

List of References

- AAAS (American Association for the Advancement of Science). (2015). *Social Responsibility: A Preliminary Inquiry into the Perspectives of Scientists, Engineers and Health Professionals*. American Association for the Advancement of Science. https://www.aaas.org/sites/default/files/s3fs-public/AAAS%2520Social%2520Responsibility%2520Questionnaire%2520Report_A%2520Preliminary%2520Inquiry.pdf.
- Abroms, L.C., & Maibach, E.W. (2008). The Effectiveness of Mass Communication to Change Public Behavior. *Annual Review of Public Health, 29*, 219-234.
- Agnella, S., De Bortoli, A., Scamuzzi, S., L'Astorina, A., Cerbara, L., Valente, A., & Avveduto, S. (2012). How and why the scientists communicate with society: The case of physics in Italy, In M. Bucchi & B. Trench (Eds.). *Quality, honesty and beauty in science and technology communication: PCST 2012 Book of Papers*. Florence, Italy (Pp. 391-395). PCST Network.
- Agre, P., & Leshner, A.I. (2010). Bridging science and society. *Science, 327*, 921.
- Ahteensuu, M. (2012). Assumptions of the Deficit Model Type of Thinking: Ignorance, Attitudes, and Science Communication in the Debate on Genetic Engineering in Agriculture. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, 25*, 295-313.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organisational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*, 179-211.
- Allgaier, J., Dunwoody, S., Brossard, D., Lo, Y.-Y., & Peters, H.P. (2013). Medialized science? Neuroscientists' reflections on their role as journalistic sources. *Journalism Practice, 7*(4), 413-429.

- Andrews, E., Weaver, A., Hanley, D., Shamatha, J., & Melton, G. (2005). Scientists and Public Outreach: Participation, Motivations, and Impediments. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 53(3), 281-293.
- Arseculeratne, S.N. (2014). The Scientific Attitude (The Scientific Temper) in Eastern and Western societies. *Anuradhapura Medical Journal*, 8(1), 22-29.
- Arulchelvan, S. (2010). Science and technology dissemination through Tamil newspapers: A study, *Indian Journal of Science Communication*, 9(2), 3-9.
- Balaram, P. (2002). Science, technology and public perception. *Current Science*, 82, 5-6.
- Bauer, M.W., & Jensen P. (2011). The mobilization of scientists for public engagement. *Public Understanding of Science*, 20(1), 3-11.
- Bauer, M.W., Allum, N., & Miller, S. (2007). What can we learn from 25 years of PUS survey research? Liberating and expanding the agenda. *Public Understanding of Science*, 16(1), 79-95.
- Bell, A. (1994). Media (mis)communication on the science of climate change. *Public Understanding of Science*, 3(3), 259-275.
- Besley, J.C. (2015). What do scientists think about the public and does it matter to their online engagement? *Science and Public Policy*, 42, 201-214.
- Besley, J.C., & Nisbet, M. (2013). How scientists view the public, the media and the political process. *Public understanding of science*, 22(6), 644-659.
- Besley, J.C., & Tanner, A.H. (2011). What science communication scholars think about training scientists to communicate. *Science Communication*, 33(2), 239-263.
- Besley, J.C., Dudo, A., Yuan, S., & Lawrence, F. (2018). Understanding Scientists' Willingness to Engage. *Science Communication*, 40(5), 559-590.
- Besley, J.C., Oh, S.H., & Nisbet, M. (2012). Predicting scientists' participation in public life. *Public Understanding of Science*, 22(8), 971-987.

- Boëte, C., Beisel, U., Reis Castro, L., Césard, N., & Reeves, R.G. (2015). Engaging scientists: An online survey exploring the experience of innovative biotechnological approaches to controlling vector-borne diseases. *Parasites & Vectors*, 8:414, 1-13.
- Boltanski, L., & Maldidier, P. (1970). Carrière scientifique, morale scientifique et vulgarisation. *Information sur les science sociales*, IX(3), 99-118.
- Bond, R., & Paterson, L. (2005). Coming down from the Ivory Tower? Academics' Civic and Economic Engagement with the Community. *Oxford Review of Education*, 31(3), 331-351.
- Borchelt, R., & Hudson, C. (2008). *Engaging the scientific community with the public: Communication as a dialogue, not a lecture*. Scienceprogress.org. https://www.scienceprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/06/print_edition/engaging_scientific_community.pdf. Accessed on 13 July 2021.
- Bowater, L. & Yeoman, K. (2013). *Science Communication: A practical Guide for Scientists*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Bradburn, N., Sudman, S., & Wansink, B. (2004). *Asking questions: The definitive guide to questionnaire design, Revised Edition*. Jossey Bass.
- Brake, M.L., & Weitkamp, E. (2010). *Introducing Science Communication: A Practical Guide*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Briggs, J. (2005). The use of indigenous knowledge in development: problems and challenges. *Progress in Development Studies*, 5(2), 99-114.
- Brossard, D., & Lewenstein, B.V. (2010). A Critical Appraisal of Models of Public Understanding of Science: Using Practice to Inform Theory. In Kahlor L. & Stout P. (Eds.), *Communicating Science: New Agendas in Communication* (pp. 11-39). Routledge.

