

References

- Abdul Rahman, I., Memon, A.H., Karim, A., Tarmizi, A.,(2013). Significant factors causing cost overruns in large construction projects in Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Science* 13, 286–293.
- Aburto, L., & Weber, R. (2007). Improved supply chain management based on hybrid demand forecasts. *Applied Soft Computing*, 7(1), 136-144.
- Acebes, F., Pajares, J., Galán, J. M., & López-Paredes, A. (2014). Exploring the influence of seasonal uncertainty in project risk management. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 329-338.
- Adams, F. K. (2008). Risk perception and Bayesian analysis of international construction contract risks: The case of payment delays in a developing economy. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(2), 138-148.
- Addison, T., & Vallabh, S. (2002, September). Controlling software project risks: an empirical study of methods used by experienced project managers. In *Proceedings of the 2002 annual research conference of the South African institute of computer scientists and information technologists on Enablement through technology* (pp. 128-140). South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists
- Adkison, M. D. (2009). Drawbacks of complex models in frequentist and Bayesian approaches to natural-resource management. *Ecological Applications*, 19(1), 198-205.
- Ahmabadabi, A. A., & Heravi, G. (2019). Risk assessment framework of PPP-megaprojects focusing on risk interaction and project success. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 124, 169-188.
- Ahmabadabi, A. A., & Heravi, G. (2019). Risk assessment framework of PPP-megaprojects focusing on risk interaction and project success. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 124, 169-188.
- Ahsan, K., & Gunawan, I. (2010). Analysis of cost and schedule performance of international development projects. *International journal of project management*, 28(1), 68-78.
- Akintoye, A. S., & MacLeod, M. J. (1997). Risk analysis and management in construction. *International journal of project management*, 15(1), 31-38.
- Akintoye, A., Taylor, C., & Fitzgerald, E. (1998). Risk analysis and management of private finance initiative projects. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 5(1), 9-21.
- Akkiraju, R., Nayak, N., Torok, R., & von Kaenel, J. (2010, July). A practitioner's tool for enterprise risk management capability assessment. In *Proceedings of 2010*

IEEE International Conference on Service Operations and Logistics, and Informatics (pp. 369-374). IEEE.

Al Nahyan, M. T., Sohal, A. S., Hawas, Y. E., & Fildes, B. (2014). Project Management, Infrastructure Development and Stakeholder Engagement: A Case Study from the UAE. *Procedia Technology*, 16, 988-991.

Al-Momani, A. H. (2000). Construction delay: a quantitative analysis. *International journal of project management*, 18(1), 51-59.

Aladağ, H., & Işık, Z. (2017). Role of financial risks in BOT mega transportation projects in developing countries. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 33(4), 04017007.

Alexander, I., Mayer, C., & Weed, H. (1999). *Regulatory structure and risk and infrastructure firms: an international comparison*. The World Bank.

Alhawari, S., Karadsheh, L., Talet, A. N., & Mansour, E. (2012). Knowledge-based risk management framework for information technology project. *International Journal of Information Management*, 32(1), 50-65.

Ali-Mohammed, B.A., (2010). Risk and Stakeholder Management in Mega Projects Beyond the Realms of Theory. Ministry of Works and Housing, Kingdom of Bahrain. Available from: www.works.gov.bh/media/Researchs/pw04p06.pdf.

Alinaitwe, H., Apolot, R., & Tindiwensi, D. (2013). Investigation into the causes of delays and cost overruns in Uganda's public sector construction projects. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 18(2), 33.

Allport, R. J., & Ward, S. (2010). Operational risk: the focus for major infrastructure?. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Management, Procurement and Law*, 163(3), 121-127.

Alverbro, K., Nevhage, B., & Erdeniz, R. (2010). Methods for risk analysis. *Printed in Sweden by US AB, Stockholm. ISSN*, 1652-5442.

Amaratunga, D., Baldry, D., Sarshar, M., & Newton, R. (2002). Quantitative and qualitative research in the built environment: application of "mixed" research approach. *Work study*, 51(1), 17-31.

Angara, R. A. (2011). Implementation of risk management framework in supply chain: A tale from a biofuel company in Indonesia. *Manchester Business School Research Paper*, (614).

Arrow, J. (2008). Knowledge-based proactive project risk management. *AACE International Transactions*, RI11.

Ashley, D. B., & Bonner, J. J. (1987). Political risks in international construction. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 113(3), 447-467.

Assaf, S. A., and Al-Hejji, S. (2006). Causes of delay in large construction projects. *International journal of project management*, 24(4), 349-357.

- Atkin, B., and Skitmore, M. (2008). Stakeholder management in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(6), 549-552.
- Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. *International journal of project management*, 17(6), 337-342.
- Baccarini, D., (1996). The concept of project complexity—a review. *International Journal Project Management*. Vol.14(4), pp.201–204.
- Baccarini, D., & Melville, T. (2011). Risk management of research projects in a University context-An exploratory study. *Risk management*, 4, 27-2011.
- Baccarini, D., & Melville, T. (2011). Risk management of research projects in a University context-An exploratory study. *Risk management*, 4, 27-2011.
- Badea, A., Prostean, G., Goncalves, G., & Allaoui, H. (2014). Assessing risk factors in collaborative supply chain with the analytic hierarchy process (AHP). *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 124, 114-123.
- Badewi, A. (2016). The impact of project management (PM) and benefits management (BM) practices on project success: Towards developing a project benefits governance framework. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(4), 761-778.
- Bakr, A. F., El Hagla, K., & Rawash, A. N. A. (2012). Heuristic approach for risk assessment modeling: EPCCM application (Engineer Procure Construct Contract Management). *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 51(4), 305-323.
- Baloi, D., & Price, A. D. (2003). Modelling global risk factors affecting construction cost performance. *International journal of project management*, 21(4), 261-269.
- Banaitiene, N., & Banaitis, A. (2012). Risk management in construction projects. *Risk Management—Current Issues and Challenges*. In N. Banaitiene (Ed.), *Risk Management—Current Issues and Challenges*, 429-448.
- Bannerman, P. L. (2008). Risk and risk management in software projects: A reassessment. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 81(12), 2118-2133.
- Basova, M., Mitselsky, A., (2011). Risk Management KPIs: Efficiency Tool or Formality?, Enterprise Risk Management Symposium, Society of Actuaries.
- Beckers, F., Chiara, N., Flesch, A., Maly, J., Silva, E., & Stegemann, U. (2013). A risk-management approach to a successful infrastructure project. *Mckinsey Work. Pap. Risk*, (52), 18.
- Beidleman, C. R., Fletcher, D., & Veshosky, D. (1990). On allocating risk: the essence of project finance. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 31(3), 47.
- Besner, C., & Hobbs, B. (2006). The perceived value and potential contribution of project management practices to project success. *Project management journal*, 37(3), 37-48.

- Bing, L., Akintoye, A., Edwards, P. J., & Hardcastle, C. (2005). The allocation of risk in PPP/PFI construction projects in the UK. *International Journal of project management*, Vol.23, Vol.1, pp.25-35.
- Bingquan, N. L. T. (2007). The Method of Recognition Engineering Project Risk. *Friend of Science Amateurs*, (1), 22.
- Boateng, P., Chen, Z., & Ogunlana, S. O. (2015). An Analytic Network Process model for risks prioritisation in megaprojects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(8), 1795-1811.
- Boateng, P., Chen, Z., & Ogunlana, S. O. (2017). *Megaproject Risk Analysis and Simulation: A Dynamic Systems Approach*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Boehm, B. W., & DeMarco, T. (1997). Software risk management. *IEEE software*, (3), 17-19.
- Borrego, M., Douglas, E. P., & Amelink, C. T. (2009). Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed research methods in engineering education. *Journal of Engineering education*, 98(1), 53-66.
- Brans, J. P., & Vincke, P. (1985). Note—A Preference Ranking Organisation Method: (The PROMETHEE Method for Multiple Criteria Decision-Making). *Management science*, 31(6), 647-656.
- Brick, I. E., & Palmon, O. (1992). Interest Rates Fluctuations and the Advantage of Long-Term Debt Financing: A Note on the Effect of the Tax-Timing Option. *Financial Review*, 27(3), 467-474.
- Briscoe, J. (1999). The changing face of water infrastructure financing in developing countries. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 15(3), 301-308.
- Brookes, N.J., (2015). Mankind and mega-projects. *Front. Eng. Manag.* Vol1, No.1, pp.241–245. Boateng, P., Chen, Z., Ogunlana, S., (2012). A conceptual system dynamic model to describe the impacts of critical weather conditions in megaproject construction. *Journal of Construction Project Management*. Vol.2(3), pp.208–224.
- Brown, G. W. (2001). Managing foreign exchange risk with derivatives. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 60(2-3), 401-448.
- Bruzelius, Nils, Bent Flyvbjerg, and Werner Rothengatter (2002). Big decisions, big risks. Improving accountability in mega projects. *Transport Policy* Vol.9(2), pp.143-154.
- Bryde, D. (2008). Perceptions of the impact of project sponsorship practices on project success. *International journal of project management*, 26(8), 800-809.
- Budhwar, P. (2001). Doing business in India. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 43(4), 549-568.

- Buysse, K., & Verbeke, A. (2003). Proactive environmental strategies: A stakeholder management perspective. *Strategic management journal*, 24(5), 453-470.
- Callegari, C., Szklo, A., & Schaeffer, R. (2018). Cost overruns and delays in energy megaprojects: How big is big enough? *Energy policy*, Vol.114(2), pp. 211-220.
- Campbell, A., & Schofield, N. (2006). *The getting of knowledge: A guide to funding and managing applied research* (No. 630.06 CAM. CIMMYT.).
- Cannemi, M., García-Melón, M., Aragonés-Beltrán, P., Gómez-Navarro, T., (2014). Modeling decision making as a support tool for policy making on renewable energy development. *Energy Policy*, Vol. 67(3), pp.127–137.
- Cantarelli, C. C., Molin, E. J., van Wee, B., & Flyvbjerg, B. (2012). Characteristics of cost overruns for Dutch transport infrastructure projects and the importance of the decision to build and project phases. *Transport Policy*, 22, 49-56.
- Carney, M., & Mew, K. (2003). Airport governance reform: a strategic management perspective. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 9(4), 221-232.
- Cavalieri, M., Cristaldo, R., & Guccio, C. (2019). On the magnitude of cost overruns throughout the project life-cycle: An assessment for the Italian transport infrastructure projects. *Transport Policy*, Vol.79(4), pp.21-36.
- Chan, A. P., Chan, D. W., Chiang, Y. H., Tang, B. S., Chan, E. H., and Ho, K. S. (2004). Exploring critical success factors for partnering in construction projects. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 130(2), 188-198.
- Chan, A. P., Lam, P. T., Chan, D. W., Cheung, E., & Ke, Y. (2010). Critical success factors for PPPs in infrastructure developments: Chinese perspective. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 136(5), 484-494.
- Chan, A. P., Scott, D., and Chan, A. P. (2004). Factors affecting the success of a construction project. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 130(1), 153-155.
- Chang, Y. H., Shao, P. C., & Chen, H. J. (2015). Performance evaluation of airport safety management systems in Taiwan. *Safety Science*, Vol.75(3) pp.72-86.
- Chang, Y. H., Yang, H. H., & Hsiao, Y. J. (2012). Human risk factors associated with pilots in runway excursions. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vo.94, No. 2, pp. 227-237.
- Chang, Y.T., Park, H.S., Jeong, J.B., Lee, J.W., (2014). Evaluating economic and environmental efficiency of global airlines: a SBM-DEA approach. *Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ.* Vol.27(4), pp.46-50.
- Charoenngam, C., & Yeh, C. Y. (1999). Contractual risk and liability sharing in hydropower construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(1), 29-37.

