### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I strongly and sincerely offer gratitude to the **Almighty Allah** and bow my head before Him, Who blessed me to overcome all hardships and tests in the accomplishment of the doctoral study and this thesis. All regards and respects are for the **Holy Prophet Muhammad (Peace be upon Him)**, Who enabled us to recognize our Creator.

It was sheerly an instance of great honor for me to have Prof. Ram Kinkar Roy, Department of Chemistry as my supervisor. His continuous encouragement, kind guidance, observation, advice, patience, loving attitude and enthusiasm during the research and completion of the thesis has no doubt improved the quality of the work. I found him an energetic supervisor with a rejuvenating vigor and extraordinary research skills. His continuous quest of unraveling the mysteries especially in quantum chemistry is what I really admire. I am utterly grateful to him for his interest shown in the development, promptness and thoroughness in reviewing my thesis. I sincerely thank him for providing me several opportunities to interact with many renowned scientists of the country.

I am highly grateful to the members of my Doctoral Advisory Committee, Prof. Shamik Chakraborty and Prof. Prashant Uday Manohar for their benign cooperation and valuable suggestions in refining my thesis.

The Financial assistance in the form of DST-JRF & SRF and Institute Research Fellowships are gratefully acknowledged.

I would express my deep regards to the Vice-Chancellor, Prof. Souvik Bhattacharya, Ex. Director, Prof. Ashoke Kumar Sarkar for giving me the opportunity to pursue my doctoral studies by providing necessary facilities. Prof. Inamur Rahaman Laskar, DRC Convener, Dept. of Chemistry, is gratefully acknowledged for his persistent and kind support. I would like to thank Prof. Subit Kumar Saha, Prof. Surojit Pande, Prof. Bharti Khungar, Prof. Indresh Kumar and Dr. Mrinmoyee Basu for their encouragement during Ph.D. from time to time. The Present Director, Prof. Sudhirkumar Barai is also gratefully acknowledged.

I am highly thankful to Prof. Saumi Ray, Head, Department of Chemistry for providing the necessary facilities in Computational Chemistry laboratory and motivating me during my Ph.D. My sincere thanks to Mr. Giridhar Kunkur, Librarian, BITS Pilani and other staffs of library for their support and help rendered while utilizing the library services.

I express my gratitude to Administrative persons of BITS Pilani like Prof. S. C. Sivasubramanian, Prof. S. K. Verma, Prof. Hemant Jadav, Prof. Jitendar Panwar (Associate Dean AGSRD) for their constant official support in organizing my research work. All other staff members of AGSRD (especially Mr. Raghuveer Singh and Mr. Mahipal Verma) are also gratefully acknowledged for their help.

I would like to thank my seniors Dr. Amrit Sarmah and Dr. Rituparna Bhattacharjee for their encouraging words. I always had a hearty inspiration from them. I am pleased to have a junior, Ms. Jagrity Chaudhary who always supported and helped me in different stages of Ph.D. I would especially like to acknowledge Mr. Sayantan Halder who always treated me as an elder brother here.

I can't restrict myself to thank my humble brother Mr. Najmu Saqib, who always considered my success as his own which gave me immense motivation to achieve the best in my life. I always feel confident that he is there for me. I would also like to thank my sister-in-law Mrs. Rihana Saqib for caring about me like her own brother. I am highly grateful all of my uncles, aunts and cousins especially Prof. Rayees Ahmad Shah (who has remained my chemistry teacher and my inspiration as well), Dr. Abdul Barey Shah, Dr. Syed Khalid Yousuf, Dr. Suhail Andrabi, Mr. Syed Fayeq Jeelani and Dr. Imran Yousuf for their continuous support and motivation.