- Brossard, D., & Scheufele, D.A. (2013). Science, new media, and the public. *Science*, 339, 40-41.
- Bryman, A. (2012). *Social Research Methods, 4th Edition*. Oxford University Press.
- Bubela, T., et al. (2009). Science communication reconsidered. *Nature Biotechnology*, 27(6), 514-518.
- Bucchi, M. (2008). Of deficits, deviations and dialogues: Theories of public communication of science. In M. Bucchi & B. Trench (Eds.), *Handbook of Public Communication of Science and Technology* (pp. 57-76). Routledge.
- Bucchi, M., & Trench, B. (2016). Science Communication and Science in Society: A Conceptual Review in Ten Keywords. *TECNOSCIENZA – Italian Journal of Science & Technology Studies*, 7(2), 151-168.
- Bullock, O.M., Amill, D.C., Shulman, H.C., & Dixon, G.N. (2019). Jargon as a barrier to effective science communication: Evidence from metacognition. *Public Understanding of Science*, 28(7), 845-853.
- Burchell, K., Franklin, S., & Holden, K. (2009). *Public culture as professional science: Final report of the ScoPE project (Science on public engagement: From communication to deliberation?)*. London School of Economics and Political Science.
- Burns, T.W., O'Connor, D.J., & Stocklmayer S.M. (2003). Science Communication: A contemporary definition. *Public Understanding of Science*, 12(2), 183-202.
- Casini, S., & Neresini, F. (2012). Behind closed doors scientists' and science communicators' discourses on science in society. A study across European research institutions. *TECNOSCIENZA: Italian Journal of Science & Technology Studies*, 3(2), 37-62.
- Chikaire, J., et al. (2012). Indigenous Knowledge System: The Need for Reform and the Way Forward. *Global Advanced Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, 1(8), 201-209.

- Claassen, G.N. (2011). Science and the media in South Africa: Reflecting a “dirty mirror”. *Communicatio*, 37(3), 351-366.
- Committee for Public Information. (2018). *Bold communication, responsible influence. Science communication recommendations*. Committee for Public Information, Finland. https://www.tjnk.fi/sites/tjnk.fi/files/recom_scicommunication_2018.pdf.
- Conradie, E.S. (2004). The role of key role players in science communication at South African higher educational institutions: An exploratory study. *PhD Thesis*, University of Pretoria.
- Conradie, E.S. (2004). *The role of key role players in science communication at South African higher educational institutions: An exploratory study*. PhD Thesis. University of Pretoria.
- Daguang, L. (2008). *China's top-down science communication fails its people*. SciDev.Net. <https://www.scidev.net/global/opinions/china-s-top-down-science-communication-fails-its-p/>. Accessed 20 Feb 2021.
- Dang, L., & Russo, P. (2015). How Astronomers View Education and Public Outreach: An Exploratory Study. *CAPjournal*, 18, 16-21.
- Davies, S.R. (2008). Constructing communication: Talking to scientists about talking to the public. *Science Communication*, 29(4), 413-434.
- Davis, L.S. (2010). Science Communication: A down under Perspective. *Japanese Journal of Science Communication*, 7, 65-71.
- Dhanashree, Garg, H., Chauhan, A., Bhatia, M., Sethi, G., & Chauhan, G. (2021). Role of mass media and it's impact on general public during coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic in North India: An online assessment. *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 73(1), 21-5.

- Dhar, P.L. (2009). *Developing Scientific Temper*. Pldhar.files.wordpress.com. <https://pldhar.files.wordpress.com/2009/09/scientific-temper.pdf>. Accessed on 13 July 2021.
- DiBella, S.M., Ferri, A.J., & Padderud, A.B. (1991). Scientists' Reasons for Consenting to Mass Media Interviews: A National Survey. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 68(4):740-749.
- Dickson, D. (2004). Science and Technology Communication for Development. *PLoS Biology*, 2(1), 0028-0029.
- Dickson, D. (2005, June 24). *The case of a 'deficit model' of science communication*. SciDevNet. <https://www.scidev.net/global/editorials/the-case-for-a-deficit-model-of-science-communic/> accessed 13 July 2021.
- Dillman, D.A., Smyth, J.D., & Christian, L.M. (2014). *Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Method, 4th Edition*. Wiley.
- Dornan, C. (1990). Some problems in conceptualising the issue of 'science in the media'. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 7(1), 48-71.
- DST (Department of Science & Technology). (2017). *PM Narendra Modi's Address at the Inauguration of the 104th Session of the Indian Science Congress, Tirupati, 2017*. Department of Science & Technology, Govt. of India. <https://dst.gov.in/sites/default/files/104%20ISC-PM%27s-Speech.pdf>
- DST (Department of Science & Technology). (2019). *Scientific Social Responsibility Policy 2019 (draft)*. Department of Science & Technology, Govt. of India. https://dst.gov.in/sites/default/files/Final%20SSR%20Policy%20Draft_2019.09.09_0.pdf