- Chen, I. S. (2016). A combined MCDM model based on DEMATEL and ANP for the selection of airline service quality improvement criteria: A study based on the Taiwanese airline industry. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol.57(3), pp.7-18.
- Cheng, J., Fowler, J., Kempf, K., and Mason, S. (2014). Multi-mode resource-constrained project scheduling problems with non-preemptive activity splitting. *Computers and Operations Project*, 53, 275–287.
- Cheung, E., & Chan, A. P. (2009). Is BOT the best financing model to procure infrastructure projects? A case study of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 27(3), 290-302.
- Chiara, N., & Garvin, M. J. (2008). Variance models for project financial risk analysis with applications to greenfield BOT highway projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(9), 925-939.
- Chou, J. S., & Pramudawardhani, D. (2015). Cross-country comparisons of key drivers, critical success factors and risk allocation for public-private partnership projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(5), 1136-1150.
- Choudhry, R. M., Aslam, M. A., Hinze, J. W., & Arain, F. M. (2014). Cost and schedule risk analysis of bridge construction in Pakistan: Establishing risk guidelines. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 140(7), 04014020.
- Chryssolouris, G., Dicke, K., Lee, M., (1994). An approach to real-time flexible scheduling. *International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing System* Vol.6(3), pp.235–253.
- Clarke, C. J., & Varma, S. (1999). Strategic risk management: the new competitive edge. *Long range planning*, 32(4), 414-424.
- Clarke, J., & Obrien, E. (2016). A multi-hazard risk assessment methodology, stress test framework and decision support tool for transport infrastructure networks. *Transportation research procedia*, 14, 1355-1363.
- Cleland, D. I., & Ireland, L. R. (2002). *Project management: strategic design and implementation* (Vol. 4). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cooke-Davies, T. (2002). The “real” success factors on projects. *International journal of project management*, 20(3), 185-190.
- Cooper, D., Grey, S, Raymond. G., Walker, P. (2005). *Project Risk Management Guidelines: Managing risks in large projects and complex procurements*, John Wiley and Sons.
- Craciun, M. (2011). A new type of risk in infrastructure projects. *Modern economy*, 2(4), 479-482.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process*. Sage.

- Da Cunha, D.A., Mac_arlo, R., Reis, V., (2017). Keeping cargo security costs down: a risk based approach to air cargo airport security in small and medium airports. *Journal of Air Transport Management*. Vol.61(3), pp..115-122.
- Dada, J.O.& Jagboro, G.O.,(2007). An evaluation of the impact of risk on project cost overrun in the Nigerian construction industry. *Journal. Financing Management Property Construction* 12, 37–44.
- Dailami, M., & Leipziger, D. (1998). Infrastructure project finance and capital flows: A new perspective. *World Development*, 26(7), 1283-1298.
- Dandage, R. V., Mantha, S. S., Rane, S. B., & Bhoola, V. (2018). Analysis of interactions among barriers in project risk management. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 14(1), 153-169.
- Dani, S., & Ranganathan, R. (2008). Agility and supply chain uncertainty: a scenario planning perspective. *International Journal of Agile Systems and Management*, 3(3-4), 178-191.
- Davies, A., & Brady, T. (2000). Organizational capabilities and learning in complex product systems: towards repeatable solutions. *Research policy*, Vol.29(7-8), pp. 931-953.
- Davies, A., Gann, D., & Douglas, T. (2009). Innovation in megaprojects: systems integration at London Heathrow Terminal 5. *California management review*, Vol.5(2), pp.101-125.
- De Man, A. P., and Roijakkers, N. (2009). Alliance governance: balancing control and trust in dealing with risk. *Long Range Planning*, 42(1), 75-95.
- De Sousa Júnior, W. C., & Reid, J. (2010). Uncertainties in Amazon hydropower development: Risk scenarios and environmental issues around the Belo Monte dam. *Water Alternatives*, Vol, No.2, pp.78-89.
- Dedolph, F. M. (2003). The neglected management activity: Software risk management. *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, 8(3), 91-95.
- Delbari, S. A., Ng, S. I., Aziz, Y. A., & Ho, J. A. (2016). An investigation of key competitiveness indicators and drivers of full-service airlines using Delphi and AHP techniques. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol.52(1), pp.23-34.
- Dettman, K. L., Harty, M. J., & Lewin, J. (2010). Resolving Megaproject Claims: Lessons from Boston's Big Dig. *Construction Law.*, Vol.30,(4, pp. 5-10.
- Dey, P. (2009). Managing risks of large scale construction projects. *Cost Engineering*, 51(6), 23.
- Dey, P. K. (2010). Managing project risk using combined analytic hierarchy process and risk map. *Applied Soft Computing*, 10(4), 990-1000.
- Dey, P. K., & Ogunlana, S. O. (2001). Project time risk analysis through simulation. *Cost engineering*, 43(7), 24.

- Dey, P. K., & Ogunlana, S. O. (2004). Selection and application of risk management tools and techniques for build-operate-transfer projects. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 104(4), 334-346.
- Dey, P. K., & Ramcharan, E. K. (2008). Analytic hierarchy process helps select site for limestone quarry expansion in Barbados. *Journal of Environmental management*, 88(4), 1384-1395.
- Dimitriou, H.T., (2014). What constitutes a “successful” mega transport project? *Planning Theory and Practice* 15, 389–430
- Dodin, B., and Elimam, A. A. (2001). Integrated project scheduling and material planning with variable activity duration and rewards. *IIE Transactions*, 33, 1005–1018.
- Doh, J. P., & Ramamurti, R. (2003). Reassessing risk in developing country infrastructure. *Long Range Planning*, 36(4), 337-353.
- Doloi, H., Iyer, K. C., and Sawhney, A. (2011). Structural equation model for assessing impacts of contractor's performance on project success. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(6), 687-695.
- Doloi, H., Sawhney, A., Iyer, K. C., & Rentala, S. (2012). Analysing factors affecting delays in Indian construction projects. *International journal of project management*, 30(4), 479-489.
- Dong, Q., & Cooper, O. (2016). An orders-of-magnitude AHP supply chain risk assessment framework. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 182, 144-156.
- Dumas, B., & Solnik, B. (1995). The world price of foreign exchange risk. *The journal of finance*, 50(2), 445-479.
- Duy Nguyen, L., Ogunlana, S. O., & Thi Xuan Lan, D. (2004). A study on project success factors in large construction projects in Vietnam. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 11(6), 404-413.
- El-Sabek, L. M., & McCabe, B. Y. (2018). Coordination challenges of production planning in the construction of international mega-projects in the Middle East. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research*, Vol.14(2), pp. 118-140.
- Elkington, P., & Smallman, C. (2002). Managing project risks: a case study from the utilities sector. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(1), 49-57.
- Ergu, D., Kou, G., Shi, Y., Shi, Y., (2014). Analytic network process in risk assessment and decision analysis. *Comput. Oper. Res.* Vol.42(3), pp. 58–74.
- Erikson, C. A., & O'Connor, M. J. (1979). *Construction Contract Risk Assignment* (No. CERL-TR-P101). CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LAB (ARMY) CHAMPAIGN IL
- Fallahnejad, M. H. (2013). Delay causes in Iran gas pipeline projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.31(1), pp.136-146.

- Fan, M., Lin, N. P., & Sheu, C. (2008). Choosing a project risk-handling strategy: An analytical model. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 112(2), 700-713.
- Fang, C., & Marle, F. (2012). A simulation-based risk network model for decision support in project risk management. *Decision Support Systems*, 52(3), 635-644.
- Feils, D. J., & SABAC, F. M. (2000). The impact of political risk on the foreign direct investment decision: a capital budgeting analysis. *The engineering economist*, 45(2), 129-143.
- Finke, G., & Nägele, F. (2009). Designing an applicable framework to quantify operational risk. *CTL, Massachusetts Institute of Technology*.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2007). Policy and planning for large-infrastructure projects: problems, causes, cures. *Environment and Planning B: planning and design*, 34(4), 578-597.
- Flyvbjerg, B., (2009). Survival of the unfittest: why the worst infrastructure gets built—and what we can do about it. *Oxf. Rev. Econ. Policy* Vol.25(3), pp.344–367
- Flyvbjerg, B., (2014). What you should know about megaprojects and why: an overview. *Project Management Journal* Vol.45(1), pp.6–19.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., & Rothengatter, W. (2003). *Megaprojects and risk: An anatomy of ambition*. Cambridge University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Garbuio, M., & Lovallo, D. (2009). Delusion and deception in large infrastructure projects: two models for explaining and preventing executive disaster. *California management review*, 51(2), 170-194.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2004). What causes cost overrun in transport infrastructure projects?. *Transport reviews*, 24(1), 3-18.
- Fouladgar, M. M., Yazdani-Chamzini, A., & Zavadskas, E. K. (2012). Risk evaluation of tunneling projects. *Archives of civil and mechanical engineering*, 12(1), 1-12.
- Fourie, A., & Brent, A. C. (2006). A project-based mine closure model (MCM) for sustainable asset life cycle management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(12-13), 1085-1095.
- Freestone, R., Baker, D., & Stevens, N. (2011). Managing airport land development under regulatory uncertainty. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*, 1(1), 101-108.
- Frizelle, G.D.M., Gregory, M.J., (2000). Complexity and the impact of introducing new products. Complexity and Complex Systems in Industry University of Warwick, Warwick, UK, pp. 247 -259.
- Frosdick, S. (1997). The techniques of risk analysis are insufficient in themselves. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*.

- Funderburg, R., & MacDonald, H. (2010). Neighbourhood valuation effects from new construction of low-income housing tax credit projects in Iowa: A natural experiment. *Urban Studies*, 47(8), 1745-1771.
- García-Quevedo, J., Segarra-Blasco, A., & Teruel, M. (2018). Financial constraints and the failure of innovation projects. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 127, 127-140.
- Gaudenzi, B., & Borghesi, A. (2006). Managing risks in the supply chain using the AHP method. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*.
- Gemmer, A. (1997). Risk management: moving beyond process. *Computer*, 30(5), 33-43.
- Geraldi, J., Maylor, H., and Williams, T. (2011). Now, let's make it really complex (complicated) A systematic review of the complexities of projects. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 31(9), 966-990.
- Ghauri, P. N., & Grønhaug, K. (2005). *Research methods in business studies: A practical guide*. Pearson Education.
- Ghosh, S., & Jintanapakanont, J. (2004). Identifying and assessing the critical risk factors in an underground rail project in Thailand: a factor analysis approach. *International Journal of Project Management*, 22(8), 633-643.
- Ghoshal, S. (1987). Global strategy: An organizing framework. *Strategic management journal*, 8(5), 425-440.
- Giezen, M. (2012). Keeping it simple? A case study into the advantages and disadvantages of reducing complexity in mega project planning. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.30(7), pp. 781-790.
- Gist, P., & Langley, D. (2007). Application of Standard Project Management Tools to Research--A Case Study from a Multi-National Clinical Trial. *Journal of Research Administration*, 38(2), 51-58.
- Gothwal, S., & Raj, T. (2019). A comparative study of multi-criteria decision-making approaches for prioritising the manufacturing systems. *International Journal of Process Management and Benchmarking*, Vo.9, No.3, pp.277-299.
- Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future. *European journal of operational research*, 240(3), 603-626.
- Gransberg, D. D. (2013). Early Contractor Design Involvement to Expedite Delivery of Emergency Highway Projects: Case Studies from Six States. *Transportation Research Record*, 2347(1), 19-26.
- Gray, P., & Irwin, T. (2003). Exchange rate risk: Allocating exchange rate risk in private infrastructure projects.

