

My heartfelt and foremost gratitude is expressed to my supervisor **Dr. Arun Kumar Jalan** and co-supervisor **Dr. Sachin U. Belgamwar**, for welcoming me onboard on this stimulating research, and for being the best supervisors I could ever wish for. I express my sincere thanks to **Dr. Arun Kumar Jalan** for introducing me to this fascinating research of Condition Monitoring and Fault Diagnosis. I offer my respectful obeisance unto the lotus feet of my both supervisors for all their valuable guidance, excellent direction, everlasting encouragement and inspiration given to me without which the present work would not have been possible. It was indeed my privilege to work under the supervision of both.

I am grateful to **Prof. Souvik Bhattacharyya**, Vice- chancellor, BITS Pilani, **Prof A.K. Sarkar**, Director, BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus for their support and blessings. I also express my sincere thanks to **Prof. Jitendra Panwar**, Associate Dean, Academic -Graduate studies and research division (AGSRD) for their motivation, constant support and encouragement. I Thank **Prof. M.S.Dasgupta**, Head of the Department of Mechanical Engineering for his valuable support and guidance. I am highly indebted to **Prof.Srikanta Routroy**, Dean, Student Welfare Division, for his encouragement and suggestions. I express sincere thanks to **Dr.Sharad Shrivastava**, DRC convener , Department of Mechanical Engineering. I pay my heartfelt gratitude to my DAC members **Dr. Amol Marathe** and **Dr. Sharad Shrivastava** for their valuable suggestions and for sparing their valuable time for departmental evaluation of this thesis. I would also like to acknowledge all faculty members as well as non-academic staff of Department of Mechanical Engineering for their support, encouragement and cooperation. I would like to particularly appreciate BITS workshop staff, Pilani campus, for helping me in fabricating equipment's of my research work. It is my pleasure to acknowledge the individuals who have contributed to the evolution of this work.

I owe my gratitude to fellow research scholars of Mechanical Engineering Department for their constant support. I express my thanks to my colleague of Mechanical Department Mr.Nitish Gokhale for his continuous support and encouragement in taking the work forward. I would like to thank and appreciate my dearest friends Roshan Chandra and Monika Gupta for their support. Words cannot do justice to the support, love and affection I have received during this research work from my parents **Shri Shamrao Gunerkar** and **Sau Shalini Gunerkar** , my sister **Roshni Gunerkar** and my beloved fiancé **Krutika Shenmare**. Last but not the least, I pray and thank the Almighty for showering HIS divine blessings and giving me an inner strength and patience.

Rohit S. Gunerkar

September 2019.

Due to the significance of rolling element bearings as one of the most largely used industrial machinery component, development of fault-diagnosis scheme to prevent its failure during the industrial operation is necessary. One of the significant modes of failure of rolling bearing is contact fatigue, which initiates subsurface and surface fatigue spalling, and thus reduces the remaining useful life of the rolling bearing. Such type of spalling is mainly known as localized faults. One of the conventional methods of monitoring the localized faults is the vibration analysis. But some drawbacks are always pertaining to the vibration analysis in terms of inaccuracy of results. In recent years, major research being found in developing automated fault diagnosis systems for performing condition monitoring. Mathematical modelling of bearing for fault diagnosis proved to be efficient tool in understanding dynamics of faulty bearing upon rotor-bearing systems. Application of machine learning techniques such as Artificial neural network (ANN), Support vector machine (SVM) in conjunction with advanced signal processing techniques like wavelet transform (WT), enabled expert diagnosis of rolling bearing. This technique provided the basis for prognosis of rolling bearing.

Apart from diagnosis the bearing with one sensor, which may be redundant in diagnosing all possible faults, techniques like sensor-fusion helped in classifying all the available faults of bearing.