∴

- DST (Department of Science & Technology). (2020). *Science, Technology, and Innovation Policy 2020 (draft)*. Department of Science & Technology, Govt. of India. https://dst.gov.in/sites/default/files/STIP_Doc_1.4_Dec2020.pdf.
- DST-NSTMIS. (2018). *Directory of R&D Institutions 2018, 11th Edition*. National Science and Technology Management Information System (NSTMIS Division), Department of Science & Technology, Government of India. <https://dst.gov.in/sites/default/files/Directory%20of%20R%20%26%20D.pdf>
- Dudo, A. (2013). Toward a model of scientists' public communication activity: the case of biomedical researchers. *Science Communication*, 35(2), 476-501.
- Dudo, A., & Besley, J.C. (2016). Scientists' prioritization of communication objectives for public engagement. *PLoS ONE*, 11, e0148867.
- Dudo, A., Besley, J.C., Kahlor, L.A., Koh, H., Cople, J., & Yaun, S. (2018). Microbiologists' public engagement views and behaviors. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 19(1), 1-8.
- Dudo, A., Kahlor, L., AbiGhannam, N., Lazard, A., & Liang, M.C. (2014). An analysis of nanoscientists as public communicators. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 9(10), 841-844.
- Dunwoody, S., Brossard, D., & Dudo, A. (2009). Socialization or rewards? Predicting US scientist-media interactions. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 86(2), 299-314.
- Dutt, B., & Garg, K.C. (2000). An overview of science and technology coverage in Indian English-language dailies. *Public Understanding of Science*, 9, 123-140.
- Ecklund, E.H., James, S.A., & Lincoln, A.E. (2012). How academic biologists and physicists view science outreach. *PLOS One*, 7(5), e36240.

- Edge, P., Martin, F., Rudgard, S., & Thomas, N.M. (2011). Researcher attitudes and behaviour: Towards the “openness” of research outputs in agriculture and related fields. *Agricultural Information Worldwide*, 4(2), 2-18.
- Edmondson, D.R. (2005). Likert scales: A history. *CHARM*, 12, 127-133. https://orion2020.org/archivo/investigacion/Likert_History.pdf.
- Entradas, M., et al. (2020). Public communication by research institutes compared across countries and sciences: Building capacity for engagement or competing for visibility? *PLoS ONE*, 15(7), e0235191, 1-17.
- Escutia, C.L. (2012). *European scientists' public communication attitudes: a cross-national quantitative and qualitative empirical study of scientists' views and experiences and the institutional, local and national influences determining their public engagement activities*. PhD Thesis, University of the Basque Country.
- Farahi, A., Gupta, R.R., Kraweic, C., Plazas, A.A., & Wolf, R.C. (2019). Astronomers' and physicists' attitudes toward education & public outreach: a programmatic study of the dark energy survey. *Journal of STEM Outreach*, 2(1), 1-16, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15695/jstem/v2i1.09>.
- Fitzpatrick, A., et al. (2020). *Public attitudes to science 2019 Main report*. Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS), UK. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/905466/public-attitudes-to-science-2019.pdf
- Fox, C.R., & Irwin, J.R. (1998). The role of context in the communication of uncertain beliefs. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 20(1), 57-70.
- Fujun, R., & Xiaojun, X. (2012). Characteristics of Chinese public demands on science communication. In *Proceedings of PICMET '12: Technology Management for Emerging Technologies* (pp. 72-79). IEEE.

