

Investigation of Factors Influencing Ambient Release of Metofluthrin from Various Polymeric Substrates.

THESIS

Submitted in partial fulfillment
Of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

By

REENA BIBALS

Under the Supervision of
Dr. DEBOJIT CHAKRABARTY



**BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE
PILANI (RAJASTHAN) INDIA**

2015

**BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE
PILANI (RAJASTHAN)**

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled **Investigation of Factors Influencing Ambient Release of Metofluthrin from Various Polymeric Substrates** and submitted by **Reena Bibals** ID No **2008PHXF437P** for award of Ph. D. Degree of the Institute embodies original work done by her under my supervision.

Signature in full of the Supervisor: _____

Name in capital block letters: **DR. DEBOJIT CHAKRABARTY**

Designation: Associate Vice President -R&D -GCPL

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Fortuitous circumstances and a lot of hard work have gone into this contribution of mine.

Nevertheless, without the contributions of many people, not only this work, but a meaningful part of my life would have remained incomplete.

I am grateful to **Godrej Consumer products Ltd** and **Godrej Family** for providing me with the infrastructure and space to do this work.

My Heartfelt gratitude to **Dr. Kasi Viswanathan** for encouraging and initiating me to do Ph.D.

I wouldn't have achieved even a miniscule of this research work, without the active participation, support and understanding of **Dr. Debojit Chakrabarty** –my Guide, whose perseverance ensured that I stayed on track and never lost focus. I am indeed indebted to him.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to **Dr. Sunder Mahadevan-R&D Executive Vice President**, for allowing me the freedom to pursue this work.

My colleagues at R&D have always been helpful and without their invaluable help time and again, I would have found the completion of this work and uphill task.

I am thankful to **BITS, Pilani** for providing me this opportunity to pursue the off-campus Ph. D of the Institute.

I am grateful to **Dr. Regalla Srinivasa Prakash, Prof. Arya Kumar, Prof. S. C. Sivasubramanian and Prof. Ravi Prakash** for their encouragement and guidance during the Ph. D qualifying examination.

My thanks are due to **Dr. Anupama Mittal and Dr. Sunil Kumar Dubey** for their valuable comments. The present Thesis without doubt has benefited from their guidance and expert inputs.

I thank **Dr. Hemanth Jadav, Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Ms. Monica Sharma, Mr. Amit Kumar, Navin Singh**, members of RCD, BITS, Pilani, and all the Office staff at RCD whose cooperation and guidance helped me in submitting the various documents in time.

The love, support and blessings of my parents and all family members have helped me in pursuing this project without any hassles.

I am grateful to my husband, **Bibals Ramakrishnan**, whose many sacrifices have helped me convert this dream of mine into a reality.

Finally I would like to record my special affection for my son **YASH** for his understanding and patience, without which this work would have been an impossible task.

To sum up, I would only say that, but for the association of all the people mentioned, the blessing of Almighty and elders, this Thesis of mine would not have seen the light of the day.

SUMMARY

In recent times, the household insecticide industry globally has witnessed a rapid surge in development in response to the growing incidence of mosquito menace on human population. Typically, household insecticidal compounds consist of synthetic pyrethroids as active ingredients which are primarily responsible for exhibiting insecticidal efficacies, when incorporated within a suitable delivery vehicle. Recent efforts on synthesizing newer generation pyrethroid compounds have placed greater emphasis on their enhanced safety, efficacy, and toxicity potential in comparison to their old congeners. The current study attempts to address key factors impacting the release of metofluthrin, a relatively recent synthetic pyrethroid exhibiting a relatively high vapor pressure under ambient conditions, when incorporated onto various substrate types.

While examples of few commercially available insecticidal formats containing metofluthrin do exist, it has proven difficult to reasonably predict their end-of-life given the influence of external variable factors on metofluthrin release. To our knowledge, a systematic study of the influence of external variables influencing metofluthrin release has not been undertaken, hitherto. In absence of this data, designing an optimal product format is fraught with uncertainty as regards claiming unambiguously a clear end of life. The current study attempts to bridge this understanding by studying the influence of some of the factors affecting release by designing relevant experiments that generate diffusion related data. Metofluthrin release profiles have been studied from different substrate types, all of which were incorporated with identical amounts. The data obtained experimentally has been subsequently used to build a generalized diffusion model. It is interesting to note that metofluthrin diffusivity under ambient conditions from various metofluthrin-impregnated substrates was found to be extremely low, of the order of 10^{-16} m²/s. This value is typically three to four orders of magnitude lower than the normal diffusivity of gases and liquids. Such low metofluthrin diffusivities suggest the presence of strong cohesive interaction forces between metofluthrin and substrates under investigation.