In this thesis, a systematic approach is followed with an objective of developing a reliable fault diagnosis scheme of rolling element bearing with multiple localized defects in it. The scheme is developed with the help of work present in various chapters of thesis. These chapters include a general introduction about the rolling element bearing defects and its condition monitoring. Then a detailed literature review about various techniques of fault diagnosis of rolling element bearings and literature gap for pursuing the research in this area was carried out. Then mathematical modelling of rolling element bearing for fault severity analysis was developed along with incorporation of advanced signal processing techniques in the form of fault signal analysis.

Then statistical approach being performed for establishing the relation between fault severity with rotor speed. Response surface Methodology (RSM) technique is presented to discuss the relation between fault severity and rotor speed.

After successful development of statistical approach fault diagnosis was carried out using artificial neural network. It mainly focuses upon automated system of fault diagnosis.

Then sensor fusion of two different monitoring techniques (Vibro-Acoustic) was carried out. It gives the detail insights about fault predicting capability of each technique in terms of fault classification.

After developing various techniques of fault diagnosis of rolling element bearing pertaining to objectives of thesis, work was concluded in the form of proposed fault diagnosis scheme and future scope of research work is defined in this area.

Table of Contents

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	i
<i>Abstract</i>	ii-iii
<i>Table of Contents</i>	iv-v
<i>List of Figures</i>	vi-viii
<i>List of Tables</i>	ix
<i>Nomenclature</i>	x-xii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Need for Monitoring Rolling Bearings	2
1.2 The Role of bearings in machine vibration	3
1.3 Rolling Bearing Damage	4
1.4 Criteria to monitor before failure	11
1.5 Vibration Measurement	11
1.6 Acoustic Emission (AE) Measurement	15
1.7 Organization of thesis	17
2. Literature Review	19
2.1 Introduction	19
2.2 Bearing Faults and Dynamic Simulation Methods	21
2.3 Monitoring Methods	31
2.4 Signal Analysis Method	32
2.5 Feature Diagnosis Methods	37
<i>References</i>	39
3. Dynamic Modelling of Rolling Element Bearing	57
3.1 Introduction	57
3.2 Dynamic Modelling of the bearing	60
3.3 Rotor-bearing experimental setup	69
3.4 Numerical simulation and Experimentation results	70
3.5 Conclusion	91
<i>References</i>	92
4. Multiple Localized Defect Interaction using Response Surface Methodology	94
4.1 Introduction	94
4.2 Response Surface Methodology	97
4.3 Experimentation	99
4.4 Results and Discussion	103
4.5 Conclusion	114
<i>References</i>	115

5. Fault Diagnosis Based on Artificial Neural Network	117
5.1 Introduction	117
5.2 Fault Diagnosis Technique	119
5.3 Experimental Setup	126
5.4 Results and Discussions	128
5.5 Conclusion	132
<i>References</i>	133
6. Classification Faults using Vibro-Acoustic Sensor Data Fusion	136
6.1 Introduction	136
6.2 Experimental Test Rig and Measurement Condition	138
6.3 Intelligent fault -diagnosis system	140
6.4 Results and Discussions	147
6.5 Conclusion	153
<i>References</i>	154
7. Conclusions and Future Scope	157
7.1 Overall Conclusion	157
7.2 Novelty of work	158
7.3 Future Scope	158
Appendix	159
List of Publications and Awards	160
Biographies	161