- Gascoigne, T., & Metcalfe, J. (1997). Incentives and impediments to scientists communicating through the media. *Science Communication*, 18(3), 265-282.
- Gascoigne, T., et al. (2010). Is science communication its own field? *Journal of Science Communication*, 9(3), C04.
- Gellert, G.A., Higgins, K.V., Lowery, R.M., & Maxwell, R.M. (1994). A National Survey of Public Health Officers' Interactions with the Media. *JAMA*, 271(16), 1285-1289.
- Gething, L. (2003). 'Them and us': Scientists and the media — attitudes and experiences. *South African Medical Journal*, 93(3), 197-201.
- Gibbons, M., et al. (1994). *The new production of knowledge: The dynamics of science and research in contemporary societies*. Sage.
- Government of India, Ministry of Science and Technology, Department of Science and Technology. (2018). *DST nurtures, supports & encourages young scientists to take up challenging R&D & innovation activities*. <http://dst.gov.in/republic-day-2018>.
- Government of Thailand. (2012). *The National Nanotechnology Policy Framework (2012-2021)*. Nanotec.or.th. <https://www.nanotec.or.th/en/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/The-National-Nanotechnology-Policy-framework-exe-sum.pdf>
- Grand, A., Davies, G., Holliman, R., & Adams, A. (2015). Mapping Public Engagement with Research in a UK University. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4): e0121874.
- Gregory, J. (2003). Understanding 'science and the public'. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, 10(2), 131-139.
- Gregory, J., & Miller, S. (1998). *Science in public: Communication, culture and credibility*. Plenum Press.
- Grillo, S.V.C., et al. (2016). Discourse perspectives of science divulgation/popularization. *Bakhtiniana*, 11(2), 4-15.
- Groves, R.M., et al. (2009). *Survey Methodology, 2nd Edition*. Wiley.

- Guerrero, M.F.C.R.N. (2016). Constructing knowledge societies: Public communication of science (PCS) as a cultural practice of the scientific community in Mexico. *The Online Journal of Communication and Media*, 2(3), 11-25.
- Hamlyn, B., Shanahan, M., Lewis, H., O'Donoghue, E., Hanson, T., & Burchell, K. (2015). Factors Affecting Public Engagement by UK Researchers: A study on Behalf of a Consortium of UK Public Research Funders. Wellcome.ac.uk. https://wellcome.ac.uk/sites/default/files/wtp060033_0.pdf
- Hartz, J., & Chappell, R. (1997). *Worlds apart: How the distance between science and journalism threatens America's future*. First Amendment Center.
- HCSTC (House of Commons Science and Technology Committee). (2017). *Science communication and engagement, Eleventh Report of Session 2016-17*. House of Commons, UK.
- Ho, S.S., Looi, J., & Goh, T.J. (2020). Scientists as public communicators: individual- and institutional-level motivations and barriers for public communication in Singapore. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 30(1), 155-178.
- Hoffman, A.J. (2016). Reflections: Academia's emerging crisis of relevance and the consequent role of the engaged scholar. *Journal of Change Management*, 16(2), 77-96.
- Holland, B. (1999). Factors and strategies that influence faculty involvement in public service. *Journal of Public Service and Outreach*, 4(1), 37-43.
- Holliman, R. and Jensen, E. (2009). '(In)authentic science and (im)partial publics: (re)constructing the science outreach and public engagement agenda'. In Holliman, R., Whitelegg, E., Scanlon, E., Smidt, S. and Thomas, J. (Eds.) *Investigating science communication in the information age: Implications for public engagement and popular media* (pp. 35-52). Oxford University Press.

- House of Lords. (2000). *Science and society*. House of Lords, London, UK.
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld199900/ldselect/ldsctech/38/3801.htm>. Accessed on 13 July 2021.
- Hulme, M., & Ravetz, J. (2009). 'Show Your Working': What 'ClimateGate' means. *BBC News*. Available at: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8388485.stm>. Accessed on 13 July 2021.
- IASG (United Nations Inter-Agency Support Group). (2014). *Thematic Paper on the Knowledge of Indigenous Peoples and Policies for Sustainable Development: updates and trends in the Second Decade of the World's Indigenous People*. UN.org.
https://www.un.org/en/ga/69/meetings/indigenous/pdf/IASG%20Thematic%20Paper_%20Traditional%20Knowledge%20-%20rev1.pdf
- IDRC. (1991). *Empowerment through knowledge: the strategy of the International Development Research Centre*. International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Icrisat.ac.in. <http://eprints.icrisat.ac.in/12679/1/RP-%208042.pdf>.
- Irwin, A. (1995). *Citizen Science: A Study of People, Expertise and Sustainable Development*. Routledge.
- Irwin, A. (2009). Moving forward or in circles? Science communication and scientific governance in an age of innovation. In R. Holliman, E. Whitelegg, E. Scanlon, S. Smidt & J. Thomas (eds.). *Investigating science communication in the information age: Implications for public engagement and popular media* (Pp. 3-17) Oxford University Press.
- Jensen, P. (2011). A statistical picture of popularization activities and their evolutions in France. *Public Understanding of Science*, 20(1), 26-36.
- Jensen, P., Rouquier, J.B., Kreimers, P., & Croissant, Y. (2008). Scientists connected with society are more active academically. *Science and Public Policy*, 35(7), 527-541.