My heartfelt thanks are to my friends and colleagues at BITS Pilani, especially Dr. Roshan Nazir, Mr. Dilawar Ahmad Bhat, Mr. Danish Fayaz, Dr. Saiyedul Islam, Mr. Ishan Mata, Mr. Arghya Maity, Ms. Jagrity Chaudhary, Dr. Captain Rituraj Singh, Mr. Nikhil, Mr. Atul Anand (B.E.-M.Sc. student), Dr. Dinesh Kumar, Mr. Shahid Khan, Mr. Zeeshan, Mr. Zia-ur-Rahaman, Ms. Moyna Das, Ms. Bijoya Das, Ms. Vaishali Saini, Mr. Vishal Kachwal, Mrs. Sonam Sharma, Dr. Satheeshvarma Vanaparthi, Dr. Pragati Fageria, Dr. Sunita Kumari, Mr. Anoop Singh, Dr. Sachin Choudhary, Dr. Hitesh K. Saini, Dr. Fayaz Baig, Dr. Venkataramana Reddy, Dr. Santosh Kumari, Dr. Susheela Kumari, Dr. Pallavi Rao, Dr. Khima Pandey, Dr. Saroj, Dr. Rajinder Shivran, Dr. Manish Mehra, Dr. Devesh Agarwal, Dr. Nitesh Nandwana, Mrs. Chavi Mahala, Ms. Mamta Devi Sharma, Ms. Divya Rathore, Mr. Vikki N. Sindhe, Mr. Dhritabata Pal, Mr. Sumit Agarwal, Mr. Amol Pawar, Mr. Pramod Raichure, Ms. Aishwarya Ramesh, Mr. Imtiyaz Ahmad and Mr. Mohd. Farhan for their support and charming company during my thesis work. My special thanks to Dr. Sheikh Saleem Pasha, Mr. Vimal K. Madulluri and Mr. Mohd. Mubasheer Quadri (M.E. student) for helping me technically in different stages of Ph.D.

I would like to thank all my friends of Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi and Jamia

Hamdard, New Delhi with whom I used to stay most of the times while going to home. I would

also like to thank my friend Mr. Showkat Rashid from University of Kashmir for having fruitful

discussions.

It would be an understatement to say that I would not be the person that I am today without

my family. Henceforth, I would always be indebted to my parents Mr. Abdul Hamid Shah and

Mrs. Syed Shahzada Andrabi for whom I have been able to see the light of this beautiful world.

Their vision, ethical principles, moral support, endless patience and eternal inspiration to face any

situation in life have guided me to the successful completion of this work. Thank you Abbu and

Ammi for always believing in me and encouraging me through thick and thin! Lastly, I always

cherish the sweet memories of my dearest sister, Ifrah. Perhaps her absence has made her

omnipresent in every nook and corner of my life which I reminisce every now and then.

May Almighty Allah shower His choicest blessings and prosperity on all those who

assisted me in any way during completion of my thesis work. Finally, looking back during this

precious tenure of five years and five months of my life I often wonder over the ever inspiring

lines of Robert Frost, "The woods are lovely, dark and deep. But I have promises to keep and miles

to go before I sleep."

Place: Pilani

Date: 27/01/2021

A. Hamid

(AABID HAMID)

vi

### ABSTRACT

The present thesis concentrates on the development and applications of Density Functional Reactivity Theory (DFRT) based reactivity descriptors. The main aim of the thesis is to extend Comprehensive Decomposition Analysis of Stabilization Energy (CDASE) scheme (P. Bagaria, et al. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2009, 11, 8306), which is a modified form of Parr and Pearson equation (R. G. Parr, R. G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7512), as a valuable and an authentic tool for studying some chemical reactions. Apart from this, some modifications are made in the already existing equations of CDASE scheme which are further tested on some chemical reactions and correlated with experimental data.

In Chapter 1, an overview of literature, objectives and motivation behind the present thesis is discussed. The theoretical developments of the DFRT based local as well as global reactivity descriptors are thoroughly discussed in this chapter.

Chapter 2 introduces the new concept, 'charge transfer limit' and generates the full profiles of the components of stabilization energy as well as of stabilization energy itself. This is executed by choosing some adduct forming reactions, viz., Diels-Alder pairs and Charge transfer complexes formed between NH<sub>3</sub> and BH<sub>3</sub> and their derivatives. Apart from this, a qualitative relationship is developed in this chapter highlighting the role of non-bonding interactions (dipole–dipole, charge-induced dipole and London dispersion interactions) rather than only charge-transfer, in stabilizing the combined system at the initial stage of adduct formation. The analytical expression also helps to draw a conjecture on the influence of solvent polarity in stabilizing the adduct.