List of Figures

S.no	Figure Name	Page No.
1	Figure 1.1 First fatigue cracks in inner raceway.	06
2	Figure 1.2 Pitting in inner raceway of a deep groove ball bearing.	06
3	Figure 1.3 Reduction in life due to contaminants.	07
4	Figure 1.4 Fatigue damage caused by foreign particle indentations: damage forming V Shape.	07
5	Figure 1.5 Micropitting in raceway of cylindrical roller bearing due to heavy surface stressing.	08
6	Figure 1.6 Worn inner raceway of bearing.	08
7	Figure 1.7 Worn outer raceway of bearing.	08
8	Figure 1.8 Corrosion marks in the outer ring of a self-aligning ball bearing.	09
9	Figure 1.9 Scuffing marks on a tapered roller face.	10
10	Figure 1.10 Schematic representation of piezoelectric accelerometer.	14
11	Figure 1.11 Schematic representation of Piezoelectric microphone.	17
12	Figure 3.1 Six-DOF dynamic model of Bearing.	60
13	Figure 3.2 Outer race defect geometry.	66
14	Figure 3.3 Ball spall depth as sensed by inner race.	68
15	Figure 3.4 Schematic representation of bearing test rig.	69
16	Figure 3.5 Bearing Test Rig 1. Defective Bearing 2. Accelerometer 3. Loader 4. Healthy Bearing.	70
17	Figure 3.6 Photographs of defects.	70
18	Figure 3.7 Simulated and Experimental time domain plot of healthy bearing.	74
19	Figure 3.8 Simulated and Experimental FFT plot of healthy bearing.	75
20	Figure 3.9 Simulated and Experimental CWT plot of healthy bearing.	76
21	Figure 3.10 Simulated and Experimental time domain plot of Outer race defect.	77

22	Figure 3.11 Simulated and Experimental FFT of outer race defects.	79
23	Figure 3.12 Time frequency plot (CWT) of outer race defects.	82
24	Figure 3.13 Simulated and experimental time domain plot of inner race defect.	83
25	Figure 3.14 Simulated and Experimental FFT of inner race defect.	85
26	Figure 3.15 Simulated and experimental plot (CWT) of inner race defect.	87
27	Figure 3.16 Simulated and Experimental time domain plot of ball defect.	89
28	Figure 3.17 Simulated and Experimental FFT of ball defect.	90
29	Figure 3.18 Simulated and Experimental Time-frequency plot (CWT) of ball defect.	91
30	Figure 4.1 Photograph of defects (a) Inner race defect (b) Outer race defect.	99
31	Figure.4.2 Flow Chart for RSM.	100
32	Figure 4.3 Contour plots for vertical vibration response showing interaction between factors.	105
33	Figure 4.4 Contour plots for horizontal vibration response showing interaction between factors.	106
34	Figure 4.5 Response surface plots for vibration response in vertical direction, showing interaction between various factors.	107
35	Figure 4.6 Predicted Vs Actual for vibration response in Vertical direction.	108
36	Figure 4.7 Response surface plots for vibration response in horizontal direction, showing interaction between various factors.	109
37	Figure 4.8 Predicted Vs Actual for vibration response in Horizontal direction.	111
38	Figure 4.9 Interaction plot.	112
39	Figure 4.10 Interaction plot.	113
40	Figure 5.1 WT denoised vibration signals.	123
41	Figure 5.2 Flow diagram of proposed fault diagnosis system.	124
42	Figure 5.3 Sample Photographs of Defects.	127
43	Figure 5.4 ANN Architecture for the classification of vibration data.	129

44	Figure 5.5 ANN performance for classification of vibration data.	131
45	Figure 5.6 KNN Classification of faults.	133
46	Figure 6.1 Bearing Test rig with sensor fusion Vibro- Acoustic.	139
47	Figure 6.2 Schematic diagram of instrumentation.	140
48	Figure 6.3 Sample Photographs of defects.	141
49	Figure 6.4 Flow diagram of the proposed fault diagnosis system.	142
50	Figure 6.5 KNN Class borders illustration.	149
51	Figure 6.6 Vibro-Acoustic FFT of OR1 at 1700 rpm.	150
52	Figure 6.7 Vibro-Acoustic FFT of IR 1 at 1700 rpm.	151
53	Figure 6.8 Vibro-acoustic FFT of Ball Defect at 700 rpm.	152
54	Figure 6.9 Vibro-Acoustic FFT of Ball Defect and Outer race defect at 700 rpm.	152
55	Figure 6.10 Vibro-Acoustic FFT of Ball defect and Inner race defect at 700 rpm.	153