- Jia, H., & Liu, L. (2014). Unbalanced progress: The hard road from science popularisation to public engagement with science in China. *Public Understanding of Science*, 23(1), 32-33.
- Joubert, C.M.(M.). (2018). *Factors influencing the public communication behaviour of publicly visible scientists in South Africa*. PhD Thesis. Stellenbosch University, South Africa.
- Kim, C., & Fortner, R.W. (2008). Great lakes scientists' perspectives on K-12 education collaboration. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 34(1), 98-108.
- Kothari, C.R., & Garg, G. (2014). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*, 3rd Edition. New Age International Publishers.
- Kreimer, P., Levin, L., & Jensen, P. (2011). Popularization by Argentine researchers: the activities and motivations of CONICET scientists. *Public understanding of science*, 20(1), 37-47.
- Kumar, M. (2013). Comparison of science coverage in Hindi and English newspapers of India: A content analysis approach. *Global Media Journal – Indian Edition*, 4, 1-13; https://www.caluniv.ac.in/global-mdia-journal/ARTICLES_JUNE_2013/ARTICLE%202-%20Meenu%20Kumar.pdf.
- Larsen, P.K., Thostrup, P., Besenbacher, F. (2011). Scientific social responsibility: a call to arms. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 50, 10738-10740.
- Likert, R. (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of Psychology* 22(140), 5-55.
- Lisa Katic, R.D. (2015). *Training the next generation of science communicators, Part 1*. Foodinsight.org. <https://foodinsight.org/training-the-next-generation-of-science-communicators-part-i/>. accessed on 11 July 2021.

- Llorente, C., Revuelta, G., Carrio, M., & Porta, M. (2019). Scientists' opinions and attitudes towards citizens' understanding of science and their role in public engagement activities. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(11), e0224262.
- Lo, Y.-Y. (2015). *Online communication beyond the scientific community: Scientists' use of new media in Germany, Taiwan and the United States to address the public*. PhD Thesis. Freie Universität, Berlin.
- Logan, R. (2001). Science mass communication: Its conceptual history. *Science communication*, *23*, 135-163.
- Loroño-Leturiondo, M., & Davies, S.R. (2018). Responsibility and science communication: scientists' experiences of and perspectives on public communication activities. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, *5*(2), 170-185.
- Lundy, L., Ruth, A., Telg, R., & Irani, T. (2006). It Takes Two: Public Understanding of Agricultural Science and Agricultural Scientists' Understanding of the Public. *Journal of Applied Communications*, *90*(1), 55-68.
- Lunsford, C.G., Church, R.L., & Zimmerman, D.L. 2006. Assessing Michigan State University's efforts to embed engagement across the institution: Findings and challenges. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, *11*(1), 89-104.
- Manzini, S. (2003). Effective communication of science in a culturally diverse society. *Science Communication*, *25*, 191-197.
- Martin, V.Y. (2016). *Science engagement from the audiences' perspective: can participatory marine science communication increase public engagement in science?* PhD thesis. Southern Cross University, Lismore, NSW.
- Martin-Sempere, M.J., Garzon-Garcia, B., & Rey-Rocha, J. (2008). Scientists' motivation to communicate science and technology to the public: Surveying participants at the Madrid Science Fair. *Public understanding of science*, *17*(3), 349-367.

- Massarani, L., & Moreira, I.C. (2004). Popularisation of science: Historical perspectives and permanent dilemmas. *Quark*, 32, 75-79.
- Mathews, D.J.H., Kalfoglou, A., & Hudson, K. (2005). Geneticists' Views on Science Policy Formation and Public Outreach. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 137A, 161-169.
- McCann, B.M., Cramer, C.B., & Taylor, L.G. (2015). Assessing the Impact of Education and Outreach Activities on Research Scientists. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 19(1), 65-78.
- McCluskey, J.J., Kalaitzandonakes, N., & Swinnen, J. (2016). Media Coverage, Public Perceptions, and Consumer Behavior: Insights from New Food Technologies. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 8, 467-486.
- Merino, N.S., & Navarro, D.H.T. (2019). Attitudes and perceptions of Conacyt researchers towards public communicating of science and technology. *Public understanding of science*, 28(1), 85-100.
- Miller, J.D. (2004). Public understanding of, and attitudes toward, scientific research: What we know and what we need to know. *Public Understanding of Science*, 13(3), 273-294.
- Miller, S. (2001). Public understanding of science at the crossroads. *Public Understanding of Science*, 10(1), 115-120.
- Miller, S. (2008). So where's the theory? On the relationship between science communication practice and research. In D. Cheng, M. Claessens, T. Gascoigne, J. Metcalfe, B. Schiele & S. Shi (Eds.), *Communicating science in social contexts: New models, new practices* (Pp. 275-287). Springer.
- Mulder, H.A.J. Longnecker, N., & Davis, L. (2008). The state of science communication programs at universities around the world. *Science Communication*, 30(2), 277-287.