The conjecture drawn on the influence of solvent polarity in stabilizing the adduct in Chapter 2 is established in Chapter 3 by analytically introducing the thermodynamic parameter, 'net desolvation energy'. This new parameter introduces the effect of solvent polarity in the stabilization energy expression. Further, this formalism is tested on the two reactions viz., reaction of methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) with pyridine and [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition reaction. The results generated are correlated with experimental formation constant (K) values for the former reaction.

In Chapter 4, an analytical relation is derived between equilibrium constant (K) and DFRT based stabilization energy with the help of chemical and statistical thermodynamics for reactions of the type  $A + B \longrightarrow AB$ . Further, CDASE scheme is applied on different sets of adduct formation processes viz., (i) methyltrioxorhenium(MTO)-Ligand adduct formation, where MTO

acts as an acceptor and a common species in all reactions and different mono- and bidentate N-donor ligands (acting as donors) and (ii) semicarbazone formation from semicarbazide (acting as a donor and a common species) and different ortho/para substituted benzaldehydes (acting as acceptors). Further DFRT based stabilization energies are correlated with experimental formation constant (K) values.

In Chapter 5, DFRT based Hammett equation from the kinetic component of CDASE scheme is proposed and then tested on six reactions viz., (i) reaction of para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine, (ii) reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane, (iii) reaction of para-substituted benzylbromides with diphenylamine, (iv) reaction of 2,2,2-trifluroaceticacid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanol, (v) reaction of norbornene with meta-substituted arylazide and (vi) reaction of norbornene with para-substituted arylazide. Further, a correlation is made between the experimentally generated Hammett plots and DFRT based Hammett plots.

Chapter 6 summarizes the overall thesis in the form of conclusions with an outline of probable future scope.

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

BLYP Becke exchange and Lee-Yang-Parr correlation energy functional

B3LYP Becke three parameter exchange and Lee-Yang-Parr correlation energy

functional

B3PW91 Becke three-parameter exchange and Perdew-Wang '91 correlation energy

functional

 $\left(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\right)_{_{Y}}$  Rotational constant in three dimensions for species X

CC Coupled cluster

CDASE Comprehensive Decomposition Analysis of Stabilization Energy

 $\chi$  Electronegativity

CI Configuration interaction

COSMO Conductor-like Screening Model

CRT Chemical reactivity theory

 $\Delta E_{SE}$  Stabilization energy

 $\Delta G^{\Theta}$  Standard Gibbs' free energy change

 $\Delta N$  Amount of charge transfer

 $\Delta_r E_0$  Reaction energy

ΔSCF Delta- Self consistent fieldDFT Density functional theory

DFRT Density functional reactivity theory

DMM Density matrix minimization

DNP Double numeric with polarization

E Energy

EA Electron affinity

ECP Effective core potential

EEM Electron equalization method ELF Electron localization function  $E_C$  Correlation energy functional

 $E_{XC}$  Exchange and correlation energy functional

ε Dielectric constant

 $\varepsilon_i$  Energy of the Kohn-Sham orbital.

 $\eta$  Global hardness

 $\eta(\vec{r})$  Local hardness at position r FMO Frontier molecular orbital  $F[\rho]$  Hohenberg-Kohn functional

 $f(\vec{r})$  Fukui function g Degeneracy

GGA Generalized gradient approximation

GVB Generalized valence bond

 $G_{corr.}$  Thermal correction to Gibbs free energy

h Planck's constant

HOMO Highest occupied molecular orbital

HPA Hirshfeld population analysis

HSAB Hard-soft-acid-base

 $H_{corr}$  Thermal correction to enthalpy

IP Ionization potential

IPCM Isodensity polarized continuum model

IEF-PCM Integral equation formalism polarized continuum model

K Equilibrium constant

k Rate of reaction

 $k_B$  Boltzmann constant kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> Kilocalorie per mole kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> Kilojoule per mole

