## American English Grammar

THE GRAMMATICAL STRUCTURE OF PRESENT-DAY AMERICAN ENGLISH WITH ESPECIAL REFERENCE TO SOCIAL DIFFERENCES OR CLASS DIALECTS

by

# CHARLES CARPENTER FRIES 

Professor of English
The University of Michigan


The Report of an Investigation Financed by the National Council of Teachers of English and Supported by the Modern Language Association and the Linguistic Society of America

Copyrigrt, 1940

## NATIONAL COUNCIL OF TEACHERS OF ENGLISH <br> and

CHARLES C. FRIES
All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, must not be reproduced in any form without permission of the publisher.

670-10
A. C. F.

## Preface

The National Council of Teachers of English has come more and more to realize that in order to avoid the futile and even harmful teaching practices which have resulted from ignorance, satisfactory programs for teaching the English language in the schools must rest upon essentially sound views of language and a knowledge of the facts. ${ }^{1}$ It has also realized that, in respect to the English language in America, the necessary facts have not yet been gathered and made available. To be sure, the great Oxford English Dictionary contains much valuable material, but it was the very deficiencies of the Ox ford Dictionary in respect to American English that led Sir William Craigie to undertake, at the University of Chicago, the production of an Historical Dictionary of American English. When finished, this dictionary (together with the American Dialect Dictionary which we hope will eventually be pushed to completion) will provide the facts concerning our vocabulary and its development. In addition, the facts concerning American pronunciation have received considerable attention in Professor G. P. Krapp's The English Language in America, ${ }^{2}$ and in Professor J. S. Kenyon's American Pronunciation. ${ }^{3}$ In general, however, those who have dealt with American English have slighted or neglected grammatical matters. ${ }^{4}$ The National Council of Teachers of English has, therefore, given generously of its funds in order to make possible the collecting of some materials upon which to base a preliminary sketch of the inflections and syntax of American English with especial reference to social class differences.

[^0]This work was begun in a tentative fashion in the summer of 1926 and more seriously attacked during the three months from June to September of 1927. Some additional materials necessary to complete the study were gathered during the summer of 1930 . The writing of the report has been unavoidably postponed because of the interference of other matters, but the study of the collected materials has proceeded steadily if leisurely. A number of graduate students in English language at the University of Michigan have contributed to that study, and these contributions, especially the more important ones, will be acknowledged separately at the places in which their materials appear.

It may seem to some that the attempt to explain the significance of the facts presented is unnecessarily simplified and that a chapter such as the first is out of place in a report like this. It must be remembered, however, that the report here presented is written for the many English teachers in the schools and not primarily for the comparatively few who are well trained in the scientific approach to language. I hope the latter will overlook the elementary matters to view with good-natured but searching criticism the new material here gathered and that the former will be patient with the linguistic detail necessary to a sound approach to such a problem.

In a work of this kind it is difficult to record the sources of all the suggestions and the aid that I have received, but special acknowledgment must here be made for some particular contributions. A number of language scholars read portions of the manuscript and made helpful criticisms. Among the most important of these were the comments of W. F. Bryan, W. Cabell Greet, A. G. Kennedy, Roland Kent, J. S. Kenyon, Hans Kurath, R. J. Menner, Louise Pound, R. L. Ramsay, Stuart Robertson, L. L. Rockwell, J. M. Steadman, E. H. Sturtevant, and C. K. Thomas. In typing the manuscript and especially in dealing with the proof sheets and in making the index I have had invaluable assistance from Miss Aileen Traver who gave to the book many things of extreme value. Throughout all the work, however, from the collecting of the materials, through the long processes of recording the instances of each grammatical category examined and of analyzing the evidence, to the writing of the finished book I have had the constant help of my wife, Agnes Carswell Fries, whose devoted assistance made the work possible.

C. C. F.
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## II

## THE SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES IN LANGUAGE PRACTICE AND THE OBLIGATION OF THE SCHOOLS


#### Abstract

"English" maintains its place as the most frequently required subject of our school and college curriculums because of the unanimous support given it both by the general public and by education authorities. This support rests upon the general belief that the mastery of good English is not only the most important asset of the ambitious, but also an obligation of every good citizen. There is, however, in many quarters, a very hazy idea of the specific elements which make good English. A great deal of vigorous controversy ignores all the larger problems of effective communication and centers attention upon the criteria to be applied in judging the acceptability of particular words and language forms. All of this controversy is direct evidence that there do exist many differences in the language practice of English speaking people; for no controversy could arise and no choice be offered unless differing language forms presented themselves in the actual practice of English speech. It is the purpose of this chapter to set forth the general character of these differences and to analyze their significance in relation to the obligations resting upon our schools. The chapter as a whole will therefore present the principles underlying this whole investigation and the point of view which has determined its material and method.


## I

Underlying many of the controversies concerning words and language forms is a very common attitude which I shall call here the "conventional point of view." Frequently stated explicitly, sometimes only implied, it appears in most handbooks and manuals of correct English, in grammars and rhetorics, in educational
tests and measures, and in many editorials of the press. This conventional point of view assumes not only that there is a correctness in English language as absolute as that in elementary mathematics but also that the measures of this correctness are very definite rules. The following quotations are typical:
"A college professor rises to defend 'ain't' and 'it is me' as good English. The reed upon which he leans is majority usage. . . . 'Ain't,' as a legitimate contraction of 'am not,' would not require defense or apology if it were not for widespread misuse. Unfortunately the same cannot be said of 'it is me.' This solecism could not be given the odor of good English by a plurality as great as Warren G. Harding rolled up in 1920. . . . A vast amount of wretched English is heard in this country. The remedy does not lie in the repeal of the rules of grammar; but rather in a stricter and more intelligent enforcement of those rules in our schools. . . . This protest against traditional usage and the rules of grammar is merely another manifestation of the unfortunate trend of the times to lawlessness in every direction. . . . Quite as important as keeping undesirables out of the vocabulary is the maintaining of respect for the rules of grammar, which govern the formation of words into phrases and sentences. . . . Students should be taught that correct speaking is evidence of culture; and that in order to speak correctly they must master the rules that govern the use of the language." ${ }^{1}$
"Grammar consists of a series of rules and definitions. . . . Since . . . ninety-five per cent of all children and teachers come from homes or communities where incorrect English is used, nearly everyone has before him the long, hard task of overcoming habits set up early in life before he studied language and grammar in school. . . . Such people are exposed to the ridicule of those who notice the error, and the only way in which they can cure themselves is by eternal vigilance and the study of grammar." ${ }^{2}$
"This is a test to see how well you know correct English usage and how well you can select the rule or principle in accordance with which a usage is correct. In the left hand column a list of sentences is given. In each sentence there are two forms in parentheses, one correct, and the other incorrect. In the right hand column a list of rules or principles is given, some one of which applies to each sentence. . . ."

[^1]Sentences
Principles
( ) 1. (Whom) (Who) did you meet?
( ) 2. He told John and (I) (me) an interesting story.
$a$. The indirect object is in the objective case.
b. The subject of the verb is in the nominative case.
c. The object of a verb is in the objective case.
". . . Read the first sentence in Section I; then mark out the incorrect form. Read the rules in Section I, until you find one that applies to this first sentence. Place the letter of this rule in the square preceding the first sentence. . . ." ${ }^{3}$
"One purpose of this report is to describe and illustrate a method of constructing a grammar curriculum upon the basis of the errors of school children. . . . it is apparent that the first step is to ascertain the rules which are bròken and to determine their relative importance." ${ }^{4}$

The point of view expressed in these quotations, assuming as it does that certain definite rules ${ }^{5}$ are the necessary standards by which to measure language correctness, also repudiates general usage as a valid guide to acceptability, even the usage of the socalled "educated." The following quotation represents dozens of similar statements:
"The truth is, however, that authority of general usage, or even of the usage of great writers, is not absolute in language. There is a misuse of words which can be justified by no authority, however great, and by no usage however general." ${ }^{\text {e }}$
From this, the "conventional point of view," the problem of the differences in our language practice is a very simple one. Only two kinds of forms or usages exist-correct forms and mistakes. In general, the mistakes are thought to be corrupt forms or illegitimate meanings derived by carelessness from the correct ones. In some cases a grudging acquiescence accepts some forms which are contrary to the rules when these forms are sanctioned by an over-

[^2]whelming usage, but here the view remains that these forms, although established by usage, are still incorrect and must always be incorrect. To this point of view these incorrect forms sanctioned by usage are the "idioms" of the language. In all the matters of differing language practices, therefore, those who hold this point of view regard the obligation of the schools as perfectly clear and comparatively simple-the schools must root out the mistakes or errors and cultivate the language uses that are correct according to the rules. ${ }^{7}$

Opposed to this "conventional point of view" is that held by the outstanding scholars in English language during the last hundred years. I shall call it here "the scientific point of view." Typical expressions of it abound.
"In considering the use of grammar as a corrective of what are called 'ungrammatical' expressions, it must be borne in mind that the rules of grammar have no value except as statements of facts: whatever is in general use in a language is for that very reason grammatically correct." ${ }^{8}$
"The grammar of a language is not a list of rules imposed upon its speakers by scholastic authorities, but is a scientific record of the actual phenomena of that language, written and spoken. If any community habitually uses certain forms of speech, these forms are part of the grammar of the speech of that community." ${ }^{\circ}$
"It has been my endeavor in this work to represent English Grammar not as a set of stiff dogmatic precepts, according to which some things are correct and others absolutely wrong, but as something living and developing under continual fluctuations and undulations, something that is founded on the past and prepares the way for the future, something that is not always consistent or perfect, but progressing and perfectible-in one word, human." ${ }^{10}$
"A Grammar book does not attempt to teach people how they ought to speak, but on the contrary, unless it is a very bad or a very

[^3]old work, it merely states how, as a matter of fact, certain people do speak at the time at which it is written." ${ }^{11}$

In these typical expressions of "the scientific point of view" there is, first of all, a definitely stated opposition to the fundamental principle of the "conventional attitude." All of them insist that it is unsound to take the rules of grammar as the necessary norms of correct English and to set out to make all usage conform to those rules. In these expressions of the scientific view there is, also, a clear affirmation of the fundamental principle of the attitude that usage or practice is the basis of all the correctness there can be in language. ${ }^{12}$ From this, the scientific point of view, the problem presented by the differences in our language is by no means a simple one. Instead of having to deal with a mass of diverse forms which can be easily separated into the two groups of mistakes and correct language according to perfectly definite measures, the language scholar finds himself confronted by a complex range of differing practices which must be sorted into an indefinite number of groups according to a set of somewhat indistinct criteria called "general usage." ${ }^{13}$ Those who hold this scientific point of view insist, therefore, that the first step in fulfilling the obligation of the schools in the matter of dealing with the English language is to record, realistically and as completely as possible, the facts of this usage.

This investigation and report assumes as its first principle this scientific point of view with its repudiation of the conventional attitude toward language errors. We shall, therefore, ignore the conventional classification of mistakes and correct forms, and attempt to outline the types of differences that appear in our American language practices.

[^4]
## II

All of us upon occasion note and use for the purpose of identification the many differences in the speech of those about us. By differences in pitch of voice, for instance, we can usually tell whether the person talking to us over the telephone is a man, or a woman, or a child. By certain characteristic differences of pronunciation and of grammar, the speech of "Amos and Andy" as it comes over the radio makes us visualize two uneducated negroes. Through the speech of "Clara, Lou, and Em," we see three women of little education who have had a very limited range of social contacts. In similar fashion we should with little difficulty recognize the speech of a Scot like Harry Lauder as differing from that of a native of Georgia or Alabama. If one could conjure up Shakspere or Spenser or Milton, he would find their English strange to his ears not only in pronunciation but in vocabulary and in grammar as well. The speech of Chaucer and of Wycliffe would sound even less like English. In other words, even if one ignores such details as separate the speech of every single person from that of any other, there are at least four large types of differences to be noted in our discussion here.

First, there are historical differences. Chaucer used, as we do, they as the nominative plural of the pronoun of the third person, but he did not use their as the genitive and them as the dative-accusative form. Instead, he used the forms her or hir, for the genitive plural, and hem for the dative-accusative or objective forms. In Chaucer's language it was still the practice to distinguish carefully between the singular and plural forms of the past tense of many verbs. He would say I rood (rode) but we ride( $n$ ), he sang but they sungc( $n$ ). In the late sixteenth century it was no longer the practice to distinguish between the singular and plural in the past tense, and Shakspere therefore used we rode as well as I rode. For him, however, learn was often used with the meaning we give to teach, and thou was frequently used to address those of inferior rank or intimate friends. Thus the language forms of each age have differed in some respect from those of any other time. Constant change is the outstanding characteristic of a live language used by an intellectually active people. The historical changes do not come suddenly, nor do they affect all the users of a language equally. Thus
at any time there will be found those who cling to the older methods and those who use the newer fashion. Many of the differences we note in the language of today find their explanation in this process of historical change. These older forms constitute a fairly large proportion of the materials usually called errors by those who maintain the conventional point of view. The so-called double negative, as in "They didn't take no oil with them," is thus a perpetuation of an old practice exceedingly common in the English language for centuries. It was formerly the normal way of stressing a negative. The form foot, in such expressions as "He is six foot tall," "The height of the bar is now six foot and two inches," is again the perpetuation of an old practice in the English language which the modern fashion has abandoned. It is an old genitive plural following the numeral. A few other examples out of dozens of such historical differences are clomb, usually spelled $c l u m$, as the past tense of the verb climb, instead of climbed; wrought ${ }^{14}$ as the past tense of the verb work, instead of worked; stang as the past tense of the verb sting, instead of stung. Such differences belong not only in this group called "historical differences" but often also to some of the other three groups to be explained below. In fact, the four types of differences are not by any means mutually exclusive classifications but merely loose divisions with convenient labels.

Second, there are regional differences. In the south of England, in early Modern English, the inflectional ending of the verb in the third person singular present indicative was -eth, as in "God loveth a cheerful giver." In the north of England this inflectional ending was -es, as "God loves a cheerful giver." Late Modern English has adopted the form that was used only in the northern region. In the language practice of the United States, gotten as a past participle form of get is fairly general; in England it seldom appears. You all as a plural of you is especially characteristic of southern United States. In some colleges one takes a course under a professor; in others it is from one or with one; in still others it is to one. Some of the differences we note in the language practices of those about us find their explanation in the fact that the fashions in one community or section of the country do not necessarily develop in others. Regional or geographical differences show themselves more

[^5]clearly in matters of vocabulary. That part of an automobile that is called a hood in the United States is called a bonnet in England. That which they call the hood in England we call the top. Lumber, to most of us in the United States means timber; in England it still means rubbish. In some sections of the United States a paper bag is usually called a sack, in others a poke. Such regional differences become especially noticeable when a person from one section of the country moves into another bringing with him the peculiar fashions of the district from which he comes. In the new community these language differences challenge attention and give rise to questions of correctness and preference.

Third, there are literary and colloquial differences. The language practices of conversation differ in many subtle ways from those used in formal writing. Most apparent is the abundance of contractions in the language of conversation. Thoroughly unnatural would sound the speech of those who in conversation did not constantly use I'm, you'll, isn't, don't, aren't, they'd better, we've, instead of the fully expanded $I$ am, you will, is not, do not, are not, they had better, we have. And in similar fashion the formal writing that habitually employed such contractions would seem equally unnatural because of the impression of very informal familiarity which they would create. Apparent, too, although less obvious are the differences between conversation and formal writing in the matter of sentence completeness. Conversation abounds in groups of words that do not form conventionally complete and logical sentences. Many verbs are omitted; clauses are uttered which are to be attached to the whole context of the conversation rather than to any particular word in a parsable sentence; single words stand for complete ideas. In formal writing the situation demands much more logical completeness of expression, and most of the sentences appear to satisfy the demands of a conventional grammatical analysis. Less apparent but not less real are the differences which arise out of the fact that many perfectly familiar expressions occur practically only in conversational situations and are found very seldom in literary English unless they appear in attempts to report conversation in writing. Occasions seldom arise in anything except conversational situations to use Who (or whom) did you call? or It is $m e$ (or I).

Many assume that the language practices of formal writing are
the best or at least that they are of a higher level than those of colloquial or conversational English. When, therefore, they find an expression marked "colloquial" in a dictionary, as is the phrase "to get on one's nerves" in Webster's New International Dictionary, they frown upon its use. As a matter of fact, thus to label an expression "colloquial" is simply to say that it occurs in good conversation but not in formal writing. ${ }^{15}$ Unless one can assume that formal writing is in itself more desirable than good conversation, the language practices peculiar to conversation cannot be rated in comparison with those of formal writing. Each set of language practices is best in its own special sphere of use; one will necessarily differ from the other.

Fourth, there are social or class differences. Despite the fact that America in its national life has struggled to express its belief in the essential equality of human beings and to free the paths of opportunity from arbitrary and artificial restraints, there still do exist some clear differences between the habits and practices of various social groups. It is, of course, practically impossible to mark the limits of any social class in this country. It is even extremely difficult to describe the special characteristics of any such class because of the comparative ease with which one passes from one social group to another, especially in youth, and the consequent mixture of group habits among those so moving. Our public schools, our churches, our community welfare work, our political life, all furnish rather frequent occasions for social class mixture. All that can be done in respect to such a description is to indicate certain facts which seem generally true for the core of any social group, realizing that these same facts may also be true separately of many who have connections with other groups. There are, for example, those who

[^6]habitually wear formal dress clothes in the evening and those who never wear them. Many of the former frequent the opera and concerts of the best music; many of the latter find their entertainment solely in the movies. The families of the wealthy, especially those whose wealth has continued for several generations, ordinarily mix but little with the families of unskilled laborers; and the families of college professors even in a small city have usually very little social life in common with the families of policemen and firemen.

Just as the general social habits of such separated social groups naturally show marked differences, so their language practices inevitably vary. Pronunciations such as "ketch" for catch and "git" for get; and grammatical forms such as "He seen his mistake as soon as he done it" or "You was" are not the characteristic modes of speech of university professors, or of the clergymen who preach from the pulpits in our large city churches, or of the judges of the supreme court, or of the presidents of our most important banks, or even of those who habitually patronize the opera. Such language practices, therefore, if used in these particular social groups attract as much attention as a pair of overalls might at an evening gathering where custom demands formal dress clothes. In fact, part of the significance of the social differences in language habits can well be illustrated by a comparison with clothes. Fundamentally the clothes one wears fulfill the elementary practical functions of comfort by keeping one warm and of modesty by avoiding indecent exposure of one's person. These two practical purposes could just as well be accomplished by rather shapeless simple garments produced over a standard pattern for every one and worn upon all occasions. Such clothes could be made to fulfill their primary functions very efficiently with a minimum of cost. In such a situation, however, aside from the significance of differing degrees of cleanliness, the clothes would show us very little concerning the individuals who wore them. With our present habits of dress the clothes connote or suggest, in a broad general way, certain information concerning the wearers. Among other things they suggest the circumstances in which we usually see them worn. A dress suit suggests an evening party (or in some places a hotel waiter); overalls suggest a piece of dirty work or possibly a summer camp. In like manner language forms and constructions not only fulfill a primary function of communicating meaning; they also suggest the circumstances in which those
particular forms and constructions are usually employed. If, then, one uses the pronunciations and grammatical forms given earlier in this paragraph, they may serve to communicate his meaning unmistakably, but they will also suggest that he habitually associates with those social groups for whom these language forms are the customary usage and not with those for whom they are not characteristic. We must, therefore, recognize the fact that there are separate social or class groups even in American communities and that these groups differ from one another in many social practices including their language habits.

As indicated earlier the four kinds of differences in language practice here outlined are by no means mutually exclusive. Many historical differences and some sectional differences have become also social differences. For our purpose here the social or class differences are of most concern; other types of differences will be treated only as they bear upon these social or class dialects.