- Grilli, L., Mazzucato, M., Meoli, M., & Scellato, G. (2018). Sowing the seeds of the future: Policies for financing tomorrow's innovations. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 127, 1-7.
- Grimsey, D., & Lewis, M. K. (2002). Evaluating the risks of public private partnerships for infrastructure projects. *International journal of project management*, 20(2), 107-118.
- Guneri, A. F., Yucel, A., & Ayyildiz, G. (2009). An integrated fuzzy-lp approach for a supplier selection problem in supply chain management. *Expert systems with Applications*, 36(5), 9223-9228.
- Guo, F., Chang-Richards, Y., Wilkinson, S., & Li, T. C. (2014). Effects of project governance structures on the management of risks in major infrastructure projects: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(5), 815-826.
- Gustavsson, T. K., and Hallin, A. (2014). Rethinking dichotomization: A critical perspective on the use of “hard” and “soft” in project management research. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(4), 568-577.
- Haji-Kazemi, S., Andersen, B., & Klakegg, O. J. (2015). Barriers against effective responses to early warning signs in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(5), 1068-1083.
- Hamilton, G. (1996). *Risk management 2000*. Studentlitteratur.
- Han, S.H., Yun, S., Kim, H., Kwak, Y.H., Park, H.K., Lee, S.H., 2009. Analyzing schedule delay of mega project: lessons learned from Korea Train Express. *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.* 56 (2), 243–256.
- Hartmann, G. C., & Myers, M. B. (2003). Technical risk, product specifications, and market risk. *Branscomb, Lewis M., and Philip Auerswald, Taking Technical Risks: How Innovators, Executives, and Investors Manage High Tech Risks*, 30-43.
- Heckmann, I., Comes, T., & Nickel, S. (2015). A critical review on supply chain risk—Definition, measure and modeling. *Omega*, 52, 119-132.
- Hillson, D., Grimaldi, S., & Rafele, C. (2006). Managing project risks using a cross risk breakdown matrix. *Risk management*, 8(1), 61-76.
- Hu, K. C., & Hsiao, M. W. (2016). Quality risk assessment model for airline services concerning Taiwanese airlines. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 53, 177-185.
- Hubbard, D. W. (2009). *The failure of risk management: Why it's broken and how to fix it*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hunt, R. (2014). Why governance, risk and compliance projects fail—and how to prevent it. *Computer Fraud & Security*, 2014(6), 5-7.

Hwang, C.L., Yoon, K., (2012). Multiple Attribute Decision Making: Methods and Applications a State-of-the-art Survey, vol. 186. Springer Science & Business Media

Hwang, Kutlu, A. C., & Ekmekcioğlu, M. (2012). Fuzzy failure modes and effects analysis by using fuzzy TOPSIS-based fuzzy AHP. *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol.3(1), 61-67. Hsu, C.C., Liou, J.J., (2013). An outsourcing provider decision model for the airline industry. *Journal of Air Transport Management*. Vol.28(1),pp.40-46.

Iman, R. L., & Conover, W. J. (1982). A distribution-free approach to inducing rank correlation among input variables. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 11(3), 311-334.

Irimia-Diéguéz, A. I., Sanchez-Cazorla, A., & Alfalla-Luque, R. (2014). Risk management in megaprojects. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 407-416.

Iyer, K. C., & Sagheer, M. (2009). Hierarchical structuring of PPP risks using interpretative structural modeling. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 136(2), 151-159.

Jahangiri, K., Eivazi, M. R., & Mofazali, A. S. (2017). The role of Foresight in avoiding systematic failure of natural disaster risk management. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, 21, 303-311.

Jamison, M. A., Holt, L., & Berg, S. V. (2005). Measuring and mitigating regulatory risk in private infrastructure investment. *The Electricity Journal*, 18(6), 36-45.

Jefferies, M., Gameson, R. and Rowlinson, S. (2002) Critical success factors of the BOOT procurement system: reflection from the Stadium Australia case study. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 9(4), 352–61.

Jensen, F. V. (1996). *An introduction to Bayesian networks*(Vol. 210, pp. 1-178). London: UCL press.

Jianping Li, Jin Zhang, Weilan Suo, (2019) Risk assessment in cross-border transport infrastructure projects: A fuzzy hybrid method considering dual interdependent effects, *Information Sciences*, Vol.488(1), pp. 140-157.

Jin, X. H. (2009). Determinants of efficient risk allocation in privately financed public infrastructure projects in Australia. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 136(2), 138-150.

Johnsen, S. O., & Veen, M. (2013). Risk assessment and resilience of critical communication infrastructure in railways. *Cognition, technology & work*, 15(1), 95-107.

Kangari, R., & Riggs, L. S. (1989). Construction risk assessment by linguistics. *IEEE transactions on engineering management*, 36(2), 126-131.

- Kardes, I., Ozturk, A., Cavusgil, S. T., & Cavusgil, E. (2013). Managing global megaprojects: Complexity and risk management. *International Business Review*, 22(6), 905-917.
- Kauf, S., & Tłuczak, A. (2018). Allocation of logistic risk-investment in public-private-partnership—use of fuzzy TOPSIS method. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 184, p. 04025). EDP Sciences.
- Kay, W. O. L. F., & Sahling, S. (2012). *U.S. Patent Application No. 13/042,166*.
- Ke, Y., Wang, S., & Chan, A. P. (2010). Risk allocation in public-private partnership infrastructure projects: comparative study. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 16(4), 343-351.
- Ke, Y., Wang, S., Chan, A. P., & Lam, P. T. (2010). Preferred risk allocation in China's public-private partnership (PPP) projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(5), 482-492.
- Ke, Y., Wang, S., Chan, A. P., & Lam, P. T. (2010). Preferred risk allocation in China's public-private partnership (PPP) projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(5), 482-492.
- Kern, D., Moser, R., Hartmann, E., & Moder, M. (2012). Supply risk management: model development and empirical analysis. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*.
- Khan, O., & Burnes, B. (2007). Risk and supply chain management: creating a research agenda. *The international journal of logistics management*.
- Kim, D., Yang, H., (2012). Evaluation of the risk frequency for hazards of runway incursion in Korea. *Journal of Air Transport Management*. Vol.23, pp. 31-35.
- Kim, J., & Moon, I. (2008). Strategic design of hydrogen infrastructure considering cost and safety using multiobjective optimization. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(21), 5887-5896.
- Kim, J., & Pearl, J. (1983). A computational model for causal and diagnostic reasoning in inference systems. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 100-110).
- Kivilä, J., Martinsuo, M., & Vuorinen, L. (2017). Sustainable project management through project control in infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.35(6), pp. 1167-1183.
- Klemetti, A. (2006). Risk management in construction project networks.
- Knight, F. H. (1921). *Risk, uncertainty and profit* (Vol. 31). Houghton Mifflin.
- Ko, R. K., Lee, S. S., & Lee, E. W. (2009). Business process management (BPM) standards: a survey. *Business Process Management Journal*.
- Krane, H. P., Olsson, N. O., & Rolstadås, A. (2012). How project manager-project owner interaction can work within and influence project risk management. *Project Management Journal*, Vol.43(2), pp. 54-67.

- Krane, H. P., Rolstadås, A., & Olsson, N. O. (2010). Categorizing risks in seven large projects—Which risks do the projects focus on?. *Project Management Journal*, 41(1), 81-86.
- Kumar, L., Jindal, A., & Velaga, N. R. (2018). Financial risk assessment and modelling of PPP based Indian highway infrastructure projects. *Transport Policy*, 62, 2-11.
- Kumar, M. (2017). Infrastructure, labor, and government: a study of Delhi airport.
- Lam, K. C., & Chow, W. S. (1999). The significance of financial risks in BOT procurement. *Building Research & Information*, 27(2), 84-95.
- Langseth, H., Nielsen, T. D., Rumí, R., & Salmerón, A. (2009). Inference in hybrid Bayesian networks. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 94(10), 1499-1509.
- Larsen, E. R. (2005). Apply project management concepts to R&D. *Chemical engineering progress*, 101(1), 47-50.
- Lee, C. S., Wang, M. H., & Chen, J. J. (2008). Ontology-based intelligent decision support agent for CMMI project monitoring and control. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 48(1), 62-76.
- Lee, Y., Chung, D., & Kim, Y. (2007). Public R&D Risk and Risk Management Policy. *International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering*, 1(10), 508-511.
- Leleur, S., Salling, K. B., Pilkauskiene, I., & Nicolaisen, M. S. (2015). Combining reference class forecasting with overconfidence theory for better risk assessment of transport infrastructure investments. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 15(3), 362-375.
- Leyman, P., and Vanhoucke, M. (2016). Payment models and net present value optimization for resource-constrained project scheduling. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 91, 139–153.
- Li, B., Akintoye, A., Edwards, P. J., and Hardcastle, C. (2005). Critical success factors for PPP/PFI projects in the UK construction industry. *Construction management and economics*, 23(5), 459-471.
- Li, J., & Zou, P. (2008). Risk identification and assessment in PPP infrastructure projects using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process and life-cycle methodology. *Construction Economics and Building*, 8(1), 34-48.
- Li, J., Zhang, J., & Suo, W. (2019). Risk assessment in cross-border transport infrastructure projects: a fuzzy hybrid method considering dual interdependent effects. *Information Sciences*, 488, 140-157.
- Li, W., Yu, S., Pei, H., Zhao, C., Tian, B., (2017). A hybrid approach based on fuzzy AHP and 2-tuple fuzzy linguistic method for evaluation in-flight service quality. *Journal of Air Transport Management*. Vol.60(3) pp.49-64.

- Lim, G., Ahn, H., & Lee, H. (2005). Formulating strategies for stakeholder management: a case-based reasoning approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 28(4), 831-840.
- Lin Moe, T., and Pathranarakul, P. (2006). An integrated approach to natural disaster management: public project management and its critical success factors. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 15(3), 396-413.
- Linthicum, A. S., & Lambert, J. H. (2010). Risk management for infrastructure corridors vulnerable to adjacent land development. *Journal of Risk Research*, 13(8), 983-1006.
- Liu, S., Zhang, J., Keil, M., & Chen, T. (2010). Comparing senior executive and project manager perceptions of IT project risk: a Chinese Delphi study. *Information Systems Journal*, 20(4), 319-355.
- Lixandru, C. G. (2016). Supplier quality management for component introduction in the automotive industry. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 221, 423-432.
- Lo, T.Y., Fung, I.W., Tung, K.C., (2006). Construction delays in Hong Kong civil engineering projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering Management*. Vol.132(1), pp. 636–649. Mentis, M., (2015). Managing project risks and uncertainties. *For. Ecosystems* Vol.2(3), pp.1–14.
- Lockamy, A., & McCormack, K. (2012). Modeling supplier risks using Bayesian networks. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
- Locke, E. A. & Latham, G. P. (1990) Work motivation and satisfaction: Light at the end of the tunnel *Psychological science* 17(2). 181-195
- Long, N. D., Ogunlana, S., Quang, T., & Lam, K. C. (2004). Large construction projects in developing countries: a case study from Vietnam. *International Journal of project management*, 22(7), 553-561.
- Love, P. E., Sing, C. P., Wang, X., Irani, Z., & Thwala, D. W. (2014). Overruns in transportation infrastructure projects. *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 10(2), 141-159.
- Lyu, H. M., Shen, S. L., Zhou, A., & Yang, J. (2019). Risk assessment of mega-city infrastructures related to land subsidence using improved trapezoidal FAHP. *Science of The Total Environment*, 135310.
- Mahendra, P. A., Pitroda, J. R., & Bhavsar, J. J. (2013). A study of risk management techniques for construction projects in developing countries. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 3(5), 139-142.
- Maniar, H. (2010). risk analysis of infrastructure projects: a case study on build-operate-transfer projects in India. *IUP Journal of Financial Risk management*, 7(4), 34.