Studies on physical characteristics of various polymeric substrates along with spectral studies that probe molecular level interactions between metofluthrin and various surfaces was conducted. Initial studies focused on measuring physical characteristics of various substrates. BET was

employed for determination of surface areas, pore sizes and pore volumes, while, MIP was used to quantify a) intrinsic porosities and b) the extent of pore occupancy upon metofluthrin impregnation. Thermo-analytical tools, viz., DSC, TGA, and TPD were employed to gain a qualitative understanding of the energetics of metofluthrin–substrate interactions.

HS-GC and TGA measurements in conjunction corroborate the experimentally observed higher diffusivity of metofluthrin in cellulose, and, provide a rational explanation for order of diffusivities noted from various substrates. Powder XRD, FTIR, surface FTIR, and solid-state ^1H and ^{13}C NMR investigations were conducted to probe substrate crystallinities, as well as, molecular level interactions between metofluthrin and the substrates, in the bulk or surface. Spectral studies both on the surface as well as bulk scale revealed negligible chemical interactions, suggesting that physical properties of substrates play an important role in diffusivity. These experiments have demonstrated that for all conditions maintained constant, metofluthrin diffusivity followed the order cellulose \sim nylon > PET > polypropylene. The observed diffusivities correlate with the overall surface areas and surface spread abilities as demonstrated by contact angle observations.

A generalized metofluthrin diffusion model was developed using temperature, velocity and surface area as external variables and validated by applying it on an earlier reported system. Modelling studies were conducted using unsteady state mass balances while assuming that the diffusivity of metofluthrin inside the matrix follows an Arrhenius dependence on temperature, and, that the mass transfer coefficient is linearly dependent on the bulk fluid velocity. A “hindered pore diffusion model” has been proposed to explain the unusually low mass transfer coefficients of metofluthrin from substrates.

Spectral studies at the bulk and surface level revealed absence of chemical interactions between metofluthrin and substrates. Studies show that the unusually lower diffusivities of metofluthrin are fundamentally dependent on physical properties of substrates. Factors like surface area, spreading ability of active on substrate, pore volume, pore size and intrinsic porosities are key to metofluthrin release, and, do explain the order of metofluthrin diffusivities experimentally determined from various substrates. ‘A hindered pore diffusion model’ has been invoked to rationalize and explain the extremely low metofluthrin diffusivity which has been observed.

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
3.1	Physico-Chemical properties of Metofluthrin	30
3.2	Comparative bioefficacy data for synthetic pyrethroids	31
3.3	Bio efficacy test results of paper Emanator	37
3.4	Bio efficacy test results of standard coil	38
4.1	Cumulative release rate of Metofluthrin as a function of initial concentration	43
5.1	Typical physical and chemical data of polypropylene.	52
5.2	Typical physical and chemical data of nylon.	53
5.3	Typical physical and chemical data of PET.	54
5.4	Typical physical and chemical data of cellulose.	54
5.5	DSC operating conditions	58
5.6	DTG operating conditions	60
5.7	DTG weight loss measurements of Metofluthrin from different substrates	64
5.8	Experimental conditions- gas chromatograph	65
5.9	Experimental conditions- head space sampler	65
5.10	Head space – Peak area measurement of Metofluthrin from different substrates	69
5.11	TPD operating conditions	71
5.12	BET-Operating conditions	77
5.13	Summary of BET observation on different substrates	78
5.14	MIP-Operating conditions	82
5.15	Summary of mercury intrusion porosimetry	83
5.16	Contact angle meter-operating conditions	85
5.17	Contact angle measurements of various substrates	85
5.18	Surface tensiometer-operating conditions	87
5.19	Surface tension measurement of substrates with hexane	87
5.20	Surface tension measurement of substrates with Metofluthrin	87
5.21	Fibre size in microns using SEM	90
5.22	FTIR-operating conditions	91
5.23	Summary of Vibration frequency of key functional groups for Metofluthrin and substrates	96
5.24	ATR- operating conditions	97
5.25	Summary of Vibration frequency of key functional groups for Metofluthrin and substrates	100
5.26	NMR-Experimental conditions	102
5.27	¹ H NMR chemical shifts in untreated and metofluthrin impregnated substrates	106
5.28	¹³ C NMR chemical shifts in untreated and metofluthrin impregnated substrates	111
5.29	Powder XRD experimental conditions	112
5.30	XRD peak list untreated cellulose	113

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
5.31	XRD peak list untreated Nylon	113
5.30	XRD peak list untreated PP	114
5.31	XRD peak list untreated PET	114
6.1	The values of the parameters A, E_a , a and b	128

