

**Development of Chemical Methodologies for Synthesis of  
 $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0 < x < 1) Nanopowders and  
Study of their Structural and Physical Properties**

**THESIS**

Submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

by

**PRITA PANT SARANGI**

Under the Supervision of  
**Dr. Narendra Nath Ghosh**



**BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE  
PILANI (RAJASTHAN) INDIA**

**2010**

**BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE  
PILANI (RAJASTHAN)**

**CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the thesis entitled **“Development of Chemical Methodologies for Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0 < x < 1) Nanopowders and Study of their Structural and Physical Properties”** which is submitted for award of Ph.D. Degree of the Institute, embodies original work done by her under my supervision.

Signature in full of the Supervisor:

Name in capital block letters: DR. NARENDRA NATH GHOSH

Designation: Assistant Professor

Date:

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my deep gratitude towards my guide, Dr. Narendra Nath Ghosh, for his able guidance, constant encouragement and tremendous support throughout the duration of my research work.

I am extremely grateful to Prof. K. E. Raman (Director, BITS Pilani, K. K. Birla Goa Campus) and to the higher authorities of BITS Pilani for providing me with the facilities to conduct my research work at BITS Pilani, K. K. Birla Goa Campus. I also express my gratitude towards late Prof. T. C. Goel (Former Director, BITS Pilani, K. K. Birla Goa Campus) whose motivation guided me to complete my research work.

I thankfully acknowledge financial support from DRDO (Project No. ERIP/ER/060/5042/M/01/929).

I am indebted to Dr. Rahul Mohan (NCAOR, Goa), Dr. V. S. Prasad (NIIST, Thiruvananthapuram), Dr. Rosilda Selvin (LUST, Taiwan) and Dr. S. R. Vadera (DRDO Lab, Jodhpur) for extending the experimental facilities in their lab.

I extend my sincere thanks to Dr. Sunil Bhand (Group Leader, Chemistry Group), Dr. A.P. Koley (Faculty-in-Charge, ARC & I), Dr. S. D. Manjare (Faculty-in-Charge, RCED Division) and Dr. R. N. Behra (Assistant Professor, Chemistry Group). Their help and support has been vital in the completion of this work.

I would also like to express my thanks to all my friends especially Mr. Bhanudas Naik, Mr. Amit Rajput, Dr. Arunashree Panda, Mrs. Kanchanmala Deshpande, Mrs. Princy Johnson, Mr. Digambar Waingankar and Mrs. Kamna Upadhyaya.

Finally, I am thankful to my family, especially to my husband, for their support and for the absolute faith they bestow on me.

# ABSTRACT

Nanostructured  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and series of Ni-Zn ferrite powders have gained immense importance in recent years due to their myriad potential applications in diverse fields. In this research work, three simple chemical methodologies have been successfully developed in order to synthesize single phase, nanostructured  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and series of Ni-Zn ferrite powders. The average particle size of the synthesized nanoparticles lies in the range of ~ 15-50 nm. Nanoparticles of iron oxide are elongated while nanoparticles of Ni-Zn ferrite are round in shape. The synthesized nanopowders have high room temperature resistivity ( $10^5$  - $10^9$   $\Omega$  cm) although the variation of resistivity with respect to temperature is affected by the presence of humidity in the atmosphere. However, the ferrite nanopowders sintered at 1200<sup>0</sup>C, synthesized by all three chemical methodologies, exhibit the typical NTCR (negative temperature coefficient of resistance) behavior of ferrites. Room temperature magnetic measurements reveal that the synthesized Ni-Zn ferrite nanopowders possess saturation magnetization that varies between 30-60 emu/g depending on the method of preparation and the composition of the samples.

Advantages offered by these developed aqueous solution based chemical methods that make them attractive are:

- (i) metal alkoxides or complex metal compounds, which are expensive, difficult to handle, synthesize, and sometimes toxic have not been used in the developed methods.
- (ii) strong acid, base or organic solvents have not been used in any of the methods.
- (iii) use of simple and cheap metal nitrates as starting materials and water as solvent helps in reducing the processing cost as compared to other reported wet chemical methods. Moreover, any elaborate experimental setup is not required for the synthesis of nanopowders by these methods.
- (iv) Unlike other reported methods, Zn loss is not observed during high temperature sintering of pellets, prepared by the synthesized nanopowders. This ensures the maintenance of stoichiometry of the final product.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Acknowledgement	(iii)
Abstract	(iv)
List of Figures	(ix)
List of Tables	(xiv)
<b>1. Introduction</b>	
1.1 Scope of Research Work	1
1.2 Introduction to Iron Oxide ( $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ) and Ni-Zn Ferrites	1
1.3 Nanostructured Materials and their Processing	6
1.3.1 Solid State Method	7
1.3.2 Gas Phase Methods	7
1.3.3 Solution Phase Methods	8
1.4 Gap in Existing Research	11
1.5 Objective	12
1.6 Characterization Details	12
1.7 Outlay of the Thesis	14
<b>2. Synthesis of <math>\alpha</math>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanopowder by using PVA precursor based method</b>	
2.1 Experimental Procedure for Chemical Synthesis	15
2.2 Results and Discussion	15
2.2.1 Thermal Analysis	15
2.2.2 X-Ray Analysis	17
2.2.3 TEM Analysis	19
2.2.4 SEM Analysis	20
2.2.5 DC resistivity measurement	21

2.3 Summary of Results	23
<b>3. Synthesis of <math>\alpha</math>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanopowder by using Oxalate precursor based method</b>	
3.1 Experimental Procedure for Chemical Synthesis	25
3.2 Results and Discussion	25
3.2.1 Thermal Analysis	25
3.2.2 X-Ray Analysis	27
3.2.3 TEM Analysis	29
3.2.4 SEM Analysis	30
3.2.5 DC resistivity measurement	31
3.3 Summary of Results	33
<b>4. Synthesis of <math>\alpha</math>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanopowder by using EDTA precursor based method</b>	
4.1 Experimental Procedure for Chemical Synthesis	35
4.2 Results and Discussion	35
4.2.1 Thermal Analysis	35
4.2.2 X-Ray Analysis	37
4.2.3 TEM Analysis	39
4.2.4 SEM Analysis	40
4.2.5 DC resistivity measurement	41
4.3 Summary of Results	43
<b>5. Synthesis of Ni-Zn ferrite nanopowders by using PVA precursor based method</b>	
5.1 Experimental Procedure for Chemical Synthesis	45
5.2 Results and Discussion	46
5.2.1 Thermal Analysis	46
5.2.2 X-Ray Analysis	50
5.2.3 TEM Analysis	52
5.2.4 SEM Analysis	54

5.2.5 DC resistivity measurement	55
5.2.6 Magnetization Measurement	59
5.3 Summary of Results	62

## **6. Synthesis of Ni-Zn ferrite nanopowders by using Oxalate precursor based method**

6.1 Experimental Procedure for Chemical Synthesis	63
6.2 Results and Discussion	64
6.2.1 Thermal Analysis	64
6.2.2 X-Ray Analysis	67
6.2.3 TEM Analysis	69
6.2.4 SEM Analysis	71
6.2.5 DC resistivity measurement	72
6.2.6 Magnetization Measurement	76
6.3 Summary of Results	79

## **7. Synthesis of Ni-Zn ferrite nanopowders by using EDTA precursor based method**

7.1 Experimental Procedure for Chemical Synthesis	80
7.2 Results and Discussion	81
7.2.1 Thermal Analysis	81
7.2.2 X-Ray Analysis	85
7.2.3 TEM Analysis	87
7.2.4 SEM Analysis	88
7.2.5 DC resistivity measurement	89
7.2.6 Magnetization Measurement	92
7.3 Summary of Results	95

<b>8. Conclusions and Future Scope of Work</b>	
8.1 Comparative Summary of Results for the Three Developed Chemical Methodologies	96
8.2 Conclusions	98
8.3 Limitations of the developed chemical methods	99
Future Scope of Work	100
<b>References</b>	101-116
<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>Appendix I</b>
<b>Bio-data of Candidate</b>	<b>Appendix II</b>
<b>Bio data of Supervisor</b>	<b>Appendix III</b>



# List of Figures

Figure	Page No.
2.1 TG-DTG and DSC thermograms of the precursor in air.	16
2.2 (a) X-ray diffraction spectra of precursor powder at different calcination temperatures (b) slow scan of the (104) and (110) diffraction planes.	18
2.3 TEM micrograph of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder calcined at 450 <sup>0</sup> C.	19
2.4 SEM micrographs of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder (a) calcined at 450 <sup>0</sup> C and (b) sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C.	20
2.5 Variation of DC resistivity with change in temperature of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder for (a) unsintered sample (b) sample sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C.	22
3.1 TG-DTG and DSC thermograms of the precursor in air.	26
3.2 (a) X-ray diffraction spectra of precursor powder at different calcination temperatures (b) slow scan of the (104) and (110) diffraction planes.	28
3.3 TEM micrograph of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder calcined at 450 <sup>0</sup> C.	29
3.4 SEM micrographs of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder (a) calcined at 450 <sup>0</sup> C and (b) sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C.	30
	(ix)