- Manuj, I., & Mentzer, J. T. (2008). Global supply chain risk management strategies. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*.
- Marcelino-Sádaba, S., Pérez-Ezcurdia, A., Lazcano, A. M. E., & Villanueva, P. (2014). Project risk management methodology for small firms. *International journal of project management*, 32(2), 327-340.
- March, J. G., & Shapira, Z. (1987). Managerial perspectives on risk and risk taking. *Management science*, 33(11), 1404-1418.
- Marques, R. C., & Berg, S. (2010). Revisiting the strengths and limitations of regulatory contracts in infrastructure industries. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 16(4), 334-342.
- Marshall, C., & Siegel, M. (1996). Value-at-Risk: Implementing a risk measurement standard.
- Mathew, S. K., & Aundhe, M. D. (2011). Identifying vendor risks in remote infrastructure management services. *Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research*, 13(4), 32-50.
- Maylor, H., Vidgen, R., and Carver, S. (2008). Managerial complexity in project-based operations: A grounded model and its implications for practice. *Project Management Journal*, 39(1_suppl), S15-S26.
- McConnell, J., Nunnally, B. K., & McGarvey, B. (2011). Quality Risk Management and Variability Reduction. *Journal of Validation Technology*, 17(3).
- McHenry, M. P. (2013). Technical and governance considerations for advanced metering infrastructure/smart meters: Technology, security, uncertainty, costs, benefits, and risks. *Energy Policy*, 59, 834-842.
- Menches, C. L., Hanna, A. S., Nordheim, E. V., & Russell, J. S. (2008). Impact of pre-construction planning and project characteristics on performance in the US electrical construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(8), 855-869.
- Mentis, M. (2015). Managing project risks and uncertainties. *Forest ecosystems*, 2(1), 2.
- Miller, R., & Lessard, D. R. (2007). Evolving strategy: risk management and the shaping of large engineering projects.
- Mishra, D., Sharma, R. R. K., Kumar, S., & Dubey, R. (2016). Bridging and buffering: Strategies for mitigating supply risk and improving supply chain performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 180, 183-197.
- Mital, M., Del Giudice, M., & Papa, A. (2018). Comparing supply chain risks for multiple product categories with cognitive mapping and analytic hierarchy process. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 131, 159-170.

- Mitra, K., Gudi, R. D., Patwardhan, S. C., & Sardar, G. (2009). Towards resilient supply chains: Uncertainty analysis using fuzzy mathematical programming. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 87(7), 967-981.
- Mohammadipour, F., and Sadjadi, S. J. (2016). Project cost-quality-risk tradeoff analysis in a time-constrained problem. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 95, 111–121.
- Monetti, E., Rosa, S. A., & Rocha, R. M. (2006). The practice of project risk management in government projects: A case study in Sao Paulo City. *Construction in Developing Economies: New Issues and Challenges. Santiago, Chile (18—20 January 2006)*.
- Moza N., Sohal, A. S., Hawas, Y. E., & Fildes, B. (2014). Project Management, Infrastructure Development and Stakeholder Engagement: A Case Study from the UAE. *Procedia Technology*, 16, 988-991.
- Mu, R., De Jong, M., and Koppenjan, J. (2011). The rise and fall of Public-Private Partnerships in China: a path-dependent approach. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 19(4), 794-806.
- Mu, S., Cheng, H., Chohr, M., & Peng, W. (2014). Assessing risk management capability of contractors in subway projects in mainland China. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(3), 452-460.
- Mukhopadhyay, C. (2018). Is the Delhi Mumbai Industrial Corridor (DMIC) an Emerging ‘Megaregion’ in India?. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 19(2), 305-309.
- Murray, S. L., Grantham, K., & Damle, S. B. (2011). Development of a generic risk matrix to manage project risks. *Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*, 5(1), 35-51.
- Murugesan, R. (2012). Leadership Dimensions for Engineering Project Success. *IUP Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 11(4).
- Mustafa, M. A., & Al-Bahar, J. F. (1991). Project risk assessment using the analytic hierarchy process. *IEEE transactions on engineering management*, 38(1), 46-52.
- Narasimhan, R., & Talluri, S. (2009). Perspectives on risk management in supply chains.
- Netica-Miñana, E. (2016). Improving ecosystem services modelling: Insights from a Bayesian network tools review. *Environmental modelling & software*, 85, 184-201.
- Netjasov, F., Janic, M., (2008). A review of research on risk and safety modelling in civil aviation. *Journal of Air Transport Management*. Vol.14(4), pp.213-220.
- Ng, A., & Loosemore, M. (2007). Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(1), 66-76.

- Ng, A., & Loosemore, M. (2007). Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(1), 66-76.
- Nigim, K. A., Suryanarayanan, S., Gorur, R., & Farmer, R. G. (2003). The application of analytic hierarchy process to analyze the impact of hidden failures in special protection schemes. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 67(3), 191-196.
- Niglas, K. (2009). How the novice researcher can make sense of mixed methods designs. *International Journal of Multiple Research Approaches*, 3(1), 34-46.
- Oey, E., & Nofrimurti, M. (2018). Lean implementation in traditional distributor warehouse-a case study in an FMCG company in Indonesia. *International Journal of Process Management and Benchmarking*, Vol.8(1), pp. 1-15.
- Ogunlana, S., Lim, J., & Saeed, K. (1998). Desman: A dynamic model for managing civil engineering design projects. *Computers & structures*, Vol.67(5), pp. 401-419.
- Olander, S., and Landin, A. (2005). Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects. *International journal of project management*, Vol.23(4), 321-328.
- Olsson, N. O. (2006). Management of flexibility in projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.24(1), 66-74.
- Opricovic, S., & Tzeng, G. H. (2004). Compromise solution by MCDM methods: A comparative analysis of VIKOR and TOPSIS. *European journal of operational research*, Vol. 156(2), pp.445-455.
- Osipova, E., and Eriksson, P. E. (2011). How procurement options influence risk management in construction projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(11), 1149-1158.
- Osipova, E., and Eriksson, P. E. (2013). Balancing control and flexibility in joint risk management: Lessons learned from two construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.31(3), 391-399.
- Owens, S. (2006). Making a difference? Some perspectives on environmental research and policy. *Transactions of the institute of British Geographers*, Vol.30(3), 287-292.
- Pai, R. R., Kallepalli, V. R., Caudill, R. J., & Zhou, M. (2003, October). Methods toward supply chain risk analysis. In *SMC'03 Conference Proceedings. 2003 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. Conference Theme-System Security and Assurance (Cat. No. 03CH37483)* (Vol. 5, pp. 4560-4565). IEEE.
- Papadaki, M., Gale, A. W., Rimmer, J. R., Kirkham, R. J., Taylor, A., & Brown, M. (2014). Essential factors that increase the effectiveness of project/programme risk management. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 921-930.
- Papadimitriou, E., Filtness, A., Theofilatos, A., Ziakopoulos, A., Quigley, C., & Yannis, G. (2019). Review and ranking of crash risk factors related

- to the road infrastructure. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol.125(3), pp. 85-97.
- Paskov, S., & Traub, J. F. (1996). Faster valuation of financial derivatives.
- Payne, J. H., & Turner, J. R. (1999). Company-wide project management: the planning and control of programmes of projects of different type. *International journal of project management*, 17(1), 55-59.
- Peixoto, J., Tereso, A., Fernandes, G., & Almeida, R. (2014). Project risk management methodology: a case study of an electric energy organization. *Procedia technology*, 16, 1096-1105.
- Phogat, M. V. S., and Singh, A. P. (2013). Selection of equipment for construction of a hilly road using multi criteria approach. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 104, 282-291.
- Pickavance, K. (2005). Delay and Disruption in Construction Contracts, LLP.
- Pineda, P. J. G., Liou, J. J., Hsu, C. C., & Chuang, Y. C. (2018). An integrated MCDM model for improving airline operational and financial performance. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vo.68, No.4, pp. 103-117.
- Pitsis, T. S., Sankaran, S., Gudergan, S., & Clegg, S. R. (2014). Governing projects under complexity: theory and practice in project management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1285-1290.
- PMI, A. (2013). guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide). In *Project Management Institute* (Vol. 5).
- Pmi, S. C. (2008). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK)(2008 ed.). *Newton Square, PA, USA (Project Management Institute)*.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(5), 879.
- Proag, S. L., & Proag, V. (2014). A framework for risk assessment. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 18, 206-213.
- Purnus, A., & Bodea, C. N. (2014). Correlation between time and cost in a quantitative risk analysis of construction projects. *Procedia Engineering*, 85, 436-445.
- Purnus, A., & Bodea, C. N. (2014). Project prioritization and portfolio performance measurement in project oriented organizations. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 339-348.
- Purnuş, A., & Bodea, C. N. (2015). Educational simulation in construction project financial risks management. *Procedia Engineering*, 123, 449-461.
- Qiao, L., Wang, S.Q., Tiong, R.L.K. and Chan, T.S. (2001) Framework for critical success factors of BOT projects in China. *Journal of Project Finance*, 7(1), 53–61.

- Ramjerdi, F., & Fearnley, N. (2014). Risk and irreversibility of transport interventions. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 60, 31-39.
- Raut, R. D., Narkhede, B., & Gardas, B. B. (2017). To identify the critical success factors of sustainable supply chain management practices in the context of oil and gas industries: ISM approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 68, 33-47.
- Raychaudhuri, S. (2008, December). Introduction to monte carlo simulation. In *2008 Winter simulation conference* (pp. 91-100). IEEE.
- Raz, T., & Michael, E. (2001). Use and benefits of tools for project risk management. *International journal of project management*, 19(1), 9-17.
- Reilly, J. J., & Arrigoni, G. A. (2005). Management and Control of Cost and Risk for Tunneling and Infrastructure Projects, in China perspective, for the South to North Great Western Diversion.
- Renuka, S., Umarani, C., Kamal, S., (2014). A review on critical risk factors in the life cycle of construction projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Vol.4(4), pp.31–36.
- Reve, T., and Levitt, R. E. (1984). Organization and governance in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 2(1), 17-25.
- Rezaee, M. J., & Yousefi, S. (2018). An intelligent decision making approach for identifying and analyzing airport risks. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol.64, pp. 14-27.
- Rezaei, J., Hemmes, A., Tavasszy, L. (2017) Multi-criteria decision-making for complex bundling configurations in surface transportation of air freight. *Journal Air Transport. Management*. Vol.61(4) ,pp. 95–105.
- Rezaei, J., (2015). Best-worst multi-criteria decision-making method. *Omega* 53, 49-57.
- Rezaei, J., (2016). Best-worst multi-criteria decision-making method: some properties and a linear model. *Omega* 64, 126-130.
- Rodrigues-da-Silva, L. H., & Crispim, J. A. (2014). The project risk management process, a preliminary study. *Procedia technology*, 16, 943-949.
- Rolstadås, A., & Johansen, A. (2008). From protective to offensive project management. Project Management Institute. United Kingdom.
- Rose, K. H. (2013). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK® Guide)—Fifth Edition. *Project management journal*, 44(3), e1-e1.
- Roy, B., (1991). The outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods. *Theory Decision*. 31 (1), 49-73.
- Royer, P. S. (2000). Risk management: The undiscovered dimension of project management. *Project Management Journal*, 31(1), 6-13.
- Ruster, J. (1996). Mitigating commercial risks in project finance. *Public Policy for the Private Sector, The World Bank, Note*, (69).

