

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the inspiration and support of a number of wonderful individuals my thanks and appreciation to all of them for being part of this journey and making this thesis possible. Firstly, I owe my deepest gratitude to my Supervisor Dr. Pradipta Chattopadhyay, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemical Engineering, BITS-Pilani, Pilani Campus for the continuous support of my Ph.D research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better supervisor and mentor for my Ph.D study.

I would like to thank my Co-Supervisor Prof. Banasri Roy, Associate Professor, Department of Chemical Engineering, BITS-Pilani, Pilani Campus for her valuable insights and suggestion. I am very grateful for her patience, continuous support, and motivation. Her expertise in the fields of nanomaterial preparation, characterization and data analysis appeared to be more significant in enhancing the quality of interdisciplinary nature of my doctoral research work and inspired me to write and publish better organized research articles.

I am immensely gratified to the Vice-Chancellor, Prof. Souvik Bhattacharyya, Former Director, Prof. A. K. Sarkar, and present Director, Prof. Sudhirkumar Barai, of Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani (BITS Pilani) for giving me the opportunity to pursue my doctoral degree by providing necessary facilities and support. My whole-hearted gratitude to Prof. Srinivas Krishnaswamy, Dean, Academic Graduate Studies & Research Division (AGSRD), Prof. Jitendra Panwar, Associate Dean, Academic Graduate Studies & Research Division (AGSRD), BITS-Pilani, Pilani Campus for providing the necessary research facilities required for my work.

I would like to thank the members of Doctoral Advisory Committee, Dr. Amit Jain, Dr. Krishna Etika, Assistant Professors, Department of Chemical Engineering, BITS-Pilani, Pilani Campus for their insightful comments and encouragement during my research work. I extend my special thanks to all the respected faculty members of the Department of Chemical engineering, BITS Pilani Prof. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Prof. Suresh Gupta, Prof. Pratik N. Sheth, Prof. Smita Raghuvanshi, Dr. Srinivas Appari, Dr. Priya Sande, Dr. Ajay Kumar Pani, Dr. Amit Jain, Dr. Bhanu Vardhan Reddy, Dr. Krishna Etika, Dr. Abhishek Dhoble, Dr. Somak Chatterjee, Dr. Arghya Banarjee and Dr. Shelaka Gupta for their support throughout the work and productive discussions during different stages of my doctoral study.

I would like to express my special thanks to Mr. Ashok Saini for his help and support in fabricating the soil column and time to time assistance in laboratory work. I would like to thank Mr. Kuldip Kumar, demonstrator for his help in handling analytical equipment. I particularly thank Mr. Babulal Saini, Mr. Jeevan Lal Verma, Mr. Jangvir Sheron, Mr. Suresh Kumar and Mr. Sundar for their help and co-operation during this work.

I would like to thank the project students Mr. Soumya, Mr. Ketan Jangir and Mr. Manish Chuahan who were part of this research work. I would like to extend my heartfelt thanks to all the research scholars of Chemical Engineering department, Mr. Saswat Pardhan, Ms. Shweta Sharma, Mr. Venkat Vijayan, Mr. Abhishek Anand, Ms. Shailee Gaur, Mr. Anil, Mr. Ramakrishna Chava, Mr. Rajesh, Ms. Priya, Ms. Ajita and Mr. Anil. It is a pleasure to thank Mr. Omkar Sahoo for the wonderful times we shared, specially the cooking times at hostel. Ever more thanks go to my good friend Mr. Rakesh Singh and all other friends in BITS Pilani who gave me the necessary distractions from my research and made my stay in Pilani memorable.

My special thanks to the one person whom I owe everything I am today, my father for his unwavering faith and confidence in my abilities. Finally my special gratitude is due to my caring mother and brother. This journey would not have been possible if not for them. Finally, my deep and sincere gratitude to my wife Mrs. Vaishalee for her continuous and unparalleled love, help and support. The last word goes for Viyan, my baby boy, who has been the light of my life to achieve and accomplish my dreams. This thesis is dedicated to him.