L mol<sup>-1</sup> Litre per mole

LDA Local density approximation

LFER Linear free energy relationship

LSDA Local spin density approximation

LUMO Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital

LYP Lee Yang Parr functional

MCSCF Multiconfigurational self-consistent field

MEP Molecular electrostatic potential

MESP Molecular electrostatic potential

MGGA Meta-generalized gradient approximation

MPA Mulliken population analysis

MTO Methyltrioxorhenium  $\mu$  Chemical potential

N Total number of electrons

NBO Natural bond orbital

PBE Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional

PCM Polarized continuum model

PMH Principal of maximum hardness

PW Perdew-Wang functional

PW91 Perdew-Wang (1991) correlation functional

 $P_K$  Gross electronic population of atom k in the molecule

 $q_k$  Effective atomic charge on atom k  $q_{X,m}^{\theta}$  Molar partition function of species X

R Ideal gas constant  $\vec{r}$  Electronic position

 $\rho(\vec{r})$  Electron density at position r

S Global softness

 $s(\vec{r})$  Local softness at position r

SCI-PCM Self-consistent isodensity polarized continuum model

SCRF Self-consistent reaction field

SMD Solvation model based on density

 $\sigma_X$  Symmetry number

 $\psi_i$  Electronic wave function

T Absolute temperature

 $\Theta_{V.K}$  Vibrational temperature of the mode K

 $T(\rho)$  Electronic kinetic energy

 $v(\vec{r})$  External potential

 $V_{el}[\rho]$  Electron-electron interaction energy functional

 $v_{XC}(\vec{r})$  Exchange-correlation potential w Global electrophilicity index

### **CONTENTS**

	Page No.
Certificate	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vii
List of Abbreviations and Symbols	ix
List of Tables	d
List of Figures	k
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1-36
1.1. Introduction	2
1.2. Theoretical Background	4
A. Fundamentals	4
B. DFT Based Reactivity Descriptors	6
(i) Global Reactivity Descriptors	7
(ii) Local Reactivity Descriptors	12
1.3. Comprehensive Decomposition Analysis of Stabilization Energy (CDASE) Scheme	18
1.4. Efficiency of Parr and Pearson equation and its expansion	19
1.5. Effect of solvent on DFRT based reactivity parameters	20
1.6. Formation constant (K) and its relation to DFRT based interaction energy	22
1.7. Linear Free Energy Relationship (LFER) and DFRT	23
1.8. Applications of Density Functional Reactivity Theory (DFRT): Motivation behind the present thesis	25
1.9. Organization of the thesis	27
References	29
CHAPTER 2: The Charge Transfer Limit of a Chemical Adduct: The Role of Perturbation on External Potential	37-58
2.1. Introduction	38
2.2. Charge Transfer Limits	39

2.3. Computational Details	39
2.4. Results and Discussion	41
2.5. Theoretical Derivation of Charge Transfer Limits	48
2.6. Inclusion of the Effect of External Potential Perturbation in the Energy Expression	49
2.7. Conclusions	54
References	57
CHAPTER 3: Solvent Effect on Stabilization Energy	59-84
3.1. Introduction	60
3.2. Theoretical Background	62
(a) Analytical form of stabilization energy to take care of solvent effect	62
(b) Working equations to take care of solvent effect in stabilization energy	64
3.3. Computational Details	65
3.4. Results and Discussion	66
3.5. Conclusions	80
References	83
CHAPTER 4: Correlation Between Equilibrium Constant and Stabilization Energy	85-111
4.1. Introduction	86
4.2.Theoretical Background	88
(a) Density functional reactivity theory based descriptors	88
(b) Relation between equilibrium constant (K) and stabilization energy $[\Delta E_{SE(AB)}]$ for the process A + B $\longrightarrow$ AB	89
4.3. Computational Details	95
4.4. Results and Discussion	98
4.5. Conclusions	107
References	110