Rwegoshora, H. M. (2016). *A guide to social science research*. Mkuki na Nyota publishers.

Rydén, L., Standl, E., Bartnik, M., Van den Berghe, G., Betteridge, J., De Boer, M. J., ... & Priori, S. (2007). Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases: executive summary: The Task Force on Diabetes and Cardiovascular Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *European heart journal*, 28(1), 88-136.

Saaty, T. L. (1996). Decision making with dependence and feedback: *The analytic network process* (Vol. 4922). RWS Publ.

Saaty, T.L., (2000). Fundamentals of decision making and priority theory with the analytic hierarchy process. Rws Publications, United Kingdom.

Saaty, T. L. (2008). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *International journal of services sciences*, Vo.1, No.1, pp.83-98.

Saaty, T.L., (1990). An exposition of the AHP in reply to the paper “remarks on the analytic hierarchy process”. *Management. Science* 36 (3), 259-268.

Saaty, T.L., (1996). Decision Making with Dependence and Feedback: the Analytic Network Process, vol. 4922. RWS publications, Pittsburgh.

Sachs, T., Tiong, R. L., & Wagner, D. (2008). The quantification and financial impact of political risk perceptions on infrastructure projects in Asia. *The Journal of Structured Finance*, 13(4), 80-104.

Sadeghi, N., Fayek, A. R., & Pedrycz, W. (2010). Fuzzy Monte Carlo simulation and risk assessment in construction. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 25(4), 238-252.

Said, H., & El-Rayes, K. (2010). Optimizing the planning of construction site security for critical infrastructure projects. *Automation in Construction*, 19(2), 221-234.

Salawu, R. A., & Abdullah, F. (2015). Assessing risk management maturity of construction organisations on infrastructural project delivery in Nigeria. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172, 643-650.

San Santoso, D., Ogunlana, S. O., & Minato, T. (2003). Assessment of risks in high rise building construction in Jakarta. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol.10(1), pp. 43-55.

Sanchez-Cazorla, A., Alfalla-Luque, R., & Irimia-Diequez, A. I. (2016). Risk identification in megaprojects as a crucial phase of risk management: a literature review. *Project Management Journal*, 47(6), 75-93.

Sarkar, D., Sharma, S. & Gupta, D. (2012). Agile processes and methodologies: A conceptual study. *International journal on computer science and Engineering*, 4(5), 892.

- Sarker, B. R., Egbelu, P. J., Liao, T. W., and Yu, J. (2012). Planning and design models for construction industry: A critical survey. *Automation in Construction*, 22, 123–134.
- Sastoque, L. M., Arboleda, C. A., & Ponz, J. L. (2016). A proposal for risk allocation in social infrastructure projects applying PPP in Colombia. *Procedia Engineering*, 145, 1354-1361.
- Satya-Lekh, P. & Virendra, P. (2014). A framework for Risk Assessment. *Procedia Economics and Finance*. 18:206 – 213.
- Schatteman, D., Herroelen, W., Van de Vonder, S., & Boone, A. (2008). Methodology for integrated risk management and proactive scheduling of construction projects. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 134(11), 885-893.
- Schmitt, T., and Faaland, B. (2004). Scheduling recurrent construction. *Naval Project Logistics*, 51(8), 1102–1128.
- Scotland, A. (2011). *Scotland's Public Finances: Addressing the Challenge*. Audit Scotland.
- Seck, M., Rabadi, G., & Koestler, C. (2015). A simulation-based approach to risk assessment and mitigation in supply chain networks. *Procedia Computer Science*, 61, 98-104.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Serpella, A. F., Ferrada, X., Howard, R., & Rubio, L. (2014). Risk management in construction projects: a knowledge-based approach. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 653-662.
- Serra, C. E. M., & Kunc, M. (2015). Benefits realisation management and its influence on project success and on the execution of business strategies. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(1), 53-66.
- Sharma, S., & Goyal, P. K. (2014). Cost overrun factors and project cost risk assessment in construction industry-a state of the art review. *International Journal of Civil Engineering (IJCE) Vol. 3*, 139-154.
- Sigmund, Z., & Radujković, M. (2014). Risk breakdown structure for construction projects on existing buildings. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 894-901.
- Smith, P. (2014). Project cost management-global issues and challenges. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 119, 485-494.
- Srivastava, A. (2011). A Study of Working Capital Management of Hisar Project: Reliance Infrastructure Limited, India. *IUP Journal of Infrastructure*, 9(2).
- Tah, J. H., & Carr, V. (2000). A proposal for construction project risk assessment using fuzzy logic. *Construction Management & Economics*, 18(4), 491-500.

Taiwanese airlines. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol.53(1), pp. 177-185.

Tamošaitienė, J., Zavadskas, E. K., & Turskis, Z. (2013). Multi-criteria risk assessment of a construction project. *Procedia Computer Science*, 17, 129-133.

Tang, C., Yi, Y., Yang, Z., & Sun, J. (2016). Risk forecasting of pollution accidents based on an integrated Bayesian Network and water quality model for the South to North Water Transfer Project. *Ecological Engineering*, 96, 109-116.

Tang, L., Shen, Q., & Cheng, E. W. (2010). A review of studies on public-private partnership projects in the construction industry. *International journal of project management*, 28(7), 683-694.

Tavares, L. V. (1998). A review of the contribution of operational research to project management. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 136(1), 1-18.

Tavares, L. V., Ferreira, J. A., & Coelho, J. S. (1998). On the optimal management of project risk. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 107(2), 451-469.

Taylan, O., Bafail, A. O., Abdulaal, R. M., & Kabli, M. R. (2014). Construction projects selection and risk assessment by fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methodologies. *Applied Soft Computing*, 17, 105-116.

Thamhain, H. (2013). Managing risks in complex projects. *Project management journal*, 44(2), 20-35.

Tiong, R. L., & Alum, J. (1997). Financial commitments for BOT projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 15(2), 73-78.

Tiong, R. L., Yeo, K. T., & McCarthy, S. C. (1992). Critical success factors in winning BOT contracts. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 118(2), 217-228.

Tjader, Y., May, J.H., Shang, J., Vargas, L.G., Gao, N., (2014). Firm-level outsourcing decision making: a balanced scorecard-based analytic network process model. *International Journal Production Economics* Vol.147(3), pp. 614–623.

Touran, A. (2003). Probabilistic model for cost contingency. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 129(3), 280-284.

Tsamboulas, D., Verma, A., and Moraiti, P. (2013). Transport infrastructure provision and operations: Why should governments choose private-public partnership?. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 38(1), 122-127.

Tuncel, G., & Alpan, G. (2010). Risk assessment and management for supply chain networks: A case study. *Computers in industry*, 61(3), 250-259.

Turner, J. R., & Cochrane, R. A. (1993). Goals-and-methods matrix: coping with projects with ill defined goals and/or methods of achieving them. *International Journal of project management*, 11(2), 93-102.

- Turner, J.R., 2009. The Handbook of Project-based Management: Leading Strategic Change in Organizations. McGraw-Hill, London.
- Tzeng, G. H., & Huang, J. J. (2011). *Multiple attribute decision making: methods and applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Uher, T. E., & Toakley, A. R. (1999). Risk management in the conceptual phase of a project. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(3), 161-169.
- Van de Graaf, T., Sovacool, B.K., (2014). Thinking big: politics, progress, and security in the management of Asian and European energy megaprojects. *Energy Policy* Vol.74(3) pp. 16–27.
- Van Os, A., Van Berkel, F., De Gilder, D., Van Dyck, C., & Groenewegen, P. (2015). Project risk as identity threat: Explaining the development and consequences of risk discourse in an infrastructure project. *International journal of project management*, 33(4), 877-888.
- Vidal, L. A., and Marle, F. (2008). Understanding project complexity: implications on project management. *Kybernetes*, 37(8), 1094-1110.
- Vinten, G. (2000). The stakeholder manager. *Management Decision*, 38(6), 377-383.
- Vit, G. B. (2011). Competing logics: Project failure in Gaspesia. *European Management Journal*, Vol. 29(3), pp. 234-244.
- Wang, W. C., Liu, J. J., & Chou, S. C. (2006). Simulation-based safety evaluation model integrated with network schedule. *Automation in construction*, Vol.15, No.3, pp. 341-354.
- Wang, J., & Sun, Y. (2012). The intuitionistic fuzzy sets on evaluation of risks in projects of energy management contract. *Systems Engineering Procedia*, 3, 30-35.
- Wang, J., & Yuan, H. (2016). System dynamics approach for investigating the risk effects on schedule delay in infrastructure projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 33(1), 04016029.
- Wang, S. Q., Tiong, R. L., Ting, S. K., & Ashley, D. (2000). Evaluation and management of foreign exchange and revenue risks in China's BOT projects. *Construction Management & Economics*, 18(2), 197-207.
- Wang, S., Chan, A. P., & Lam, P. T. (2010). Preferred risk allocation in China's public-private partnership (PPP) projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.28(5), pp. 482- 492.
- Wang, T., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Huang, Z., & Li, Y. (2016). A major infrastructure risk-assessment framework: Application to a cross-sea route project in China. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(7), 1403-1415
- Ward, S., & Chapman, C. (2003). Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. *International journal of project management*, 21(2), 97-105.

- Ward, S., and Chapman, C. (2008). Stakeholders and uncertainty management in projects. *Construction management and economics*, 26(6), 563-577.
- Webster, M. (2006). Merriam-Webster online dictionary. Retrieved on, 5(5), 2016.
- Wibowo, A., Permana, A., Kochendörfer, B., Kiong, R. T. L., Jacob, D., and Neunzehn, D. (2012). Modeling contingent liabilities arising from government guarantees in Indonesian BOT/PPP toll roads. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 138(12), 1403-1410.
- Wilke, S., Majumdar, A., Ochieng, W.Y., (2014). Airport surface operations: a holistic framework for operations modeling and risk management. *Safety Science* Vol.63(3), pp. 18-33.
- Williams, R. C., Pandelios, G. J., & Behrens, S. G. (1999). *Software Risk Evaluation (SRE) Method Description: Version 2.0*. Carnegie Mellon University, Software Engineering Institute.
- Williams, T. M. (1999). The need for new paradigms for complex projects. *International journal of project management*, 17(5), 269-273.
- Winston, B. E., (2006). An integrative definition of leadership. *International journal of leadership studies*, 1(2), 6-66.
- Wolbers, M. C. (2009). *Application of risk management in Public Works organisations in Chile* (Bachelor's thesis, University of Twente).
- Woodberry, O. G , Pollino, C., Nicholson, A. E., & Korb, K. B. (2005). Parameterising Bayesian Networks: A case study in ecological risk assessment. In *International Conference on Simulation and Modeling* (pp. 289-297). Asian Institute of Technology.
- Woodberry, O., Nicholson, A. E., Korb, K. B., & Pollino, C. (2004, December). Parameterising bayesian networks. In *Australasian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 1101-1107). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Woody, W. B., & Pourian, H. (1992). Risk assessment and options in project finance. Project Management Institute.
- Wu, M. (2007). Topsis-AHP simulation model and its application to supply chain management. *World Journal of Modelling and Simulation*, 3(3), 196-201.
- Wu, Y., Song, Z., Li, L., & Xu, R. (2018). Risk management of public-private partnership charging infrastructure projects in China based on a three-dimension framework. *Energy*, Vol.165, No.1, pp. 1089-1101.
- Xenidis, Y., & Angelides, D. (2005). The financial risks in build-operate-transfer projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, 23(4), 431-441.
- Xenidis, Y., and Stavrakas, E. (2013). Risk based budgeting of infrastructure projects. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 74, 478-487.