## III

In order to grasp the significance of these social differences in language practice for the obligation of the schools one must understand clearly what is meant by "standard" English, and that can perhaps best be accomplished by tracing the course by which a particular kind of English became "standard." As one examines the material written in England during the twelfth and thirteenth centuries-a period from one hundred to two hundred years after the Norman Conquest-he finds a situation in which three things are of especial note:

1. Most of the legal documents, the instruments which controlled the carrying on of the political and the business affairs of the English people, were not written in the English language but in French or in Latin. This fact was also true of much of the literature and books of learning familiar to the upper classes.
2. Although some books, especially historical records and religious and moral stories and tracts, were written in English, there was no single type of the English language common to all English writings. The greatest number used what is called the Southern dialect. This particular kind of English had been centered in Winchester, which was the chief city of King Alfred and his successors until the time of the Norman Conquest.
3. There was, therefore, no "standard" English in twelfth and thirteenth century England, for no single type of the English language furnished the medium by which the major affairs of English people were carried on. Instead, English people used for these purposes French, Latin, and at least four distinct varieties of English. The particular kind of English spoken in southern England came nearest to fulfilling the function of a "standard" English because more writings and more significant writings were produced in this type of English than in any other.

In the fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries, however, this situation changed. London had become the political and in some respects the social head of English life in a much more unified England. Many of the major affairs of the realm had to be handled in London. More and more the English language, the English of London, was used in the legal documents of politics and business. Solely because of the fact that more of the important affairs of English life were conducted in this London English rather than in Winchester English, London English became "standard" English. Naturally, then, the growing use of this particular type of English for the important affairs of English life gathered such momentum that even writers to whom other types of English were more natural felt constrained to learn and to use the fashionable London English. Gower, for example, a Kentishman, did not write his native kind of English but practically the same forms, constructions, and spellings as Chaucer, a Londoner born. Naturally, too, this London English gained a social prestige because of the fact that its use connoted or suggested relations with the center of affairs in English life, whereas the inability to use London English suggested that one did not have such social contacts. "Standard" English, therefore, is, historically, a local dialect, which was used to carry on the major affairs of English life and which gained thereby a social prestige. ${ }^{16}$

Many changes occurred in this dialect of English and these changes especially affected the usage of the younger rather than of the older generations in the centers of fashionable social life. Thus the continued use of the older forms rather than the newer changes

[^7]always suggested a lack of direct contacts with those who were active in the conduct of important matters. In this connotation lay the power of "standard" English to compel the ambitious to conform to its practices.

In America, however, we have had no one recognized center for our political, business, social, and intellectual affairs. More than that, the great distances between various parts of the United States made very difficult frequent actual social contacts in the earlier days. Our coast cities, Boston and New York, maintained direct relations with London long after the earlier settlers had moved west, but the middle western settlements had practically no relations with Boston and New York. This fact can probably explain the differences between our middle-western speech and that of nineteenth century Boston and New York. Because of the fact that New England so long dominated our intellectual life there has been a good deal of feeling in many parts of the United States that the language usages of New England connoted a connection with a higher culture than did the language of the Middle West. Hence the rather widespread attempt to imitate certain New England speech characteristics. On the whole, however, if we ignore the special differences that separate the speech of New England, the South, and the Middle West, we do have in the United States a set of language habits, broadly conceived, in which the major matters of the political, social, economic, educational, religious life of this country are carried on. To these language habits is attached a certain social prestige, for the use of them suggests that one has constant relations with those who are responsible for the important affairs of our communities. It is this set of language habits, derived originally from an older London English, but differentiated from it somewhat by its independent development in this country, which is the "standard" English of the United States. Enough has been said to enforce the point that it is "standard" not because it is any more correct or more beautiful or more capable than other varieties of English; it is "standard" solely because it is the particular type of English which is used in the conduct of the important affairs of our people. It is also the type of English used by the socially acceptable of most of our communities and insofar as that is true it has become a social or class dialect in the United States.

With this analysis it is not difficult to understand the nature of the obligation assumed by our schools in respect to the teaching of the English language. Long have we in our national life adhered to the principle that no individual in his attempts to rise to the highest positions should be disqualified by artificial restraints. Our people have been devoted to education because education has furnished the most important tool of social advancement. Our public schools have therefore held to the ideal that every boy and girl should be so equipped that he shall not be handicapped in his struggle for social progress and recognition, and that he may rise to the highest positions. In the matter of the English language it is clear that any one who cannot use the language habits in which the major affairs of the country are conducted, the language habits of the socially acceptable of most of our communities, would have a serious handicap. The schools, therefore, have assumed the burden of training every boy and girl, no matter what his original social background and native speech, to use this "standard" English, this particular social or class dialect. To some pupils it is almost a foreign language; to others it is their accustomed speech. Many believe that the schools have thus assumed an impossible task. Certainly the widespread and almost unanimous condemnation of the results of their efforts convinces us that either the schools have not conceived their task adequately or they have chosen the wrong materials and methods to accomplish it. We shall find, I think, that seldom have school authorities understood the precise nature of the language task they have assumed and very frequently have directed their energies to teaching not "standard" English realistically described, but a "make-believe" correctness which contained some true forms of real "standard" English and many forms that had and have practically no currency outside the classroom. ${ }^{17}$

A few brief statements will serve both to summarize the preceding discussion and to bring into a single view the principles which underlie this investigation and report.

[^8]1. All considerations of an absolute "correctness" in accord with the conventional rules of grammar or the dicta of handbooks must be set aside, because these rules or these dicta very frequently do not represent the actual practice of "standard" English but prescribe forms which have little currency outside the English classroom. We assume, therefore, that there can be no "correctness" apart from usage and that the true forms of "standard" English are those that are actually used in that particular dialect. Deviations from these usages are "incorrect" only when used in the dialect to which they do not belong. These deviations suggest not only the particular social dialect or set of language habits in which they usually occur, but also the general social and cultural characteristics most often accompanying the use of these forms. ${ }^{18}$
2. It is the assumed obligation of the schools to attempt to develop in each child the knowleuge of and the ability to use the "standard" English of the United States-that set of language habits in which the most important affairs of our country are carried on, the dialect of the socially acceptable in most of our communities.
3. The first step in fulfilling that obligation is the making of an accurate and realistic survey and description of the actual language practices in the various social or class dialects. Only after we have such information in hand can we know what social connotations are likely to attach to particular usages.
[^9]
## III

## OTHER ATTEMPTS TO DETERMINE WHAT LANGUAGE MATTERS TO TEACH

In the course of the preceding chapter we have attempted to indicate the general principles which should determine the matters of English language to be taught in the schools. Before proceeding to give the details of the language program arrived at by an investigation controlled by these principles, we shall briefly describe the more important of the previous efforts to determine the materials of an effective English language teaching program in order to indicate the precise place which this study holds in the development of such efforts, and its essential difference.

Anxiety concerning the kind of English spoken and written by English people seems to have had its most vigorous early expressions in the eighteenth century as an outgrowth of the striving for "elegance," and especially attending the rise of the commercial middle classes into more prominence socially. ${ }^{1}$ Several quotations from eighteenth century publications will reveal clearly enough the important aspects of the attitude of this time.
a. From Swift's letter to the Lord Treasurer in 1712.
"My lord, I do here, in the name of all the learned and polite persons of the nation complain to your lordship, as first minister, that our language is extremely imperfect ; that its daily improvements are by no means in proportion to its daily corruptions; that the pretenders to polish and refine it, have chiefly multiplied abuses and absurdities: and that in many instances it offends against every part of grammar. . . . and these corruptions very few of the best authors in our age

[^10]have wholly escaped. . . . Besides the grammar part, wherein we are allowed to be very defective, they will observe many gross improprieties, which, however authorized by practice, and grown familiar, ought to be discarded."
b. From the preface of Robert Lowth's Grammar in 1762, referring to Swift's letter.
"But let us consider how, and in what extent, we are to understand this charge brought against the English Language. . . . Does it mean that the English Language, as it is spoken by the politest part of the nation, and as it stands in the writings of our most approved authors, often offends against every part of grammar? Thus far, I am afraid, the charge is true. Or does it further imply, that our Language is in its nature irregular and capricious; not hitherto subject, nor easily reducible to a System of rules? In this respect, I am persuaded, the charge is wholly without foundation."
c. From the preface of Thomas Sheridan's Dictionary in 1780.
". . . Yet so little regard has been paid to it [the English language] . . . that out of our most numerous array of authors, very few can be selected who write with accuracy; . . . nay it has lately been proved by a learned prelate in an essay upon our grammar, that some of our most celebrated writers, and such as have hitherto passed for our English classics, have been guilty of great solecisms, inaccuracies, and even grammatical improprieties, in many places of their most finished works."

## d. From William Ward's Grammar in 1765.

"This piece is excellent [referring to the work of Lowth] on account of the notes, in which are shown the grammatic Inaccuracies that have escaped the Pens of our most distinguished Writers . . . If your Scholars are Natives of England, . . . false English pointed out to them may be of the greatest use. For they are apt to follow Custom and example, even when it is faulty, till they are apprized of their Mistake. And therefore by shewing where Custom is erroneous, his Lordship has well deserved the Thanks of everyone who values the English Language and Literature . . . In short a very blameable Neglect of grammatic Propriety has prevailed amongst the English Writers, and at length we seem to be growing generally sensible of it; as likewise of the Use which may be made of a Knowledge of the English Grammar, towards assisting children to comprehend the general Import and Advantage of Rules concerning Language."
e. From Richard Johnson's Grammatical Commentaries, 1706.
"I cannot but think it would be of great Advantage, both for the Improvement of Reason in general . . . and also for the exact use of our own Language; which for want of Rule is subject to Uncertainty and the Occasion of frequent Contentions. And upon this account, it has been the Practice of several wise Nations, such of them, I mean, as have a thorough Education, to avoid that Confusion, that must needs follow from leaving it wholly to vulgar Use."
f. From James Buchanan's Syntax of 1767.
"Considering the many grammatical Improprieties to be found in our best Writers, such as Swift, Addison, Pope, etc., a Systematical English syntax is not beneath the Notice of the Learned themselves. Should it be urged, that in the Time of these Writers, English was but a very little subjected to Grammar, that they had scarcely a single Rule to direct them, a question readily occurs. Had they not the Rules of Latin Syntax to direct them?"

## g. From J. Newberry's Grammar Made Easy, 1745.

"This [English Grammar] ought to be taught children as soon as they have a Capacity for it, which is generally very early: for 'tis a Shame we should be ignorant of our own Tongue: . . . For want of an early Acquaintance with English Grammar, there are many grown Persons, and those of good natural Abilities, who not only express themselves very improperly in common Discourse, but who cannot so much as write a Letter of a moderate Length to a Friend or Correspondent, without trespassing a hundred times either against the Rules of Orthography or Syntax."

The point of view revealed in these quotations from the eighteenth century may be briefly described in the two statements following:
a. The English used by most English people, even by the learned and the best authors, is deplorable because of its grammatical incorrectness and inaccuracy.
b. The only remedy for this deplorable use of English will be for English people, young and old, to set out to learn correct English by means of a study of grammar rules.

The first attempts, therefore, to determine what English language matters to teach in the schools grew out of this eighteenth
century point of view and naturally resulted in the great stress that was laid upon the study of systematic or formal grammar. This emphasis upon formal grammar as the necessary material in an effective program of teaching good English lasted well through the nineteenth century. ${ }^{2}$ Even today many schools continue the former practice and its advocates are by no means few.

Throughout more than half of the nineteenth century, however, the opponents of formal grammar insisted that there was no necessary connection between a knowledge of systematic grammar and a practical control of good English; but the study of grammar was not only deeply intrenched in the traditional prejudices of the public, it was also supported by the "mental discipline" theory of psychology as well as by the teachers of Latin and of the modern foreign languages.

Formal or systematic grammar continued to provide the most important material of the English language program until the coming of the measurement movement in education brought the first really effective challenge of the asserted connection between grammar and good English. The results of the tests administered seemed to demonstrate "the absence of any relation between knowledge of English grammar and the ability either to write or to interpret language." ${ }^{3}$ Then too, repudiation of the older "faculty" psychology with its grosser conception of the "transfer of training" and the acceptance of a psychology of learning which emphasized the need

[^11]of specific training for each specific ability in every activity helped to make necessary a new approach to the problem of teaching good English. The second attempts, therefore, to determine what English language matters to teach in the schools grew out of this emphasis put upon specific training and showed itself in the many efforts to discover the particular items of English forms and structure which should furnish the materials of drill in the various school grades. These attempts led to the demand for "functional" grammar as distinct from "formal" grammar. The following quotation provides a statement of this particular point of view:
"The reaction against English grammar arose from the knowledge that the formal work in the subject that was being done was of small practical value. A further influence resulted from investigations tending to show that grammar provides little mental discipline of a general character. The movement in favor of simplifying the school course and concentrating on essentials did the rest. . . . A sane attitude toward the teaching of grammar would seem to be to find out what parts and aspects of the subject have actual value to children in enabling them to improve their speaking, writing, and reading, to teach these parts according to modern scientific methods, and to ignore any and all portions of the conventional school grammar that fall outside these categories." *

Many methods were employed in the effort to determine just what aspects of the material taught as English language would adequately serve the needs of both children and adults. Language error counts became popular under the assumption that the details of a grammar curriculum should be selected "upon the basis of the errors of school children" and therefore, "that the first step is to ascertain the rules which are broken." ${ }^{5}$ In most of these studies the investigation took for granted that frequency of occurrence meant importance for teaching. In a few there was some attempt to evaluate the items selected in relation to the frequency of their use in contemporary writing. ${ }^{6}$ Others have depended on the opinions of

[^12]teachers, or on opinions of the general public, or on opinions of the members of the various professions; all gathered by questionnaire and statistically summarized. ${ }^{7}$ All these efforts, however, assumed as the infallible measure of good English the conventional rules of the common school grammars. They have been concerned solely with selecting the particular items out of the mass of traditional material which has all along constituted the English language program, but they have emphasized mastery by drill upon these items rather than knowledge of rules as the end of teaching. In the higher grades they have been responsible for the appearance and widespread use of "handbooks" of usage. Most of the programs in English language in the more progressive schools of today are in accord with the point of view just outlined.

The attempts to challerige the traditional material and the conventional rules as valid measures of correctness, can be set off as the third group of efforts to determine what English language matters to teach. These attempts start from the knowledge that many of the rules inherited in our grammars are either the result of striving to apply to the English language formulas of Latin or those which were products of eighteenth century reasoning concerning what English people ought to say, ${ }^{8}$ and they assume that usage is the sole criterion of correctness. They have grown out of the attempt to interpret, for practical teaching, the more scientific approach to language. On the whole, however, most of the stress here has been directed toward eliminating from the teaching program those matters which have not a validity based upon usage, ${ }^{9}$ but very little attention has been given to the problem of a constructive program of English language teaching. Two important examples of this particular kind of effort are J. Leslie Hall's English Usage and

[^13]S. A. Leonard's Current English Usage. ${ }^{10}$ The former bases its challenge of the handbooks upon the actual usage of eminent and reputable authors in literary works that are above question. ${ }^{11}$ The iatter depends primarily upon a summary of the opinions expressed by some thirty of the recognized scholars in English language. These opinions were also compared with the opinions expressed by six other groups of judges. ${ }^{12}$ The opinions asked for in S. A. Leonard's

[^14]study were not the opinions of "what usage should be," but the judgments arising from an "observation of what is actual usage." Both studies should be helpful in eliminating from the teaching program the drill upon many language practices that have no validity outside the classroom. Unfortunately, however, the items that make up the traditional material of the drills and the formal tests most frequently given, are so firmly supported by the textbooks and practice pads, to say nothing of the inertia of much teaching routine, that these efforts to challenge the handbooks, rhetorics, and grammars have thus far had but little effect.

The various attempts to determine the material of an effective English language program for the schools can thus be roughly arranged in three groups. The first used the study of systematic or formal grammar, aimed at a knowledge of rules, and demanded much practice in classification, analysis, and parsing. The second used the rules of the conventional grammars as the criteria of good or correct English and set out to determine the common errors of language used by school children and adults. Adopting the psychology of specific training for each specific ability and assuming that frequency of error argued importance for teaching, they built up an English language program of teaching and tests made up of a large number of items for drill. The third group has tried to sift the items for drill and center attention in teaching upon driving out of the language of pupils, practices which are not used by "educated" people. They refuse to adopt the rules of the conventional grammars as the necessary standard of good English, and assume that only usage by the writers of literature or usage in the speech of those whose education is above question guarantees the satisfactory correctness of any item. They also assume the psychology of specific training, and their program consists therefore of a large number of items for drill and mastery.

The study here presented in this report, in its attempt to arrive at the details of a sound program for the teaching of the English

[^15]language, differs fundamentally from any of those described above, in three respects.
a. It assumes that the only method to attain really good Englisheffective language nicely adapted in both denotation and connotation to the circumstances of the occasion and the needs of both the speaker and the hearer-demands constant observation of the actual practice of the users of the language together with a sensitiveness to the suggestions inevitably attached to words and constructions. Any procedure, therefore, which makes one conscious of the "rules of grammar" or which centers attention solely on particular facts to be learned as such, rather than on actual observation of usage, serves to deaden this sensitiveness to one's speech environment and to turn the student away from the only path to real knowledge.
b. This study, therefore, does not attempt to set forth a closed handbook or authority of usage. It does not aim at a series of details judged to be "established" or "disputed" or "illiterate" which can be mastered by drill. It strives to present the material so organized as to provide the tools for further observation, classification, and interpretation; to show certain tendencies and patterns with the details that deviate from them; to provide a method and outline for continual filling in on the part of the reader and the student. ${ }^{18}$
c. It assumes that the most important facts concerning any words, forms, or constructions of language are the circumstances in which they are usually used, because these words, forms, or constructions will inevitably suggest these circumstances. ${ }^{14}$ As indicated in the preceding chapter, there are language forms and constructions that are somewhat limited in their constant use to particular social groups. To use any such forms seriously, whether they be those customary to the "vulgar" or those customary only to precise "school mistresses," helps to give the impression that the common social contacts of the user are with that particular group. This study, therefore, has attempted to find the important matters of American English that thus have distinct social class connotations. Unlike any of the former studies it has not been limited to an examination of the so-called "disputed" constructions and phrases; it has been concerned with a first-

[^16]hand examination of the grammatical matters that appeared in a large number of carefully selected specimens of the language of several social groups. A description of these materials and the scope of the examination to which they were subjected will be the subject of the next two chapters.

## IIII

## THE MATERIALS HERE EXAMINED AND THE SCOPE OF THE INVESTIGATION

Through the efforts of the Modern Language Association of America ${ }^{1}$ with the support of the Linguistic Society ${ }^{2}$ there were made available for this investigation certain files of informal correspondence in the possession of the United States Government. Access to these materials has, indeed, been a great privilege, and the government officials have most courteously aided the work in every possible way. In order to avoid the possibility of any embarrassment, all names or other items of identification were removed from whatever material was copied as specimens for study and our own serial numbers substituted. Each quotation will therefore have attached to it the number of the letter in which it may be found. At the conclusion of our studies ${ }^{3}$ copies of these letters will be deposited in the University of Michigan Library so that they will be

[^17]available to any one who wishes either to verify the conclusions here offered or to make further studies in the same material.

The ideal material, of course, for any survey of the inflections and syntax of Present-day American English would be mechanical records of the spontaneous, unstudied speech of a large number of carefully chosen subjects. The practical difficulties in the way of securing a sufficient number of records of this kind from each of a large number of subjects, sufficient to make possible the kind of study necessary in charting the field, seem to make it prohibitive as a preliminary measure. ${ }^{*}$

The use of any kind of written material for the purpose of investigating the living language is always a compromise, but at present an unavoidable one and the problem becomes one of finding the best type of writien specimens for the purpose in hand. The stenographic reports of evidence given in our courts are usually worthless for linguistic study, for almost invariably the stenographers, in transcribing their notes, not only use their own spelling but normalize the language forms as well. Business correspondence is much too limited in respect to its range of situation. Informal letters, if carefully handled, can, at least, provide the basis of a valuable tentative sketch to serve as a chart to guide methodical observation in the field. Certainly to check and to verify the conclusions of such a tentative sketch is a much more feasible undertaking than to make an original study without such a chart.