R. Arun Karthick

R. Arun Karthick.

ABSTRACT

There is an urgent need to develop suitable treatment technologies to remediate diesel contaminated soils successfully. Refined petroleum products like diesel oil enter the soil as a result of damaged pipelines and storage tanks. This constitutes a significant hazard for the environment and adversely affects the humans, animals, and microbial community. Researchers are putting in considerable efforts to develop efficient methods to degrade the contaminants. This has prompted the advancement of various technologies for the treatment of contaminated soil. The application of surfactant foam stabilized by the use of nanoparticles and other additives for treating diesel-contaminated soil has not been explored in great depth so far. Stable surfactant foam might play a vital role in the effective remediation of diesel oil contaminated soil- a major environmental hazard.

The potential of anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and non-ionic surfactant Tween 80 foams stabilized with biodegradable additives- Ethylene glycol and Allyl alcohol to remove diesel contaminant from desert soil are described. The effect of nonionic surfactant Tween-20 foam stabilized with hydrophobic and hydrophilic silica (SiO_2) nanoparticles dispersion on the removal of diesel oil from contaminated desert soil is studied. Also, remediation of diesel-contaminated desert soil, coastal soil, and clay soil by aqueous nonionic surfactant alkyl polyglucoside phosphate (APG-Ph) foam stabilized by nano zero-valent iron (Fe^0), and iron oxide (Fe_3O_4) nanoparticles are reported. The diesel removal from different soil types (desert, coastal, and clay soil) is optimized using response surface methodology (RSM), using APG-Ph foam, stabilized by Fe^0 . The effect of concentrations of nonionic surfactant APG-Ph (0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08 & 0.1 vol%) and Fe^0 (2, 3 & 3.5 mg/l) on diesel removal efficiency from soil is studied using Box-Behnken design (BBD) of RSM.

Nonionic surfactant Tween 80 with 3 mg/l of Allyl alcohol produced the most stable foam with a half-life of 18 mins and resulted in maximum diesel removal efficiency of 71% from desert soil whereas the foam stabilized by anionic surfactant SDS in combination with 3 mg/l Allyl alcohol shows a maximum foam stability of 14 min and results in maximum diesel oil removal efficiency of 62%. Foam stabilized by 0.1 vol% Tween-20 with 0.5% hydrophobic and hydrophilic SiO_2 nanoparticle dispersion aided in maximum removal efficiency of 78% and 57.5% from diesel contaminated desert soil. The Fe^0 (3.5 mg/l) nanoparticle stabilized APG-Ph foam (0.1 vol%) results in diesel removal efficiency of 94.6, 95.3, 57.5 % for desert soil, coastal soil, and clay

soil, respectively. The Fe₃O₄ (3.5 mg/l) nanoparticle stabilized APG-Ph foam (0.1 vol%) manifests maximum removal efficiency of 76.0, 79.6, and 51.6% for desert soil, coastal soil, clay soil, respectively. The optimum concentration of APG and Fe⁰ is found to be 0.98 vol% and 0.72 mg/l, respectively. Validation of this optimal condition experimentally results in maximum diesel removal efficiency of 98.3, 97.2, and 75.9% for desert soil, coastal soil, and clay soil, respectively, which is in good agreement with the predicted values by RSM (98.7, 97.6% and 76.9%).