- Xu, X., Wang, J., Li, C. Z., Huang, W., & Xia, N. (2018). Schedule risk analysis of infrastructure projects: A hybrid dynamic approach. *Automation in Construction*, 95, 20-34.
- Xu, Y., Yeung, J. F., Chan, A. P., Chan, D. W., Wang, S. Q., & Ke, Y. (2010). Developing a risk assessment model for PPP projects in China—A fuzzy synthetic evaluation approach. *Automation in Construction*, 19(7), 929-943.
- Yang, J.-B., Wei, P.-R., (2010). Causes of delay in the planning and design phases for construction projects. *J. Archit. Eng.* Vol.16(2), pp. 80–83.
- Yeh, T.-M., Huang, Y.-L., 2014. Factors in determining wind farm location: integrating GQM, fuzzy DEMATEL, and ANP. *Renewable Energy* Vol.66(4), pp. 159–169.
- Yeo, K. T., & Tiong, R. L. (2000). Positive management of differences for risk reduction in BOT projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol.18(4), 257-265.
- Yescombe, E. R. (2002). *Principles of project finance*. Elsevier.
- Yildiz, A. E., Dikmen, I., Birgonul, M. T., Ercoskun, K., & Alten, S. (2014). A knowledge-based risk mapping tool for cost estimation of international construction projects. *Automation in Construction*, 43, 144-155.
- Zavadskas, E. K., & Kaklauskas, A. (1996). Multiple criteria evaluation of buildings. *Vilnius, Lithuania*.
- Zayed, T. M., & Chang, L. M. (2002). Prototype model for build-operate-transfer risk assessment. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 18(1), 7-16.
- Zeng, J., An, M., & Smith, N. J. (2007). Application of a fuzzy based decision making methodology to construction project risk assessment. *International journal of project management*, 25(6), 589-600.
- Zhang, X., Bao, H., Wang, H., & Skitmore, M. (2016). A model for determining the optimal project life span and concession period of BOT projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(3), 523-532.
- Zhang, Y., Feng, Z., & Zhang, S. (2018). The effects of concession period structures on BOT road contracts. *Transportation research part A: policy and practice*, 107, 106-125.
- Zhi, H. (1995). Risk management for overseas construction projects. *International journal of project management*, 13(4), 231-237.
- Zietsman, D., & Vanderschuren, M. (2014). Analytic Hierarchy Process assessment for potential multi-airport systems—The case of Cape Town. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol.36(4), pp. 41-49.
- Zou, P. X., Wang, S., & Fang, D. (2008). A life-cycle risk management framework for PPP infrastructure projects. *Journal of financial management of property and construction*, 13(2), 123-142.

Zou, W., Kumaraswamy, M., Chung, J., & Wong, J. (2014). Identifying the critical success factors for relationship management in PPP projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(2), 265-274.

Zwikael, O. (2009). The relative importance of the PMBOK® Guide's nine Knowledge Areas during project planning. *Project Management Journal*, 40(4), 94-103.

Zwikael, O., Shimizu, K., & Globerson, S. (2005). Cultural differences in project management capabilities: A field study. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(6), 454-462.

Other Sources and Reports:

1. Mitigating Commercial Risks in Project Finance, Jeff Ruster Financial Specialist, Private Sector Development Department, World Bank Organisation
2. Identifying and managing project finance Risks: Overview (UK)
3. Phillip Fletcher, Partner, and Andrew Pendleton, Associate, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP and Practical Law Finance
4. <https://smallbusiness.chron.com/analysis-risk-management-project-finance-construction-industry-81074.html>
5. 'Guidelines for conceptual project proposals for toll revenue transportation projects' California Department of Transportation, California, USA (1990)
6. Guidelines for private sector participation in infrastructure provision 'New South Wales, Australia (1990)
7. World Bank Open Database – The World Bank Group
<https://data.worldbank.org/>
8. Indian Infrastructure Database (2019) - Department of Economic Affairs, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India
9. <https://www.infrastrucureIndia.gov.in> Public Private Partnership Projects Database - Department of Economic Affairs, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India
10. <https://www.pppinindia.gov.in> Toll Rate Notification published by National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) – Ministry of Road Transport and

Highways Open Government Data (OGD) Platform India – Government of India <https://data.gov.in/>

11. Sitharaman N., (2019).
<https://m.economictimes.com/news/economy/finance/a-1-4-trillion-india-spending-push-tops-modi-2-0-agenda/articleshow/69474790.cms>
12. IBEF Infrastructure Report, (2019). <https://www.ibef.org/>
13. RBI Report, (2011).
<https://www.rbi.org.in/scripts/AnnualReportPublications.aspx>
14. PWC Oxford Research, (2014). Future of India, The Winning Leap.
15. Standish Group Chaos Report, (2015).
https://www.standishgroup.com/sample_research_files/CHAOSReport2015-Final.pdf

APPENDIX

APPENDIX - 1

Risk Factor Assessment: Survey Questionnaire Sample Questionnaire to seek inputs for 133 Attributes

Investigating Risk Management Practices and Development of Strategic Risk Management Framework for Logistics Infrastructure Projects

Questionnaire - 1 Probability Impact Matrix for Risk Factors

Respondent Name:

Designation:

Organization:

Please put (X) in the appropriate box based on the rating you want to give to respective Risk Factor

Criteria	Sub-Criteria	Attributes/ Risk Factor	Risk Probability					Cost Risk Impact					Schedule Risk Impact					Quality Risk Impact								
			Very Low		Low		Moderate		High		Very High		Very Low		Low		Moderate		High		Very High		Very Low			
			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4
Project Planning	Pre-bid Assessment	Inadequate Project Feasibility Study	X										X													
		Ineffective Project Conceptualization		X										X												
		Inproper Socio-Economic Assessment			X										X											
Scope Assessment		Inadequate Project Scope Assessment				X										X										
		Improper Resource Planning					X										X									
Regulatory Framework		Applicable Laws and Policies						X										X								
		Political Scenario							X										X							
		Regulatory Approvals								X										X						
Time and Cost Assessment		Improper Project Schedule								X											X					
		Cost Assessment									X											X				
Risk Assessment		Inadequate Risks Assessment									X											X				
Site Conditions	Environmental	Unpredicted Weather Conditions										X											X			
		Pollution											X											X		
		Earthquake/ Natural Calamity												X											X	
		Precipitation/ Flood													X											X
Site Sub-surface		Unexpected Surface Conditions													X											X
		Archaeological survey done														X										X
		Inadequate Geo-technical investigation															X									X
Site Location		Construction area (rural/urban)															X									X
		Access conditions																X								X
		On-site congestion																X								X
		Delay in permits and licences																	X							X
		Security requirements																	X							X
		Safety regulation																		X						X

Input Sheet used to seek inputs from Experts

Risk ID	Risk Source	Risk / Risk Factors	Associated Risk Attributes	Risk Factor Probability Factor	Cost Impact (Risk Factor level)	Schedule Impact (Risk Factor level)	Quality Impact (risk Factor level)
R1	Project Planning	Pre-bid Assessment Planning	Inadequate Project Feasibility Study				
R2			Ineffective Project Conceptualization				
R3			Improper Socio-Economic Assessment				
R4		Scope Assessment Planning	Inadequate Project Scope Assessment				
R5			Improper Resource Planning				
R6		Regulatory Framework - Planning	Applicable Laws and Policies				
R7			Political Scenario				
R8			Regulatory Approvals				
R9		Timeline Assessment Planning	Improper Project Schedule				
R10		Cost Assessment Planning	Cost Assessment				
R11		Risk Assessment Planning	Inadequate Risks Assessment				
R12	Site Conditions	Site Conditions - Environmental Risk	Unpredicted Weather Conditions				
R13			Pollution				
R14			Earthquake/ Natural Calamity				
R15			Precipitation/ Flood				
R16		Site Conditions - Sub-surface	Unexpected Surface conditions				
R17			Archeological survey done				
R18			Inadequate Geo-technical investigation				
R19		Site Conditions - Location	Construction area (rural/urban)				
R20			Access conditions				
R21			On-site congestion				
R22			Delay in permits and licenses				
R23			Security requirements				
R24			Safety regulation				
R25	Resources - Risks	Resources - Labor Risk	Labor Skills Level/ Productivity				
R26			Labor Availability				
R27			Labor disputes				
R28			Labor Accidents				
R29			Human Resource Planning				
R30			Working hours restrictions				
R31		Resources - Equipment Risk	Availability of new and effective Construction Technology				

Risk ID	Risk Source	Risk / Risk Factors	Associated Risk Attributes	Risk Factor Probability Factor	Cost Impact (Risk Factor level)	Schedule Impact (Risk Factor level)	Quality Impact (Risk Factor level)
R32			Availability of efficient equipment onsite				
R33			Availability Of Equipment Suppliers				
R34			Equipment Maintenance				
R35		Resources - Material Risk	Material Delivery				
R36			Material Shortage				
R37			Material Theft and Damage				
R38			Non-Conforming material and Rejection				
R39			Material Monopoly				
R40			Nominated vendors				
R41			Availability of Vendors				
R42			Material Procurement				
R43			Material price escalations				
R44	Project Parties	Project Parties - Project Owner Engagement	Owner Type (Public/ Private/ PPP)				
R45			Management Strategy				
R46			Organization Structure				
R47			Management Involvement and Control				
R48			Standing in the Industry				
R49		Project Parties - Engineering and Design Team	Team Experience				
R50			Project Goal clarity				
R51			Complexity of design				
R52			Ad-hoc Consultants				
R53			Design Error				
R54			Experienced Design Agency				
R55			Contractor pre-qualified				
R56			Use of New Technology				
R57			Quality of Work				
R58			Skilled Resource Availability				
R59			No. of Subcontractors				
R60			Contractor Reputation				
R61			Speed of Execution				
R62			No. of Current Projects being				

Risk ID	Risk Source	Risk / Risk Factors	Associated Risk Attributes	Risk Factor Probability Factor	Cost Impact (Risk Factor level)	Schedule Impact (Risk Factor level)	Quality Impact (Risk Factor level)
R63			handled				
R64			Resource Utilization	Non-Compliance to Contractual Commitments (PPP)	Deployed Resource Experience		
R65		Project Parties - Project Management (PMC)		Quality Assurances			
R66				Scope Adherence checks			
R67				Resource Availability for the Project			
R68				Type of Contract			
R69				Speed of Execution			
R70				Social Issues in land acquisition			
R71	Project Features	Land Acquisition Risk		Difficulties in Compensation			
R72				Land Availability			
R73				Government Support			
R74				Fluctuation in Prices			
R75		Financial Risk		Invoice delay			
R76				Change in Currency Rate			
R77				Owner Financial Capacity			
R78				Timely Progress Payment			
R79				Rate of Interest Volatility			
R80				Tax Rate Volatility			
R81				Project Size			
R82				Equity Risk			
R83				Liquidity Risk			
R84				Labor rate sensitivity			
R85				Poor financial Market Design deficiency			
R86				Funding Constraints			
R87				Ineffective financial management of projects			
R88				Bribery and Construction			
R89		Political Risk		Wars and Revolutions			
R90				Changes in Law and Regulations			
R91				Change in Political Leadership/			
R92							