Any letters that are to be made the basis of an acceptable preliminary study of the social differences in our inflections and syntax must satisfy certain definite requirements. Some of these matters are quite obvious, but they are recorded here in order to list the considerations that guided the choosing of the materials collected for this study.

1. It must be certain that the language used in the particular letter or letters is really the language of the subject whose usage we are attempting to investigate. For that reason we included no typewritten letters; we took only those in the original handwriting of the persons selected as suitable subjects. At the time of examination we tried to make certain that there was always some item of evidence in con-

[^18]nection with the letter that would justify the conclusion that the language used was actually that of the writer.
2. It must be possible to procure sufficient and reliable information concerning the writer. In respect to the writers of the letters here gathered we had at hand the following information:
a. Place and date of birth of the writer
b. Place and date of birth of both father and mother
c. Present address of each
d. A record of the writer's schooling
e. A record of the occupations in which he had been engaged
$f$. In some cases (not in all, and chiefly in those classed in the "vulgar" or "popular" English group) a confidential report on the family ${ }^{\text {s }}$
3. There must be enough material from each subject to be a fair sample of his language; not, of course, of his vocabulary, but of the language forms and structures.
4. The correspondence must cover a wide range of topics. The material here used was largely made up of intimate descriptions of home conditions (family activities, family needs, domestic troubles, financial difficulties, sicknesses, ambitions, accidents) all offered as reasons for appeals of one kind or another. This material was limited, however, by the fact that all the letters were very serious in tone. Nowhere was there anything of a light or humorous feeling.

[^19]Altogether we have used for this particular study some two thousand complete letters, and excerpts from about one thousand more. They were all from native Americans for at least three generations. ${ }^{6}$ These were arranged in social or class groups in accord with the information available concerning the writers of the letters. Because of the nature of the material used, there is in this study no evidence of what may be termed "literary" language. These were all personal letters written to accomplish an immediate purpose with presumably no thought that they might ever be read by any one other than the particular person to whom they were directed. ${ }^{7}$ On the other hand, there is nothing from the distinctly "illiterate," for, of course, the language of those who cannot read and write must be studied by other means. There remain then three groups or social classes concerning whose language we sought information through these letters. In order to reduce to a minimum the subjective element in classifying our subjects into social or class groups, we have set up some definitely specific criteria and have tried to apply them rigidly. It is perhaps needless to insist that we classified the writers of the letters, not the letters themselves, and then studied the language of the specimens from each class.

At the lower level are those we classified in the group for "vulgar" ${ }^{8}$ English, the nearly illiterate, hereafter called Group III. For inclusion in this group we depended upon three types of evidence. No one of these three types of evidence was regarded as sufficient to place a subject; he had to qualify under all three to be classified in Group III.
a. The record of the schooling. We included in Group III no one who had passed beyond the eighth grade in the schools. Most of our subjects in this group had not attained that level. This statement must not be taken to mean that all who had had only seven or eight years of schooling were included. This was simply a negative stand-

[^20]ard; no one who had had more than this amount of schooling was included.
b. The general information concerning the family circumstances. Only those whose occupations were strictly manual and unskilled were included; clerical workers of every sort were ruled out, even those merely clerking in stores. Even strictly manual workers were excluded if their wages amounted to more than ninety dollars a month.
c. Certain definite, formal, non-linguistic matters in the letters themselves. These were matters of spelling, capitalization, and punctuation which clearly demonstrated that the writer was not accustomed to writing at all, that he was semi-illiterate. For this purpose mere accidental misspellings were not considered, but the habitual misspelling of eight or ten simple words was regarded as significant when joined with the evidence from capitalization (lower case letters for the pronoun $I$ and the initial letters of the names of towns and persons), and with the evidence from punctuation (no sentence end punctuation of any kind in a letter of more than two hundred words). Such, for example, was the situation in a letter from 8005. The word know was spelled "no" all six times it was used; "rote" for wrote, three times; "rong" for wrong, twice; "crect" for correct; "prade" for parade; "hu" for who; "anoff" for enough; "parence" for parents; "nervice" for nervous.

Using these three types of evidence together we found specimens from more than three hundred subjects whom we classified in Group III.

At the upper end of the scale were those whom we have classified in the group for "standard" English, the socially acceptable, called hereafter Group I. For inclusion in this group the information available concerning the subject must show that he qualified in all three of the following points:

1. The record of his formal education must show that he is a graduate of one of our reputable colleges after having had at least three years of college life.
2. That his present position must be one of recognized standing in the community in which he lives. These positions were usually those which are regularly classed as professions and included college professors, physicians, lawyers, judges, clergymen, commissioned officers of the United States Army above the rank of lieutenant, and,
from cities of more than 25,000 inhabitants, the superintendents of schools and the editors of newspapers.
3. It is perhaps unnecessary to add that no subjects were put into Group I if the formal, non-linguistic matters in their letters did not conform completely to the usual conventions of written material. As in choosing the subjects for Group III, these non-linguistic matters included spelling, capitalization, and the uses of end punctuation.

No one was accepted as a subject for either Group I or Group III whose record did not meet all the requirements here laid down. The letters of border line cases were not included in the material to be examined. The purpose of such rigid exclusion from these two groups was to make sure that no serious objections could be raised against the results obtained for either Group I or Group III on the ground that the specimens came from some subjects that might just as well be classified in some other group.

Whether the reader will agree with the names applied to each of these groups does not matter. All will agree, at the very least, that we have here for examination specimens of the language of two very distinct social groups of subjects chosen by the rigid application of certain perfectly definite standards.

Between these two ends of the social scale lies a third class-the great mass of the people in most of our communities-whom we shall call Group II, the users of "common" English. The specimens chosen for examination here come from subjects who may be said to make up the central core of this class which includes the majority of our people. They are those whose record meets the following requirements:

1. They have had a formal education ranging from at least one year of high school to a single year in college or technical school.
2. They are substantial citizens of a community, with occupations that are neither professional on the one hand nor strictly manual and unskilled on the other. They include business men, electricians, foremen of large shops, superintendents of mills, heads of police departments, undertakers, Red Cross workers, nurses, and noncommissioned officers of the army of the grade of sergeant. Qualified representatives of other similar occupations would have been included in this group had there been specimens from such subjects in our material. Those listed include all that make up our Group II.
3. In the formal, non-linguistic matters, considered for other groups, the subjects accepted for Group II all conformed with the conventional practice in respect to ordinary capitalization and the end punctuation of sentences. In respect to spelling none were included who like those of Group III habitually misspelled any of the very common words, but occasional misspelling of the common "problem" words or of unusual words did not exclude a subject from this group as it did from Group I.

We have then a fairly large number of specimens of the language used in intimate personal letters by each of these three classes of American people. The language used by Group I we have called "standard" English; that used by Group II, "popular" or "common" English; and that used by Group III, "vulgar" English." There is no doubt that there is a large body of the language which we call English which is common to all three groups. More than that, Group I and Group II have language habits in common which do not occur in Group III, and Groups III and II have common characteristics that do not occur in Group I. Finally there are some language practices which occur predominantly in Group I and others which occur predominantly in Group III. It is these distinctive forms which carry the connotations of social contacts with the particular group in which they are used. It is because these distinctive forms thus suggest the social circumstances in which they usually occur that the use of language forms characteristic of Group III or of those common only to Group II and Group III becomes a handicap to the socially ambitious. This study therefore started with the careful classification of subjects into the groups indicated above in order to attempt to discover what language forms and constructions were really thus distinctive and characteristic of each of these three classes of our people. The study is obviously limited by the fact that the letters examined do not by any means cover all the situations of our complex life. The material deals only with the

[^21]serious aspects of family life and its relationships. More than that, even three thousand letters can yield only incomplete returns concerning the actual usage of the great mass of native Americans. This study, therefore, cannot pretend to any completeness or finality; it offers a tentative sketch and chart to guide observation, an outline to be filled and corrected as new evidence is examined. It has the merit, however, of resting upon a recording of actual usage rather than upon opinion, and of using a procedure as objective as possible in sorting the subjects into social groups. There are nearly the same number of subjects representing each group and these come from nearly every state in the United States. The method of dealing with the language matters in these letters will be set forth in the next chapter.

## IIV

## THE METHOD OF EXAMINATION, A CLASSIFICATION OF THE GRAMMATICAL PHENOMENA

In the attempt to gather, analyze, and record the significant facts from any such mass of material as the specimens here examined, one cannot depend upon general impressions and note only the special forms that attract attention. If he does, the unusual forms and constructions or those that differ from his own practice will inevitably impress him as bulking much larger in the total than they really are. Those forms and constructions that are in harmony with the great mass of English usage will escape his notice. This seems to me to be a fundamental difficulty with the earlier editions of Mencken's The American Language and accounts in part for the difference between his representations of "The Common Speech" and the results given here. Mencken, for example, prints in the 1924 edition of his book the "Declaration of Independence in American," as one of his "Specimens of the American Vulgate" or, as he says, "translated into the language they use every day." ${ }^{1}$
"When things get so balled up that the people of a country have to cut loose from some other country, and go it on their own hook, without asking no permission from nobody, excepting maybe God Almighty, then they ought to let everybody know why they done it, so that everybody can see they are on the level, and not trying to put nothing over on nobody.
"All we got to say on this proposition is this: first, you and me is as

[^22]good as anybody else, and maybe a damn sight better ; second, nobody ain't got no right to take away none of our rights; every man has got a right to live, to come and go as he pleases, and to have a good time however he likes, so long as he don't interfere with nobody else. That any government that don't give a man these rights ain't worth a damn; also, people ought to choose the kind of government they want themselves, and nobody else ought to have no say in the matter."

In the 176 words here quoted there are, for example, five uses of the multiple negative. Every negative statement except one has two or three negative particles. This excessive use of the multiple negative construction cannot be found in any actual specimens of Vulgar English. Even in Old English, where the use of the double negative was normal, less than 35 per cent of the total negative statements occur with multiple negative particles. Such a complete use of the multiple negative construction as Mencken displays will only be heard from those who consciously attempt to caricature Vulgar English. Most of the comic writers produce their language effects in similar fashion by seizing upon a few such especially noticeable or spectacular forms and expressions of Vulgar English and then working them excessively. Such representations of Vulgar English become grossly inaccurate both because the amount of deviation from the standard forms is greatly exaggerated and also because many of the forms characteristic of Vulgar English that are not sufficiently picturesque to be funny are completely ignored. ${ }^{2}$

In order to avoid errors of this kind we have in the study of this material tried first to record all the facts in each category examined. For example, every preterit and past participle form was copied on a separate slip of paper in order that we might determine not only

[^23]the kind of variety that existed in actual usage but also something of the relative amounts of that variation. In similar fashion all instances with forms expressing number in verbs and in demonstratives used attributively as well as in substantives were gathered to form the basis of the summaries we offer concerning concord in number. We do not assume that the absolute frequency of occurrence of particular forms in the limited material here examined is in itself significant; we have simply tried to make sure of the relative frequency of the language usages appearing here in order to give proportion to our picture of actual practice and to prevent a false emphasis upon unusual or picturesquely interesting items.

This approach to the gathering and analysis of the language facts to be observed in our material made necessary some system of classification by which those facts of essentially similar nature should be inevitably brought together. We were seeking to record as completely as possible the methods used by the English language to express grammatical ideas and to discover the precise differences in these methods as employed by the various social dialects. The outlines of our grouping quite naturally settled themselves. The facts gathered in an early preliminary study of our material all fitted into a classification made up of three general types of devices to express grammatical ideas.

First of all there were the forms of words. The way in which the word tables differs from the word table indicates one grammatical idea; the way in which roasted differs from roast, or grew from grow expresses another; and the way in which harder differs from hard shows another. These examples illustrate the expression of grammatical ideas by the forms of words. Other ideas, however, are also shown by word forms as truth differing from true, or kindness from kind, or rapidly from rapid, or stigmatize from stigma, or national from nation, or writer from write. These latter derivational forms will not be included here although it is difficult to draw an exact line between them and the grammatical forms with which we are especially concerned. It is enough for our purpose to point out that most of these derivational forms are, in Present-day English, chiefly vocabulary or word-formation matters rather than inflectional matters and that we have limited our study to grammatical structure and have excluded vocabulary. But these "forms of words" as we shall use them are interpreted broadly to include
even entirely different words as we or $m e$ or $u s$ in relation to $I$, went in relation to go, and worse in relation to bad. ${ }^{3}$

Second, there were the uses of function words. These words frequently have very little meaning apart from the grammatical relationship they express. Examples are of in "A house of stone," or with in "He struck the animal with a rod," or more in "A more important battle," or have in "They have had their reward," or going in "He is going to go to New York." Many of the grammatical ideas formerly expressed by the forms of words are now expressed by such function words.

Third, there were the uses of word order. Word order is often an important item of the idiom of a language, but it is not always a grammatical device as it is in English. In Latin, for example, the periodic structure with the verb at the end occurs very frequently, but the word order in such a sentence as "Nero hominem interfecit" has nothing whatever to do with indicating the so-called "subject" and "object." The basic meaning of the Latin sentence remains unchanged with every possible order of these three words. In English, however, "Nero killed the man" and "The man killed Nero" express very different ideas and that difference comes to us solely through the order in which the words are placed. Some of the grammatical ideas formerly expressed in English by the forms of words are now expressed by word order.

All the language facts gathered from the letters here examined were classified in one of these three groups-the uses of the forms of words, the uses of function words, or the uses of word order-

[^24]and there studied. In respect to each group the description will first set forth the practice of Group I or "standard" English and then indicate the deviations from that practice, characteristic of Group III, or of Group II and Group III combined. Some of the significance of these language facts will, however, be best revealed by showing them in relation to similar situations as they appeared in older stages of the English language, for even complete statistics of the relative frequency of two alternative forms in any single period of language history can never give us a guide as to the relative importance of those forms or the direction of change. For such purposes the statistics must be viewed in relation to the situation in a previous or in a later period. For example, if we were living at the close of the first quarter of the fifteenth century, the bare fact that the alternative pronoun forms them and hem were used with a relative frequency of approximately 20 per cent of them to 80 per cent of hem would tell us little without the knowledge that hem was the form that was being superseded and that the tendency to use them in its place had already progressed one fifth of the way along which the forms they and their had already gone much farther. In the effort therefore to make clear the significance of the records of contemporary English which formed the basis of this study it will frequently be necessary to picture the present usage against the background of the practice in older stages of the language. We shall try always to deal with the patterns of the language to which particular forms belong and to show the path along which these patterns have developed.

It will be clearly evident as we proceed that the three general types of grammatical processes in accord with which our language material has been classified are not now and have not been in the history of the English language thoroughly coördinate or of equal value. As a matter of fact any one of the three could have served quite adequately all necessary grammatical needs. Instead, they overlap in the expression of grammatical ideas and in some respects may be said to compete for the expression of the same ideas. The function-word method and the word-order method of expressing dative and accusative relationships have, for example, almost entirely displaced the inflectional method. In the early stages of the language there is no doubt that the use of the forms of words as a grammatical process was much more important than the gram-
matical uses of either word order or of function words. Some of the problems of usage in Present-day English arise where there is such a so-called conflict between two types of grammatical processes for the expression of a single grammatical idea. While, therefore, we shall classify and describe our language details in accord with the demands of each of the three types of grammatical processes indicated above, it will be necessary to discuss them in relation to the historical patterns with which they are connected and sometimes to refer to the use of a competing type of grammatical process for the expression of the same idea. The next two chapters will deal with the language facts that belong to the first of these three grammatical devices, the uses of the forms of words.

## $\mathbb{V}$

## THE USES OF THE FORMS OF WORDS: TWO MAJOR OR LIVE INFLECTIONS

We are concerned here with describing the grammatical uses of the forms of words, or inflections, found in our letters. As indicated above, our method has been to record all the forms that appeared and then to group them in accord with the grammatical ideas expressed. The study of each group has centered upon (1) the pattern most commonly used to express a particular idea, (2) the exceptions to the pattern or the less usual forms indicating the same grammatical idea, (3) the significance of both the usual pattern and the less frequent forms in relation to the older forms in English and the direction of change in the language, (4) the common and the divergent practices of the social classes from which the letters were gathered.

As one surveys our recorded instances of the uses of inflections in comparison with the instances of the uses of the other processes to indicate the same grammatical ideas, he cannot help being impressed with the fact that only two of these uses of inflections stand out as alive and vigorous today and that all the others have been more or less replaced by the devices of function word and word order. The two uses of the forms of words that show no signs of losing their importance for Present-day English are those to distinguish the plural number from the singular and those to distinguish the preterit tense from the present.

## I. THE FORMS FOR NUMBER

Although, on the whole, the forms for number may be said to have retained a vigorous life in English, yet the number inflections of modern English differ in many ways from those that existed in the older stages of the language. Most important of these differences is the fact that in Present-day English the number forms are almost
entirely confined to substantives. Formerly the definite article, all the adjectives, and, of verbs, all persons of the present and preterit tenses in both the indicative and subjunctive moods had forms for number. These forms have now almost entirely disappeared. The few that remain will be discussed a little later in relation to the matter of number concord.

Of almost equal importance is the fact that the number forms of substantives-at least of nouns-are more distinctive in Presentday English than they were in the older stages of the language. They are more distinctive, first, because the forms now used are clearly number signs and in general do not have to do duty as case symbols as well. In Old English, for example, the form menn was both the dative singular and the nominative-accusative plural. The -an ending of the weak declension, as in oxan (MnE oxen), served not only for the plural number but also for the genitive, dative, and accusative singular. Many neuter nouns had the same form for both the nominative singular and the nominative plural. In Present-day English, on the other hand, the plural inflection is used for number only, except for the identical sound of the few inflected genitives still used. The number forms of substantives in Present-day English are more distinctive, also, because the forms now used have become a clear pattern. In Old English each kind of noun had a different ending for the plural number. Masculine $a$-stems used the ending -as; feminine $o$-stems ended in -e or $-a$; neuter $a$-stems had no ending or $-u$; weak nouns used -an. In Present-day English, however, all our nouns except a very few form their plurals by use of the so-called -s ending. Ever since the thirteenth century this particular type of plural ending, which in Old English belonged only to the masculine $a$-stems, has become more and more the pattern upon which the plural inflections of the other groups of substantives were modelled. As a result, not only have native English words which earlier had other plural endings or none at all taken on the -s ending but borrowed foreign words have usually adjusted their plural forms to this -s ending even to the extent of adding the $s$ to forms already plural. ${ }^{1}$

[^25]In substantives, therefore, (nouns and such pronouns as one and other) the one important use of word forms to express any grammatical ideas is this use of an $-s$ ending to indicate plural number.

## A

In the letters examined for this study the actual situation in respect to the number inflections of substantives may be summarized in the following statements:

1. In all three social groups the so-called -s ending is the regular pattern of the plural inflection for nouns. Out of a total of more than three thousand plural nouns only 153 (5 per cent) appeared with any other form.
2. The variations from this pattern which appeared regularly in the usage of Group I (Standard English) are the following:
a. Some remnants of older English patterns still persisting in very common and much used words.
men, feet, teeth-old umlauted plurals
children-now with the plural ending of the old weak declension. sheep-old neuter without inflectional ending in the plural. year, foot, acre, in such expressions as "my three year old daughter" (9054), "a 420 acre farm" (8298), a "five foot pole" (7421)-nouns for periods of time or measures of distance after numerals. ${ }^{2}$

| OE Plural | has become | MnE Plural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| hus | " | houses |
| gear (sometimes gearas) | " | years |
| cwene | u | queens |
| glofa, glofe | " | gloves |
| monab | " | months |
| bec | " | books |
| tungan | © | tongues |
| eagan | 世 | eyes |
| naman | " | names |

The following borrowed words are typical of the great mass of foreign words for which we use the regular -s ending plural rather than the form the foreign language would use: circus, crocus, chorus, premium, medium, gymnasium, serum, vacuum, atlas, specimen, item, folio, opera, idea, plus, minus, bonus.
${ }^{2}$ These words were the only ones appearing in such a form in our materials for Group I. In all other cases the plural form was used. We have, for example, "a five year old child" but "a six months old baby." Other instances from Group I which should be compared with the examples of the practice of Group III (see statement No. 3 in the text) are the following:

[^26]million, in "over three million members" (9036)-the larger numerals without $-s$.
b. Some foreign words still maintaining the inflectional forms of the language from which they come.

