# **List of Figures**

Chapter 1	Page
Fig. 1.1 Schematic diagram showing the different forms of solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs).	4
<b>Fig. 1.2</b> Different types of composite solid polymer electrolytes (CSPEs) explored by various groups.	7
<b>Fig. 1.3</b> NASICON-type (a) rhombohedral (R3c) structure and (b) monoclinic (C2/c) structure (the yellow tetrahedra and the grey octahedra correspond to SiO <sub>4</sub> /PO <sub>4</sub> and ZrO <sub>6</sub> units, respectively); Na ion conduction pathway in NASICON-type (c) rhombohedral and (d) monoclinic structure.	13
Fig. 1.4 Ion chain coupling relaxation in polymer-salt system.	18
<b>Fig. 1.5</b> Schematic illustration of Na <sup>+</sup> ion transport in composites polymer electrolytes.	20
<b>Fig. 1.6</b> Schematic showing possible Li <sup>+</sup> transport pathways in the CPE.	21
Fig. 1.7 Classification of different supercapacitors (SCs).	22
Fig. 1.8 EDL models, (a) Helmholtz model, (b) Gouy–Chapman model, and (c) Stern model.	23
Chapter 2	
<b>Fig. 2.1</b> Schematic diagram for the synthesis of hybrid polymer-NASICON electrolyte adopted for Li <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> ion-based systems.	47
Fig. 2.2 Schematic diagram of one-pot synthesis route.	48
Fig. 2.3 (a) Schematic diagram of EDLC fabrication and (b) coin cell assembly.	51
Fig. 2.4 (a) Geometrical representation of Bragg's law. (b) Block diagram of XRD setup.	52
Fig. 2.5 (a) Block diagram of DSC set up and (b) DSC scan of a typical CSPE.	53

Fig. 2.6 Block diagram of FESEM instrument set up.	54
Fig. 2.7 (a) Electron excitation process scheme and (b) XAS spectra including both X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure (XANES) and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS).	56
Fig. 2.8 Electron excitation process.	57
Fig. 2.9. Schematic diagram of (a) sample holder, and (b) IS measurement setup.	6]
Fig. 2.10 Typical $\sigma'$ - $\omega$ plot for ionic conducting SPEs. Range of spectrum lies from mHz to MHz.	62
<b>Fig. 2.11</b> (a) A typical graph of the transient ionic current behaviour with polarization time. (b) Schematic Nyquist plot for cell with before and after polarization along with polarization current with time.	65
Fig. 2.12 (a) Block diagram of CV setup. (b) Block diagram of the two probe measurement of electrochemical performance	66
<b>Fig. 2.13</b> (a) A typical LSV curve showing ESW. (b) Typical CV curve for redox reaction.	67
Fig. 2.14 A typical charge-discharge curve for SPEs.	68
Chapter 3	
Fig. 3.1 X-ray diffraction patterns for samples 0-80 LTP. Index as per.	74
Fig. 3.2 (a) DSC thermograms (heat flow is normalized w.r.t. PEO amount in each composition) for the solid polymer composites with various LTP content. Approximate O/Li ratio is also given for each sample. (b) PEO Crystallinity as a function of LTP content. $\Delta H_{0m}$ is the actual melting enthalpy as obtained from the DSC experiment for pristine PEO. Pristine PEO crystallinity is considered 100% and used as a reference to define other crystallinities.	75
Fig. 3.3 Electrical conductivity (1kHz) as a function of LTP content. (For $x \le 0.4$ polymer films; $x > 0.4$ pellets). Inset shows images of (i) 40 LTP film and (ii) 90LTP pellet of diameter 8 mm.	76
Fig. 3.4 Electrical conductivity-temperature cycles obtained at 1kHz for polymer composite samples with various LTP content. (For $x \le 0.4$ polymer	77