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE No.	Title	Page No.
1.1	Molecular structure of DDT	3
1.2	Molecular structure of DEET	6
1.3	Molecular structure of Natural Pyrethrum	9
1.4	Molecular structure of Bioresmethrin	10
1.5	Molecular structure of Permethrin	10
1.6	Molecular structure of Deltamethrin	11
1.7	Molecular structure of Cypermethrin	11
1.8	Molecular structure of d-allethrin	11
1.9	Molecular structure of Prallethrin	11
1.10	Molecular structure of Empenthrin	11
1.11	Molecular structure of Transfluthrin	11
1.12	Molecular structure of Metofluthrin	14
1.13	Paper Emanator	18
1.14	Clip On Polymer Device	18
3.1	Molecular structure of Metofluthrin	30
3.2	Paper Emanator for study	33
4.1	Graph displaying cumulative release of Metofluthrin as a function of temperature	44
4.2	Graph of cumulative release of Metofluthrin as a function of Initial concentration	46
4.3	Graph displaying cumulative release of Metofluthrin as a function of wind velocity	48
4.4	Graph displaying cumulative release of Metofluthrin as a function of surface area	50
5.1	Graph displaying cumulative release of Metofluthrin as a function of different substrates	56
5.2	DSC graph for Metofluthrin	59
5.3	DTG graph for Metofluthrin	61
5.4	DTG graph for Metofluthrin loaded cellulose sample	62
5.5	DTG graph for Metofluthrin loaded Nylon sample	62
5.6	DTG graph for Metofluthrin loaded PET sample	63
5.7	DTG graph for Metofluthrin loaded PP sample	63
5.8	GC graph of Metofluthrin	66
5.9	GC-Headspace graph of Metofluthrin	67
5.10	GC-Headspace graph of Metofluthrin loaded cellulose sample	67

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE No.	Title	Page No.
5.11	GC-Headspace graph of Metofluthrin loaded Nylon sample	68
5.12	GC-Headspace graph of Metofluthrin loaded PET sample	68
5.13	GC-Headspace graph of Metofluthrin loaded PP sample	69
5.14	TPD graph for Metofluthrin loaded cellulose	71
5.15	TPD graph for Metofluthrin loaded Nylon	72
5.16	TPD graph for Metofluthrin loaded PP	72
5.17	TPD graph for Metofluthrin loaded PET	73
5.18	Graph of cumulative release of Metofluthrin as a function of varying thickness of different substrates	75
5.19	BET plot for Cellulose	79
5.20	BET plot for Nylon	79
5.21	BET plot for PP	80
5.22	BET plot for PET	80
5.23	SEM images of PET Non-woven	88
5.24	SEM images of PP Non-woven	89
5.25	SEM images of Nylon Non-woven	89
5.26	SEM images of Cellulose	89
5.27	FTIR spectra of Metofluthrin	92
5.28	FTIR spectra of Cellulose loaded liquid Metofluthrin	92
5.29	FTIR spectra of Cellulose	93
5.30	FTIR spectra of PET loaded Metofluthrin	93
5.31	FTIR spectra for PET	94
5.32	FTIR spectra of Nylon Non-woven	94
5.33	FTIR spectra of Nylon loaded Metofluthrin	95
5.34	FTIR spectra of PP non woven	95
5.35	FTIR spectra of PP loaded liquid Metofluthrin	96
5.36	Surface FTIR overlay on untreated & Metofluthrin treated cellulose	98
5.37	Surface FTIR overlay on untreated & Metofluthrin treated Nylon	98
5.38	Surface FTIR overlay on untreated & Metofluthrin treated PP	99
5.39	Surface FTIR overlay on untreated & Metofluthrin treated PET	99
5.40	¹ H NMR for untreated Cellulose	102
5.41	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated Cellulose	102
5.42	¹ H NMR for untreated Cellulose	103
5.43	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated Cellulose	103
5.44	¹ H NMR for untreated Nylon	103
5.45	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated Nylon	103
5.46	¹ H NMR for untreated Nylon	104
5.47	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated Nylon	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE No.	Title	Page No.
5.48	¹ H NMR for untreated PET	104
5.49	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated PET	104
5.50	¹ H NMR for untreated PET	105
5.51	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated PET	105
5.52	¹ H NMR for untreated PP	105
5.53	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated PP	105
5.54	¹ H NMR for untreated PP	106
5.55	¹ H NMR for Metofluthrin treated PP	106
5.56	¹³ C NMR spectra of untreated cellulose	107
5.57	¹³ C NMR spectra of cellulose treated with Metofluthrin	107
5.58	¹³ C NMR spectra of untreated PP	108
5.59	¹³ C NMR spectra of PP treated with Metofluthrin	108
5.60	¹³ C NMR spectra of untreated PET	109
5.61	¹³ C NMR spectra of PET treated with Metofluthrin	109
5.62	¹³ C NMR spectra of untreated Nylon	110
5.63	¹³ C NMR spectra of Nylon treated with Metofluthrin	110
5.64	XRD plot of untreated cellulose	113
5.65	XRD plot of untreated Nylon	113
5.66	XRD plot of untreated PP	114
5.67	XRD plot of untreated PET	114
6.1	Geometry of the system	117
6.2	Graph with analytical solution fitted with data for $v = 0$.	122
6.3	Model used for 3-d Simulation	123
6.4	Gas absorption by a falling film	124
6.5	Validation of software-Graph with model simulation and the analytical data	125
6.6	Effect of Temperature on Release of Metofluthrin	126
6.7	Results for the three velocity values on release of Metofluthrin	126
6.8	Effect of Concentration on Release of Metofluthrin	127
6.9	Linear Fit for kc vs v	127
6.10	Results for velocity = 1 m/s	128
6.11	The Denguri Strip	129
6.12	Computer Model generated in COMSOL.	129
6.13	Amount remained in the device.	130
6.14	Circular and Semi-Circular Strips were taken for simulation	130
6.15	Comparison of amount of Metofluthrin retained in matrix as a function of time between the Three geometries	131
6.16	Comparison between the Three geometries	132
6.17	Comparison between the Three geometries	132
6.18	Comparison of amounts of Metofluthrin retained in matrix as function of time	133