CHAPTER 5: Validation of Hammett's Linear Free Energy Relationship	
Through Density Functional Reactivity Theory	
5.1. Introduction	113
5.2. Theoretical Background	116
(a) DFRT based descriptors	116
(b) Hammett equation in the form of CDASE-scheme based kinetic energy components	117
5.3. Computational Details	118
5.4. Results and Discussion	121
5.5. Conclusions	142
References	144
CHAPTER 6: Conclusions and Future Scope	146-150
6.1. General Conclusions	147
6.2. Specific Conclusions	147
6.3. Future Scope of the work	148
References	150
APPENDICES	A1-A5
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	A2
LIST OF CONFERENCES/WORKSHOPS/MEETINGS	A2
Brief Biography of the Supervisor	A4
Brief Biography of the Candidate	A5

# LIST OF TABLES

	Page No
2.1. Different combinations of donors and acceptors and their corresponding adducts.	
2.2. Changes in $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values (in kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) with respect to charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for Diels-Alder pair acrylaldehyde (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CHO, A) and buta-1,3-diene (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , B).	41
2.3. Changes in $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values (in kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) with respect to charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for Diels-Alder pair acrylonitrile (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CN, A) and penta-1,3-diene (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CH=CH-CH <sub>3</sub> , B).	42
2.4. Changes in $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values (in kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) with respect to charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for borane (BH <sub>3</sub> , A) and ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> , B).	42
2.5. Changes in $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values (in kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) with respect to charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for borane (BH <sub>3</sub> , A) and methanamine (CH <sub>3</sub> -NH <sub>2</sub> , B).	43
2.6. Changes in $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values (in kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) with respect to charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for fluoroborane (BH <sub>2</sub> F, A) and dimethylamine [(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -NH, B)].	43
2.7. Changes in $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ (in kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) values with respect to charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for borane (BH <sub>3</sub> , A) and trimethylamine [(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -N, B].	44
3.1. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{net}^{net}$ and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in MTO-pyridine adduct formation process. In this case the donor (B) is pyridine and the acceptor (A) is methyltrioxorhenium (MTO). All the calculations are carried out at M06-2X/Def2SVP level of theory. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	67
3.2. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{net}$ and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in MTO-pyridine adduct formation process. In this case the donor is pyridine and the acceptor is methyltrioxorhenium (MTO). All the calculations are carried out at M06/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for Re) level of theory. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	68
3.3. Comparison of the theoretically calculated Stabilization energy $(\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent})$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) with experimentally observed formation constant (K <sub>f</sub> ) in the process of MTO-pyridine adduct formation.	69

3.4 (a). Hardness ( $\eta$ ) values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) of Methyltrioxorhenium (MTO)-pyridine adduct in different solvents through $\Delta$ SCF method. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	70
(b). Hardness $(\eta)$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) of methyltrioxorhenium (MTO)-pyridine adduct in different solvents through Koopmans' approximation. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	70
3.5. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{desolv}$ , and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in the formation of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate. In this case donor (B) is (azidomethyl)benzene and acceptor(A) is methylpropiolate. All the calculations are carried out at M06/6-31G+(d,p) level of theory. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	71
3.6. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{aesolv}$ , and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in the formation of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate. In this case donor (B) is (azidomethyl)benzene and acceptor(A) is methylpropiolate. All the calculations are carried out at M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	72
3.7 (a). Hardness ( $\eta$ ) values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate in different solvents through $\Delta$ SCF method. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	72
(b). Hardness ( $\eta$ ) values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole- 4-carboxylate in different solvents through Koopmans' approximation. The solvation model used here is IEF-PCM.	73
3.8. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{desolv}^{net}$ and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in MTO-pyridine adduct formation process. In this case the donor (B) is pyridine and the acceptor (A) is methyltrioxorhenium (MTO). All the calculations are carried out at M06-2X/Def2SVP level of theory. The solvation model used here is SMD.	76
3.9. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{desolv}$ , and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in MTO-pyridine adduct formation process. In this case the donor (B) is pyridine and the acceptor (A) is methyltrioxorhenium (MTO). All the calculations are carried out at M06/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for Re) level of theory. The solvation model used here is SMD.	76
3.10. The $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ , $\Delta E_{desolv}^{net}$ , and $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ values (in kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) in the formation of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate. In this case donor (B) is (azidomethyl)benzene and acceptor(A) is methylpropiolate.	77

All the calculations are carried out at M06/6-31G+(d,p) level of theory. The solvation model used here is SMD.