of 80L1P sample	
Fig. 3.5 Electrical conductivity versus frequency for samples with (a) 40 LTP,	
(b) 70 LTP, and (c) 90 LTP content. Inset of b and c shows the apparent dc to	70
dispersion in high frequency region. $\sigma_{LTP}$ is calculated from the onset of this	79
dispersion	
Fig. 3.6 Temperature dependency of electrical conductivity for high LTP	
content samples. Polymer-grain boundary conductivity ( $\sigma_{\text{PGB}}$ ) and (b) bulk	80
conductivity ( $\sigma_{LTP}$ ).	
Fig. 3.7 Arrhenius plot for fixed O/Li ratio of 13:1 for 80LTP and its analogue	9.0
80LATP hybrid.	80
Fig. 3.8 XRD patterns for 0 LATP, 20 LATP, 40 LATP, and 70 LATP hybrid	
CPEs. Inset shows DSC thermograms of hybrid CPEs viz. 0 LATP, 20 LATP,	82
40 LATP, and 70 LATP.	
Fig. 3.9 FESEM images of the composites (a) 0 LATP (b) 40 LATP and (c) 70	
LATP. (d) EDS mapping image for 70 LATP sample. (e) Elemental distribution	83
of C, S, P, Ti and F for 70 LATP sample.	
Fig. 3.10 Nyquist plot for Ag 0LATP Ag cell configuration at different	
temperatures. Inset shows (i) the same for 40 LATP at different temperatures	84
and (ii) corresponding equivalent circuits for 40 and 70 LATP	
Fig. 3.11 (a) For 70 LATP, temperature dependence of ionic conductivity of	
components, viz. polymer–grain boundary ( $\sigma_{PGB}$ ) and LATP grains ( $\sigma_{LATP}$ ). (b)	85
Total ionic conductivity versus temperature for 0-70 LATP samples	
Fig. 3.12 Scaling behaviour of electrical conductivity at room temperature for	
CPEs $5LiCF_3SO_3$ - $95[PEO_{1-x}LATP_x]$ where $0 \le x \le 0.7$ . Inset: 70 LATP	86
composites with temperature	
Fig. 3.13 (a) Nyquist plots for Li 70LATP Li cell configuration before and after	
polarization. Inset shows (i) corresponding equivalent circuit and (ii)	
polarization (ionic) current profile with time. (b) Transient ionic current during	87
reversing of potential with respect to time for 0 LATP, 40 LATP, 50 LATP and	07
70 LATP in a cell configuration of Ag/sample/Ag. Inset shows extended graph	
for 70 LATP sample.	

films;  $x \ge 0.4$  pellets). 80 LTP-I and II refer to first and second heating cycles

Fig. 4.1 XRD patterns for Pristine PEO film, composite SPEs (0 NTP, 40 NTP	92
and 70 NTP) and milled NTP powder sample.	92
Fig. 4.2 EDS mapping over FE-SEM image for (a) 40 NTP and (b) 70 NTP	
hybrid composite. Total elemental distribution of Na, I, and Ti elements by	93
EDS for (c) 40 NTP and (b) 70 NTP Composite	
Fig. 4.3 (a) Frequency dependence of conductivity at various temperatures for	
40 NTP hybrid composite. Inset: Nyquist plot at 303 K and photograph of film.	94
(b) Frequency dependence of conductivity at various temperatures for 70 NTP	94
hybrid composite. Inset: Nyquist plot at 303 K and photograph of pellet	
Fig. 4.4 Temperature dependent dc conductivity for hybrid composites of	
composition 10NaI- 90(PEO <sub>1-x</sub> NTP <sub>x</sub> ), $0 \le x \le 0.7$ . Plot with 70 NTP I and 70	
NTP II corresponds to first and second heating cycles for 70 NTP composite.	95
Inset: DSC thermogram for 0, 40 and 70 NTP samples. The dash line is a guide	
to eye to show deviation from Arrhenius behaviour near melting of PEO.	
Fig 4.5 (a) Conductivity scaling behaviour for 70 NTP composite with	
temperature variation. Inset: The same on extended scale. (b) Conductivity	96
scaling behaviour at room temperature with varied composition of NTP: 10NaI-	90
90(PEO <sub>1-x</sub> NTP <sub>x</sub> ), $0 \le x \le 0.7$ . Inset: The same on an extended scale.	
Fig. 4.6 Frequency dependent conductivity at different temperatures for (a) 0	
NTP and (b) 40 NTP hybrid SPEs. The arrow indicates two well separated dc	97
to dispersion regions, more apparently visible at lower temperatures	
<b>Fig. 4.7</b> Temperature dependent dc conductivity for sample with 0 NTP and 40	98
NTP.	90
Fig. 4.8 Frequency dependence of electric modulus (M") for 0 NTP and 40 NTP	99
composites with temperature (for $T > Tg$ ).	
Fig 4.9 Transient ionic current versus time for 50 NTP, 60 NTP and 70 NTP	
with polarization voltage of 0.5 V. Inset: Time of flight ( $\tau$ ) measurement from	100
70 NTP on an extended scale.	
Fig 4.10 Temperature dependence of dc conductivity for 10NaI-90(60PEO40	
M), where M corresponds to dispersoids NTP, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and NZSP	101
(Na <sub>3</sub> Zr <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>12</sub> ) of almost same crystallite size of $\sim 30 \text{ nm}$	