- NASA. (2010). *Enactment of Title 51—National and Commercial Space Programs*. Nasa.gov. https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/public_law_111-314-title_51_national_and_commercial_space_programs_dec._18_2010.pdf
- NASEM (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine). (2017). *Communicating Science Effectively: A Research Agenda*. National Academies Press (US).
- National Science Technology and Innovation Policy Office. (2004). *National Science and Technology Strategy Plan (2004-2013)*. Thailand. Sti.or.th. www.sti.or.th/policy.php?content_type=9&data=2 1/ (Accessed on 16 April 2019).
- Nautiyal, C.M. (2008). A look at S&T awareness – enhancements in India. *Journal of Science Communication*, 7(2), 1-10.
- Nautiyal, C.M. (2010). Role of scientists in science communication. *Indian Journal of Science Communication*, 9(2), 10-17.
- Navarro, K., & McKinnon, M. (2020). Challenges of communicating science: perspectives from the Philippines. *Journal of Science Communication*, 19(01), A03, 1-21.
- Nehru, J.L. (1946). *The Discovery of India*. John Day Company.
- Neresini, F., & Bucchi, M. (2011). Which indicators for the new public engagement activities? An exploratory study of European research institutions. *Public Understanding of Science*, 20(1), 64-79.
- Nielsen, K.H., Kjaer, C.R., & Dahlgaard, J. (2007). Scientists and science communication: A Danish survey. *Journal of Science Communication*, 6(1), A01, 1-12.
- Nisbet, M.C. & Scheufele, D.A. (2009). What's next for science communication? Promising directions and lingering distractions. *American Journal of Botany*, 96(10), 1767-1778.

- NSF (National Science Foundation) (2020). *Proposal and award policies and procedures guide*. National Science Foundation, USA. https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappg20_1/nsf20_1.pdf. Accessed 20 Feb 2021.
- Olson, C., & Kutner, L. (2008) Using electronic media to educate the public about science: coping with the evolving media landscape. *Media Psychology Review*, 1(1). <https://mprcenter.org/review/olson-electronic-media-ed/>
- Olson, R. (2009). *Don't be such a scientist*. Island Press.
- Patairiya, M. (2003). *Science communication in India: perspectives and challenges*. SciDev.Net. <https://www.scidev.net/global/opinions/science-communication-in-india-perspectives-and-c/>. Accessed 20 Feb 2021.
- Patairiya, M.K. (2002). Emerging Scenario of Science and Technology Communication. *Indian Journal of Science Communication*, 1(1), January – June 2002.
- Patairiya, M.K. (2016). Science communication in India: An assessment. *International Journal of Deliberative Mechanisms in Science*, 4(1), 22-64. doi:10.17583/demesci.2016.2182.
- Pearson, G., Pringle, S.M., & Thomas, J.N. (1997). Scientists and the public understanding of science. *Public Understanding of Science*, 6(3), 279-289.
- Peters, H.P. (2013). Gap between science and media revisited: Scientists as public communicators, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 110(suppl. 3), 14102-14109.
- Peters, H.P., Brossard, D., De Cheveigne, S., Dunwoody, S., Kallfass, M., Miller, S., & Tsuchida, S. (2008). Interactions with the mass media. *Science*, 321(5886), 204-205.
- Petersen, A., Anderson, A., Allan, S. & Wilkinson, C. (2009). Opening the black box: scientists' views on the role of the news media in the nanotechnology debate. *Public Understanding of Science*, 18(5), 512-530.

- Pew Research Center. (2015). *Public and Scientists' Views on Science and Society*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/science/2015/01/29/public-and-scientists-views-on-science-and-society/>.
- PIB (Press Information Bureau). (2017a). *Statement of Minister of Science & Technology Regarding Upcoming Programmes of Ministry of Science & Technology*. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <http://pib.nic.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?relid=169646>.
- PIB (Press Information Bureau). (2017b). “JIGYASA” - *Student-Scientist connect programme launched ... [and other things]*. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <http://pib.nic.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?relid=167194>.
- Pitipornatapin, S. (2013). Thai pre-service science teachers' practice of science communication in communities. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 14, 1-26.
- Pitrelli, N. (2010). Road maps for the 21st century research in science communication. *Journal of Science Communication*, 9 (3), C01.
- Pitrelli, N., Brunelli, G. & Murelli, V. (2006). Scientists' view about communication in the Italian context. In *9th International Conference on Public Communication of Science and Technology (PCST), Seoul, South Korea, 17-19 May 2006*. PCST Network. https://pcst.co/archive/pdf/Pitrelli_et_al_PCST2006.pdf
- Poliakoff, E., & Webb, T.L. (2007). What Factors Predict Scientists' Intentions to Participate in Public Engagement of Science Activities? *Science Communication*, 29(2), 242-263.
- Porter, J., Williams, C., Wainwright, S., & Cribb, A. (2012). On being a (modern) scientist: Risks of public engagement in the UK interspecies embryo debate. *New Genetics and Society*, 31(4), 408-423.