List of Table

S.no	Table	Page No.
1	Table 2.1 Summary of Dynamic Models of Bearing Faults.	23
2	Table 2.2 Dynamic Models of Localized Defects.	25
3	Table 2.3 Wear Monitoring techniques for rolling bearing.	26
4	Table 2.4 Summary of SP analysis studied for REBs	28
5	Table 2.5 A Summary of diagnostics methods studied for REBs	32
6	Table 3.1 Rolling Element Bearing Specification.	71
7	Table 3.2 Bearing Fault Sizes.	73
8	Table 3.3 Calculated defect frequencies of bearing components at 1500 RPM.	73
9	Table 3.4 Comparison of amplitude level and characteristic defect frequencies.	88
10	Table 4.1 Bearing defect size and geometry.	101
11	Table 4.2 Parameters for DOE.	101
12	Table 4.3 DOE set and Responses.	102
13	Table 4.4 Analysis of variance table for horizontal vibration response.	110
14	Table 4.5 Analysis of variance table for vertical vibration response.	110
15	Table 5.1 Conditions of bearing fault.	125
16	Table 5.2 ANN Model Performance for fault classification.	127
17	Table 6.1 Conditions of bearing.	141
18	Table 6.2 Feature matrix of vibration sensor.	144
19	Table 6.3 Feature Matrix of Acoustic Sensor.	145
20	Table 6.4 Effectiveness of each Technique.	146

$\Psi_{\tilde{n}}$: - Dual Functions.

a_n : - Expansion Co-efficient.

C_d : - Depth of local fault on surface of inner and outer races.

C_{di} : - Maximum depth the inner race will reach.

C_{do} : - Maximum depth outer race will reach.

C_{dr} : - Slot upon rolling element-moving upwards.

C_r : - Internal radial clearance.

D_b : - Rolling element diameter.

D_p : - Bearing Pitch diameter.

c_i, c_o, c_r : - Damping of inner raceway, outer raceway and unit resonator.

f_{bpf_i} : - Ball pass frequency inner raceway.

f_{bpf_o} : - Ball pass frequency outer raceway.

f_{bsf} : - Ball spin frequency.

f_m : - Modulation frequency (Hz).

f_s : - Shaft rotating frequency.

k_i, k_o, k_r : - Stiffness of inner raceway, outer raceway and unit resonator.

m_i : - Mass of Inner raceway and Shaft.

m_o : - Mass of the Outer raceway and bearing support structure.

m_{r1}, m_{r2} : - Mass of the unit resonator.

n_b : - Number of balls.

r_i : - Inner race radius.

x_i, y_i : - Inner raceway Displacement.

- x_o, y_o :- Outer raceway Displacement.
- x_r, y_r :- Measured Vibration response.
- β_j :- Fault switch function.
- δ_j :- Contact deformation.
- φ_n :- Synthesis Function.
- $\tilde{\varphi}_n$:- Analytic Function.
- ω_c :- Nominal cage speed.
- ω_s :- Rotating angular speed.
- ω_{spin} :- Ball spin frequency.
- ϕ_d :- Defined angular position.
- ϕ_{d0} :- Initial starting location of spall.
- ϕ_j :- Angular position of jth rolling element.
- ϕ_{slip} :- Maximum Phase deviation (rad).
- $\Delta\phi_d$:- Angular Distance.
- Δf :- Maximum frequency deviation (Hz).
- BDIR: - Ball defect and inner race defect.
- BDOR: - Ball defect and outer race defect.
- CD: - Combined defect.
- CWT: - Continuous wavelet transform.
- f_x, f_y :- Non-linear contact force.
- IR: - Inner race
- OR: - Outer race.
- s : - Continuous variable.
- WT: - Wavelet transform.
- $\Delta\phi_{di}$:- Angular width of the fault sensed by inner race.

$\Delta\phi d\phi$: - Angular width of the fault sensed by outer race.

F_t : - Applied radial load.

K : - Time-Varying non-linear contact stiffness.

a : - Scale parameter.

b : - Position parameter.

n : - Load deflection parameter.

r : - radius of curvature of raceways.

x : - Half the spall width.

α : - Contact angle.

ρ : - Curvature.

ψ : - Mother wavelet.

ψ : - Space function.