Risk ID	Risk Source	Risk / Risk Factors	Associated Risk Attributes	Risk Factor Probability Factor	Cost Impact (Risk Factor level)	Schedule Impact (Risk Factor level)	Quality Impact (risk Factor level)
R93		Socio-Economic Risk	Scenario				
R94			Language/ Cultural barrier public opposition				
R95			Law and Order				
R96		Regulatory Risk	Non-compliance with regulatory, contractual or quality requirements; Requirement for permits and their approval				
R97			delay in regulatory approvals				
R98			Unpredictive Regulatory Policies				
R99		Legal Risk	Litigations during Project Execution				
R100			Litigations Operationalization				
R101			Contractual Requirements, Conditions				
R102		Commercial Risk	Transparency in Contract Evaluation and Award Process				
R103			Dispute among the Process Owners and Contractors, Litigations				
R104			Contract Variations				
R105			Non-Compliance to Contractual Commitments (PPP)				
R106		Technical Risk	Incomplete Design				
R107			Inadequate Specification				
R108			Inadequate Site Investigation				
R109			Change in Scope				
R110			Too many Design changes				
R111			Unproven Engineering and Construction Techniques				
R112			Inefficient Construction Procedures				
R113			Insufficient Resource Availability				
R114			Non-Compliance to Quality Requirements				
R115							
R116		Project Schedule Risk	Fast Track Schedule				
R117			Project Duration				
R118		Project Management Risk	Ineffective project planning and project monitoring				

Risk ID	Risk Source	Risk / Risk Factors	Associated Risk Attributes	Risk Factor Probability Factor	Cost Impact (Risk Factor level)	Schedule Impact (Risk Factor level)	Quality Impact (Risk Factor level)
R119			Time and cost escalation on account of ineffective resource utilization				
R120			Management of Schedule Risks				
R121			Unavailability of skilled Project Managers and Contractors				
R122			Estimate Completeness				
R123			Productivity of Resources				
R124	Force Majeure Risk		Damage to Structure, Sabotage				
R125			Natural Disaster				
R126			Wars and Revolutions				
R127	Operations	Operations and Management Risk	Operator Incompetence				
R128			High Operating Cost				
R129			Operational Quality or Capacity				
R130			Economic Viability				
R131	Market	Customer Risk	Demand Risk				
R132			Social Impact Risk				
R133			Market Environment Risk				

APPENDIX 2

Paired Comparison Matrix for Risk Assessment through ANP Technique

Table 1 : Pair wise comparison matrix with respect to construction

Construction	Contractual	Customer	Design	Financial	Force Maj	Labour	Legal	Operation
Contractual	1	2	0.8	1	4	1.333333	2	1
Customer	0.5	1	0.4	0.5	2	0.666667	1	0.5
Design	1.25	2.5	1	1.25	5	1.666667	2.5	1.25
Financial	1	2	0.8	1	4	1.333333	2	1
Force Majeure	0.25	0.5	0.2	0.25	1	0.333333	0.5	0.25
Labour	0.75	1.499999	0.6	0.75	3.000003	1	1.499999	0.75
Legal	0.5	1	0.4	0.5	2	0.666667	1	0.5
Operation	1	2	0.8	1	4	1.333333	2	1

Table 2 : Paired comparison with respect to Contractual

Contractual	Construction	Customer	Design	Financial	Force Maj	Labour	Legal	Operation
Construction	1	1.5	0.6	0.75	1	0.75	1	0.75
Customer	0.666667	1	0.4	0.5	0.666667	0.5	0.666667	0.5
Design	1.666667	2.5	1	1.25	1.666667	1.25	1.666667	1.25
Financial	1.333333	2	0.8	1	1.333333	1	1.333333	1
Force Majeure	1	1.499999	0.6	0.75	1	0.75	1	0.75
Labour	1.333333	2	0.8	1	1.333333	1	1.333333	1
Legal	1	1.499999	0.6	0.75	1	0.75	1	0.75
Operation	1.333333	2	0.8	1	1.333333	1	1.333333	1

Table 3 : Paired comparison with respect to Customer

Customer	Construction	Contractual	Design	Financial	Force Maj	Labour	Legal	Operation
Construction	1	1.333333	4	4	0.8	4	1.333333	8
Contractual	0.750000188	1	3.000003	3.000003	0.6	3	1	5.999988
Design	0.25	0.333333	1	1	0.2	1	0.333333	2
Financial	0.25	0.333333	1	1	0.2	1	0.333333	2
Force Majeure	1.25	1.666667	5	5	1	5	1.666667	10
Labour	0.25	0.333333	1	1	0.2	1	0.333333	2
Legal	0.750000188	1	3.000003	3.000003	0.6	3.000003	1	6
Operation	0.125	0.166667	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.166667	1

Table 4 : Paired comparison with respect to Operation

Operation	Construction	Contractual	Customer	Design	Financial	Force Majeure	Labour	Legal
Construction	1	2	1.333333	0.8	0.8	2	1.333333	0
Contractual	0.5	1	0.666667	0.4	0.4	1	0.666667	0
Customer	0.75	1.499999	1	0.6	0.6	1.5	1	0
Design	1.25	2.5	1.666667	1	1	2.5	1.666667	0
Financial	1.25	2.5	1.666667	1	1	2.5	1.666667	0
Force Majeure	0.5	1	0.666667	0.4	0.4	1	0.666667	0
Labour	0.75	1.499999	1	0.6	0.6	1.499999	1	0
Legal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Table 5 : Paired comparison with respect to Design

Design	Construction	Contractual	Customer	Financial	Force Majeure	Labour	Legal	Operation
Construction	1	1.25	1.25	2.5	5	1.666667	1.25	2.5
Contractual	0.8	1	1	2	4	1.333333	1	2
Customer	0.8	1	1	2	4	1.333333	1	2
Financial	0.4	0.5	0.5	1	2	0.666667	0.5	1
Force Majeure	0.2	0.25	0.25	0.5	1	0.333333	0.25	0.5
Labour	0.6	0.75	0.75	1.499999	3.000003	1	0.75	1.499999
Legal	0.8	1	1	2	4	1.333333	1	2
Operation	0.4	0.5	0.5	1	2	0.666667	0.5	1

Table 6 : Paired comparison with respect to Financial

Financial	Construction	Contractual	Customer	Design	Force Majeure	Labour	Legal	Operation
Construction	1	0.25	0.333333	1	0.333333	0.2	0.2	0.4
Contractual	4	1	1.333333	4	1.333333	0.8	0.8	1.6
Customer	3.000003	0.75	1	3.000003	1	0.6	0.6	1.2
Design	1	0.25	0.333333	1	0.333333	0.2	0.2	0.4
Force Majeure	3.000003	0.75	1	3.000003	1	0.6	0.6	1.2
Labour	5	1.25	1.666667	5	1.666667	1	1	2
Legal	5	1.25	1.666667	5	1.666667	1	1	2
Operation	2.5	0.625	0.833333	2.5	0.833333	0.5	0.5	1

Table 7 : Paired comparison with respect to Force Majeure

Force Majeure	Construct	Contractual	Customer	Design	Financial	Labour	Legal	Operation
Construction	1	0.5	2	2	0.4	0.5	1	0.666667
Contractual	2	1	4	4	0.8	1	2	1.333333
Customer	0.5	0.25	1	1	0.2	0.25	0.5	0.333333
Design	0.5	0.25	1	1	0.2	0.25	0.5	0.333333
Financial	2.5	1.25	5	5	1	1.25	2.5	1.666667
Labour	2	1	4	4	0.8	1	2	1.333333
Legal	1	0.5	2	2	0.4	0.5	1	0.666667
Operation	1.499999	0.75	3.000003	3.000003	0.6	0.75	1.499999	1

Table 8 : Paired comparison with respect to Labor

Labour	Construct	Contractual	Customer	Design	Financial	Force Maj	Legal	Operation
Construction	1	1.666667	5	2	1.666667	5	1.25	1
Contractual	0.6	1	3.000003	1.2	1	3	0.75	0.6
Customer	0.2	0.333333	1	0.4	0.333333	1	0.25	0.2
Design	0.5	0.833333	2.5	1	0.833333	2.5	0.625	0.5
Financial	0.6	1	3.000003	1.2	1	3	0.75	0.6
Force Majeure	0.2	0.333333	1	0.4	0.333333	1	0.25	0.2
Legal	0.8	1.333333	4	1.6	1.333333	4	1	0.8
Operation	1	1.666667	5	2	1.666667	5	1.25	1

Table 9 : Paired comparison with respect to Legal

Legal	Construct	Contractual	Customer	Design	Financial	Force Maj	Labour	Operation
Construction	1	0.4	2	0.5	0.4	2	2	0.4
Contractual	2.5	1	5	1.25	1	5	5	1
Customer	0.5	0.2	1	0.25	0.2	1	1	0.2
Design	2	0.8	4	1	0.8	4	4	0.8
Financial	2.5	1	5	1.25	1	5	5	1
Force Majeure	0.5	0.2	1	0.25	0.2	1	1	0.2
Labour	0.5	0.2	1	0.25	0.2	1	1	0.2
Operation	2.5	1	5	1.25	1	5	5	1

APPENDIX 3

Conditional Probabilities for Critical Success Factors Modeling in Infrastructure Projects using Bayesian Belief Network

1. Root Nodes

EF- External Funding

RP- Project Proposal

RT- Project Team

DAM- Data Management

LM - Leadership and Management

OWC - Other Works Commitment

2. Nodes with Parents

RF - Resources and Facility

BA- Budget Allocation

SP - Schedule Planning

MM - Motivation and Morale

EROS - Clarity on Scope, Objectives, Requirements and Expectations

SR - Schedule Risk

3. Conditional Probabilities of Risk Factors

A. Conditional Probability of risk of Budget Allocation (BUA)

LM	Probability P (%)
L	35.38
H	60

B. Conditional Probability of risk of Clarity of Scope, Objectives, Requirements and Expectations (SCORE)

LM	Probability P (%)
L	23.08
H	65.38

C. Conditional Probability of risk of L Motivation and Morale

EROS	RP	RF	Probability P (%)
L	H	L	6.26
L	H	H	24.14
L	L	L	1.73
L	L	H	7.74
H	H	L	31.63
H	H	H	68.80
H	L	L	10.87
H	L	H	36.77
L	H	L	26.74
L	H	H	63.51
L	L	L	8.78
L	L	H	31.45
H	H	L	71.68
H	H	H	92.35

EROS	RP	RF	Probability P (%)
H	L	L	40.02
H	L	H	76.08

D. Conditional Probability of risk of Insufficient Resources and Facility

EF	BA	Probability P(%)
L	L	21.19
L	H	41.56
H	L	48.38
H	H	71.25

E. Conditional Probability of risk of improper Schedule Planning

LM	Probability P(%)
L	23.85
H	66.92

4. Query Variables

A. Conditional Probability of risk of not meeting objectives

RF	RT	MM	SR	RP	Probability P (%)
L	L	L	L	H	1.36
L	L	L	L	L	0.31
L	L	L	H	H	3.50
L	L	L	H	L	0.81
L	L	H	L	H	7.23
L	L	H	L	L	1.72
L	L	H	H	H	16.98
L	L	H	H	L	4.39
L	H	L	L	H	6.95
L	H	L	L	L	1.65
L	H	L	H	H	16.39
L	H	L	H	L	4.21
L	H	H	L	H	29.65
L	H	H	L	L	8.63
L	H	H	H	H	52.52
L	H	H	H	L	19.87
H	L	L	L	H	6.21
H	L	L	L	L	1.46
H	L	L	H	H	14.80

RF	RT	MM	SR	RP	Probability P (%)
H	L	L	H	L	3.75
H	L	H	L	H	27.20
H	L	H	L	L	7.73
H	L	H	H	H	49.51
H	L	H	H	L	18.02
H	H	L	L	H	26.36
H	H	L	L	L	7.43
H	H	L	H	H	48.45
H	H	L	H	L	17.40
H	H	H	L	H	66.89
H	H	H	L	L	31.17
H	H	H	H	H	84.13
H	H	H	H	L	54.32

