

# List of Figures

1.1	Taxonomy proposed by Iocchi et al. to represent different types of collective robots [1] . . . . .	3
2.1	Techniques for indoor localization . . . . .	28
2.2	Technologies for indoor localization . . . . .	31
2.3	MRTA Taxonomy proposed by Gerkey and Mataric . . . . .	37
2.4	iTax MRTA Taxonomy. . . . .	39
2.5	Optimization based Approaches . . . . .	40
3.1	Uncertainties and delays in wireless timestamping. . . . .	49
3.2	CC2500 packet and payload format. . . . .	55
3.3	Overview of Robot Hardware Architecture Overview. . . . .	56
3.4	a) ‘tsync’ messages and associated timestamps during time offset compensation. b) GD01, GD02 signals captured on logic analyzer during tsync message transmissions ( $GD02_{N1}$ ) and receptions ( $GD01_{N2}$ , $GD02_{N2}$ ). . . . .	59
3.5	Reference node (COM2) and slave node (COM1) timestamps recorded at base station after time offset compensation. . . . .	62
3.6	Time offset of slave nodes $N_2 - N_5$ with respect to reference node $N_1$ , after initial time offset compensation. . . . .	65
3.7	Relative skew measured for nodes $N_2 - N_5$ with respect to reference node $N_1$ . . . . .	65
3.8	Analysis of relative skew with temperature. For $t \leq 600$ and $t \geq 5400$ , temperature is maintained at $23^\circ C$ . . . . .	67
3.9	Analysis of relative skew with temperature. Poller node is kept in insulated case. For $t \leq 600$ and $t \geq 5400$ , temperature is maintained at $23^\circ C$ . . . . .	67
3.10	Distribution of relative skew with temperature. . . . .	68
3.11	Distribution of average relative skew with temperature. . . . .	68
3.12	Overall Swarm-Sync Framework. (Dotted lines indicate control frames, solid lines indicate the data frames, Bulleted line ends indicate the timestamping instants) . . . . .	70
3.13	Synchronization error (time offset) for different values of ‘n’ . . . . .	72
3.14	Time offset between reference and slave nodes post synchronization, $\alpha'_{avg}$ calculated prior to deployment using wired relative skew fingerprinting. . . . .	74
3.15	Time offset between reference and slave nodes post synchronization, $\alpha'_{avg}$ calculated using wireless relative skew fingerprinting post the deployment. . . . .	74
3.16	Deployment of nodes in multi-hop scenario. . . . .	77
3.17	Time offset between reference and slave nodes for 4-hop deployment, $\alpha'_{avg}$ calculated using wireless relative skew fingerprinting as in Option 3. . . . .	79

3.18	Time offset (Prediction error) for LR based reference clock prediction for different $T_{resync}$ periods a) Initial offset between $N_1$ and $N_2$ was 60ms b) Initial offset between $N_1$ and $N_5$ was $10\mu s$ . . . . .	83
3.19	Time offset after LR prediction, when performed on nodes (hardware) Vs offline (MATLAB) for $T_{resync}$ =10 minutes . . . . .	85
3.20	Time offset after LR prediction for 4-hop scenario (LR performed offline (MATLAB)).	85
3.21	a) Prediction error (Measured time offset- Predicted time offset) for LP based prediction, $T_{resync} = 1second$ . b) Prediction error for LP based prediction, $T_{resync} = 30seconds$ . . . . .	86
3.22	a) Prediction error (Measured time offset- Predicted time offset) for KF based prediction with $T_{resync}$ =1 second. b) Prediction error for KF with $T_{resync}$ =30 seconds.	86
3.23	a) Robot dispersion- Intermediate Stage. b) Robots at their destination after dispersion. . . . .	89
3.24	a) Time reported by robots after 4th synchronization iteration. b) Time reported by robots after 8th synchronization iteration. . . . .	90
4.1	Overall architecture of the beacon based localization system. . . . .	96
4.2	Attenuation of ultrasound signal with frequency . . . . .	97
4.3	Beam angle of 400EP18A ultrasonic transducer [93] . . . . .	97
4.4	Ultrasonic transmitter circuit . . . . .	98
4.5	Ultrasonic receiver Circuit . . . . .	98
4.6	Signal at top- Ultrasonic signal output received at receiver (Y-axis-1 square= 1V), Signal at the bottom -Transmission frequency 40kHz generated by central unit, Y-axis unit- 1 square= 5V units . . . . .	99
4.7	Deployment of target and beacon node for trilateration . . . . .	102
4.8	Architecture of a neuron [100] . . . . .	108
4.9	Architecture of a multi layer feed-forward (MLF) network . . . . .	109
4.10	Deployment of Beacon nodes in Locusim simulator. . . . .	114
4.11	Deployment of target nodes for generation of training data. . . . .	115
4.12	Architecture of Stage 1, CFB-ANN . . . . .	118
4.13	Architecture of Stage 2, FFB-ANN . . . . .	118
4.14	Flow chart of the ANN based Location Estimation Scheme. . . . .	123
4.15	NLOS condition simulated with respect to B1 . . . . .	124
4.16	NLOS condition simulated with respect to B1- Test 5 . . . . .	125
4.17	NLOS condition simulated with respect to B2 and B3 . . . . .	125
4.18	Actual Vs Estimated position of Target Nodes- Test 1 . . . . .	127
4.19	Histogram representing the error in estimated position- Test 1 . . . . .	127
5.1	Flow chart depicting the steps involved in two-dimensional localization . . . . .	141
5.2	Raw accelerometer readings along 3-axes under static conditions of robot . . . . .	142
5.3	Accelerometer readings along 3-axes after input conditioning . . . . .	143
5.4	Structure of a Fuzzy inference system . . . . .	145
5.5	Membership function for the magnetometer input . . . . .	150
5.6	Membership function for the Gyroscope input . . . . .	150
5.7	Test scenario-1 for validation of Self-localization . . . . .	154

---

5.8	Path estimated by the self-localization scheme and the Path traced by robot for Test scenario-1 . . . . .	155
5.9	Activity detection for Test Scenario-1 . . . . .	156
5.10	Measured x-axis and y-axis displacement of robot- Test scenario 1 . . . . .	157
5.11	Measured velocity and angular turns of the robot for Test Scenario-1 . . . . .	157
5.12	Actual and Estimated path of robot for Test Scenario 2 . . . . .	158
5.13	Measured x-axis and y-axis displacement of robot for Test scenario 2 . . . . .	159
5.14	Velocity and angular turns of the robot measured for Test Scenario-2 . . . . .	159
6.1	DTTA- State Flow diagram . . . . .	166
6.2	The Architecture of ARGoS Simulator [2] . . . . .	171
6.3	Application scenario 1- Foot-bots performing object pick-up task. . . . .	177
6.4	No of tasks serviced by robots/round for Application scenario 1. . . . .	179
6.5	Total number of task assignment messages/robot for 8 rounds for Application scenario 1. . . . .	179
6.6	Foot-bot clusters at their target location-Application Scenario 2. . . . .	181
A.1	Software Architecture of the Robot. . . . .	194
B.1	Hardware developed for Beacon based indoor localization system . . . . .	196
C.1	Hardware Set-up for testing Self-Localization . . . . .	198