3.5 Variation of DC resistivity with change in temperature of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder for (a) unsintered sample (b) sample sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C.	32
4.1 TG-DTG and DSC thermograms of the precursor in air.	36
4.2 (a) X-ray diffraction spectra of precursor powder at different calcination temperatures (b) slow scan of the (104) and (110) diffraction planes.	38
4.3 TEM micrograph of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder calcined at 450 <sup>0</sup> C.	39
4.4 SEM micrographs of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder (a) calcined at 450 <sup>0</sup> C and (b) sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C.	40
4.5 Variation of DC resistivity with change in temperature of $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder for (a) unsintered sample (b) sample sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C.	42
5.1 TG-DTG and DSC thermograms of precursor powders of (a) Ni <sub>0.80</sub> Zn <sub>0.20</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (b) Ni <sub>0.65</sub> Zn <sub>0.35</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (c) Ni <sub>0.50</sub> Zn <sub>0.50</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> and (d) Ni <sub>0.40</sub> Zn <sub>0.60</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> in air.	47-48
5.2 DSC thermograms of precursors powders for the series of Ni <sub>1-x</sub> Zn <sub>x</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ferrites.	49
5.3 XRD spectra of precursor powders of (a) Ni <sub>0.80</sub> Zn <sub>0.20</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (b) Ni <sub>0.65</sub> Zn <sub>0.35</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (c) Ni <sub>0.50</sub> Zn <sub>0.50</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (d) Ni <sub>0.40</sub> Zn <sub>0.60</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ferrites, at different calcination temperatures. Slow scan of the (311) diffraction plane are shown in the insets. Impurity phase is marked by (*) and corresponds to pure hematite.	50-51
5.4 TEM micrographs for the series of Ni <sub>1-x</sub> Zn <sub>x</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> of nanopowders for (a) x = 0.35 and (b) x = 0.50, synthesized at a calcination temperature of 950 <sup>0</sup> C.	53

5.5 SEM micrographs of $\text{Ni}_{(1-x)}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ showing the change of microstructure with changing value of x and sintering condition	54
(a) x= 0.2, unsintered, (b) x= 0.35, unsintered, (c) x= 0.5, unsintered, (d) x= 0.6, unsintered, (e) x= 0.2, sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C, (f) x= 0.2, sintered at 1200 <sup>0</sup> C, (g) x= 0.2, sintered at 1200 <sup>0</sup> C, (h) x= 0.2, sintered at 1300 <sup>0</sup> C and (i) x= 0.6, sintered at 1300 <sup>0</sup> C.	
5.6 DC resistivity for the series of $\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ (x = 0.20, 0.35, 0.50 and 0.60) nanopowders with respect to temperature for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100 and 1200 <sup>0</sup> C. Left Y axis is the scale for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C. Right Y axis is the scale for samples sintered at 1200 <sup>0</sup> C.	56
5.7 Room temperature hysteresis loops for the series of $\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanopowders.	60
6.1 TG-DTG and DSC thermograms of precursor powders of (a) $\text{Ni}_{0.80}\text{Zn}_{0.20}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ (b) $\text{Ni}_{0.65}\text{Zn}_{0.35}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ (c) $\text{Ni}_{0.50}\text{Zn}_{0.50}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ and (d) $\text{Ni}_{0.40}\text{Zn}_{0.60}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ in air.	65-66
6.2 XRD spectra of precursor powders of (a) $\text{Ni}_{0.80}\text{Zn}_{0.20}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ (b) $\text{Ni}_{0.65}\text{Zn}_{0.35}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ (c) $\text{Ni}_{0.50}\text{Zn}_{0.50}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ (d) $\text{Ni}_{0.40}\text{Zn}_{0.60}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ ferrites, at different calcination temperatures. Slow scan of the (311) diffraction plane are shown in the insets. Impurity phase is marked by (*) and corresponds to pure hematite.	67-68
6.3 TEM micrographs for the series of $\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ of nanopowders for (a) x = 0.20 and (b) x = 0.60, synthesized at a calcination temperature of 850 <sup>0</sup> C.	70
6.4 SEM micrographs of $\text{Ni}_{(1-x)}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ showing the change of microstructure with changing value of x and sintering condition	71
(a) x= 0.2, unsintered, (b) x= 0.35, unsintered, (c) x= 0.5, unsintered, (d) x= 0.6, unsintered, (e) x= 0.2, sintered at 1100 <sup>0</sup> C, (f) x= 0.2, sintered	

at 1200<sup>0</sup>C, (g) x= 0.2, sintered at 1200<sup>0</sup>C, (h) x= 0.2, sintered at 1300<sup>0</sup>C and (i) x= 0.6, sintered at 1300<sup>0</sup>C.