- 3.11. The  $\Delta E_{A(desolv)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{B(desolv)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{AB(desolv)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{aesolv}^{net}$ , and  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) in the formation of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate. In this case donor (B) is (azidomethyl)benzene and acceptor (A) is methylpropiolate. All the calculations are carried out at M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The solvation model used here is SMD.
- 3.12. Hardness ( $\eta$ ) values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) of Methyltrioxorhenium(MTO)-pyridine adduct in different solvents using  $\Delta$ SCF method. The solvation model used here is SMD.

77

- 3.13. Hardness ( $\eta$ ) values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) of 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate in different solvents through  $\Delta$ SCF method. The solvation model used here is SMD.
- 3.14.  $\Delta E_{desolv}^{net}$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) in the formation of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate in three solvents (viz., acetone, acetonitrile and dimethylsulfoxide) using explicit solvent model (one solvent molecule). All the calculations are carried at M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Basis set superposition error is taken care by counterpoise correction.
- 4.1. Stabilization energy  $[\Delta E_{SE(AB)}]$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) in the process of 1:1 adduct formation between MTO and twenty-six ligands (shown in Figure 4.2) at (i) LANL2DZ (for Re atom) and (ii) M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for Re atom) levels of theory. All the calculations are carried out in gas phase.
- 4.2. Stabilization energy  $[\Delta E_{SE(AB)}]$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)in the process of 1:1 adduct formation between MTO and ligands [1-10 and 21-26] (shown in Figure 4.2) at (i) B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for Re atom) and (ii) M06-2X/6-31G (d,p), LANL2DZ (for Re atom) levels of theory. All the calculations are carried out in chloroform.
- 4.3. Stabilization energy  $[\Delta E_{SE(AB)}]$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)in the process of 1:1adduct formation between MTO and ligands 11-20 (shown in Figure 4.2) at (i) B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (For Re atom) and (ii) M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (For Re atom) levels of theory. All the calculations are carried out in nitromethane.
- 4.4. Stabilization energy  $[\Delta E_{SE(AB)}]$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)in the process of semicarbazone (adduct) formation between semicarbazide and benzaldehydeand its ortho-derivatives (shown in Figure 4.3) at (i) B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) (ii) M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. All the calculations are carried out in gas phase.
- 4.5. Stabilization energy  $[\Delta E_{SE(AB)}]$  values (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)in the process of semicarbazone (adduct) formation between semicarbazide and benzaldehyde and its

104
104
105
105
106
106
106
108
108
121

104

- (b). The values of  $\log \frac{[\Delta E_{B(A)}]_X}{[\Delta E_{B(A)}]_H}$  for the reaction of para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine in ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of acetophenone.
- (c). The values of charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for the reaction of para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine in ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of acetophenone. In all the cases with the substituents as shown, para-substituted acetophenone acts as an electron acceptor (A) and hydroxylamine acts as an electron donor (B).
- (d). The values of  $\sigma^0$  for different substituents and  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  values for the reaction of para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine in ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of acetophenone.

5.2

- (a). The values of  $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{A(B)}$  and  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}$  (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane in acetone at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of cumene.
- (b). The values of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  for the reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane in acetone at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of acetophenone.
- (c). The values of charge transfer  $(\Delta N)$  for the reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane in acetone at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of cumene. Dimethyldioxirane acts as an electron acceptor (A) and para-substituted cumene acts as an electron donor (B) when the substituents (-X) are from entry 1 to entry 6. When the substituent (-X) on para-position of cumene is -COCH<sub>3</sub>, it acts as an electron acceptor (A).
- (d). The values of  $\sigma^0$  for different substituents and  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  values for the reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane in acetone at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ(for I atom) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of cumene.

