.:SR/FTP/MS-01/2003, Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India.
- [38] Skolem T., On certain distributions of integers in pairs with given differences, Math. Scand., 5 (1957), 57–68.
- [39] Zaslavsky T., Signed graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 4(1) (1982), 47–74.
- [40] Zemanian A.H., Infinite electrical networks, *Proc. IEEE*, **64**(1) (1976), 6–17.

### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

#### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS COUNTED IN THESIS

- Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., A new measure for gracefulness of graphs, *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 48 (2015) 275–280.
- Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., On (k, d)-Skolem graceful graphs, *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 48 (2015) 81–88.
- 3. Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., *m*-Gracefulness of graphs, to appear in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*.

# LIST OF PAPERS PRESENTED IN CONFERENCES AND WORKSHOPS

 Rao S. B., Singh T., Jessica Pereira, k-hypergraceful complete graphs, XXI international conference on interdisciplinary mathematics, statistics and computational techniques, organized by department of statistics, Punjab University, Chandigarh, India, Dec 15-17, 2012.

- Singh T., Jessica Pereira, Additively graceful sigraphs, XXI international conference on interdisciplinary mathematics, statistics and computational techniques, organized by department of statistics, Punjab University, Chandigarh, India, Dec 15-17, 2012.
- Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., A new measure for gracefulness of graphs, *Eighth international workshop on graph labelings-2014*, organized by n-CARDMATH, Kalasalingam University, Tamil Nadu, India, Dec 03-06, 2014.
- Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., On (k, d)-Skolem graceful graphs, *Eighth international workshop on graph labelings-*2014, organized by n-CARDMATH, Kalasalingam University, Tamil Nadu, India, Dec 03-06, 2014.
- 5. Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., On (k, d)-hooked Skolem graceful graphs, National conference on discrete mathematics and its applications, organized by department of mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India, July 15-17, 2015.
- Jessica Pereira, Singh T., Arumugam S., m-Gracefulness of graphs, International Conference on algorithms and discrete applied mathematics-2016, organized by Department of Futures Studies, University of Kerala, Thiruvanthapuram, India, February 18-20, 2016.