- Priest, S.H. (2010). Coming of age in the academy? The status of our emerging field, *Journal of Science Communication*, 9 (3), C06.
- Rajput, A.S.D. (2008). Science Communication: Careers and courses in India. *Current Science*, 95(11), 1513.
- Rajput, A.S.D. (2009). Presenting Science to the Public: Role of Scientists. *Indian Journal of Science Communication*, 8(1), 16-18.
- Rajput, A.S.D. (2017). Science communication as an academic discipline: an Indian perspective. *Current Science*, 113(12), 2262-2267.
- Rajput, A.S.D. (2017a). *Handbook of Science Journalism*. Vigyan Prasar.
- Rajput, A.S.D. (2018). India's Ph.D. scholar outreach requirement. *Science*, 359(6382), 1343.
- Rajput, A.S.D. (2019). India aims for national policy on scientific social responsibility. *Nature*, 574, 634.
- Rajput, A.S.D., & Sharma, S. (2021). India: draft science policy calls for public engagement. *Nature*, 574, 26.
- Ransohoff, D.F., & Ransohoff, R.M. (2001). Sensationalism in the Media: When Scientists and Journalists May Be Complicit Collaborators. *Effective Clinical Practice*, 4(4), 185-188.
- Rautela, G.S., & Chowdhury, K. (2016). Science, science literacy and communication. *Indian Journal of History of Science*, 51(3):494–510.
- Rea, L.M., & Parker, R.A. (2014). *Designing and conducting survey research: A comprehensive guide, 4th Edition*. Jossey-Bass.
- Rinaldi, A. (2012). To hype, or not to(o) hype. *EMBO reports*, 13(4), 303-307.
- Rose, K.M., Markowitz, E.M., & Brossard, D. (2020). Scientists' incentives and attitudes toward public communication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 117(3), 1274-1276.

- Roten, F.C.V. (2011). Gender differences in scientists' public outreach and engagement activities. *Science Communication*, 33(1), 52-75.
- Royal Society of New Zealand. (2016). *Public engagement guidelines for researchers, scholars and scientists*. Royal Society of New Zealand. <https://www.royalsociety.org.nz/assets/documents/Public-engagement-guidelines-for-researchers-scholars-and-scientists-July-2016.pdf>.
- Royal Society. (1985). *The public understanding of science*. The Royal Society, UK. https://royalsociety.org/-/media/Royal_Society_Content/policy/publications/1985/10700.pdf
- Royal Society. (2006). *Survey of factors affecting science communication by scientists and engineers*. The Royal Society, UK. https://royalsociety.org/-/media/Royal_Society_Content/policy/publications/2006/1111111395.pdf
- Royal Society. (2006a). *Science and the public interest: Communicating the results of new scientific research to the public*. The Royal Society, UK. https://royalsociety.org/-/media/Royal_Society_Content/policy/publications/2006/8315.pdf
- SA-DST (South African Department of Science and Technology). (2015). *Science Engagement Strategy*. Republic of South Africa. South African Department of Science and Technology. https://www.saasta.ac.za/saasta_wp/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Science_Engagement_Strategy-11.pdf.
- SA-DST (South African Department of Science and Technology). (2017). *Science Engagement Strategy Implementation Plan*. Department of Science and Technology, Republic of South Africa. http://www0.sun.ac.za/scicom/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/2017_sci_engagement_strategy_imp.pdf
- Salwi, D.M. (2002a). *Science in India Media*. Vigyan Prasar.

- Salwi, D.M. (2002b). Science Popularisation and some Unrealised Aspects. *Indian Journal of Science Communication*, 1(1), January – June 2002.
- Sampei, Y., & Aoyagi-Usui, M. (2009). Mass-media coverage, its influence on public awareness of climate-change issues, and implications for Japan's national campaign to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. *Global Environmental Change*, 19(2), 203-212.
- Searle, S.D. (2011). *Scientists' communication with the general public – An Australian survey*. PhD Thesis. Australian National University.
- Shanley, P., & Lopez, C. (2009). Out of the loop: why research rarely reaches policy makers and the public and what can be done. *Biotropica*, 41(5), 535-544.
- Sharon, A.J., & Baram-Tsabari, A. (2014). Measuring mumbo jumbo: A preliminary quantification of the use of jargon in science communication. *Public Understanding of Science*, 23(5), 528-546.
- Shugart, E.C., & Racaniello, V.R. (2015). Scientists: engage the public! *mBio*, 6(6), e01989-15.
- Shulman, H.C., Dixon, G.N., Bullock, O.M., & Amill, D.C. (2020). The Effects of Jargon on Processing Fluency, Self-Perceptions, and Scientific Engagement. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 39(5-6), 579-597.
- Singh, A., Dogra, B., & Singh, J. (2016). Development of Standardized Scientific Temper Tool. *Journal of Scientific Temper*, 04(3-4), 145-153.
- Smith, A.N.B., & Merkle, B.G. (2021). Meaning-Making in Science Communication: A Case for Precision in Word Choice. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 102(1), e01794.
- Snow, C.P. (1959). *The Two Cultures*. Cambridge University Press.
- Spurgeon, D. (1987). International science communication: an overview. *Journal of Information Science*, 13(3), 165-168.