## data, ${ }^{8}$ alumni, phenomena

3. The variations from the usual pattern in which the usage of Group III (Vulgar English) differed from the practice of Group I (Standard English) are the following (in some cases these forms appear also in the practice of Group II, Common English) :
$a$. The $s$-less form of nouns for periods of time or measures of distance after numerals appears in a wider range of constructions in Group III than in Group I. The following examples are typical of uses that do not appear in.the Standard English of Group I.
```
"he has been in . . . 18 month" (8168)
"my husband left me 3 month ago" (8012)
"he only served about 3 month" (8401)
"havnt herd from him for two month" (8118)
"he is only 16 year of age" (8040)
"a 8 month old baby" (8211)
"from 5 to 10 gallon of water" (8455)
"about five foot away" (8402)
"five foot eight inches tall" (8460)
```

but
"had ten years service" (6418)
"several years experience" (8403)
"a six months course" (9024)
"four months leave" (9024)
"the three months school" (9027)
"a three weeks training" (6514)
"a ten days leave" (9065)
"He received a five days pass" (7415)
but
"an eight day furlough"
Even after the numeral one an $-s$ is used, sometimes with and sometimes without an apostrophe.

```
"a one day's leave" (9007)
"each days delay" (6500)
"the month's time that I have lost" (9027)
```

It seems evident that, in most cases, the nouns in this construction with numerals have come to be felt as plurals, hence the s-forms without apostrophe. The uninflected forms have tended to disappear from Standard English. The s-forms with apostrophe, especially in such context that the noun of "extent" is clearly singular, continue as genitives of measure. (See below, Ch. VI, p. 76, and Ch. X, pp. 274-275, for the use of noun adjuncts.)
${ }^{8}$ The word data is sometimes regarded as a singular form, as in "This data has been gathered by the Red Cross office."

Even in Group III, however, this wider and older use of the s-less form after a numeral is not very frequent, for it appeared in only 11 per cent of the situations in which it was possible. In Group II it is even more rare; only two instances were found.
b. The Vulgar English of Group III differs sharply from the Standard English of Group I in respect to the form of the word regard in the expression in regard(s) to. The letters from Group I used only in regard to. Those from Group III used in regards to much more frequently than in regard to, the exact proportion being 69.5 per cent of regards to 30.5 per cent of regard. ${ }^{4}$ Those from Group II also used both in regard to and in regards to but the form regard predominated slightly ; the exact proportion was seven of in regard to to six of in regards to. ${ }^{5}$ There may be some connection between this regards and the verbal form regards in "as regards his _—" which appeared once in the materials of both Group I and Group II but not in those of Group III.
c. Group III differs from Group I in its treatment of the form lot meaning "many, a large number." Group I uses only a lot as in "thirty letters and a lot more" (6514). Group III has a lots as in "save a lots of lives and money" (8063), or a lot without the of as in "and a lot other things." (8186). Several instances occur without the $a$ as "there has been lots of sentence cut" (8039) and "you have lots of mothers asking for . . ." (8187).
d. Group III seems to be alone in furnishing examples of a distinct form for the plural of the pronoun of the second person except for the very few instances of you all and you folks in letters of Group I from the southern United States. In Group III appear youse as in "I am writing youse this letter" (8243), you people (8074, 8133), you folks (8038), you men (8038), and many cases of you all ( $8244,8045,8244$, 8097). Whether the youse in (8243) is indeed a plural in this letter addressed to one man or the you all in (8045) "I writ sevel days ago to you all," or in (8097) "I want you all to send him back to me," in each case also addressed to one man, is perhaps impossible to decide.
$e$. The plural written form with the apostrophe before the $s$ in words other than letters and numbers (A's, B's, 1's, 2's) appears only in Group III. Examples are " 4 month's" (8147), " 5 younger brother's" (8143), "what the Doctor's testified" (8153), "4 little one's" (8154), "no paper's" (8190), "for the employee's only" (8274), "in regard's

[^27]to" (8259, 8255, 8249, 8238, 8230, 8229). In Group II there is this one possible instance of the same practice, "the Dr's say there is no cure" (8131). This method of writing or printing plural forms was considerably used in the late sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries.

From the evidence here presented, concerning the number inflections of substantives, four matters of language usage and one of writing form seem to be distinctly Vulgar English:
a. $s$-less forms of nouns for periods of time or measures of distance after numerals, other than year in such expressions as "a four year old child," foot in "a twelve foot pole," acre in "a 420 acre farm," and mile in "a 200 mile trip." Typical of Vulgar English are " 3 month ago"; "16 year of age"; " 2 mile down the road"; "ten gallon of water."
b. in regards to
c. a lots of
d. youse, or with less distinct vulgar connotations you folks.
$e$. the writing of plurals other than those of letters, numbers, or other symbols, with an apostrophe before the $s$ as in 4 month's.

## B

In English the number inflections of words other than substantives have been gradually disappearing ever since the beginning of the twelfth century. As a result, concord or agreement of number has been reduced to very low terms in the present-day language, for this concord depends solely upon the presence of grammatical forms for number in such secondary words as the article, adjectives, and verbs. An inspection of the following examples will make this statement clear:
Modern English 1. The good man wrote. 2. The good men wrote.
Old English 1a. Se goda mann wrat. 2a. pa godan menn writon.
These two sentences differ only in number. In the first there is but one man who did the writing; in the second several men wrote. These facts are indicated by the difference between the forms of the substantive; in (1) the word man is singular, in (2) men is plural; in (1a) mann is singular, in (2a) menn is plural. But in the Old English sentences (1a) and (2a) the forms of the other words in the two sentences also differ. The definite article in the first sentence is se, singular, in the second it is $b a$, plural. The adjective in the first is goda, singular; in the second it is godan, plural. These dis-
tinctions, however, in the number forms of the article, adjective, and verb have no significance for the meanings of the words to which they are attached. The plural form of the adjective does not mean that the man was good in several respects, nor does the plural form of the verb mean that the man wrote several times. These forms simply repeat the grammatical idea of number already expressed by the form of the noun. In the first sentence they each express the grammatical idea that but one man was doing the writing; in the second they each support the idea that several men wrote. In other words, concord or agreement in number means simply that by means of distinct forms in such secondary words as articles, adjectives, or verbs there is a repeating of the number idea already expressed by the form of the substantive. It must be clearly evident then that there can be no such repetition of the grammatical idea unless the secondary word has a distinction of form for number. In the Modern English equivalents of the Old English sentence given above there is no agreement or concord of number, for the forms of the article, of the adjective, and of the preterit verb do not differ in the two sentences. Without such distinct forms for number in secondary words there can be no concord or agreement.

In Modern English, therefore, the definite article the does not agree with the substantive to which it is attached. It has lost completely the means it had in Old English to differentiate the form of the article to be used with a singular noun from that to be used with a plural noun. In Modern English the adjective also has lost its distinctive forms for singular and plural and the word good remains unchanged whether attached to the singular man or to the plural men. It is true that the demonstrative pronouns this and that are also used attributively and are thus sometimes called adjectives. These two words, probably because of their use as substantives, have maintained separate forms for singular and plural in this attributive use and do, therefore, show concord or agreement with the substantives to which they are attached. These are the only cases, however, of words which may be classed with the adjectives and which in Present-day English have the forms to maintain any number concord. ${ }^{6}$

[^28]The verb also has gradually lost the many number distinct forms it had in Old English. In early Middle English the general levelling of the unstressed vowels made the present indicative plural form like that of the third person singular. The plural ridaঠ became rideठ, which was also the third person singular form. The loss of $n$ made the plural of the preterit indicative of weak verbs identical with the first and third person singular forms. This loss of $n$ also reduced to a common form the singular and plural of both the present subjunctive and the preterit subjunctive. Later the analogical reduction to a single stem of the separate forms for singular and plural in the preterit indicative of strong verbs removed another of the possibilities of concord in verbs. Today, therefore, except for the verb to be, we have in verbs but the one form which can indicate any trace oi inflection for number. This form is the so-called s-ending which has, since the sixteenth century, been attached to the third person singular of the present indicative. This is the only form, aside from those of the verb to be, that can lend any support to a subject-verb concord of number. It is the only number distinctive form left out of the thirteen that existed in the Old English verbal inflection. In the verb to be, out of the fourteen such forms in Old English, there are five remaining in Present-day English: am, is, are, for the present indicative and was, were, for the preterit indicative.

The personal pronouns of the third person are often used to refer to substantives already mentioned and, inasmuch as they still retain their number distinctive forms without loss, they can and do repeat whatever number idea attached to their antecedents.

As we have said above, the English language has shown a marked tendency to eliminate all number distinctive forms in secondary words but to continue them in substantives. Concord or agreement in number has, therefore, nearly passed out of the language. The

[^29]only possibilities for a number concord in Present-day English are (1) in the use of this, that, these, and those as attributives; (2) in the use of am, is, are, was, were of the verb to be, and of the present indicative third singular -s of other verbs; and (3) in the use of the pronouns of the third person when they refer to substantives already mentioned.

The question of concord or agreement in Present-day English, therefore, is limited to that of the use of these particular forms. In the great majority of instances there is no chance for concord or agreement, for the secondary words-the adjectives and the verbs used-have no special form to distinguish the singular form from the plural. ${ }^{7}$

More than that, there are many situations in Present-day English when even the very few number forms that still survive in verbs are not used in accord with the demands of a formal concord of number. A collective noun like family, for example, may be followed by a plural verb as in "The family were all present" whenever the meaning stresses the individuals that make up the group. The difficulties or problems of concord or agreement in number have arisen primarily in situations in which there is a conflict in the substantive between the form and the number idea implied in that meaning. In most instances, there is no such conflict, for the form of the substantive coincides with the meaning; book is singular and books is plural both in form and in meaning. The words family, nation, government, audience, committee, class, crowd, multitude, herd, dozen, enemy, people, troop, folk, crew, party, however, are singular in form, with families, nations, governments, audiences, committees, classes, crowds, multitudes, herds, dozens, enemies, peoples, troops, folks, crews, parties, as plurals.

But the singular forms of all of these and similar words may be used when the attention clearly centers upon the plural idea of the several individuals included in the kind of group named. In such cases modern grammars, rhetorics, and dictionaries all agree that

[^30]verbs plural in form are used. ${ }^{8}$ It will be worth while to examine the history of this practice in English in order, if possible, to make clear the direction in which usage has been moving and to describe what might be called the pattern of that use. ${ }^{9}$

Even in Old English, collective nouns singular in form sometimes appeared with a plural verb or were referred to by a pronoun of plural form. In these Old English instances, however, the plural form practically never immediately followed or immediately preceded the collective noun of singular number. Several words (very rarely only one) intervened between the collective and the plural verb or pronoun.
" $\mathrm{p} æ$ Iudeisce folc gewat fram Gode forsewen purh heora upahefednysse" Aelfric.
"pæt folc sæt . . . and arison" Exodus XXXII:6.
"pin ofspring sceal agan heora feonda gata" Genesis XXII:17.
"Se here swor pæt hie woldon" Anglo-Saxon Chronicle 921.
It was not until the Middle English period that the collective noun singular in form appeared with any frequency immediately followed or preceded by a plural verb form. Collective nouns have ever since, however, had in English a concord of number which depended on the meaning emphasized rather than on the form of the noun.

[^31]Some of the indefinite pronouns-none, any-reached during the Middle English period the stage of number concord that collective nouns held during the Old English period. None was frequently followed by a plural verb form but only when words intervened between the none and the verb. None was not immediately followed or preceded by a plural verb form until the end of the Middle English period and the beginning of Early Modern English.

Our present practice concerning number concord in respect to the other indefinite pronouns of later formation-everyone, everybody, nobody, anyone, anybody, etc.-parallels that of collective nouns in Old English and of none in Middle English. We never use a plural verb or plural pronoun form immediately following or immediately preceding the singular form of these indefinites, but when other words intervene, especially when these intervening words serve to emphasize the plural idea contained in the indefinite, a plural verb form or a plural pronoun is common. In other words the pattern concerning the use of number forms in secondary words that has emerged in the development of English is a concord based primarily on the number idea emphasized in the primary word rather than on its form. ${ }^{10}$ In nearly all cases, form and meaning coincide and no problems arise. In these instances, however, in which form and meaning conflict, Modern English tends to give meaning the right of way. Collectives and some of the indefinite pronouns such as none have now no restrictions in their use with plural verb forms in accord with the idea uppermost in the speaker's mind, but most of the other indefinites (everyone, cverybody, nobody, anyone, anybody, ( $n$ )either) that may be singular in form with plural idea take a plural reference pronoun or a plural verb only when other words intervene between the indefinite and the verb or reference pronoun. ${ }^{11}$

This statement concerning the practice of number concord in

[^32]Present-day English applies in its details to the Standard English of our letters (Group I) but not to that of Group III or Vulgar English. The precise situation revealed in the letters is as follows:

## 1. This, These, That, Those

In Group I, the letters of Standard English, this, these, that, those as attributives usually agree in form with the form of the noun following. This agreement in form applies even to collective nouns, as "This throng of people was gathered to pay their tribute to the heroes" (8066). With two words, however, kind and sort (which may also be considered collectives) ${ }^{12}$ the plural forms these and those very frequently appear in those cases in which a plural noun follows the of, as in "These kind of letters." The use of these and those with kind of and sort of appears here in Group I, the letters of Standard English, but not once in Group III, the letters of Vulgar English. The one example in Group III that comes nearest to this use is the sentence "I suppose you get all kind of letters the same as this" (8005).

One other exception to the usual practice of agreement in form of this, these, that, those, with the nouns they modify shows itself in those situations in which a plural word is taken as a unit, as in "This eleven days was consumed in . . . ." (9043), and "That twenty-five dollars I had to borrow . . . was . . ." (8288). In this construction the singular form this or that does not immediately precede the plural noun; some other word or words, including a numeral, stand between the singular demonstrative and the plural noun. This practice is not limited to any one of the classes of English examined. It appears equally in all three.

The distinct Vulgar English plural demonstrative form, which, however, appears only four times in the letters, is them as in "them nice little boys" (8187). Paralleling the Standard English use of these and those it also is used with the words kind of and sort of, as in "them kind of books." ${ }^{13}$

## 2. Verb Forms

a. In Group III or Vulgar English there are frequent instances in

[^33]which a plural subject (plural both in form and in meaning) is followed immediately by a verb with singular form. Examples are

```
"my children is too small" (8037)
"my children is on starvation" (8037)
"but births was not recorded when James was born" (8016)
"the dirt floors requires continual work" (6413)
"all my uncles was in the civil war" (8154)
"and times is so hard" (8293)
"so the Letters that i have send to him has been opened by others"
    (8106)
"my Wife and 2 children has got the Plegleory" (8179)
```

Some few examples can be explained on the ground that the plural has been grasped as a single unit, as in
"the doctor's at . . . claim that 5 yr's is his limit to live" (8153)
"to hear from you before six months expires" (8215)
Three instances occur in Group III in which a singular subject (singular both in form and in meaning) is followed immediately by a verb without the $s$-form that is characteristic of the singular.
"i hope it make a man of him" (8097)
"they said his licence ${ }^{14}$ were in the paper and it was" (8186)
"he has not been in good health and have ${ }^{15}$ been getting worse" (8072)
b. In Group III or Vulgar English there occur some instances of the singular form was with the plural pronoun forms we, you, they.
"we told them that we was just taking the car back" (8039)
"you was the one that could help" (8018)
One should call attention to the fact that no instances occurred of we is, you is, or they is, a fact which suggests that this use of was is a carrying through of the levelling to a single form which affected all the preterits of strong verbs in Early Modern English. As a matter of fact, the verb to be with its preterit singular was and preterit plural were is the only verb left out of more than a hundred that had, up to the time of Shakspere, distinct forms for singular and plural in the past tense.
c. In Group II and also in Group III the form don't occurs with a singular subject. No instances of this appeared in Group I; not more

[^34]than 30 per cent of don't to 70 per cent of doesn't appeared in Group II; but in Group III doesn't is exceedingly rare.

Examples in Group II are
"He don't say in his letter" (8092)
"Father has not had . . . and don't make but a small salary" (8275)

## Examples in Group III are

"the climate dont agree with him" (8168)
"his mind is bad untill he dont remember his age" (8245)
"if he dont get back" (8251)
"He dont know what it is to stay away from home" (8190)
d. A number of nouns appearing always in plural form take singular verb forms. Such words are means, headquarters, ${ }^{16}$ news, remains, whereabouts. ${ }^{17}$ The following examples are from Group I except the last, which comes from Group II. No examples appeared in Group III.
"The only means of support is $\$ 15.00$ weekly compensation insurance" (8260)
"The only visible means of support of this family . . . is $\$ 60.00$ per month" (8260)
"His rcmains was left in . . ." (8436)
"No news has come" (8075)
"Who's only means of making a living is selling papers" (8102)
$e$. When the subject consists of two or more words joined by and, the verb has a singular form when the several words of the subject refer to the same thing broadly understood, or when these words refer to several things that can be included in one category.

Examples from Group I are
"the organization and work of this office has increased ten foal", (9000)
"the necessary forms and other machinery for operation was furnished by" (8416)
"the discipline and hygiene of the . . . where the men were prepared for . . . speaks for itself" (8415)
"their sanitary conditions and the arrangement of their quarters was very good" (8426)

[^35]Sometimes, of course, although infrequently, the plural verb is used, as in
"the care and repair of all . . . vehicles at this station are under my supervision" (9052)
A plural verb also appears where the words as well as take the place of and, as in
"The registrant as well as his dependents were given an opportunity to appear before . . ." (8417)

From Group II come the following examples:
"The money and jewelry was found on him" (6503)
"Please answer this as the Mother and Father needs his support" (8262)

In Group III, Vulgar English, no examples were found of a clear unification of such a double subject into a single category, but frequently the singular verb was used with a double subject which in Standard English would undoubtedly take a plural verb. This use seems to be like that illustrated in (a) above.
Examples are
"after 13 days him and this man that was with him was found" (8026)
"I wish to inform you that $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{R}-$ and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}-$ is the Same Boy" (8151)
"My Wife \& 2 children has got the Plegleory" (8179)
"The father and mother is dead" (8181)
$f$. A collective noun subject takes a plural verb whenever the attention centers upon the plurality of individuals embraced by the collective. Examples are abundant in Group I and in Group II; they are very rare in Group III.

From Group I, ${ }^{18}$ examples with the plural verb are
"The family occupy a house consisting of six rooms" (8303)
"Apparently the family have never been in better circumstances" (8027)
"The majority of the officers . . . are now so widely scattered" (9010)
"The family were very fine people" (8283)
Examples with the singular verb are

[^36]"The family consists of an invalid father . . ." (8260)
"Out of which number the necessary quota was selected" (8421)
From Group II, ${ }^{19}$ examples with the plural verb are
"Look and see where the rest of them are" (8447)
"If the U.S. Army take men . . ." (8031)
An example with the singular verb is
"The class of men now being recruited as a whole is very good" (8085)

From Group III there are the following two examples; the first, one that in Standard English would probably take a plural verb, the second showing a shift of number in the second verb.
"as my familie is all sick" (8179)
"the court has a commitment for him and are looking for him" (8080)
g. A singular subject separated from the verb by intervening words containing plural substantives sometimes (about 30 per cent of the instances in Group I) has a verb in the plural number. The greater the distance between the subject noun and the verb, the greater the power of attraction to the plural form of the intervening substantives.