Fig. 4.11 (a) XRD patterns for composites 10NaI-90[PEO1-xNZSPx], where x	
= 0, 0.4, 0.7 along with pristine PEO and NZSP, (b) FESEM images for 40 and	102
$70$ NZSP composite at 1 $\mu m$ and $500$ nm scale	
Fig. 4.12 (a) Temperature dependence (1 kHz) of ionic conductivity for	
composites 10NaI-90[PEO <sub>1-x</sub> NZSP <sub>x</sub> ], where $0 \le x \le 0.7$ and, (b) Nyquist plots	
(normalized with thickness for comparison and area of cross section is kept	103
same for all samples) for 0, 40, and 70 NZSP at different temperatures for cell	103
configuration of SS SPE SS. The solid line in the Nyquist plot shows the best	
fit using the equivalent circuit in the inset.	
Fig. 4.13 (a) Nyquist plots for composites with $x = 0.13$ and 0.03 (inset). Dotted	
lines represent corresponding fitted models. (b) Temperature dependence of	
total conductivity obtained from Nyquist plots for all composites. Inset: $\sigma\text{-}T$	105
cycles (1 kHz) at a heating rate of 1°C min <sup>-1</sup> to observe effect of polymer	
melting on electrical transport.	
Fig. 4.14 XRD patterns for composites with $x = 0.03-0.13$ .	106
Fig. 4.14 XRD patterns for composites with $x = 0.03$ -0.13. Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with $x = 0.03$ and 0.13, respectively.	106 107
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with $x = 0.03$ and 0.13,	
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with $x = 0.03$ and 0.13, respectively.	
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with $x=0.03$ and 0.13, respectively.  Chapter 5	
<ul> <li>Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with x = 0.03 and 0.13, respectively.</li> <li>Chapter 5</li> <li>Fig. 5.1 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for Oxygen (O) K-edge of pristine</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with x = 0.03 and 0.13, respectively.</li> <li>Chapter 5</li> <li>Fig. 5.1 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for Oxygen (O) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energy values for oxygen. (b)</li> </ul>	107
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with x = 0.03 and 0.13, respectively.  Chapter 5  Fig. 5.1 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for Oxygen (O) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energy values for oxygen. (b) Normalized XANES spectra for carbon (C) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The	107
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with x = 0.03 and 0.13, respectively.  Chapter 5  Fig. 5.1 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for Oxygen (O) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energy values for oxygen. (b) Normalized XANES spectra for carbon (C) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energies for carbon. Instrument resolution: 0.1	107
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with x = 0.03 and 0.13, respectively.  Chapter 5  Fig. 5.1 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for Oxygen (O) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energy values for oxygen. (b) Normalized XANES spectra for carbon (C) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energies for carbon. Instrument resolution: 0.1 eV.	107
Fig. 4.15 (a) and (b) FESEM images for composite with x = 0.03 and 0.13, respectively.  Chapter 5  Fig. 5.1 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for Oxygen (O) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energy values for oxygen. (b) Normalized XANES spectra for carbon (C) K-edge of pristine PEO film. The marked arrow represents K-edge energies for carbon. Instrument resolution: 0.1 eV.  Fig. 5.2 (a) Normalized XANES spectra with NTP variation for oxygen. The	107

115

Fig. 5.3 1 s  $\rightarrow$   $\sigma^*$  transition energy for O K-edge XANES spectra (corresponding to peak II in Fig 5.2a) versus NTP content. Inset: 1 s  $\rightarrow$   $\sigma^*$ 

transition energy for carbon K-edge spectra (from peak-IV, V and VI in Fig.

resolution: 0.1 eV.

5.2 b). Instrument resolution: 0.1 eV.