B. Conditional Probability of Schedule risk

OWC	SP	RT	MM	RF	DAM	RP	Probability P (%)
H	L	L	L	L	H	H	50.86
H	L	L	L	L	H	L	19.25
H	L	L	L	L	L	H	26.09
H	L	L	L	L	L	L	7.52
H	L	L	L	H	H	H	72.84
H	L	L	L	H	H	L	38.18
H	L	L	L	H	L	H	47.77
H	L	L	L	H	L	L	17.40
H	L	L	H	L	H	H	82.61
H	L	L	H	L	H	L	52.24
H	L	L	H	L	L	H	61.84
H	L	L	H	L	L	L	27.17
H	L	L	H	H	H	H	92.49
H	L	L	H	H	H	L	73.92
H	L	L	H	H	L	H	80.76
H	L	L	H	H	L	L	49.16
H	L	H	L	L	H	H	81.97
H	L	H	L	L	H	L	51.14
H	L	H	L	L	L	H	60.79
H	L	H	L	L	L	L	26.31

OWC	SP	RT	MM	RF	DAM	RP	Probability P (%)
H	L	H	L	H	H	H	92.17
H	L	H	L	H	H	L	73.06
H	L	H	L	H	L	H	80.07
H	L	H	L	H	L	L	48.05
H	L	H	H	L	H	H	95.43
H	L	H	H	L	H	L	82.77
H	L	H	H	L	L	H	87.68
H	L	H	H	L	L	L	62.10
H	L	H	H	H	H	H	98.18
H	L	H	H	H	H	L	92.56
H	L	H	H	H	L	H	94.86
H	L	H	H	H	L	L	80.94
H	H	L	L	L	H	H	87.31
H	H	L	L	L	H	L	61.31
H	H	L	L	L	L	H	70.12
H	H	L	L	L	L	L	35.08
H	H	L	L	H	H	H	94.69
H	H	L	L	H	H	L	80.41
H	H	L	L	H	L	H	85.88
H	H	L	L	H	L	L	58.34
H	H	L	H	L	H	H	96.93

OWC	SP	RT	MM	RF	DAM	RP	Probability P (%)
H	H	L	H	L	H	L	87.91
H	H	L	H	L	L	H	91.51
H	H	L	H	L	L	L	71.27
H	H	L	H	H	H	H	98.79
H	H	L	H	H	H	L	94.96
H	H	L	H	H	L	H	96.54
H	H	L	H	H	L	L	86.54
H	H	H	L	L	H	H	96.80
H	H	H	L	L	H	L	87.43
H	H	H	L	L	L	H	91.16
H	H	H	L	L	L	L	70.36
H	H	H	L	H	H	H	98.74
H	H	H	L	H	H	L	94.75
H	H	H	L	H	L	H	96.39
H	H	H	L	H	L	L	86.01
H	H	H	H	L	H	H	99.28
H	H	H	H	L	H	L	96.96
H	H	H	H	L	L	H	97.93
H	H	H	H	L	L	L	91.59
H	H	H	H	H	H	H	99.72
H	H	H	H	H	H	L	98.81
H	H	H	H	H	L	H	99.19

OWC	SP	RT	MM	RF	DAM	RP	Probability P (%)
H	H	H	H	H	L	L	96.58
L	L	L	L	L	H	H	19.86
L	L	L	L	L	H	L	5.40
L	L	L	L	L	L	H	7.79
L	L	L	L	L	L	L	1.91
L	L	L	L	H	H	H	39.10
L	L	L	L	H	H	L	12.88
L	L	L	L	H	L	H	17.96
L	L	L	L	H	L	L	4.80
L	L	L	H	L	H	H	53.21
L	L	L	H	L	H	L	20.75
L	L	L	H	L	L	H	27.95
L	L	L	H	L	L	L	8.20
L	L	L	H	H	H	H	74.66
L	L	L	H	H	H	L	40.42
L	L	L	H	H	L	H	50.12
L	L	L	H	H	L	L	18.79
L	L	H	L	L	H	H	52.11
L	L	H	L	L	H	L	20.03
L	L	H	L	L	L	H	27.07
L	L	H	L	L	L	L	7.87
L	L	H	L	H	H	H	73.82

OWC	SP	RT	MM	RF	DAM	RP	Probability P (%)
L	L	H	L	H	H	L	39.36
L	L	H	L	H	L	H	49.02
L	L	H	L	H	L	L	18.13
L	L	H	H	L	H	H	83.32
L	L	H	H	L	H	L	53.49
L	L	H	H	L	L	H	63.01
L	L	H	H	L	L	L	28.17
L	L	H	H	H	H	H	92.83
L	L	H	H	H	H	L	74.87
L	L	H	H	H	L	H	81.53
L	L	H	H	H	L	L	50.41
L	H	L	L	L	H	H	62.22
L	H	L	L	L	H	L	27.50
L	H	L	L	L	L	H	35.97
L	H	L	L	L	L	L	11.45
L	H	L	L	H	H	H	81.02
L	H	L	L	H	H	L	49.56
L	H	L	L	H	L	H	59.28
L	H	L	L	H	L	L	25.10
L	H	L	H	L	H	H	88.32
L	H	L	H	L	H	L	63.51
L	H	L	H	L	L	H	72.05

OWC	SP	RT	MM	RF	DAM	RP	Probability P (%)
L	H	L	H	L	L	L	37.25
L	H	L	H	H	H	H	95.14
L	H	L	H	H	H	L	81.85
L	H	L	H	H	L	H	86.98
L	H	L	H	H	L	L	60.61
L	H	H	L	L	H	H	87.85
L	H	H	L	L	H	L	62.48
L	H	H	L	L	L	H	71.16
L	H	H	L	L	L	L	36.23
L	H	H	L	H	H	H	94.93
L	H	H	L	H	H	L	81.19
L	H	H	L	H	L	H	86.47
L	H	H	L	H	L	L	59.55
L	H	H	H	L	H	H	97.08
L	H	H	H	L	H	L	88.43
L	H	H	H	L	L	H	91.89
L	H	H	H	L	L	L	72.28
L	H	H	H	H	H	H	98.85
L	H	H	H	H	H	L	95.19
L	H	H	H	H	L	H	96.70
L	H	H	H	H	L	L	87.11

APPENDIX 4

Demography of Interviewee

Name of Organization:

Date:

Time:

Type of Project:

Size of Project:

1. Interviewee Details:

Designation:

Role/Responsibility of Interviewee:

- a. What was your role on the Project?
- b. How long were you involved in megaproject construction?

2. Project Goal/Scope:

- a. What were the main goals and objectives?
- b. How did the project scope change over time?

3. Generic Risk Events:

- a. What were the generic risk events inherit in the project?
- b. How did the generic risk events affect the project schedule overtime?
- c. How did the generic risk events affect the project cost?

7. Funding:

- a. Was the project funding source a dedicated fund source?
- b. How were additional funds obtained as project costs increased?
- c. Was the funding source stable over time?

List of Publications/ Participation in Conferences

Publications: International

Sharma, V. K., Sharma, S. K., & Singh, A. P. (2019). Risk enablers modelling for infrastructure projects using Bayesian belief network. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2019.1678218> [SCOPUS]

Sharma, V. K., Sharma, S. K., & Singh, A. P. (Accepted). Assessment for Risk of Logistics Infrastructure Projects Using Analytic Network Process. *International Journal of Process Management and Benchmarking*, (MS No.: IJPM-28745). [SCOPUS]

Sharma, V. K., Sharma, S. K., & Singh, A. P. (2019). Financial Risk Modeling of Infrastructure BOT Projects. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*. (Submitted and under review).

Papers Presented in Conferences

Sharma, V.K., Sharma, S.K. (2015), "Risk Management in Infrastructure Projects " in the proceedings of *International Conference on Evidence Based Management at BITS, Pilani (20-21 Mar., 2015)*, pp. 620-625.

Sharma, V.K., Sharma, S.K. (2017), "Application of Project Management Methodology for Airline Ground time Reduction" in the proceedings of *International Conference on Evidence Based Management at BITS, Pilani (17-18 Mar., 2017)*, pp. 320-326.

VITA

Candidate: VIJAY KUMAR SHARMA

Vijay Kumar Sharma is a practicing techno-managerial professional possessing diverse industry experience of over 25 years and currently working with GMR Group at Delhi International Airport Limited New Delhi heading the Cargo business at the Airport.



He has done his technical education B.E. (Hons) Civil and M. E. (Civil) from BITS Pilani achieving 1st Rank in both the programmes. He possesses degree in Masters in Business Administration (MBA) from Faculty of Management Studies, Delhi. Prior to joining GMR group in 2008, he has been worked with Engineers India Limited for 14 years in various capacities working on multiple engineering projects Oil and Gas infrastructure projects of country's interest. He has led multiple assignments at GMR in Business Strategy, Project development and execution, New Product Development, Business Integration, Process enhancements, etc. and have been associated during the development of Terminal 3 project, Airport Cargo Logistics Centre development at the Airport. In his current role, he is responsible for successfully driving the Cargo Strategy for Delhi Airport, development and execution of Airport logistics infrastructure and Cargo City projects.

His interest areas are Project Risk management in logistics infrastructure and project management. His research work has been published in various international and national journals and conferences. He has undergone Management Development Programmes at IIM Ahmedabad, IIM Kolkata including Emerging Leaders Programme from IIM Kozhikode. He is a member of the Project Management Institute, a leading professional body on project management. He has been received various awards for standing first in his academic programmes as well as during his distinguished professional carrier.

Supervisor: Dr Satyendra Kumar Sharma

Dr Satyendra Kumar Sharma is B.E., MBA from MNIT Jaipur and PhD from BITS, Pilani. Dr Sharma carried out his PhD research on Supply Chain Risk Management. He has more than 16 years of experience in industry and academics.



Currently, he is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Management and is the nucleus member of the Planning Cell in Practice School Division, BITS, Pilani. Currently he is also heading the Centre for innovation, incubation and entrepreneurship since Aug 2018. His research interest areas are Supply Chain Management, Enterprise Risk Management, Project Management and Market and Supply Intelligence. He has published more than 35 papers in international journals of repute, 9 papers in national journals and 22 papers in international conferences. He had completed two govt funded R & D projects and three industry consultancy projects. He has conducted several MDPs. He is a member of professional bodies like Society of Operations and Production Management and AIMS international.

Co-Supervisor: Professor Ajit Pratap Singh

Professor Ajit Pratap Singh is presently working as a Professor of Civil Engineering and Dean, Academic-Undergraduate Studies at Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani, Rajasthan (India).



He is a Fellow of the Institution of Engineers (India) and Indian Association of Hydrologists (FIAH). He has also been working as Coordinator, Principal Technical Agency, for National Rural Infrastructure Development Agency (NRIDA), Government of India, New Delhi. He has published over 90 papers and reports. He has more than 24 years of teaching and research experience in the area of sustainable water resources management, hydraulics and water resources engineering with a special focus on surface water-quality modeling and advanced computer applications: water quality and quantity assessment, water pollution analysis, solid waste management, prediction and management of surface waters, and groundwater contaminant transport modelling, climate change, pavement management systems, soft computing techniques, fuzzy-based decision making, simulation and modelling.



This document was created with the Win2PDF “print to PDF” printer available at
<http://www.win2pdf.com>

This version of Win2PDF 10 is for evaluation and non-commercial use only.

This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.

<http://www.win2pdf.com/purchase/>