The performance of surfactant foam in liquid laundry detergent formulation and application is also reported in the present study. A total of eighteen different new liquid detergent formulations containing mixtures of important anionic, non-ionic surfactants, and other additives are prepared. The first set (S1) of nine new detergent formulations is made using the surfactants Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (SLS), Tween-20, and Tween-80. Another set of nine new detergent formulations (S2) is prepared using surfactants SLS, Triton X-100, and Alkyl polyglucoside (APG). The influence of hardness/softness qualities of water on the foam properties of prepared detergent formulations are analyzed. Water collected from RO system, hypersaline water produced artificially in lab by addition of 35 gL⁻¹ NaCl in normal water and hard water comprising 0.1 gL⁻¹ of CaCO₃ in normal water are used for this purpose. The second set of detergent formulations (S2) shows a better performance in terms of foamability and foam stability, regardless of the water quality. Also, the surface tension of the detergent formulation set S2 is found to be lower, and it shows higher detergency for both cotton and woolen fabrics. The detergency of the formulation no S2.9 (in set S2) is found to be the maximum amongst all the detergent formulations. The surface morphology of the cotton and woolen fabrics, washed with liquid detergent formulation no S2.9, display the removal of oily soil and grease from the surface of the fabric, without affecting the quality of the fabric.

Keywords: *Non-ionic surfactants, Anionic surfactants, Tween-20, Tween-80, Triton X-100, APG-Ph Surfactant, Surfactant foams, Stable foam, Nanoparticle stabilized foam, Foamability, Foam stability, Diesel oil, Soil contamination, Removal efficiency, Silica nanoparticles, Alkyl alcohol, Ethylene glycol, Nano Zero-valent iron, Iron oxide nanoparticle, Response surface methodology, Optimization, Detergent formulation, Detergency.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	iii
Table of contents	v
List of figures	viii
List of tables	xii
Nomenclature	xii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Remediation of petroleum contaminated soil	2
1.1.1 Environmental impacts of petroleum contaminants	2
1.1.2 Various methods to treat petroleum contaminated soil	5
1.1.3 Mechanism of contaminant removal by surfactant solution	9
1.1.4 Mechanism of contaminated removal by surfactant foam	12
1.1.5 Mechanism of contaminant removal by nanoparticle stabilized surfactant foam	14
1.1.6 Optimization of the soil remediation process	16
1.2 Role of surfactant foam in the formulation of liquid laundry detergent	19
1.3 Motivation for carrying out current research work	22
1.4 Objectives of the research work	24
2. Literature Review	26
2.1 Remediation of petroleum contaminated soil by surfactant systems	27
2.1.1 Application of surfactant solution in remediation of petroleum contaminated soil	27
2.1.2 Application of surfactant foam in remediation of petroleum contaminated soil	35
2.1.3 Application of nanoparticle stabilized surfactant foam in remediation of petroleum contaminated soil	38
2.1.4 Optimization of petroleum contaminated soil treatment process by RSM	40
2.2 Formulation of liquid laundry detergent	42
2.3 Research Gaps and novelty	45
2.4 Scope of present study	49

3.	Materials and Methods	51
3.1	Remediation of diesel contaminated soil	54
3.1.1	Characterization of soil properties	54
3.1.2	Preparation and characterization of nanomaterials	61
3.1.3	Physico-chemical Characterization of nanomaterials	62
3.1.4	Preparation and characterization of dispersion of nanomaterials	62
3.1.5	Preparation and characterization of foam	64
3.1.6	Soil Remediation Studies	65
3.1.7	RSM Modeling of APG-Ph foam stabilized by Fe ⁰ for the treatment of petroleum contaminated soil: Experimental design	70
3.2	Formulation and characterization of liquid laundry detergent	72
3.2.1	Selections of surfactants and additives	72
3.2.2	Preparation and characterization of liquid laundry detergents	72
4.	Results and Discussion	76
4.1	Remediation of diesel contaminated Soil	77
4.1.1	Remediation of diesel contaminated soil by Tween-80 and SDS foam stabilized with Allyl alcohol and Ethylene glycol	77
4.1.2	Remediation of diesel contaminated soil by Tween-20 foam stabilized with silica nanoparticle	84
4.1.3	Remediation of diesel contaminated soil by APG-Ph foam stabilized with iron and oxide nanopowders	91
4.1.4	Numerical optimization of APG-Ph foam stabilized with Fe ⁰ nanoparticle in Remediation of Diesel Contaminated Soils Using RSM	109
4.2	Discussion (soil remediation)	120
4.3	Formulation of liquid laundry detergents	130
4.3.1	Characteristics of the prepared liquid laundry detergents	130
4.4	Discussion (Laundry detergent)	137