0.1 eV.	
Fig. 5.5 (a) Normalized XANES spectra for O K-edge with varied LATP	
content. Inset shows peak derivative of XANES spectra for PEO, 40 LATP and	118
70 LATP sample. (b) O K-edge energy (E <sub>o</sub> ) obtained from peak derivative of	118
XANES spectra with LATP content. Instrument resolution: 0.1 eV.	
Fig. 5.6 Normalized XANES spectra for Ti L-edge with varied LATP content.	
Inset shows Ti Ledge energy values correspond to t2g and, e.g., transition for	119
L2 and L3 edge.	
Fig. 5.7 Core level (O 1s) XPS spectra with deconvoluted peaks for composite	
(a) $x = 0$ , (b) $x = 0.1$ , (c) $x = 0.3$ , (d) $x = 0.4$ , (e) $x = 0.5$ , (f) $x = 0.6$ , (g) $x = 0.7$	
and (h) Pristine LATP. The complete core level spectrum is represented by	124
dotted red colour line. Further the convoluted spectra is represent by brown	
colour line. Instrument resolution: 0.01 eV.	
Fig. 5.8 Binding energy (O 1s) corresponding to ether oxygen of PEO with	
LATP variation in 5LiCF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> -95[PEO <sub>1-x</sub> LATP <sub>x</sub> ] composites. Dotted lines	
denote deviation from monotonic rise of binding energy. Inset: Binding energy	125
of LATP oxygens (O 1s (3) and O 1s (4)) with its variation in the matrix.	
Instrument resolution: 0.01 eV.	
Fig. 5.9 Core level (O 1s) XPS spectra with deconvoluted peaks for 63NZSP-	
[PEO <sub>1-y</sub> NaI <sub>y</sub> ] composite (a) $y = 0.03$ , (b) $y = 0.07$ , (c) $y = 0.11$ (d) $y = 0.13$ and	
(e) pristine NZSP. Similar to Fig. 5.7, the complete core level spectrum is	127
represented by dotted red colour line and convoluted spectra is represent by	
brown colour line. Instrument resolution: 0.01 eV.	
Fig. 5.10 Binding energy (O 1s) corresponding to ether oxygen of PEO with	
salt concentration variation in $63NZSP-[PEO_{1-x}NaI_x]$ composites. Inset:	128
Binding energy for NZSP oxygens (O 1s (2) and O 1s (3)) with its variation in	120
the matrix. Instrument resolution: 0.01 eV	
Fig. 5.11 Temperature dependent ionic conductivity for samples with	
NASICON and without NASICON content in respective polymer matrix below	129
room temperature (220–300 K) for (a) LATP-PEO-LiCF $_3$ SO $_3$ system and (b)	12)
NZSP-PEO-NaI system.	

Fig. 5.4 Normalized XANES spectra processed using ATHENA software.

Inset: O-K edge energy (E<sub>0</sub>) variation with salt addition. Instrument resolution: 117

Chapter 6	
Fig. 6.1 (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm curve of the activated	
earbon (inset: linear fitting with BET equation), (b) Micropore distribution plot	136
of the activated carbon and, (c) FESEM images for the deposited AC electrode	150
on copper and graphite current collectors.	
Fig. 6.2 CV of EDLC cells (10mV-s <sup>-1</sup> ) with configuration of AC 10NaI-[PEO <sub>1-</sub>	
$[NZSP_x] AC$ where $x=0$ , 0.4 and 0.7 using (a) copper and (b) graphite as	
current collectors. Inset: corresponding Nyquist plots. (c) Nyquist plot for the	138
device with 40 and 70 NZSP with Graphite as a current collector.(d) Nyquist	
plot for the device with 40 NZSP electrolyte for graphite and copper collector	
Fig. 6.3 CV scans at 10 and 50 mV-s <sup>-1</sup> for EDLCs with 40 NZSP composite as	
electrolyte with (a) copper and (b) graphite current collectors; specific charge-	140
discharge capacitance at different current densities for EDLCs with (c) copper	140
and (d) graphite current collectors.	
Fig. 6.4 Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves upto 400 cycles for EDLCs with	
40 NZSP composite as electrolyte with (a) copper (2 mA) and (b) graphite	143
current collector (2 mA).	
Fig. 6.5. Specific charge-discharge capacitance of the EDLCs with 40 NZSP	
composite as electrolyte and current collectors viz. (a) copper and (b) graphite.	143
Inset: Specific discharged capacitance with cycle number.	
Fig. 6.6 (a) XRD patterns of pristine MWCNT, MnO <sub>2</sub> , and MWCNT-MnO <sub>2</sub> .	
(b) Raman spectra of pristine MWCNT and MWCNT-MnO <sub>2</sub> composite. (c)	145
FESEM images of pristine MWCNT and MWCNT-MnO <sub>2</sub> composite.	
Fig. 6.7 Nyquist plot for cell with configuration of MWCNT:MnO <sub>2</sub> (1:1)	
10NaI-90[PEO <sub>0.6</sub> NZSP <sub>0.4</sub> ]   MWCNT:MnO <sub>2</sub> (1:1). Inset shows for the cell with	146
configuration of MnO <sub>2</sub>   10NaI- 90[PEO <sub>0.6</sub> NZSP <sub>0.4</sub> ]  MnO <sub>2</sub> .	
Fig. 6.8 (a) CV scan at 1 mV-s <sup>-1</sup> , (b) CV scan at different sweep rate and (c)	
galvanostatic charge discharge curve for cell with configuration of	147
MWCNT:MnO <sub>2</sub> (1:1)   10NaI-90[PEO <sub>0.6</sub> NZSP <sub>0.4</sub> ]   MWCNT:MnO <sub>2</sub> (1:1).	147