_	_	
5	-2	
. )	. 🤊	

- (a). The values of  $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{A(B)}$  and  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}$  (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromide with diphenylamine in methanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the paraposition of benzylbromide.
- (b). The values of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromide with diphenylamine in methanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of benzylbromide.
- (c). The values of charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromides with diphenylamine in methanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on the para-position of benzylbromide. In all the cases with the substituents as shown, para-substituted benzylbromide acts as an electron acceptor (A) and diphenylamine acts as an electron donor (B).
- (d). The values of  $\sigma^0$  for different substituents and  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  values for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromide with diphenylamine in methanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), levels of theory.-X is the substituent on the para-position of benzylbromide.

### 5.4

- (a). The values of  $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{A(B)}$  and  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}$  (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the reaction of 2,2,2-trifluoroaceticacid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (For I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (For I atom) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on the  $\beta$ -position of ethanol.
- (b). The values of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  for the reaction of 2,2,2-trifluoroaceticacid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (For I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on the  $\beta$ -position of ethanol.
- (c). Charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) values for the reaction of 2,2,2-trifluoroacetic acid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for I atom) levels of theory. -X is the substituent on  $\beta$ -position of ethanol. In all the cases 2,2,2-trifluoroacetic acid acts as an electron acceptor (A) and  $\beta$ -substituted ethanols as electron donors (B).

#### 5.5

(a). The values of  $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{A(B)}$  and  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}$  (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the reaction of norbornene with meta-substituted arylazides in ethylacetate at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) 137

and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on the meta - position of arylazide.

- (b). The values of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  for the reaction of norbornene with meta-substituted arylazides in ethylacetate at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on the meta-position of arylazide.
- (c). Charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) values for the reaction of norbornene with metasubstituted arylazides at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p), levels of theory. -X is the substituent on meta-position of arylazide. In all the cases norbornene acts as an electron donor (B) and meta-substituted arylazides act as an electron acceptors (A).

5.6

- (a). The values of  $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ ,  $\Delta E_{A(B)}$  and  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}$  (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the reaction of norbornene with para-substituted arylazides in ethylacetate at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on the paraposition of arylazide.
- (b). The values of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  for the reaction of norbornene with para-substituted arylazides in ethylacetate at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on the para-position of arylazide.
- (c). Charge transfer ( $\Delta N$ ) values for the reaction of norbornene with para-substituted arylazides arylazides at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. Here, -X is the substituent on para-position of arylazide. In all the cases norbornene acts as an electron donor (B) and para-substituted arylazides act as electron acceptors (A).

# LIST OF FIGURES

	Page No.
1.1. Energy of atom or molecule $(E)$ versus number of electrons $(N)$ at constant external potential $(v)$ .	8
2.1. Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ for adduct formation process between acrylaldehyde (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CHO, A) and buta-1,3-diene (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , B).	45
2.2. Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ for adduct formation process between acrylonitrile (A) and penta-1,3-diene (B). acrylonitrile (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CN, A) and penta-1,3-diene (CH <sub>2</sub> =CH-CH=CH-CH <sub>3</sub> , B).	45
2.3. Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ for adduct formation process between borane (BH <sub>3</sub> , A) and ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> , B).	46
2.4. Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ for adduct formation process between borane (BH <sub>3</sub> , A) and methanamine (CH <sub>3</sub> -NH <sub>2</sub> , B).	46
2.5. Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ for adduct formation process between fluoroborane (BH <sub>2</sub> F, A) and dimethylamine [(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -NH, B)].	47
2.6. Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ for adduct formation process between borane (BH <sub>3</sub> , A) and trimethylamine [(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -N, B].	47
<ul><li>2.7</li><li>(a). Profiles of stabilization energy with and without external potential perturbation.</li></ul>	53
(b). Plot showing the profiles of $\Delta E_{B(A)}$ , $\Delta E_{A(B)}$ and $\Delta E$ values with respect to $\Delta N$ after including external potential perturbation.	54
3.1. Schematic diagram representing the methyltrioxorhenium(MTO)-pyridine adduct formation process in solvent.	65
3.2. Schematic diagram representing the formation of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate from (azidomethyl)benzene and methylpropiolate in solvent.	66
3.3. Plot representing variation of stabilization energy, $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ , in the process of MTO-pyridine adduct formation versus Onsager function, $(\varepsilon - 1)/(2\varepsilon + 1)$ . All the calculations are carried out at M06-2X/Def2SVP level of theory using IEF-PCM solvation model.	74