Examples from Group I are ${ }^{20}$
"Absence from continental United States and my home prevent obtaining certificates within the required time" (9065)
"The schedule of our orders are that officers shall . . ." (8413)
Examples from Group II are
"ability for wining wars are nearer attained by . . ." (8061)
"Current furnished by galvanic cells are directly proportional to . . ." (8066)

## Examples from Group III are

"No one but God and myself know what I've gone through this last year" (8038)
"The feeding of public animals consist principly of Hay Bran and Oats and water . . ." (6413)
"the history not only of the U.S. but all others countries prove that a soldier must be well trained" (8063)

[^37]h. The indefinite pronouns none, any, and the disjunctive pronouns neither and either in all examples from Group I where they are used with number distinctive verb forms, with but one exception, appear with plural verbs.

Examples are
"None of the married children are in a position to assist" (8283)
"Evidently the four men . . . did not stay to assist the injured man for there were none with him when he was found" (8412)
"and would like to know if any of the officers of . . . are to be given an opportunity . . ." (9027)
The one example with the singular verb is
"Numerous affidavits were brought in but none was sufficient to prove his claimed status" (8412)
Examples from Group II are
"has accused me of several irregular acts . . . but none of them have any foundation . . ." (8443)
"Neither of my parents read or write" (8210)
Examples from Group III are very rare. The following is the only one appearing in our material:
"with nine children ... and none of these children are old enough to go to work" (8084)
i. The word there (especially when it is a mere function word without adverbial significance) is very frequently followed by a singular is, was, or has been before a plural subject. Of the examples occurring in Group I only three contain the plural verb. They are

> "There are a good number of Negroes in our district" (8429)
> "There were none with him" ( 8409 )
> "There are three married daughters" (8283)

Examples of the singular verb form are

> "There is $\$ 200.00$ in improvement assessments" (8303)
> "The fact is there is fewer criticisms on that organization" (8427)
> "There has been numerous times that I . . ." (8426)
> "There has been several occasions when that was not done" (8426)
> "There has been several lieutenants attached to . . ." (8426)

Examples from Group II provide many with the singular verb but only two with the plural form.

[^38]"there was about fifteen or twenty members" (8443)
"there was several other men" (8446)
"there are no blankets in it, nor there never has been" (8444)
"there are men who will" (8069)
Examples from Group III are similarly abundant with singular verb forms. One (the last given below) has a plural verb form, but in it the subject is singular.
"there is three of us" (8251)
"there zaas no misleading statements made" (8251)
"there is also four children" (8270)
"There is six more children besides him" (8288)
"there is five or six letters on the way" (8077)
"if there is any grounds of him getting out" (8037)
"there has been lots qf sentence cut" (8039)
"I want to know if there are a chance for me to get . . ." (8090)

## 3. Reference Pronouns and Their Antecedents

Reference pronouns are usually separated from their antecedents by at least one other word and often they stand in the next sentence. As a result, in Modern English, they usually agree in their form with the number meaning which is in the attention of the writer rather than with the form of the antecedent. Thus with singular collectives and indefinite pronouns there is very frequently a plural reference pronoun. ${ }^{21}$

Examples from Group I are
"and my company has formed a dislike for him which they have never forgotten" (8423)
"get in touch with the Captain of his Company, if they are still at . . ." (8424)

[^39]"This company could not promise to employ him . . . If they do employ him . . ." (8425)
"He said he would run anyone out of town or he would lock them up and they would stay . . ." (6415)
Examples from Group III do not seem to employ a plural reference pronoun to accord with the number idea in the antecedent as in Group I but rather to use the they, their, or them as a form for common number. The examples from Group III are
"where if it was another man they would have got 1 month" (8039)
"After a Mother \& Father suffer to raise a Boy to become the age of 17 they should be some help to their parents" (8074)
"I wroted to the County Health Officer to see if they had a record of his birth" (8254)
"he forgets . . . and joins and is shipped away from the poor old couple hu raised them" (8005)
"he was not baptised as we do not believe in baptizing a child until they are old enough to understand" (8067)
The facts revealed by this survey of the number distinctive forms in secondary words, as that use is represented in the letters examined here, seem to justify, at least tentatively, the following conclusions:

1. These kind (sort) of, those kind (sort) of are not matters of Vulgar English. They appear primarily in Group I (Standard English). The characteristic Vulgar English demonstrative of plural number is them. This word is also used with kind and sort as in "them kind of books."
2. We was, you was, they was are characteristic of Vulgar English only. These usages do not seem to be matters of number concord, for the present tense is does not appear with these plural pronouns. They seem rather to be a levelling of this verb to a single form in the preterit as all the strong verbs were levelled in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.
3. The form don't rather than doesn't with a third person singular subject (other than a collective noun or an indefinite pronoun) seems to be characteristic of Vulgar English. It appears in our Group II (Common English) but not in Group I (Standard English).
4. The gross violation of concord-the use in a verb of a number distinctive form which does not correspond with the number form of the subject when that number form is in harmony with the number meaning implied in the subject-occurs with moderate frequency only in Vulgar English.
5. The use of the introductory formula There is (was) (has), especially where the word there is a mere function word without adverbial significance, followed by a plural subject is not limited to any one of the three groups examined but appears in Group I as well as in Group III.
6. Such violations of a formal concord as the use of a singular collective noun with a plural verb or a plural reference pronoun; none with a plural verb; the indefinites everyone, everybody, etc., with a plural reference pronoun or a plural verb separated from the indefinite by other words; a double subject connected by and with a singular verb when the two substantives refer to the same thing or can be included in a single category; a singular subject with a plural verb when words intervening between the subject and verb (or other context) give a distinctly plural meaning to the subject ;-these violations of a formal number concord in order to use the few number distinctive forms of verbs and those of reference pronouns in accord with the actual number in the referent rather than the formal number of the word used as subject are characteristic of Group I (Standard English) and seldom appear in Vulgar English.

## II. THE FORMS FOR TENSE

The forms to distinguish the preterit (or past) tense of the verb from the present tense provide the second important use in Modern English of the forms of words to express grammatical ideas. The simple past tense is the only one of the time distinctions expressed in Present-day English that is still distinguished by inflection or the form of the words. The others are shown by function words in periphrastic combinations and will be treated in Chapter VIII. The participles (the verb in adjective functions), however, are also distinguished from the present tense form by in-flections-the present participle with the ending -ing ${ }^{22}$ and the past participle with a form (in most cases) like that of the simple past tense (preterit). Within the preterit there is now no distinction of form for number or for person except in the verb to be in which was is used with singulars and were with plurals. The preterit form, therefore, does duty as a tense form only, and in all except eighteen verbs ${ }^{23}$ clearly distinguishes the past tense from the present.

[^40]The particular form which has become the pattern for the past tense in Present-day English is the so-called dental (alveolar) suffix, spelled $-e d$ or $-t$, but (1) a voiced stop, (2) a voiceless stop, (3) a separate syllable, depending upon the phonetic character of the sounds preceding the ending. Examples of (1) are raised, saved, dragged, played, chewed; of (2) are raced, coughed, walked, pushed, stopped; of (3) are nodded, gilded, wanted, lighted. These, the "regular" verbs of modern English, comprise the great body of English verbs. The pattern is that of the Old English "weak" verbs, the various classes of which fell together as a result of the levelling and loss of inflectional vowels in Early Middle English and in Early Modern English respectively. In general the pull of this pattern has been so great that most of the verbs which formed their past tense by other means have lost their old forms and have adopted the dental suffix. For example, of the 195 old "strong" verbs which still last ${ }^{24}$ in Modern English 129 or about 65 per cent have gone over to this regular pattern. It is worth noting also that in this regular pattern there is no difference in form between the past tense and the past participle. We have, therefore, in the pattern a "two form" verb-one form for the present and one for both the past tense and the past participle.

[^41]My lists of strong verbs show the following figures:

| Class | Original Nrsmber | Remaining in Modern English | Adjusted to Regular (Weak) Pattern | Retaining Strong Forms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 62 | 26 | 15 | 11 |
| II | 50 | 32 | 28 | 4 |
| III | 81 | 53 | 31 | 22 |
| IV ..... | 14 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| v ..... | 26 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| vil | 31 | 25 | 18 | 7 |
| VII ...... | 48 | 33 | 26 | 7 |
|  | 312 | 195 | 129 | 66 |

Of the 312 verbs which were originally strong in Old English, 195 or 62 per cent continue in Modern English in some form. Of those that continue in Modern English 129 or 66 per cent have been adjusted in forms to the regular (weak) pattern; only sixty six or 34 per cent still retain strong forms. Of the 195 that continue in Modern English, 153 or 78.5 per cent have a similar form for both the simple past tense and the past participle.

There are, however, several groups of verbs that have not yet adjusted themselves to the pattern of tense inflection in Presentday English. Chief among these are those "strong" verbs, some sixty six in all, ${ }^{25}$ which still show a change of vowel within the stem instead of the dental suffix to indicate the past tense.

Two facts in the history of the "strong" verbs in English seem especially important:

1. Only the sixty six most common strong verbs have resisted the pull of the regular pattern of verb inflection for tense and still maintain the change of stem vowel rather than use the dental suffix to indicate past time. The others, 129, have been drawn into the regular pattern.
2. Of these sixty six common strong verbs only forty two still maintain a difference of form between the past tense and the past participle. The other twenty four of the sixty six verbs and the 129 that have become regular have the same form in both past tense and past participle. ${ }^{28}$ As a matter of fact, during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries there was a strong tendency to eliminate the distinction of form between the past tense and the past participle in all of these verbs, and every one of the forty two appears from time to time in acceptable literary writing with past tense and past participle

| Class 1 | Class II | Class III |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class } \\ & \text { IV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Class } \\ V \end{gathered}$ | Class VI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Class } \\ \text { VII } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abide strike bite slide drive smite ride stride rise write shine | choose <br> freeze <br> cleave <br> (to split) <br> fly | begin <br> drink <br> shrink <br> sing <br> $\operatorname{sink}$ | sling s slink spring swim cling | spin wring run <br> sting bind fight <br> stink find <br> swing grind <br> win wind | bear <br> break <br> steal <br> tear <br> come | bid eat give get lie see sit speak tread weave | draw <br> forsake <br> shake <br> slay <br> stand <br> swear <br> take | fall <br> hold <br> hang <br> blow <br> grow <br> know <br> throw |

[^42]
## forms alike. In this case Samuel Johnson ${ }^{27}$ supported by the eight-

${ }^{27}$ The earliest statement in the effort to halt the elimination of the distinction of form between past tense and past participle in the surviving strong verbs seems to have been the following from Samuel Johnson's Grammar (prefixed to the Dictionary) in 1755:
"Concerning these double participles it is difficult to give any rule; but he shall seldom err who remembers that when a verb has a participle distinct from its preterite, as write, wrote, written, that distinct participle is more proper and elegant, as The book is written, is better than The book is wrote, though wrote may be used in poetry."

Bishop Robert Lowth, in A Short Introduction to English Grammar (1762), pp. 94-98, took up this battle to prevent the passing of the difference in form between the past tense and past participle, gave a bill of particulars, and was especially effective through his influence upon following grammarians. His comments follow:
"There are not in English so many as a Hundred Verbs, . . . which have a different form for the Past Time Active and the Participle Perfect of Passive. The general bent and turn of the language is towards the other form; inclination and tendency of the language seems to have given occasion to the introducing of a very great Corruption; by which the Form of the Past Time is confounded with that of the Participle in these verbs, few in proportion, which have them quite different from one another. This confusion prevails greatly in common discourse, and is too much authorized by the example of some of our best writers. Thus it is said, He begun, for he began; he run, for he ran; he drunk, for he drank: The Participle being used instead of the Past Time. And much more frequently the Past Time instead of the Participle: as, I had wrote, it was wrote, for I had written, it was written; I have drank, for I have drunk; bore, for born; chose, for chosen; bid, for bidden; got, for gotten; etc. This abuse has been long growing upon us, and is continually making further encroachments; as it may be observed in those Irregular Verbs of the Third Class, which change $i$ short into $a$ and $u$; as Cling, clang clung; in which the original and analogical form of the Past Time in $a$ is almost grown obsolete; and, the $u$ prevailing instead of it, the Past Time is now in most of them confounded with the Participle. The Vulgar Translation of the Bible, which is the best standard of our language, is free from this corruption, except in a few instances; as hid, for hidden; held, for holden, frequently; bid, for bidden; begot, for begotten, once or twice; in which, and a few other like words, it may perhaps be allowed as a Contraction. And in some of these, custom has established it beyond recovery. In the rest it seems wholly inexcusable. The absurdity of it will be plainly perceived in the example of some of these Verbs, which Custom has not yet so perverted. We should be immediately shocked at I have knew, I have saw, etc.: but our ears are grown familiar with I have wrote, I have drank, I have bore, etc., which are altogether as barbarous."

The following material is a footnote in Lowth, pp. 94-98:
"He would have spoke." Milton, P.L. x. 517.
"Words interwove with sighs found out their way." P.L. i. 621.
"And to his faithful servant hath in place Bore witness gloriously." Samson Ag. 1752.
"And envious darkness, ere they could return, Had stole them from me." Comus, 195. Here it is observable, that the Author's MS, and the First Edition, have it stolne.
"And in triumph had rode." P.R. iii. 36.
"I have chose This perfect man." P.R. i. 165.
"The fragrant brier was wove between." Dryden, Fables.
"I will scarce think you have swam in a Gondola." Shakespear, As you like it.
"Then finish what you have began, But scribble faster, if you can." Dryden, Poems,
Vol. II. p. 172.
eenth century prescriptive grammarians seems to have been the chief factor in retarding this development in verb forms. ${ }^{28}$

Other groups of verbs that have not yet adjusted themselves completely to the regular pattern with a dental suffix attached to the unchanged stem are
$a$. The "irregular" verbs $b e, g o, d o$. The first of these verbs uses forms from three originally distinct and independent verbs to produce the present infinitive be and past participle bcen, the present indicative am, is, are, and the past tense was, were. The second, go, has used, ever since the fifteenth century, the form went, the old past tense of the verb wend, as its preterit. The forms of the third, $d o$, with its preterit did, are explained in various ways. ${ }^{99}$
$b$. The old "weak verbs without middle vowel." Of the twenty four
"And now the years a nume:ous train have ran; The blooming boy is ripn'd into man." Pope's Odyss. xi. 555.
"Have sprang." Atterbury, Vol. I. Serm. IV.
"Had spake-had began."-Clarendon, Contin. Hist. p. 40 \& 120.
"The men begun to embellish themselves." Addison, Spect. No. 434.
"Rapt into future times the bard begun." Pope, Messiah.
And without the necessity of rhyme:
"A second deluge learning thus o'er-run,
And the Monks finish'd what the Goths begun." Essay on Criticism.
"Repeats you verses wrote on glasses." Prior.
"Mr. Misson has wrote." Addison, Preface to His Travels.
"He could only command his voice, broke with sighs and sobbings, so far as to bid her proceed." Addison. Spect. No. 164.
"No civil broils have since his death arose." Dryden, on O. Cromwell.
"Illustrious virtues, who by turns have rose." Prior.
"Had not arose." Swift, Battle of Books: and Bolingbroke, Letter to Wyndham, p. 233.
"The Sun had rose, and gone to bed, Just as if Partridge were not dead." Swift.
"This nimble operator will have stole it." Tale of a Tub, Sect. x.
"Some philosophers have mistook." lbid. Sect. ix.
"That Diodorus has not mistook himself in his account of Phintia, we may be as sure as any history can make us." Bentley, Dissert. on Phalaris, p. 98. [Added in 1775 edition]
"Why, all souls that were, were forfeit once; And He , that might the 'vantage best have took, Found out the remedy." Shakespear, Meas. for Meas.
"Silence Was took ere she was ware." Milton, Comus.
"Into these common places look, Which from great authors I have took." Prior, Alma.
"A free Constitution, when it has been shook by the iniquity of former administrations." Lord Bolingbroke, Patriot King, p. 111.
"Too strong to be shook by his enemies." Atterbury.
"Ev'n there he should have jell." Prior, Solomon.
"Sure some disaster has befell: Speak, Nurse; I hope the Boy is well." Gay, Fables.
${ }^{28}$ This point seems to have been fairly well established by the material collected
by Dr. Paul Royalty and presented in his dissertation The Preterite and Past Participle
Forms of Modern English Verbs (unpublished), University of Michigan.
${ }^{29}$ See Streitberg, Urgerm. Grammatik, 329.
verbs which were of this kind in Old English seven ${ }^{30}$ still resist the pull of the old pattern : sell, tell, buy, teach, seek, think, bring. ${ }^{81}$
c. "Weak" verbs with the usual dental suffix but with a "shortened" vowel (some have no added consonant because the stem ended in a "dental") :
creep-crept, ${ }^{32}$ keep-kept, leap-lept, sleep-slept, sweep-swept, weep-wept; flee-fled, hear-heard, say-said, shoe-shod;
bleed-bled, breed-bred, feed-fed, lead-led, read-read (red), speed-sped; light-lit, meet-met, shoot-shot. ${ }^{83}$
d. "Weak" verbs with stems ending in a voiced consonant with a voiceless dental suffix instead of the usual voiced dental, a few with "shortened vowel": ${ }^{34}$
bend-bent, build-built, burn-burnt,** ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ dwell-dwelt,* gild-gilt,* gird-girt,* lend-lent, pen (enclose)-pent,* rend-rent, send-sent, smell-smelt,* spellspelt,* spend-spent, spill-spilt,* spoil-spoilt; bereave-bereft,* cleave-cleft,* deal-dealt, dream-dreamt, kneel-knelt,* lean-leant,* leave-left, lose-lost, mean-meant.

The materials of Vulgar English show also the following preterits of this kind:
earnt, feart, kilt, ruint, scairt, of the verbs earn, fear, kill, ruin, and scarc.
In the letters examined in this study the mere statistics of the various types of verb forms used seem to point to interesting and significant facts.

In the letters of Group I (Standard English) there were the following preterits:

[^43]
## TABLE I

a. Completely regular pattern (with dental suffix) ...... 354- $49 \%$
b. Strong verb (with internal change) ................. 72-10 \%
c. "Irregular" verbs
did
10
did (function word) ${ }^{36}$... 38
went . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7
was, were 167

$$
222-30.8 \%
$$

d. "Weak" verbs without middle vowel ................ 25- 3.5\%
e. "Weak" verbs with "shortened" vowel (said) ....... 20- $2.8 \%$
f. "Weak" verbs with irregular voiceless dental suffix
(1) with "shortened" vowel
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { left, } \text { kept, felt, meant } \\ \text { (2) } \text { without vowel change } \ldots 11 \\ \text { sent, spent }\end{array}\right\}$
28-3.8\%
g. Invariables (let)

$$
\frac{1}{722}-\frac{.1 \%}{100.0 \%}
$$

In the letters of Group III (Vulgar English) there were the following preterits:

## TABLE II

a. Completely regular pattern (with dental suffix) ..... 215-42 \%
b. Strong verb (with internal change) ................. 52-10.1\%
c. "Irregular" verbs

| did | 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| did (function word) | 0 |
| went | 19 |
| was, were | 122 |

d. "Weak" verbs without middle vowel ... ............ 22- $4.3 \%$
e. "Weak" verbs with "shortened" vowel, heard, said .. 21- $4 \%$
f. "Weak" verbs with irregular voiceless dental suffix
(1) with "shortened" vowel . . 21
felt, kept, left, lost
(2) $\begin{aligned} & \text { without vowel change } \ldots \\ & \text { sent }\end{aligned}$
g. Invariables
put,let............................................ 4- . $8 \%$
h. Vulgar English deviation......................