Fig. 5.12 Generalized ion transport mechanism in polymer-NASICON hybrid

composites

130

Fig. 7.1 Temperature dependence of dc conductivity for 10NaI-90(60PEO40	
M), where M corresponds to dispersoids NTP, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , and NZSP	155
$(Na_3Zr_2Si_2PO_{12})$ of almost same crystallite size of $\sim 30$ nm	
Fig. 7.2 Effect of grain conductivity in CSPEs at 40°C for same NASICON	156
content (36 wt %).	
Fig. 7.3 Highest conductivity achieved for CSPEs compared with polymer salt	157
complex at 40°C.	137
Fig. 7.4 Ionic conductivity of the CSPEs in pellet form at 40°C with days	158

# **List of Tables**

Table 1.1 Different types of polymer hosts along with their physicochemical properties	3
Table 1.2 Various polymer-Salt complexes as reported	5
<b>Table 1.3</b> Electrical properties of some important Na <sup>+</sup> ion conducting polymeralkali salts complexes electrolytes. ESW, OCV, and SSC stand for electrochemical stability window, open circuit voltage, and short circuit current, respectively.	6
<b>Table 1.4</b> Li <sup>+</sup> ion conducting composite polymer electrolytes containing inactive fillers	9
<b>Table 1.5</b> Na <sup>+</sup> ion conducting composite polymer electrolyte with inactive fillers.	10
Table 1.6 Li <sup>+</sup> /Na <sup>+</sup> ion conducting fast ionic conductors	12
<b>Table 1.7</b> Composite polymer electrolyte with Li <sup>+</sup> ion conducting active fillers.	15
<b>Table 1.8</b> Some of the recent Na <sup>+</sup> ion-conducting composite solid polymer electrolytes with active fillers.	17
Table 1.9 BET specific surface areas (S <sub>bet</sub> ) for different carbon precursors.	24
Chapter 2	
Table 2.1 The interrelationship of the three formalisms of impedance spectroscopy  Table 2.2 Various possible impedance models that can be applied to various samples as per their electrical properties	63
Chapter 3	
<b>Table 3.1</b> Calculated O/Li ratio, Li <sup>+</sup> ion transport number and ionic mobility for 0-70 LATP composites	88

Table 4.1 Ionic mobility using TIC technique for various compositions	100
Chapter 5	
Table 5.1 Peak positions assigned to various excitations in XANES spectra	114
<b>Table 5.2</b> Peak position and their relative peak area ratio correspond to deconvoluted O 1s spectra for composites $5\text{LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{-95}[\text{PEO}_{1\text{-x}}\text{LATP}_x]$ and pristine LATP. Here * refers peak that are difficult to identify due to low content and overlapping. The residual standard deviation for all the fitting was found to be $\sim 1$ eV.	122
<b>Table 5.3</b> . Peak position and their relative peak area ratio correspond to deconvoluted O 1s spectra for composites 63NZSP-[PEO <sub>1-y</sub> NaI <sub>y</sub> ] and pristine NZSP. The residual standard deviation for all the fitting was found to be~1eV. <b>Chapter 6</b>	123
Table 6.1 Calculated values of the Equivalent series resistance (ESR,), specific	
capacitance (Cs), specific energy (Es), and specific power (Ps) (From Fig. 3c and d) at different discharge current densities. The error bars are noted as $\pm$ 5 F-g <sup>-1</sup> , $\pm$ 5 Wh Kg <sup>-1</sup> , $\pm$ 5 kW Kg <sup>-1</sup> , respectively for Cs, Es, and Ps.	142
<b>Table 6.2</b> . Calculated values of the Equivalent series resistance (ESR,), specific capacitance (Cs), specific energy (Es), and specific power (Ps) (From Fig. 8) at different discharge current densities. The error bars are noted as $\pm$ 2 F-g <sup>-1</sup> , $\pm$ 2 Wh Kg <sup>-1</sup> $\pm$ 2 kW Kg <sup>-1</sup> , respectively for Cs, Es, and Ps.	148
<b>Table 6.3</b> . Calculated values of the specific capacitance (Cs), specific energy (Es) and specific power (Ps) for cell with 40 NZSP as electrolyte at $1A$ - $g$ - $^1$ discharge current. The error bars are noted as $\pm$ 5 F- $g$ - $^1$ , $\pm$ 5 WhK $g$ - $^1$ , $\pm$ 5 kW K $g$ - $^1$ , respectively for Cs, Es, and Ps	148