5. Concluding Remarks	139
5.1 Conclusions	140
5.2 Major contribution	142
5.3 Future Scope	143
References	144
List of Publications	186
Biographies	188
Appendix	190

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1.1	Process of NAPL removal from contaminated aquifer: (a) trapped and adsorbed contaminant adsorbed on the soil grain and trapped as droplet, (b) Formation of emulsion by surfactant micelle, (c) mobilization and micellar solubilization of the contaminants	11
1.2	Transport of foam in porous contaminated soil layer. Case-I The transport of stable foam is shown. In Case-II the unstable foam due to breakage leading to transport of liquid is depicted	13
3.1	EDX plot displaying the elemental composition of soils along with the insets displaying respective SEM micrographs and particle size distribution plot of (a) desert soil (b) coastal soil and (c) clay soil	56
3.2	Schematic diagram of soil column test (experimental set up)	66
3.3	a) UV-vis absorption vs wavelength spectra of diesel showing maximum absorbance at 263 nm for different concentrations of diesel and (b) Absorbance (at 263 nm) vs concentration calibration graph used for measuring diesel removal efficiency	67
3.4	Absorbance (at 208 nm) vs concentration calibration graph used for measuring surfactant adsorption in sandy soil.	69
4.1	Variation of surface tension of 0.02 wt% of SDS and 0.2 vol% Tween-80 aqueous solutions prepared with different concentration of ethylene glycol and allyl alcohol additives	78
4.2	Comparison of foamability (MFV) of ethylene glycol and allyl alcohol (1, 2, 3 mg/l) stabilized surfactant foam at varying concentrations of (a) SDS and (b) Tween-80	79
4.3	Effect of varying concentrations of ethylene glycol and allyl alcohol on the half-life of the foam produced with different concentrations surfactants (a) SDS and (b) Tween-80	80
4.4	Efficiency (%) of diesel oil removal from contaminated soil by using aqueous foam prepared from various concentration of (a) SDS and (b) Tween-80 and	81

stabilized by ethylene glycol and allyl alcohol of different concentration

- 4.5 Comparison of surface Tension (γ) values of aqueous Tween-20 solution and dispersions of Tween-20 stabilized with 5 (mg/l) hydrophilic SiO₂ and hydrophobic SiO₂ 85
- 4.6 Comparison of foamability (MFV) (a) and (b) and foam stability (RMI 30) (c) and (d) for different concentration of aqueous Tween-20 solutions (vol %) stabilized with different concentration of hydrophilic and hydrophobic SiO₂ nanoparticles, respectively 87
- 4.7 Dynamic change in a) volume of foam and b) volume of liquid drained from the foam, generated using 0.1 vol% Tween-20 and 5 mg/l of hydrophilic and hydrophobic SiO₂ nanoparticle, with time 89
- 4.8 Comparison of diesel oil removal efficiency from contaminated soil at varying concentration of Tween-20 (0.02-0.1 vol%): aqueous Tween-20 solution, Tween-20 foam, 5 mg/l dispersion of hydrophilic, hydrophobic SiO₂ nanoparticle and Tween-20 foams stabilized by 5 mg/l hydrophilic and hydrophobic SiO₂ nanoparticle 90
- 4.9 XRD spectra of the freshly synthesized (a) Fe⁰ and (b) Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. The black bars at the bottom panels are the corresponding powder diffraction file data 92
- 4.10 SEM micrographs of (a) Fe⁰ and (b) Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles at 120000X magnification along with particle size distribution and EDS analysis in the inset images. TEM micrographs of (c) Fe⁰ and (d) Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles with particle size distribution analysis in the inset images 93
- 4.11 Comparison of (a) and (b) foamability (MFV) and (c) and (d) foam stability (RMI 30) for different concentration aqueous APG-Ph solutions (vol %) and APG-Ph solutions with different concentration of Fe⁰ and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, respectively 95
- 4.12 Variation of surface tension for different concentrations of APG-Ph solutions (vol %) and APG-Ph solutions with different concentration of Fe⁰ and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (3.5, 4 and 5 mg/l) 96
- 4.13 Zeta potential (ζ) of Fe⁰ and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle (3.5 mg/l) dispersions with surfactant concentration 97