After recording every preterit form in all the letters both from the Vulgar English group and from the Standard English group, I

[^44]found that the actual amount of the difference of the preterit forms of Vulgar English materials from those of the Standard English material was astonishingly little. ${ }^{37}$

1. In both groups, in Standard English and in Vulgar English, about half the verbs used, 49 and 42 per cent, were of the regular pattern with preterits made by the usual dental suffix phonetically adjusted to the character of the preceding sound.
2. In both groups, likewise, there were approximately the same proportions, 10 per cent, of strong verb preterits with internal change of vowel.
3. There was, in the Vulgar English materials, a somewhat greater use of the preterit with "shortened" vowel (kcep-kept, mean-meant, etc.). Eight per cent of the Vulgar English preterits were of this kind, whereas but 5 per cent of the Standard English preterits belonged to this group.
4. Only about 4 per cent of the preterits in the Vulgar English letters had forms not used in Standard English. These are the ones that attract attention and because of that fact seem to bulk much larger in Vulgar English than they actually are. ${ }^{38}$
5. The following were the kinds of differences in preterit forms which appeared in the Vulgar English materials:
a. No added dental sufix. The preterit form was thus like the present except in the third person singular.
"The firm he work for was after him to work" (8235)
"Mr. . . ask me to sign" (8244)"
". . where I sigen up for this boy" (8225)
"For I just pick this boy up and raisd him"( 8025 )
"I diten know he Joyn the army till. . ."(8045)
". . . he slip of from me and got in" (8045)
". . . officer . . . that . . perswaid him" (8045) so
In similar fashion, verbs which ordinarily have a change from a voiced dental stop to a voiceless dental stop in the preterit appeared

[^45]with no change. Here again the preterit differs from the present only in the third person singular.
"My boy send her money to help her out" (8028)
b. No vowel change in strong verbs. Here again the preterit becomes like the present form except for the third person singular.

```
"My son . . . run away" (8291)
"Will say, he run off from . . ." (8190)
```

"you can get his age that he give from your recruiting officer" 40 (8025)
c. A second dental suffix added to the form which already had a dental suffix. According to the pattern, this second suffix is adjusted to the form by means of an extra syllable.
"We had the officers from . . . here and they agreeded with all I
rote you before" (8005)
d. A past participle form used for the preterit in strong verbs. This occurs especially in the verbs do and see but occasionally in other words.
"for he done nothing wrong" (8201)
"I written in or had the Red Cross to write to Captain . . ." (8028)
e. A preterit with the vowel of the old preterit plural in words in which Standard English has adopted the vowel of the old preterit singular for both singular and plural.
"I writ sevel days ago" (8045)
The statistics of the past participle forms are even more interesting, both with respect to the distribution of the various kinds of participle forms and with respect to the differences between Standard English and Vulgar English. In the letters of Group I (Standard English) there were the past participles that are counted and summarized in Table III.

In the letters of Group III (Vulgar English) there were the past participles for which the figures are given in Table IV.

[^46]
## TABLE III

a. The completely regular pattern (with dental suffix)

b. Strong verbs (with internal change)

c. Irregular verbs

with be $\left.\left\{\begin{array}{lr}\text { done } & 6 \\ \text { gone } & 3\end{array}\right\} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . \begin{array}{lll} & \end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{lll}157 & - & 13.5 \%\end{array}$
d. "Weak" verbs "without middle vowel"

e. "Weak" verbs with "shortened" vowel

f. "Weak" verbs with irregular voiceless dental suffix

g. Invariables

TABLE IV
a. The completely regular pattern (with dental suffix)
with have . ..... 107
with be ....... 59

with get ...... 7
b. Strong verbs (with internal change)

c. Irregular verbs
with have $\left\{\begin{array}{lr}\text { done } & 6 \\ \text { gone } & 3 \\ \text { been } & 54\end{array}\right\}$
with be $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { done } & 1 \\ \text { gone } & 3\end{array}\right\} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. . 4$\}$
d. "Weak" verbs "without middle vowel"

e. "Weak" verbs with "shortened" vowel ............... 0
f. "Weak" verbs with irregular voiceless dental suffix .... 0
g. Invariables-with have 0
$\frac{22}{311}-\frac{7.08 \%}{100.00 \%}$

The most striking fact revealed by these statistics is the comparatively small number of participles found in the Vulgar English materials. In approximately equal amounts of writing covering much the same sorts of situations the Standard English matter contained nearly four times as many participles as did the Vulgar English.

Although the number of the participles was much smaller in Vulgar English, the amount of the deviation from the forms of Standard English was nearly twice that which appeared in the preterit forms. There was no very great difference in the two sets of materials in the kinds of participles used, whether of the regular pattern with dental suffix or of the strong verb, etc. Standard English had a slightly larger proportion ( 10 per cent) of the regular pattern. The chief differences which appeared in the participle forms of Vulgar English were the following:
a. Past participles with no dental suffix. The participle form was thus like the present without any inflection.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "I feel that he has change place" (8147) } \\
& \text { "I was knock down" (8133) } \\
& \text { "The people aint never discharge my son" (8001) } \\
& \text { "Can you inform me . . . what time he was discharge" (8109) 41 }
\end{aligned}
$$

The participles, also, of verbs which ordinarily have a change from a voiced dental stop to a voiceless dental stop appeared with no change.
"So the letters that i have send to him has been opened by others in mistake and send back to me" (8106)
b. A preterit form used for the past participle in strong verbs. Aside from the verbs do and see this method of eliminating the distinction of form between preterits and past participles is much more frequent than the use of a past participle form as preterit. ${ }^{22}$
> "the ones that have gave it" (8072)
> "My folks may have wrote you" (8198)

[^47]"I hope I haint don any thing rong or rote anything rong in this letter" (8005)
"I have broke my health to have a home to live in" (8235)
"He was the best boy I had and has gave me most help" (8272)
"He liyed his self in the army and was took without letting me know any thing about it" (8045)
"I wish you would see what has became of my son" (8015)
"Everything I have wrote is the truth" (8026) *8
The preterit form is also used for the past participle in the "irregular" verb go, but did not appear for the verbs be and do.
"That poor mother has went and got a job" (8005)
c. A dental suffix added to the past participle form of strong verbs
"He was Borned May 30-1910" (8000)
"Unless I was where he was borned" (8280) "
d. A present participle form used for a past participle ${ }^{48}$
"I said she didnt no What she was doing and she didnt and I have just showing you she didnt" (8005)
e. Ought as a past participle in the sense "been obliged"
"Maybe I hadnt ought to write to you" (8038)
"But was afraid I would . . . do something I had not ought to do" (8038)

The materials here examined seem to justify the following conclusions concerning the chief verb forms that are characteristic of Vulgar English:

1. Preterits and past participles occur without the dental suffix. These forms are like the present tense forms except for the fact that the third person singular of the preterit has no inflection.
2. Preterits of strong verbs occur without the change of internal vowel. These forms are also like the present tense forms except for the fact that the third person singular has no inflection.
3. Past participle forms of strong verbs are used for preterits and preterit forms for past participles. Here one must not include such forms as they sung, it sunk, it shrunk, as Vulgar English, for these

[^48]forms with the vowel of the old preterit plural which happened to be like that of the past participle are common in Standard English. ${ }^{46}$
4. Preterits and past participles of strong verbs occur with an added dental suffix, as agreeded, borned.
5. Ought is used as a past participle, as had ought.
${ }^{48}$ See Webster's New International Dictionary (2nd ed., 1934) for the forms of the past tense of ring, sing, sink, shrink, spring.

## VII

## THE USES OF THE FORMS OF WORDS: FOUR MINOR INFLECTIONS, REMNANTS OF OLDER PATTERNS

In a former chapter ${ }^{1}$ it was pointed out that the uses of the forms of words to indicate grammatical ideas were much more important in the earlier stages of English than they are now. We have just seen, however, that the inflections for number and tense are not only still vigorously alive in the language but are even more distinctive than they were in former times. These facts will not be true in general of the other types of inflection still existing in Eng-lish-the four which must be treated now. These grammatical uses of the forms of words have been largely displaced by one of the other grammatical processes which Modern English employsfunction words or word order. Those four are:

# I. The Genitive Inflection <br> II. The Dative-Accusative Forms <br> III. The Inflection for Comparison <br> IV. Person and Mood Forms 

## I. THE GENITIVE INFLECTION

## A. The Genitive Forms of Nouns

Like the noun inflections for number in Old English, the genitive inflections of nouns in the older period of the language were of several types rather than a single pattern. The Old English word cwen (queen) formed its genitive by adding $-e$. The form oxan was the genitive singular of the Old English oxa (ox), as well as the nominative and accusative plural. The genitive plural usually had $-a$. Masculine and neuter $a$-stems had -es as the ending of the genitive singular. This ees ending was the source of the Modern English so-called s-ending of the genitive which has become the regular

[^49]pattern. In sound it is like the plural suffix and similarly adjusted to the phonetic character of the sounds that immediately precede. There are less exceptions to it as a pattern than there are to the plural $s$, for even those words that retain an old model plural form, as man-men, child-children, ox-oxen, have a regular pattern genitive form as man's, child's, ox's. In such words, also, the plural has the same genitive suffix, as men's, children's, oxen's. Orthographically, however, the suffix for the genitive ending differs from that of the plural by the use of the sign (') which we call an apostrophe.

This sign, the apostrophe, as first used in the sixteenth century, marked the omission of a letter, usually an $e$, in the writing. In this use it was equally common in the nominative plural and in the genitive singular. One frequently finds in the printed materials of this period such plurals as two folio's. The present use of the apostrophe to form the plurals of letters and figures, $a$ 's and $b$ 's, $\sigma^{\prime} s$ and 7 's, is a remnant of this practice. During the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries the apostrophe sign was gradually disused in nominative plural forms and was looked upon as a distinct mark of the genitive case. It was therefore extended to all genitive case forms, even to those in which no $e$ had ever been written before a final $s$ as the men's hats and after the $s$ of the plural ending as the ladies' hats. This extended practice was by many considered illogical and a mistake. ${ }^{2}$ Frequently in the writings of Vulgar English the apostrophe is omitted. ${ }^{3}$ This genitive inflection of nouns has tended to be displaced by the use of the function word of until, in Presentday English, the proportion of the inflected genitive forms to the periphrastic genitive is very small indeed. The figures, page 74, give the percentages showing the increasing proportion of adnominal periphrastic genitives in large selections of English prose chosen to represent Old and Middle English. ${ }^{4}$

[^50]Percentage of the Periphrastic Genitive with "of"
End of ninth century-Beginning of tenth ..... $.5 \%$
Latter part tenth-Beginning of eleventh ..... 1.0
Eleventh century ..... 1.2
Twelfth century ..... 6.3
First half thirteenth century ..... 31.4
Fourteenth century ..... 84.4

In the materials examined here there were in Group I (Standard English) but thirty nine instances of the inflected genitive forms of nouns as against 868 instances of the periphrastic genitive with of, or 4.3 per cent of inflected genitive and 95.7 per cent of periphrastic genitive with of. In Group III (Vulgar English) there were thirty one instances of the inflected genitive forms of nouns as against 389 instances of the periphrastic genitive with of, or 7.4 per cent of inflected genitive and 92.6 per cent of periphrastic genitive with of. The actual number of the inflected genitive forms of nouns has been so greatly reduced in Present-day English that these genitives appear no more frequently than do the non-pattern plural forms without the $s$ ending. ${ }^{5}$

On the other hand, in spite of the great decrease in the total number of inflected noun genitives, the uses of the inflected genitive continue to live in Present-day English in proportions not strikingly different from those that existed in the older periods of the language. This assertion contradicts the commonly expressed view that the inflected genitive is now confined almost entirely to but one definite function, the possessive meaning. ${ }^{6}$

[^51]In the materials examined for this study, the inflected genitives of the Standard English (Group I) letters were distributed as follows:

## Typical Examples of Inflected Genitive

Possessive Genitive (liberally interpreted)-40\%
"lived at his father's home" (8064)
"My son Sam's wife" (9018)
"I am enclosing Mrs. M-_'s passport" (8267)
"the enlisted man's parents" (8183)
Subjective Genitive-23\%
"in support of his mother's request" (8234)
"since the soldier's enlistment" (8081)
"action regarding the boy's application" (9011)
"I have been under a physician's care" (8278)
"His parent's consent" (8242)

> Genitive of Origin "-6\%
> "return the General's letter" (6415)
> "the mother's affidavit" (8239)
> "according to the neighbor's story" (8002)
Objective Genitive-17\%
"he contributed toward the family's support" (8283)
"instructions that will affect the boy's release" (8294)
"in regard to my son's discharge" (8046)
"urging her son's release" (8027)

Descriptive Genitive (including genitive of measure) ${ }^{8}-10 \%$
"They found a woman's handkerchief as a clue" (7095)
"the month's time that I have lost" (9027)
"Unfortunately his education did not fit him to teach in a woman's college. He attended first a boys school and then a men's college" (9436)
"a one day's leave" (9007)
${ }^{7}$ A distinction has been made between the subjective genitive and the genitive of origin in such cases as "the man's resignation" and "the boy's application," on the following basis: when the context showed that the attention centered in the activity -the fact that the man resigned or that the boy applied-the genitive was called the subjective genitive; when the attention centered upon a resultant thing, as, for example, the document in which the man expressed his intention of resigning, or the letter by which the application was made, the genitive was called a genitive of origin.
${ }^{8}$ See above Chapter V, pp. 42-43, for the diversity of use in this construction with numerals: (a) uninflected forms; (b) -s forms without apostrophes, understood as plurals; (c) -s forms with apostrophes, especially in context in which the noun of extent is clearly singular. See also Chapter X, page 275.

There was but one example of the absolute use of the genitive; in this case the so-called "double" genitive. (Cf. page 81, note 23.)
"He was accompanied by a friend of his father's" (7061)
One use of the genitive form needs special comment. It is the mechanical use of the -'s form on nouns that stand before gerunds -the English verbal ${ }^{9}$ in -ing. Only one example appeared in the letters of Standard English.
"There can, of course, be no objection to Sergeant S-_'s making an application, through military channels, . . . for such appointment as . . ." (7050)
On the other hand, the uninflected noun form (singular) or the regular plural ending without the apostrophe appeared before such gerunds very frequently.

Examples are
"due to the instruments being out of adjustment" (9027)
"Nothing was said about his mother receiving it instead of me" (5104)
"Did you know of the company coming here?" (7553)
"There is no necessity for her son being with her" (8017)
"Another reason for the War Department crediting my war service to West Virginia was . . ." (9053)
"There is no record in this office of any stone being taken for use in . . ." (7051)
"the announcement he had made . . . in regard to field message blanks being on the person of the men . . ." (7253)
"There is no record of this officer having been attached or assigned to this detachment or of his ever having reported here" (7356)
"Now you said something a while ago about officers being informed they should get orders from headquarters" (7501)
". . . a failure on their part would result in Col. T- losing command of the regiment" (7052)
"There is no trace of this man ever having been mustered into or discharged from the U.S. service" (7151)
Examples of pronouns that might have had the -'s form:
"I did not do it myself and would not stand for anybody else doing it" (7430)
"If I did, it was by some one glancing at my paper" (7420)

[^52]Certainly from the evidence occurring in these materials it would be natural to conclude that the inflected form of nouns is not the normal practice before gerunds in Standard English.

The situation concerning the kinds of genitive that use the genitive inflection in Vulgar English (Group III) is much like that in Standard English. Genitives of possession make up about 40 per cent of the total number of instances. Nearly 30 per cent are subjective genitives and 12 per cent are objective genitives.

Examples of the genitive of possession are

```
"The boy's father is in the insane Asylum" (8077)
"My Nephews' Mother and Father died" (8084)
"this is M-L_'s mother writing you all" (8045)
"his step father's name" (8236)
"my sons full name is" (8038)
"and am forced from mj mothers }\mp@subsup{}{}{10}\mathrm{ by my step father" (8229)
```

Examples of the subjective genitive are
"knowing that we couldnt get along without the Boys help" (context shows that Boys is singular,-a son) (8005)
"I need my son's help now" (8235) (8233)
"without my or my Husband consent" (8033)
"his parents consent" (8242) (8215)
"complaining about my sons enlistment (8251) (8227)
Examples of the objective genitive are
"in regards to my son's discharge" (8259)
"towards my Boys discharge" (8104)
"arrange for Earl discharge" (8199)
"he is his sisters support" (8028)
In the Vulgar English materials no examples occurred of the -'s form of the descriptive genitive of measure. The uninflected form of the noun indicating the extent appeared in all instances. ${ }^{11}$ This was also true of the nouns standing before the gerund-the English verbal in -ing. No instances of this construction occurred with the genitive form ending in -'s. On the other hand, as in Standard English, there were many examples of the uninflected noun in this position.

[^53]"to tell him of his sister and brother dying" (8149)
"a letter telling me about this man saying he was his father" (8033)
"to write you about my son getting out of the army" (8016)
"they agreed With all I rote you before Except the Mother signing" (8005)
"on account of their father being sick" (8016)
"Sorry to hear of Private M-being injured" (8167)
"on account of his Mother being very ill" (8288)
A summary of the significant facts concerning the genitive inflection of nouns will be postponed until the matters concerning the genitive forms of pronouns can be set forth, in order that it may be possible to bring together in a single view the important matters of both.

## B. The Genitive Forms of Pronouns

Although the genitive inflection of nouns has been almost completely displaced by the periphrastic genitive with of ( 4.3 per cent of inflected genitive against 95 per cent of periphrastic genitive), the genitive inflections of pronouns still persist with little decrease. As a matter of fact, in the letters of Standard English (Group I) there were 682 instances of the genitive forms of the pronouns against twelve instances of the pronouns with of, 98.3 per cent of genitive forms and but 1.7 per cent of pronouns with of. Of these twelve instances of the pronouns with of only one might possibly have had the inflected genitive form in Present-day English and this was not one of the so-called personal pronouns.
> "The boy no doubt secured the services of some one falsely representing herself as his sister" (8234)

All the others were in constructions which in Present-day English invariably take the of function word.
"She is dependent upon him to take care of her" (8234)
"He feels he should be with her and take care of her" (8095)
"People who know the father do not think a great deal of him (8002)
"The man's parents are in need of him very much" (8183)
"I take pleasure in saying of him that he is a man of high moral character" (9039)
"getting the benefit of it part of the way" (9016)
"He could order part of it turned over to the new hospital" (9002)
"has made mistakes . . . many of them" (8296)
"and both of them have a personal knowledge of -_'s age" (8267)
"special orders . . . a copy of which is enclosed" (9058)
"some service . . . of which I have no definite record" (9055)
The situation in Vulgar English (Group III) parallels completely that in Standard English (Group I) although there is a slightly larger (but insignificant) proportion of the pronouns with of in Vulgar English. There were 718 instances of the genitive inflections of pronouns against thirty one instances of the pronouns with of, or 95.5 per cent of genitive forms and but 4.5 per cent of pronouns with of. All of these thirty one instances occurred in constructions which in Present-day English invariably take the of function word.

Typical examples are

```
"help take care of them" (8179) \({ }^{12}\)
"i havent herd of him" (8313) \({ }^{18}\)
"if you will kindly think of \(m e\) " (8187)
"I am very much in need of him at home" (8141)
"I am now asking of you" (8235) \({ }^{14}\)
"there is three of us" (8251) \({ }^{15}\)
"it [his picture] all i hav of him" (8313)
"both of them cannot go" (8272)
"able to pay for all of \(i t\) " (8072)
"I need my son's help now most of all" (8235)
"that is the worst of all" (8281)
"we have had proof of this in recent years" (8063)
"I cant see anyway out of \(i t\) " (8005)
"What will become of \(m e\) " (8219)
"it would be a Human act of you" (8187)
"i hope it make a man of him" (8097)
"old enough to know the meaning of \(i t "\) " (8067)
```

With pronouns the genitive forms both in Standard English and in Vulgar English appear, from this evidence, to have successfully resisted the pull of the periphrastic pattern which has almost completely displaced the inflected genitive forms of nouns. ${ }^{16}$ In these

[^54]genitive forms of pronouns there have been comparatively few changes other than phonetic ones throughout the history of the English language.