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE No.	Title	Page No.
6.19	Simulation results run with a rectangular strip as the polymer matrix	134
6.20	Simulation results run with 0.65 m × 0.45 m fan	134
6.21	Simulation results run with 0.45 m × 0.15 m fan	135
6.22	Effect of Surface Area	136
6.23	Effect of Substrate	137
6.24	Particle located off the centerline inside a pore	139
7.1	Concentration of Metofluthrin in the room for different initial loading values.	145

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / SYMBOLS

Abbreviation / Symbol	Meaning
Pf	Plasmodium falciparum
DDT	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
WHO	World Health Organization
CDC	US center for disease control
DEET	N,N-Diethyl meta-toluamide
LD ₅₀	Lethal Dose 50
Cx	Culex
EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
RH	Relative Humidity
GC	Gas Chromatography
PP	Polypropylene
PET	Polyethylene Terephthalate
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
DTG	Differential Thermogravimetry
TPD	Temperature Programmed Desorption
GSM	Grams per square meter
D _{eff}	Effective Diffusion Coefficient
E _a	Activation energy of diffusing molecule
R	Universal gas constant = 8.314 J/K/Mol
VOC	Volatile organic compound
HS-GC	Head Space Gas Chromatography
BET	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
MIP	Mercury intrusion porosimetry
TGA	Thermogravimetry analysis
ATR-FTIR	Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform Infrared spectroscopy
¹³ C NMR	¹³ C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
UV-Spectrophotometer	Ultraviolet –visible spectroscopy
GC-ECD	Gas chromatography- electron capture detector
MS	Mass spectroscopy
GC-FID	Gas chromatography with flame ionization detector
TDS	Thermal desorption spectroscopy
MAS	Magic angle spinning
XRD	X-ray diffraction spectroscopy
KT ₅₀	Knock down time 50
% m/m	Percentage mass/ mass
Pa	Pascals
K _c	Mass transfer coefficient
IRS	Indoor residual spray
Bt	Bacillus thuringiensis

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / SYMBOLS

Abbreviation / Symbol	Meaning
OBP	Odorant-binding proteins
CIB	Central Insecticide Board
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
rpm	Rotations per minute
FWHM	Full Width at Half Maximum
cps	Cycles per second
M	Molecular Weight of metofluthrin, 360.34 gm/mol
D_a	Diffusivity of metofluthrin in Air, $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$
D_{eff}	Effective Diffusivity of metofluthrin in the Matrix
Mt	Amount released at time t, mg
C_1	Concentration of metofluthrin inside the matrix
C_2	Concentration of metofluthrin inside the room
E_a	Energy of Activation, J/mol
A	Pre-exponential factor, m^2/s
R	Universal Gas Constant, 8.314 J/K/mol
T	Matrix Temperature, K
v	Velocity of Air, m/s
t	Time, s
x, y, z	Spatial coordinates

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
Cover page	i
Certificate	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Summary	v
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix-xii
List of Abbreviations / Symbols	xiii
Table of contents	xv
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Diffusion	22
Chapter 3 Active ingredient- Metofluthrin	30
Chapter 4 Influence of external factors on release of Metofluthrin	40
Chapter 5 Influence of substrates characteristics	51
Chapter 6 Model Formulation	116
Chapter 7 Conclusions	144
References	148
List of publications	166
Brief Biography of Supervisor & Candidate	168