4.14	Comparison of diesel removal efficiency (%) for (a) desert soil, (c) coastal soil, & (e) clay soil after 3 h treatment with different concentrations of APG-Ph solution, APG-Ph foam, and APG-Ph - Fe ₃ O ₄ & APG-Ph - Fe ⁰ dispersions (3.5 mg/l) and (b) desert soil, (d) coastal soil, and (f) clay soil after 3 h treatment with APG-Ph foam stabilized by Fe ₃ O ₄ and Fe ⁰ nanoparticle (2.5, 3 & 3.5 mg/l)	100
4.15	Variation of diesel concentration (C _t) remaining in soil with treatment time (a) for Fe ⁰ and Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticle (3.5 mg/l) stabilized APG-Ph (0.1 vol %) foams for different soils	104
4.16	(a) The first order rate kinetics [ln (C _t /C _i) vs time] data fitting for oil removal from various soils. The inset figure shows the presence of two distinct regions of linear fit for the clay soil. (b) The second order rate kinetics [(1/C _t) - (1/C _i) vs time] data fitting for oil removal from various soils, as comparison.	105
4.17	Variation of residual iron concentration in different soils after treatment with different concentration of Fe ⁰ and Fe ₃ O ₄ stabilized (0.1 vol %) APG-Ph foams	106
4.18	TGA scans showing variation of the amount of diesel contaminants left in (a) Desert soil, (b) Coastal soil, and (c) Clay soil; profiles 1 & 2- data obtained from soil after one day of contamination and after seven days of contamination before treatment, respectively, profiles 3 & 4- from soil after treatment with Fe ⁰ and Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticle (3.5 mg/l) stabilized (0.1 vol %) APG-Ph foams, respectively, and profile 5- from soil treated with only (0.1 vol %) APG-Ph foam	108
4.19	Comparison of diesel removal efficiency (%) from desert soil, coastal soil, clay soil after treatment with different concentrations of APG-Ph foam, stabilized by Fe ⁰ at various concentrations (a) 2.5 mg/l, (b) 3 mg/l and (c) 3.5 mg/l	110
4.20	Plot of experimental values of removal efficiency (a) desert soil (b) coastal soil and (c) clay soil compared to the predicted values by the developed model equation using RSM. (d) desert soil (e) coastal soil and (f) clay soil studentized residual Vs. predicted values	116
4.21	Three dimensional Response surface plot developed by RSM showing diesel removal efficiency from (a) desert soil (b) coastal soil and (c) clay soil as a function of independent variables APG-Ph (X1) & Fe ⁰ (X2) concentration	118

4.22	Ramps of the numerical optimization of process variables APG-Ph concentration (a) and Fe ⁰ concentration (b) to maximize the targeted response, diesel removal efficiency from (c) desert soil (d) coastal soil and (e) clay soil	119
4.23	Comparison of Maximum foam volume (MFV) for the detergent formulations in different water hardness levels. a and b) Maximum foam volume generated using liquid detergent formulations S1 and S2 respectively	131
4.24	Foam stability represented as Ross Miles Index (RMI 30) in various hardness levels of water. a) & b) represents the foam stability of liquid detergent formulation sets S1 and S2 respectively	132
4.25	a) & b) Performance of the prepared liquid detergent formulations in the removal of soil from cotton and woolen fabrics	135
4.26	Scanning Electron Micrographs of fabric fibers. a) and b) Depicts the cotton and woolen cloth soiled with oily soil prior to washing respectively. c) and d) Fiber surface of cotton and woolen cloth after washing with formulation S2.9	136