#### **Abbreviations**

LIB: Li-ion battery SPE: Solid Polymer Electrolyte

LICs : Lithium-ion capacitors

PEO : Polyethylene Oxide

EVs : Electric vehicles

EC : Ethylene Carbonate

SEs : Solid electrolytes

PC : Propylene Carbonate

FICs : Fast ionic solids

PEG : Polyethylene Glycol

NASICONs : Sodium Superionic SHE : Standard Hydrogen Electrode

Conductors

**GBI**: Grain Boundary Impedance **ESW**: Electrochemical Stability Window

ASSB: All-Solid-State Batteries OCV: Open Circuit Voltage

**SSC**: Short Circuit Current **PMMA**: Poly(methyl methacrylate)

**CSPEs**: Composite Solid Polymer **EC**: Ethylene Carbonate

Electrolytes

T<sub>g</sub>: Transition Temperature PVA: Poly(Vinyl Alcohol)

**CPE**: Composites Polymer Electrolytes **PVdF**: Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride)

VTF: Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher PVACC: Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) Ceramic

Composite

SC: Supercapacitor CP: Composite Polymer

**EDLC**: Electrochemical Double-Layer XAS: Soft X-ray Absorption

Capacitors Spectroscopy

CNT: Carbon Nanotubes XANES: X-ray Absorption Near-Edge

Spectroscopy

PC : Propylene Carbonate XPS : X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy
DEC : Diethyl Carbonate ASSCs : All-Solid-State Supercapacitors

**DME**: Dimethyl Carbonate **ACs**: Activated carbons

**RPM**: Round Per Minute **FESEM**: Field emission scanning

electron microscopy

MWCNT: Multiwalled Carbon EDX or EDS: Energy Dispersive X-ray

Nanotubes Spectroscopy

NMP: 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone SXAS: Soft X-ray absorption

spectroscopy

**XRD**: X-ray diffraction **XANES**: X-ray absorption near edge

structure

**DSC**: Differential Scanning Calorimetry **EXAFS**: extended X-ray absorption fine

structure

T<sub>g</sub>: glass transition XPS: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

 $T_m$ : melting event **BE**: binding energy

**KE**: kinetic energy **FIC**: Fast Ionic Conductor

**BET**: (Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller) **PVDF-HFP**: poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-

technique hexafluoropropene)

STP : Standard Temperature and Pressure PAN : Polyacronitrile

IS: Impedance spectroscopy

GPE: Gel Polymer Electrolyte

JPL: Jonscher power law EDS: Elemental Distribution

Spectroscopy

 $\omega_p$ : Hopping Rate NTP: NaTi<sub>2</sub>(PO4)<sub>3</sub>

**CPE**: Constant Phase Element **TEABF**4: Tetraethylammonium

Tetrafluoroborate

 $\sigma_{dc}$ : DC Conductivity LiAsF<sub>6</sub>

LSV : Linear Sweep Voltammetry NZSP : Na<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>PO

CV: Cyclic Voltammetry XPS

GCD: Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge LLZO: Li<sub>7</sub>La<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>O

**DSC**: Differential scanning calorimetry LLTO: Li<sub>0.33</sub>La<sub>0.557</sub>TiO<sub>3</sub>

 $σ_{PGB}$ : Grain Boundary Conductivity LATP:  $Li_{1+x}AlxTi_{2-x}(PO_4)_3$ 

PID-controlled furnace LTP : LiTi<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

PMA: Polymethyl Acrylate LATP: Li<sub>1.3</sub>Ti<sub>0.7</sub>Al<sub>0.3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

:Linear Sweep Voltammetry NTP : NaTi<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

EIS: Electrochemical Impedance NZSP: Na<sub>3</sub>Zr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>12</sub>

Spectroscopy