The forms $m y,{ }^{17}$ our, your, ${ }^{18}$ his, her, whose ${ }^{19}$ are all historical forms from the early period of English. Their replaced the historical her for the genitive plural of the third personal pronoun during the fifteenth century, and its ${ }^{20}$ was created near the close of the sixteenth century. Until the fourteenth century the same forms were used whether the genitive appeared before a noun or absolutely.
"Our Liturgie is the more ancient, and our Church the more noble."
"Our is the more ancient Liturgie, and our the more noble Church." ${ }^{21}$
In fact, our, your, their continued as absolute forms to the seventeenth century. But beginning in the fourteenth century there was an increasing use of a new form to distinguish the two uses of the genitive in pronouns. In the first and second persons mine and thine continued to be used absolutely, after $m y$ and thy were well established. It was natural, therefore, that ourn, yourn, hern, etc., after the pattern of mine and thine, should be created and for a time compete with ours, yours, hers, etc., formed on the pattern of the $s$ genitive of nouns. ${ }^{22}$ Ourn and yourn appeared in Wycliffe; hern appeared earlier in the fourteenth century; and hisn appeared in the fifteenth century. His and its, ending as they do in $s$, have not de-
${ }^{17}$ With loss of $n$ from mine.
${ }^{18}$ Used as singular as well as plural since the sixteenth century.
19 Whose in its earliest history served as the genitive for all three genders-masculine, feminine and neuter. It still continues for all three although there is some pressure to substitute of which in the case of neuter nouns.
${ }^{20}$ The neuter form of the genitive of the third personal pronoun was originally identical with that of the masculine his. The neuter genitive his continued through the sixteenth century and is the form used in the 1611 Bible. A neuter genitive, it, developed in the fourteenth century and lasted to the early seventeenth century. This genitive it appears in Shakspere and once in the 1611 Bible (Lev. 25:5). In the 1660 Bible this it was changed to its. Its, originally written it's, was formed upon the pattern of the s-genitive of nouns and became the common form during the seventeenth century. See page 81, concerning ours, yours, hers, theirs.

21 "Smectymnuus," Vindication of the Answer (1641), ii, 38.
${ }^{22}$ Formed as they are on the model of the genitive inflection of nouns it would be logical to assume that all these forms, ours, yours, hers, theirs, as well as its, should use the apostrophe before the s. The objection frequently offered that our present practice is necessary to distinguish between the contraction of "it is" and the possessive "its" cannot be valid. Certainly there is no confusion in speech where the apostrophe sign does not function. Nor is there any in the case of nouns in which the apostrophe appears in both contractions and possessive forms. ("The man's been singing." "The man's hat hangs on the door.") In the letters of Standard English it's (with apostrophe) sometimes appeared, as in "that my name be placed in it's proper place." (9022)
veloped separate forms for the absolute use although Wycliffe used hisis.

As a matter of fact, however, if the materials examined here are typical, the use of the absolute forms is very little indeed. ${ }^{23}$ In Standard English there appeared the following instances:

## TABLE V



> attributive
> my ...... 241
> our ....... 14
> your ...... 30
> her ........ 154
> their ...... 22
> his ....... 202
> its ....... 8
> whose .... 4

In Vulgar English (Group III) the situation is practically identical.

## TABLE VI



| attributive |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| my | 313 |
| our | 30 |
| your | 35 |
| her | 26 |
| their | 3 |
| his | 303 |
| its |  |

No examples occurred in the materials here of ourn, yourn, hern, theirn, hisn.

One last matter concerning form needs comment before passing to a statement of the kinds of genitive expressed by these pronoun

[^55]forms. In Middle English the dative-accusative forms of the pronouns were most frequent with self. From the fourteenth century on, the tendency has been to regard self as a noun and therefore to use the genitive form of a preceding pronoun, thus myself, ${ }^{25}$ ourselves, ${ }^{26}$ (thyself), yourself, yourselves. ${ }^{27}$ Herself is an ambiguous form and itself has sometimes been taken (eighteenth century especially) as its plus self. If any word intervenes between the pronoun and self, its is used, as "its own self." Himself and themselves still cling to the older dative-accusative forms of the pronouns against the pressure of the more regular hisself and theirselves. As in the case of $i t$, when words intervene between the pronoun and self, his and their are used, as in "his very self" and "their own selves." In the materials here examined there were but very few instances of these words and there seems to be little difference between the three groups. In Group III, Vulgar English, appeared two instances of hisself.
"he liyed his self in . . "" (8045)

There were, however, in Group III four instances of himself. The number of instances of each of these words was as follows: ${ }^{28}$

TABLE VII

|  | Group I | Group II | Group III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| myself | 21 | 20 | 11 |
| ourselves | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| yourself . | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| yourselves | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| herself | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| itself | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| himself | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| themselves | 0 | 0 | 0 |

In the pronouns the uses of the genitive parallel those of the nouns in most respects. In the materials of Standard English there were the following:

[^56]a. The Possessive Genitive- $40 \%$
"his name has been overlooked" (9022)
"his wife" (9030)
"which he held in his hand" (9007)
"your office" (9010)
"a sister whose husband is in" (8196)
"on $m y$ victory medal" (9018)
"in driving my car" (9064)
b. The Subjective Genitive- $21 \%$
"regarding his charges that" (8144)
"that his resignation was very reluctantly accepted" (9013)
"hearing of his disappearance" (8076)
"subsequent to $m y$ departure" (9056)
" $M y$ choice of a university" (9050)
"Before my entry into the army" (9050)
" $m y$ resignation from the Public Health Service" (9005)
"and their appeal comes to me" (8073)
"they should file their claims for" (9012)
"to ask your help" (9018)
"that this request may receive your favorable consideration" (9062)
"Replying to your inquiry of July 2nd" (8137)
"in her disregard of Belgium's neutrality" (9017)
"Its affect was far reaching" (9012)
c. The Objective Genitive- $10 \%$
"He was expecting his discharge" (8075)
"In the event of my promotion" (9022)
"to the date of my examination" (9022)
"Under my present assignment" (9062)
"did not contribute to her support" (8240)
"the type of her employment has been" (8240)
"immediately upon its receipt" (8299)
"in cutting timber for their support" (8180)
"to obtain the release of . . . from . . . in whose service he now is" (8273)
d. The Genitive of Origin-3\%
"According to her account" (8240)
"her attention to our first letter" (8299)
"with reference to your wire" (9000)
"your reports of change" (9019)
e. The Genitive of Association, Participation, etc.-2.2\%
"I have been their family physician" (8144) (8260)
"an affidavit from her physician" (8240)
"We will rejoice if the young man is honored by your officers" (8060)
"Until recently our chief of staff had some ideas with respect to . . ." (9000)
"Due to mistake either by my banker or myself" (9057)
"Some of his relatives" (8076)
"it being my former school" (9050)
"During my college course" (9058)
"In his school life he was a figure in his classes" (9038)
f. Some miscellaneous genitives not included in the groups named above
"a man of his years" (9039)
"In her earlier years she was employed" (8095)
"they would send him the money when his year of enlistment was up" (8076)
"I am not sure of his present location" (8075)
"to return to my proper station" (9050) (9036) (9052) (9053) (9057) (9029)
"a change in my address" (9042)
"had worked his way out west" (8142)
"was then on his way to" (8076)
"for which his past experience had fully equipped him" (9013)
"to take care of her funeral expenses" (8095)
"doing his best to bring honor to his" (8060) (9033)
It was clear from the evidence given on pages 76,77 , and 78 above that the use of the inflected genitive form of nouns is not the normal practice before gerunds in Standard English. Only one example occurred in all our material. On the other hand, there was an overwhelming number of cases with the uninflected noun form (singular) before such gerunds in -ing. In the case of pronouns, however, the situation appears to be different. Fifty two per cent of the cases in Standard English have the genitive form of the pronoun before the verbal and but 48 per cent have the dative-accusative form.

Examples of the genitive form are
"in the event of $m y$ being assigned to" (9043)
"correspondence concerning my taking an examination" (9008)
"As to my being on the eligible list" (9042)
"nothing to indicate my having received a citation" (9018)
"I have no knowledge of my having any chronic disease" (9026)
"She had given her consent to his entering the army" (8144)
"Have you known of his ever being guilty of anything that . . ." (7093)
"Because of his being a married man" (6416)
"concerning the matter of your having consulted certain notes that were of assistance to you" (7091)
"How did you come to tell . . . of your having done this?" (7091)
"the report about his having such a fight" (7094)
"we knew nothing of his being in . . ." (6417)
Examples of the dative-accusative form of the pronoun in this construction are
"conditions are such as would justify you taking favorable action" (7089)
"certain things were done without you being consulted" (7092)
"I have not heard any complaints about him not being punctual" (7077)
"the possibility of them being delayed until that hour and consequently of them arriving at R- toward midnight" (7086)
"That led to me being questioned with regard to his efficiency" (7088)
"I never heard of him working hard" (7087)
"My choice of the University of . . . is made because of it being my former school" (9050)
"There is no doubt about him being married" (8130)
The Vulgar English uses of the genitive pronouns differ very little from those of Standard English.

## Typical Examples of Genitive Pronouns in Vulgar English

a. Possessive Genitives- $59 \%$
"I am crippled in my limbs" (8201)
"get this boy back or break up my home" (8152)
"came to my house" (8005)
"help Save Our Crops" (8253)
"clerk in her Grocerie store" (8251)
"all of my money has been spent" (8224)
"he sine his neam" (8112)
"he is my youngest boy" (8067)
b. Subjective Genitives- $11.5 \%$
"upon my return home" (8251)
"it is my earnest wish" (8069)
"and kept up his payments" (8080)
"to get along with out his care" (8312)
"I need his help" (8280)
"nead his suppord to help to" (8264)
"to make amends for his error" (8152)
"meets with your approval" (8231)
c. Objective Genitives- $4.4 \%$
"for he is my only Suport" (8312)
"the place of my employment is shut down" (8079)
"He is my only help on the farm" (8021)
"if my son got his release" (8233)
"we therefore wish his discharge" (8067)
"or have his sentence remitted" (8052)
"I am her lone support" (8028)
d. Genitive of Origin-4.3\%
"In my first letter" (8274)
"if their testimony will do" (8242)
"his answer was that" (8038)
"to send my petition to" (8157)
e. Genitive of Association, Participation-3\%
"I wrote his captain" (8038)
"both of his bosses have been to see me" (8080)
"where I was apointed his gardine" (8025)
"he and a couple of his class-mates" (8251)
"sent back to his out fit" (8230)
"an affadavitt from our Justice" (8101)
"from your recruiting officer" (8025)
"now My Dr and nurse are both diad" (8242)
"my Lawyer advised me to" (8251)
f. Some miscellaneous genitives not included in the groups above
"will give him a clean white discharge for his Christmas" (8038)
"this would work out for our future better" (8094)
" 5 yr's is his limit to live" (8153)
"this was his second time to leave home" (8218)
"he would do his best" (8152)
"pleas Sir for my sake do let him out" (8204)
"During my ten years in the" (8057)
"My debts are at a standstill" (8079)
"to pay our Grocery Bill" (8052)
"to work his way up" (8288)
"pray for the best to come his way some day" (8038)
"left here on his way back there" (8117)
"i am not able to pay his way here" (8005) (8097)
"set me back with my sickness" (8281)
"nobody nows when my time will come to die" (8288)
"to assist me in my last days" (8094)
"he will serve his time" (8288)
"insted of my correct date of birth" (8070)
"he lied about his age" (8288)
"on account of his minority" (8173)
"you can get his Birth date from" (8280)
"Rite to me and Send me your Pictuer" (8181)
"his pictures show that he is very thin" (8250)
"I can not find his Baptismal certificate" (8000)
In respect to the form of the pronoun (whether genitive or dativeaccusative) used before the verbal in -ing, the Vulgar English materials do not differ greatly from those of Standard English except for the fact that the construction as a whole appears much less frequently in Vulgar English. Only ten instances were found. Of these, three had the possessive form of the pronoun and seven the dativeaccusative form.

The three instances are
"We knew of his being in the army" (8067)
"no doubt as to his being my son"(8067)
"and all work out soon for his coming to me" (8094)
The seven instances are
"I object to him being in millitery service" (8000)
"he went away without me knowing" (8040)
"without us knowing anything about it" (8018)
"he enlisted as a single man without me knowing it" (8012)
"if there is any grounds of him getting out" (8037)
"about you not getting our letters" (8087)
"refused to keep him any longer without him paying board" (8072)
In the facts concerning the genitive forms of nouns and pronouns as here displayed a few matters deserve especial notice by way of a summary.

1. Although the periphrastic genitive with the function word of has almost completely displaced the inflectional genitive form of nouns ( 95.7 per cent of the periphrastic genitive with of against 4.3 per cent of inflected genitive forms), in the pronouns the inflectional genitive form still predominates overwhelmingly ( 1.6 per cent of the periphrastic with of, against 98.4 per cent of inflected genitive forms). There is no essential difference between Standard English and Vulgar English in this respect.
2. The usual rule of the handbooks that the genitive case form of substantives must be used before verbals in -ing is certainly not a complete and accurate statement of the practice of the English language. In the case of nouns the usage of Standard English is overwhelmingly that of the uninflected form before this verbal. In the
case of pronouns the usage of Standard English as represented here is divided approximately half and half between the genitive form and the dative-accusative form. The letters of Vulgar English show much the same situation, although there is slightly less (not a significant difference) use of the genitive form.
3. Although the increasing use of the periphrastic genitive with of has had very little effect upon the use of pronouns with a genitive inflection, nevertheless there is very little difference between nouns and pronouns in the kinds of uses for which the genitive form is employed. In both nouns and pronouns about 40 per cent of the instances are genitives of possession, 20 per cent subjective genitives, 10 per cent objective genitives, 5 per cent genitives of origin, and 15 per cent a miscellaneous group in which the relation between the genitive and the noun it modifies is very hard to describe and classify. Again there seems to be no difference in this respect between Standard English and Vulgar English.
4. In view of the fact that less than half of all the instances in which the genitive form is used can be looked upon as possessive, even if the word possessive is interpreted very broadly, it seems not only inaccurate but very undesirable to call the genitive form "the possessive case."
5. There seems to be no point in the use of the genitive forms in which there is any clear difference in use between the practices of Standard English and those of Vulgar English sufficient to create the connotations of a class dialect, except in the matter of the self pronouns. Vulgar English uses hisself and theirselves along with himself and themselves.

## II. THE DATIVE-ACCUSATIVE FORMS

The six case distinctive dative-accusative forms of pronouns ( $m e$, us, him, them, her, whom) are all that is left of the many distinctive dative and accusative forms that existed in Old English. In the nouns the dative was a distinctive form maintained clearly throughout the Old English period. Although the accusative of the nouns was most frequently like the nominative and therefore not a distinctive form in itself, both the adjectives and the definite articles used with these nouns had a clear accusative form so that in a great many situations inflectional evidence distinguished both datives and accusatives. With the loss of these inflectional forms during the Middle English period, however, the nouns in Modern English have no forms either in themselves or in the articles and adjectives used
with them to indicate dative or accusative relationships. These relationships of the nouns are now expressed by the other two grammatical devices which Present-day English uses, namely, word order and function words.

The pronouns-the personal pronouns and the relative-interrogative who-had at least eight forms ${ }^{29}$ for the dative and seven different forms for the accusative in Old English. Even in Old English times, however, the separate forms for the accusative of the pronouns of the first and second persons (mec, bec, usic, eowic) were almost completely displaced by the dative forms ( $m e, b e, u s$, eow). By the end of the thirteenth century hine, the accusative masculine pronoun of the third person, was displaced by the dative him and in parallel fashion the accusative of the feminine pronoun, $h i$ or heo, was largely displaced by the dative form $\operatorname{her}(e)$, and the accusative hwone by the dative hwom (whom). The neuter dative him as distinct from the nominative and accusative it continued to the end of the sixteenth century. In the seventeenth century the dativeaccusative of the second person pronoun you displaced the nominative $y c$. As a result of these changes there remain in English only six forms ( $m e, u s$, him, them, her, whom) to distinguish the dative or the accusative from the nominative, and in these there is no distinction between the dative and the accusative form. It is obvious that the grammatical ideas formerly expressed by the inflections for the dative and for the accusative must now be expressed by other means. The six case forms of these pronouns in their modern use do not function in the conveying of grammatical ideas; that is, where they are used, the expression of the dative or accusative relationship does not depend upon the form of the pronoun; they simply accompany the other grammatical devices that do function. In the sentence "The man gave the book to the boy" the relationship of boy is expressed by the function word $t o$, and in the sentence "The man gave the boy the book" the relationship of boy is indicated by word order. In similar fashion in the sentences "The man gave the book to him" and "The man gave him the book" the relationship of him is expressed in the one sentence by the function word to and in the other by word order. It is not necessary that the pronoun have a distinct form to display its relationship. The dative-accusative form which it has in these situations is simply an accompaniment (a

[^57]remnant of an older method of showing this relationship) of the newer grammatical devices employed here. A sentence such as "Him and me hit the man" would normally be interpreted in accord with the word order rather than in accord with the case forms.

The growing importance of word order as a grammatical device to show the relationship between substantive and verb since the early fifteenth century has had an important effect upon the use of these six dative-accusative forms. Certain positions in the English sentence have come to be felt as "subject" territory, others as "object" territory and the forms of the words in each territory are pressed to adjust themselves to the character of that territory. The dative-accusative forms with no real function of their own but used only as an accompaniment of other devices offer very little resistance ${ }^{30}$ to the pressures of word order.

Our Modern English "I was given a book" furnishes a good illustration of the pressure of word order. The Old English "Me waes gegiefen an boc," with the dative pronoun standing first, was a common construction. It was only after word order had become a vigorous device for the showing of grammatical relationships that the dative me standing in "subject" territory was changed to the nominative $I$. Ever since the sixteenth century this new construction has been normal English practice in spite of the protests of certain grammarians. ${ }^{31}$ The dative with impersonal verbs which appeared frequently in Old and Middle English also shows the pressure of word order as these constructions were replaced by the nominative form of the pronoun and the personal verb. ${ }^{32}$ Him likode became He liked. Me greues became I grieve. Altogether it is only in the few places where the pressures of word order conflict with the inertia of an older practice that problems arise concerning the use of these case forms of pronouns. In nearly all situations the older practice concerning the inflections (similar to that of Latin) agrees with the newer pressures of word order. ${ }^{33}$

[^58]The two situations in which the pressures of word order conflict with the older practice in the use of the pronoun inflections are (a) the personal pronouns as predicatives and (b) the interrogative and the relative who as object. The predicatives stand in "object" territory and personal pronouns so used tend therefore to take the dative-accusative form. As an interrogative, who usually stands in "subject" territory and tends therefore to discard the dative-accusative form even though the objective relationship remains.