- 3.4. Plot representing variation of stabilization energy,  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ , in the process of MTO-pyridine adduct formation versus Onsager function,  $(\epsilon 1)/(2\epsilon + 1)$ . All the calculations are carried out at M06/6-31G(d,p), LANL2DZ (for Re) level of theory using IEF-PCM solvation model.
- 3.5. Plot representing variation of stabilization energy,  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ , in the process of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate formationversus Onsager function, ( $\epsilon$  1)/(2 $\epsilon$  + 1). All the calculations are carried out at M06/6-31G+(d,p) level of theory using IEF-PCM solvation model.
- 3.6. Plot representing variation of stabilization energy,  $\Delta E_{SE(AB)}^{solvent}$ , in the process of methyl 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate formationversus Onsager function,  $(\varepsilon 1)/(2\varepsilon + 1)$ . All the calculations are carried out at M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of theory using IEF-PCM solvation model.
- 4.1. Schematic diagram representing the formation of MTO-ligand adduct with mono- and bidentate N- donor ligands.
- 4.2. Structures of all mono and bi-dentate N-donor ligands.
- 4.3. Schematic diagram representing the formation of semicarbazone (adduct) from ortho- or para-substituted benzaldehyde and semicarbazide.
- 4.4. Structures of ortho- and para-substituted benzaldehydes (acceptors).
- 5.1. Schematic diagrams representing reactions of (i) para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine, (ii) para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane, (iii) para-substituted benzylbromides with diphenylamine, (iv) 120 2,2,2-trifluroacetic acid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanol, (v) norbornene with meta-substituted arylazide and (vi) norbornene with para-substituted arylazide.
- 5.2. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma$  for the reaction of para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.3. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of para-substituted acetophenones with hydroxylamine at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.4. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma$  for the reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.

- 5.5. Plot of  $\log \frac{[\Delta E_{B(A)}]_X}{[\Delta E_{B(A)}]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of para-substituted cumenes with dimethyldioxirane in ethanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.6. Plot of  $\log \frac{[\Delta E_{B(A)}]_X}{[\Delta E_{B(A)}]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma$  for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromides with diphenylamine at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.7. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs. $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromides with diphenylamine in methanol at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.8. Plot of  $\log \frac{k_X}{k_H}$  vs.  $\sigma$  for the reaction of para-substituted benzylbromides with diphenylamine generated from experimental data at 25 °C.
- 5.9. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma$  for the reaction of 2,2,2-trifluoroaceticacid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanols at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.10. Plot of  $\log \frac{k_X}{k_H}$  vs.  $\sigma$  for the reaction of 2,2,2-trifluoroaceticacid with  $\beta$ -substituted ethanols from experimental data at 25 °C.
- 5.11. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of meta-substituted arylazides with norbornene at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.12. Plot of  $\log \frac{k_X}{k_H}$  vs.  $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of meta-substituted arylazides with norbornene from experimental data at 25 °C.
- 5.13. Plot of  $\log \frac{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_X}{\left[\Delta E_{B(A)}\right]_H}$  vs.  $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of para-substituted arylazides with norbornene at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory.
- 5.14. Plot of  $\log \frac{k_X}{k_H}$  vs.  $\sigma^0$  for the reaction of para-substituted arylazides with norbornene from experimental data at 25 °C.



This document was created with the Win2PDF "print to PDF" printer available at <a href="http://www.win2pdf.com">http://www.win2pdf.com</a>

This version of Win2PDF 10 is for evaluation and non-commercial use only.

This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.

http://www.win2pdf.com/purchase/