- Stangor, C. (2011). *Research Methods for the Behavioral Sciences, Fourth Edition*.
Wordworth.
- Stilgoe, J., & Wilsdon, J. (2009). The new politics of public engagement with science. In R. Holliman, E. Whitelegg, E. Scanlon, S. Smidt & J. Thomas (eds.). *Investigating science communication in the information age: Implications for public engagement and popular media* (18-34). Oxford University Press.
- Stilgoe, J., Irwin, A., & Jones, K. (2006). *The received wisdom: Opening up expert advice*.
Demos.
- Stockemer, D. (2019). *Quantitative Methods for the Social Sciences*. Springer.
- Studley, J. (1998). Dominant knowledge systems and local knowledge. In *Community-based mountain tourism: Practices of linking conservation with enterprise, synthesis of an electronic conference of the mountain forum, 13 April – 18 May 1998*. Mountain Forum.
- Sturgis, P., & Allum, N. (2004). Science in society: Re-evaluating the deficit model of public attitudes. *Public Understanding of Science*, 13(1), 55-74.
- Sturzenegger-Varvayanis, S., Eosco, G., Bali, S., Lee, K., Halpern, M., & Lewenstein, B. (2008). How university scientists view science communication to the public. In *10th International conference on public communication of science and technology, Malmo, Sweden, June 2008*. PCST Network.
https://www.informalscience.org/sites/default/files/PCST-10_Paper.pdf
- Thompson, J., et al. (2009). Health researchers' attitudes towards public involvement in health research. *Health Expectations*, 12, 209-220.
- Torres-Albero, C., Fernandez-Esquinas, M., Rey-Rocha, J., & Martín-Sempere, M.J. (2011). Dissemination practices in the Spanish research system: Scientists trapped in a golden cage. *Public Understanding of Science*, 20(1), 12-25.

- Treise, D., & Weigold, M.F. (2002). Advancing science communication: A survey of science communicators. *Science Communication*, 23(3), 310-322.
- Trench, B. (2012). Vital and Vulnerable: Science Communication as a University Subject. In: Schiele B., Claessens M., & Shi S. (Eds), *Science Communication in the World* (pp.241-257). Springer.
- Trench, B., & Bucchi, M. (2010). Science communication, an emerging discipline. *Journal of Science Communication*, 9(3), C03.
- Tsabari, A.B., & Lewenstein, B.V. (2013). An instrument for assessing scientists' written skills in public communication of science. *Science Communication*, 35, 56-85.
- UNESCO. (2017). *Local Knowledge, Global Goals*. UNESCO: Paris, 48 pp.
http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/SC/pdf/ILK_ex_publication_E.pdf.
- Valinciute, A. (2020). Lithuanian scientists' behaviour and views on science communication. *Public understanding of science*, 29(3), 353-362.
- Varner, J. (2014). Scientific outreach: Toward effective public engagement with biological science. *BioScience*, 64(4), 333-340.
- Watermeyer, R. (2012). Measuring the impact values of public engagement in medical contexts. *Science Communication*, 34(6), 752-775.
- Watermeyer, R. (2015). Lost in the "third space": The impact of public engagement in higher education on academic identity, research practice and career progression. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 8235(July), 1-17.
- Weigold, M.F. (2001). Communicating science: A review of the literature. *Science Communication*, 23(2), 164-193.
- Weißkopf, M. & Witt, T. (2015). The opportunities and risks of social media in science communication. *JUnQ*, 5(2), XVI-XVIII.

Wellcome Trust. (2001). *The role of scientists in public debate*. Wellcome Trust, UK.

https://wellcome.ac.uk/sites/default/files/wtd003425_0.pdf

Wynne B. (1991) Knowledges in context. *Science, Technology and Human Values*, 16(1), 111-121.

Yuan, S., Oshita, T., AbiGhannam, N., Dudo, A., Besley, J.C., & Koh, H.E. (2017). Two-way communication between scientists and the public: a view from science communication trainers in North America. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B*, 7(4), 341-355.

Zach. (2020, December 16). *What is Eta Squared? (Definition &Example)*. Statology.org.

<https://www.statology.org/eta-squared/> accessed on 8 June 2021.