- 6.5 DC resistivity for the series of Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (x = 0.20, 0.35, 0.50 and 0.60) 73  
nanopowders with respect to temperature for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100 and 1200<sup>0</sup>C. Left Y axis is the scale for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100<sup>0</sup>C and Right Y axis is the scale for samples sintered at 1200<sup>0</sup>C for x = 0.20, 0.50 and 0.60 . For x = 0.35, scales are indicated in the figure.
- 6.6 Room temperature hysteresis loops for the series of Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>nanopowders. 76
- 7.1 TG-DTG and DSC thermograms of precursor powders of (a) Ni<sub>0.80</sub>Zn<sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 82-83  
(b) Ni<sub>0.65</sub>Zn<sub>0.35</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (c) Ni<sub>0.50</sub>Zn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and (d) Ni<sub>0.40</sub>Zn<sub>0.60</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in air.
- 7.2 DSC thermograms of precursors powders for the series of Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ferrites. 84
- 7.3 XRD spectra of precursor powders of (a) Ni<sub>0.80</sub>Zn<sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (b) Ni<sub>0.65</sub>Zn<sub>0.35</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 85-86  
(c) Ni<sub>0.50</sub>Zn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (d) Ni<sub>0.40</sub>Zn<sub>0.60</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ferrites, at different calcination temperatures. Slow scan of the (311) diffraction plane are shown in the insets.
- 7.4 TEM micrographs for the series of Ni<sub>1-x</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> of nanopowders for 87  
(a) x = 0.50 and (b) x = 0.60, synthesized at a calcination temperature of 450<sup>0</sup>C.
- 7.5 SEM micrographs of Ni<sub>(1-x)</sub>Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> showing the change of microstructure with 88  
changing value of x and sintering condition (a) x = 0.20, unsintered, (b) x = 0.35, unsintered, (c) x = 0.60, unsintered, (d) x = 0.35, sintered at 1100<sup>0</sup>C, (e) x = 0.50, sintered at 1100<sup>0</sup>C, (f) x = 0.20, sintered at 1200<sup>0</sup>C, (g) x = 0.60, sintered at 1200<sup>0</sup>C, (h) x = 0.20, sintered at 1300<sup>0</sup>C, (i) x = 0.60, sintered at 1300<sup>0</sup>C.

7.6 DC resistivity for the series of $\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ ( $x = 0.20, 0.35, 0.50$ and $0.60$ ) nanopowders with respect to temperature for unsintered samples and samples sintered at $1100$ and $1200^\circ\text{C}$ .	90
7.7 Room temperature hysteresis loops for the series of $\text{Ni}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$ nanopowders.	93

# List of Tables

Table	Page No.
1.1 Comparative room temperature DC resistivity of ferrites prepared by some representative methods.	4
1.2 Comparative room temperature magnetic parameters of Ni-Zn ferrite nanoparticles prepared by some representative methods.	5
5.1 Molar ratio of starting compounds.	45
5.2 Room temperature (25 <sup>0</sup> C) DC resistivity of Ni-Zn ferrites for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100 and 1200 <sup>0</sup> C.	57
5.3 Room temperature saturation magnetization and coercivity of different compositions.	59
6.1 Molar ratio of starting compounds.	63
6.2 Room temperature (27 <sup>0</sup> C) DC resistivity of Ni-Zn ferrites for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100 and 1200 <sup>0</sup> C.	74
6.3. Room temperature saturation magnetization and coercivity of different compositions.	76
7.1 Molar ratio of starting compounds.	80
7.2. Room temperature (25 <sup>0</sup> C) DC resistivity of Ni-Zn ferrites for unsintered samples and samples sintered at 1100 and 1200 <sup>0</sup> C.	91

7.3. Room temperature saturation magnetization and coercivity of different compositions.	94
8.1 Comparative summary of results for nanostructured $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> synthesized by PVA precursor based method (Method 1), Oxalate precursor based method (Method 2) and EDTA precursor based method (Method 3).	96
8.2 Comparative summary of results for nanostructured Ni <sub>1-x</sub> Zn <sub>x</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (0 < x < 1) synthesized by PVA precursor based method (Method 1), Oxalate precursor based method (Method 2) and EDTA precursor based method (Method 3).	97