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
2.1	Properties of different surfactants applied for remediation of diesel contaminated soil in the present research work	48
3.1	Properties of the soils collected from different regions used in the remediation study shown along with statistical variation	60
3.2	Range of independent variables used in the experimental design and its level	71
3.3	SET-1 (S1) comprising of nine liquid detergent formulations containing SLS, Tween-20 and Tween-80	73
3.4	SET-2 (S2) comprising of nine liquid detergent formulations containing SLS, Triton X-100 and APG	73
4.1	Parameters obtained for Freundlich and Langmuir model equations for adsorption of nonionic surfactant Tween 80 onto the soil	82
4.2	Viscosity of APG-Ph solution, Fe ⁰ and Fe ₃ O ₄ (3.5 mg/l) nanodispersion prepared with varying concentrations of APG-Ph shown along with its statistical variation	98
4.3	Comparison of the properties of the natural soils (refers to soils without any contamination), contaminated soils and soils after the remediation process with 0.1 vol % APG-Ph foam stabilized by 3.5 mg/L Fe ⁰ and Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticles shown along with statistical variation	101
4.4	Foamability (MFV) and foam stability (RMI 30) for different concentrations of aqueous APG-Ph solution (vol%) and APG-Ph with different concentration of Fe ⁰	109
4.5	Experimental range of independent variables used in the full factorial of BBD design matrix with the response (removal efficiency) for desert coastal and clay soil.	112
4.6	ANOVA for the responses: diesel oil removal efficiency from desert, coastal and clay soil	113
4.7	Surface tension (γ) values of detergent formulations prepared with SLS, Tween-20 and Tween-80 (S1) and SLS, Triton X-100 and APG (S2)	133

Abbreviations and Symbols

AES	Alcohol ether sulfates
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
APG	Alkyl Polyglucoside
APG-Ph	Alkylpolyglucoside phosphate
BBD	Box-Behnken design
BSS	British Standard Sieve
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene
CCD	Central composite design
CTAB	Cetrimonium bromide Cetrimonium bromide
DCB	Dichlorobenzene
DFA	Dynamic Foam Analyzer
DHDAC	Dodecyl-(2-hydroxyethyl)-dimethylammonium chloride
DHHAC	Dodecyl-di(2-hydroxyethyl)- methylammonium chloride
DNAPL	Dense non-aqueous phase liquid
DSA	Dynamic Surface Analyzer
DTAC	Dodecyltrimethylammonium Chloride
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EDX	Energy dispersive X-ray
FESEM	Field emission scanning electron microscope
HLB	Hydrophile-lipophile balance
JP-8	Jet fuel
LNAPL	Light non-aqueous phase liquid
MFV	Maximum foam volume
MSR	Molar solubilization ratio
NAPL	Non-aqueous phase liquid
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
PVP	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
RMI	Ross Miles Index
RO	Reverse Osmosis
RSM	Response surface methodology
SDBS	Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SLS	Sodium Lauryl Sulfate
TCE	Trichloroethylene
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
TGA	Thermo gravimetric analysis technique
XRD	X-ray powder diffraction
σ	Surface Tension
ζ	Zeta Potential
μ	Viscosity
ε	Molar absorptivity
η	Final diesel removal efficiency
λ	Wavelength
β	Full width at half maximum intensity (FWHM)



This document was created with the Win2PDF “print to PDF” printer available at <http://www.win2pdf.com>

This version of Win2PDF 10 is for evaluation and non-commercial use only.

This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.

<http://www.win2pdf.com/purchase/>