The history of the first of these constructions reveals a series of changes connected with the pressures of word order. ${ }^{34}$ In Old English the construction as it appears in Matthew 14:27 "Habbaठ geleafan, ic hyt eom" is normal. In this the ic (I) is the subject which determines the form of the verb com (am), and hyt (it) also precedes the verb. In Middle English of the time of Chaucer the pronoun I (thou, he, we, ctc.) normally appears after the verb and it before the verb as in "Wostow nought wel that it am I" (Chaucer, ed. Skeat, 214, 588). Here again the $I$ still dominates the verb am as subject. By the latter part of the fifteenth century, however, the pressure of word order is such that the it which stands in "subject" territory is so much felt as the subject that the verb form is made to agree with it, as in "It is $I$ that am here in your syth" (Coventry Mysteries, 291). By the time of Shakspere the pronoun that stands in "object" territory begins to show the pressure of word order in such examples as the following: "Sir Andrew. That's me. I warrant you." (Twelfth Night, II, 5, 87); "'Tis thee, myself, that for myself I praise." (Shakspere, Sonnet LXII.) From the sixteenth century to the present there has been considerable diversity of usage in the matter of the inflectional forms of these pronouns in predicative positions with unconscious colloquial practice yielding to the pressure of word order. ${ }^{35}$

[^59]The actual facts of the use of the dative-accusative forms of the pronouns in the Standard English materials examined for this study are as follows:

## a. After Prepositions-47.5\%

These pronoun forms appeared after to and for most frequently (44 per cent of the instances). The figures for their use with other prepositions are as follows: with, $17.2 \%$; by, $12.5 \%$; of, $9.3 \%$; from, $6.2 \%$; on, $3.0 \%$; upon, $2.4 \%$; and after, against, between, behind, without, all together, $5.4 \%$
b. After Verbs as Direct Object-26.6\%
"Could assist him" (8240)
"he can support her" (8189)
"has called to see $m e$ " (9011)
"carelessly omitted them" (9040)
"to have him here" (8174)
c. After Verbs as Indirect Object (by position)- $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 \%}$
"Nor does he send her any money" (8144)
"This error has caused me trouble" (8144)
"A letter from . . . informs me that there is no record of my service" (9055)
"have afforded him opportunities" (9023)
"we again wrote her a letter" (8299)
d. After Certain Verbs and Followed by Infinitives-7.3\%
"They want him to come home" (8144)
"tried to get him to enlist" (8064)
"and found them to be suffering" (8266)
"so as to allow me to take leave" (9061)
"has asked us to write" (8189)
Altogether in the four groups just indicated, with a few miscellaneous cases of whom (to be discussed later), there appeared in the letters of Standard English 285 instances of the six dativeaccusative forms. In the letters of Vulgar English there appeared two and one third times as many instances in approximately the same quantity of writing. There were in all 662 instances distributed as follows:
a. After Prepositions- $26.3 \%$

The prepositions appearing most frequently are to and for; together

[^60]they make $48.2 \%$ of the instances. The figures for the other prepositions are as follows: from, $18.2 \%$; with, $6.8 \%$; on, $3.2 \%$; and after, against, besides, by, near, over, upon, without, all together, $6.3 \%$.
b. After Verbs as Direct Object-47.7\%
"he went to . . . and left $m e$ " (8048)
"I kneed him here" (8187) (8277)
"able to buy him out" (8187)
"we have to Doctor her all the time" (8028)
"towards supporting us" (8127)
c. After Verbs as Indirect Object (by position) - $9.7 \%$
"Please Write Me the amount" (8249)
"please tell $m e$ the right place" (8147)
"about getting me a discharge" (8030)
"bring him some clothes" (8290)
"work that will pay him a wage" (8251)

```
d. After Certain Verbs and Followed by Infinitives-15.2%
    "begging me to let him stay" (8288)
    "help us to get located" (8094)
    "you would find him not to be very able bodied" (8117)
    "and have him write to me" (8116)
    "and perswaid him to join the army" (8045)
```

The figures here given show no significant difference between Standard English and Vulgar English with the possible exception of the fact that the Standard English materials have proportionally nearly twice as many instances of these pronouns used as indirect objects (by position) as do the materials of Vulgar English. In compensation the numbers of the dative-accusative forms with to and for are, in Vulgar English, distinctly higher. It would seem, therefore, that Vulgar English tends to use the function word method of indicating the indirect object relationship rather than the word order method.

The figures do show, however, that, in both Standard English and in Vulgar English, practically all the dative-accusative forms occur in positions that are normally "objective" territory. In the case of the interrogative who in nearly all situations and in the case of the relative who in some situations ${ }^{38}$ the position of the word

[^61]must necessarily be in "subjective" territory. The pressure is generally, therefore, toward the use of the nominative rather than the dative-accusative form. This tendency reaches back to the Early Modern English period, as the following quotations from Shakspere demonstrate:
"O Lord, sir, who do you mean?" 1 Henry IV, II, iv, 81.
"Here comes my servant Travers, who I sent on Tuesday last to listen after news." 2 Henry IV, I, i, 28.
"you have oft inquired after the shepherd that complained of love, Who you saw sitting by me on the turf." As You Like It, III, iv, 50.
In the materials of Standard English who is the usual form of the interrogative. Examples are

> "Who do you refer to as witness?" (7542)
> "Who did you apply to for enlistment?" (7425)

No examples were found in which the whom was used as an interrogative.

In the case of the relative as "object" about one third of the instances appeared with who and two thirds with whom.

Examples of who are
"his brother and his brother's wife were coming with the girl who he was to marry" (7401)
"and others who I do not know the present address of" (9027)
"It has seldom been my pleasure to know a young man more efficient or who I felt to be better qualified for" (7403)

## Examples of whom are

"will pay you or anyone whom you direct us to pay it to" (5113)
"leaving a wife behind whom he was deserting without support" (8076)
"I have one child whom I have not seen during that time" (7406)
"Were all the gentlemen whom you have mentioned engaged in the game?" (7405)

There appeared in the Standard English materials some uses of whom which should probably be looked upon as hyperurbanisms. ${ }^{37}$

[^62]"Would you kindly let me know whom should be notified in case of accident" (8075)
"There was one man's son in this country whom the majority believed would never be sent into service" (7404)
"A very nice woman whom we think is putting . . ." (8002)
"I desire to communicate with the following officers whom I understand are serving with . . ." (7402)
The Vulgar English materials contained a few uses of these dative-accusative forms which differed from any of those found in Standard English.

1. In clear "subjective" territory, a use which never seems to be found in Standard English
"After 13 days $h i m$ and this man that was with him was found . . ." (8026)
"My son . . . whom is a soldier at that Post" (8029)
2. As "disjunctives," a use much more frequent in speech than in writing
"I am nearly 50 years old with 5 little ones to support and $m e$ with bothe knees all to Pieces with Rheumatism" (8187)
"He is so far away and $m e$ his mother cant see him" (8020)
The chief facts concerning the use of the six dative-accusative forms of the pronouns as shown in the materials examined here are as follows:
3. Practically all of the 947 instances of the dative-accusative forms of the pronouns occur in positions that are normally "objective" territory.
4. Only one instance appeared here of the personal pronouns as predicatives-a demonstration of the fact that only conversation situations provide the circumstances for the use of such expressions as $I t$ is $m e$ ( $I$ ) where position would make a pressure for the dativeaccusative form.
5. Every instance of the interrogative pronoun appeared with the form who, the nominative rather than the dative-accusative form. The "subjective" territory in which the interrogative stands seemed to have more force in determining the form than the "objective" relationship of the word. Expressions such as "Who do you refer to" are typical of Standard English.
6. In the case of the relative pronoun about one third of the cases in "objective" relationship appeared as who and two thirds as whom.

In addition, in the Standard English letters there were frequent instances of a "hyper-correct" whom in situations of a "subjective" relationship. Such expressions as "the girl who he was to marry" are not limited to Vulgar English.
5. The use of the dative-accusative forms in subjective relationship and in clear subjective territory was found in the materials of Vulgar English only.
6. The use of the dative-accusative forms as "disjunctives" appeared only in the writings of the Vulgar English group.

## III. THE INFLECTION FOR COMPARISON

Most adjectives and adverbs which have meanings permitting comparison have some formal means (either inflection or function word) to indicate the comparative and the superlative degrees as distinct from the so-called "positive" degree. For this grammatical idea in the older stages of the language, inflection was the chief device. In Present-day English, inflection still persists but has given way to a very great extent to the use of function words-chiefly the particular words more and most.

The inflectional pattern for comparison in Present-day English consists of the endings -er for the comparative and -est for the superlative added to the simple or "positive" form of the adjective or adverb, as high, high-er, high-est; cold, cold-er, cold-est; wide, wid-er, wid-est. ${ }^{38}$ There are, however, remnants of older forms that constitute exceptions to the inflectional pattern just indicated. Some of these exceptions are ( $a$ ) forms preserving the umlauted vowel of the comparative and superlative, as elder, eldest; (b) forms from a different root to supply the lack of a regular comparative or superlative, as good or well, better, best; bad (ly) or evil or ill, worse, worst; little, less or lesser, least; much or many, more, most; far, further or farther, furthest or farthest; (c) defective or incomplete series, as rather and next.

One type of apparent inflection for comparison, differing from the pattern, needs especial comment. Words like foremost, innermost, outermost, nethermost, eastermost, topmost with such parallels as innermore, outermore, nethermore seem to furnish evidence for a suffix -most for the superlative degree and a suffix -more for the comparative degree, and for Present-day English these words

[^63]have a limited use of this kind. Historically, however, this suffix -most is a popular etymologizing of the older -mest a double superlative with -m- and -est. The suffix -more was later used for the comparative in some similar situations on the analogy of -most for the superlative.

This development of a suffix -most and a parallel suffix -more in English had the support of the use of the adverbs of degree, more and most with such adjectives, notably participles, as did not admit of inflection for comparison. This use appeared in Early Middle English, and gradually these words more and most became function words of comparison that tended to displace the inflections. In Late Middle English and especially in Early Modern English the frequent use of more and most with inflected adjectives and adverbs in -er and -est seems to be evidence for the fact that these words were not felt as function words of comparison equivalent to the inflections and therefore not to be used with them, until the eighteenth century.

The first objection to using more and most with words having the -er and -est inflections as Shakspere rather frequently did ${ }^{38}$ appeared in Robert Lowth's Short Introduction to English Grammar ${ }^{40}$ (1762), and was repeated in Charles Coote's Elements of English Grammar ${ }^{41}$ (1788), and in Lindley Murray's English
${ }^{39}$ The following uses by Shakspere of more and most together with words having the -er or -est inflections must not, therefore, be taken as instances of "double" comparison.
"This was the most unkindest cut of all" (Julius Caesar, III, ii, 187).
"A wall'd town is more worthier than a village" (As You Like It, III, iii, 59).
"I am more better than Prospero" (Tempest, I, ii, 19).
"more corrupter ends" (Lear, III, iii, 64).
"the most patient man in loss, the most coldest that ever turned up ace" (Cymbeline, II, iii, 3).
"My sister may receive it much more worse" (Lear, II, ii, 59).
"Am fallen out with my more headier will" (Lear, II, iv, 111).
"More harder than the stones" (Lear, III, iii, 64).
${ }^{40}$ Pages 27, 28, footnote 4, Section, Adjective.
"Double comparatives and superlatives are improper:
'The Duke of Milan, and his more braver daughter could controul thee' (Shakespear, Tempest.)
'After the most straitest sect of our religion I lived a Pharisee.' ' (Acts xxvi. 5.)

[^64]Grammar ${ }^{42}$ (1795). Since the eighteenth century the inflectional forms eer and -est have tended to give place to the use of the competing function words. ${ }^{48}$ In the materials examined here for Standard English 56.4 per cent of the comparatives appeared with the inflectional -er as against 43.6 per cent with the function word. Of the superlatives, 47 per cent appeared with the inflectional -est as against 53 per cent with the function word. ${ }^{44}$ In the Vulgar English materials the situation is very different, for the inflectional forms far outnumber the occurrences of the function word. There were 90 per cent of the comparatives with -er against 10 per cent with the function word more. Of the superlatives, 89 per cent appeared with the inflectional -est and 11 per cent with the function word most. Here again Vulgar English shows itself to be more conservative than Standard English and maintains the older inflections against the newer device of the function word.

The preponderance of the inflections in Vulgar English is probably due to the fact that the inflectional -er and -est are maintained on most words of one syllable, the popular words, and on a few words of two syllables and that the function word is used with all words of more than two syllables and with most words of two syl-lables-the less familiar or the more learned words. Some of the words appearing in Standard English (Group I) with the inflection are greater (9017), higher (9060), larger (9032), lower (9006), shorter (9012), stronger (9012), younger (8050), older (8279); hardest (9033), highest (9033), nearest (9043), smallest (9031), strongest (9037), biggest (8061), greatest (8086), oldest (8289),

[^65]surest (8061), earliest (9025), (8140), (9064). Typical examples of the words appearing with the function word are more interesting (9012), more varied (9028), more interested (9012), more accurate (9037), more constrained (8056), more valuable (8284), more often (8002), more exact (8144), better satisfied (9020), better qualified (9042); most important (8042), most extensive (8061), most competent (8061), a most aged and needy grandmother (8078), most anxious (8086), most likely (8102), most earnestly (8140), most deserving (8078), most economical (8061), most interested (9019), most rigid (9017), most easy (9032).

In the Vulgar English materials the words that use the -er and -est inflections duplicate in large measure those used in the Standard English letters. Typical examples are older (8244), younger (8080), weaker (8188), stronger (8038), longer (8005), oldest (8053), youngest (8067), biggest (8288), earliest (8224). Vulgar English does not frequently use the kind of words which are quoted above from the Standard English materials as used with the function words of comparison. In a few instances the function word is used in Vulgar English with one syllable popular words as in "I can not give you more plane proofs than I have" (8072).

Ever since the eighteenth century there has been considerable discussion ${ }^{45}$ concerning the use of the inflections for the compara-

[^66]tive and superlative degrees in accord with the number of the objects referred to. If the adjective modifies a noun naming a unit (object, person, group) of which only two units are mentioned or referred to in the context, the comparative has been insisted upon as the proper inflection; if the adjective modifies a noun naming a unit (object, person, group) of which more than two such units have been mentioned or referred to, the superlative has been urged as the proper inflection. This use of the comparative form where two are concerned and the superlative only where more than two are concerned seems to reflect the old distinction between a dual and a plural number which was set off by many clear forms in the older stages of Indo-European languages. In English the old dual forms disappeared early and our plural number means more than one, not more than two. Whenever the particular number is important it is specified by the numeral or some word such as both, which definitely indicates the number. We use, for example, we two or both of us instead of the old dual wit of the pronoun of the first person.

In the materials examined here the usage of the adjective is overwhelmingly against the distinction of the dual by means of the comparative. In the Standard English letters the comparative is used only once in such a situation.
> "My husband left me with two children; the younger is twelve years old" (8212)

Typical examples of the superlative form in this use are
"the surest and most economical method" (8061) [two ways are indicated]
"this is the best answer to give" (8298) [two answers are proposed]
"I am asking that you grant my oldest son a discharge, both my boys are in . . ." (8222)

In the Vulgar English letters no example of the comparative degree inflection appeared as a dual distinct from a plural.

Typical examples of the superlative for two are

> "I have two children . . . my oldest boy is 17 years of age" (8053) "he is my youngest boy" [two sons indicated] (8067)

Concerning the adjective inflections for comparison the significant facts from the materials examined here are as follows:

1. The inflections er and -est have been supplanted in Standard English by the function words more and most to such an extent that a trifle more than half of the comparatives and a trifle less than half of the superlatives still use the inflectional forms.
2. In Vulgar English approximately nine tenths of both the comparatives and the superlatives still use the inflectional forms.
3. The inflections are used with the simple (usually one syllable) and common words. These are chiefly old words in the language, many reaching back to the Old English period. The function words, on the other hand, occur sometimes with simple, one syllable words but most frequently with the longer, more learned words.
4. The use of the superlative rather than the comparative for two, thus ignoring a dual as distinct from a plural, is a fact of Standard English usage and not a characteristic limited to Vulgar English.
5. So-called "double" comparison did not appear in any of the letters either of Standard English or of Vulgar English.

## IV. PERSON AND MOOD FORMS

## A. Person

As used in discussions of grammar the term person refers to those distinctions which indicate whether the grammatical subject of a verb is the one speaking (the first person), or the one spoken to (the second person), or the one spoken of (the third person). Person, then, is an idea that attaches to the subject substantive. Those pronouns which make this distinction in their forms are, because of this fact, called "personal" pronouns, as $I$, we, those speaking (first person); you, those spoken to (second person); he, she, it, they, those spoken of (third person). Nouns as subjects are nearly always of the third person. As applied to verbs the word person refers to those distinctions of form which repeat whatever grammatical idea of person is expressed in the subject. In the older stages of the language, the verb, in a fairly large number of situations, had distinct forms to accompany or "agree" with the "person" of the subject. More than that, a verb form which was distinct in respect to person could stand alone without having the separate pronoun subject given and still be unmistakably clear. A good example is furnished by the well-known Latin message "Veni, vidi, vici." Modern English, however, has no such system of inflections for person in its verbs, for the personal endings, which even in Old English had been reduced to six distinct forms, have in Present-day

English been further reduced to one. Aside from the verb to be the -s of the third singular present indicative is the only form of the English verb that indicates person. In the verb to be only am of the first person and is of the third person remain to "agree" with the person of the subject. We must look to the subject itself to determine the person, and only in commands and requests can the verb stand without a subject expressed. The three verb forms of person are not a sufficient pattern to function grammatically; they simply accompany the appropriate subject forms whenever they happen to be used. As the following figures will show, they make up a comparatively small part of the verb forms used.

In the materials examined here there were a total of 4,918 finite verb forms used. These were almost evenly divided between the Standard English letters and those of Vulgar English. There were 2,421 in the Standard English materials and 2,497 in those of Vulgar English. The distribution of "persons" among these forms was as follows:

TABLE VIII

|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st person $\ldots \ldots .$. | 773 | $1076^{48}$ |
| 2nd person $\ldots \ldots$. | 36 | 187 |
| 3rd person $\ldots \ldots$. | 1612 | 1234 |

Only about one fourth of all these verb forms appeared with distinctive person forms. The figures are given in Table IX on the opposite page.

In these figures there seems to be no essential difference between the practice of Standard English and that of Vulgar English. There is indeed a remarkable correspondence in the relative number of person distinctive forms used by the two groups. There is, however, one difference between the two groups that shows itself in a very few examples. In Vulgar English there are some instances (thirteen in all) in which there is an elimination of the person distinct form in the first person of the verb to be and in the third person singular of other verbs.

[^67]Typical examples are
"He want to get out" (8107)
"She want him back home" (8288)
"He write me that he is to be" (8231)
"He just be seventeen years old" (8045)
"I has sum gud wite frens" (3005) ${ }^{47}$
"I is in lot of troble" (3006)
"I has a misery in my . . ." (3005)
TABLE IX

|  | Non-distinctive Forms | Distinctive Forms | Per Cent Distinctive Forms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard English |  |  |  |
| 1st person | 671 | 102 | 13.2\% |
| 2nd person | 36 |  |  |
| 3rd person | 1033 | 579 | 35.9 |
| Totals | $\overline{1740}$ | $\overline{681}$ | $\overline{28.1 \%}$ |
| Vulgar English |  |  |  |
| 1st person | 889 | 187 | 17.4\% |
| 2nd person | 187 |  |  |
| 3rd person | 703 | 531 | 43.0 |
| Totals | $\overline{1779}$ | $\overline{718}$ | 28.7\% |

B. Mood

In the matter of person, the distinct verb forms have tended to disappear and no new device has taken their place; in the matter of mood, however, the passing of the inflections has been accompanied by a greatly increased use of the so-called modal auxiliaries-the function words used to express an emotional attitude toward the action or state. ${ }^{48}$ In Old English there were distinctive verb forms for the subjunctive in both the present tense and the preterit. Within the subjunctive, singular and plural number forms were clearly separated, but there were no forms to distinguish person. These distinctive subjunctive forms, in the course of our language development, fell together with those of the indicative mode, until, in Present-day English, but one form remains, in all verbs except the verb to $b e$, to separate subjunctive mood from indicative. In Present-day English, as in the past, the subjunctive has no distinct forms for the various persons, whereas the indicative still retains

[^68]the -s of the third person singular. As a matter of fact, however, this $s$-less subjunctive very rarely appears. In all the letters examined here only four instances occurred and these were all in Vulgar English. They are
"So help me god" (8005)
"God bless you and speed you on" (8005)
"insisted that he join the army" (8100)
In the verb to be there are more forms that are distinctly subjunctive and many more examples appear. Usually all be forms in the present and all were forms in the first and third persons singular of the preterit are called subjunctives. ${ }^{49}$ The examples of these subjunctives in the Standard English letters were of two types.
a. Object clauses after such verbs as request, ask, recommend. suggest, order, direct, require, urge, demand, propose, insist, ${ }^{\text {b0 }}$ and after nouns or adjectives of similar meaning ${ }^{51}$
"recommend that all references to A.W.O.L. be expunged from his records" (9007)
"I insist that I be given a new assignment" (5006)
"it is requested that the error be corrected if it is one, that my name $b e$ placed in its proper place on the promotion list and that I be informed of my new standing" (9022)
"I earnestly ask that it be granted" (8267)
"It is suggested that before he be classed for overseas that he be interviewed" (5003)
"I propose that adjustment for this overpayment be held in abeyance" (9032)
"it is urged that the transfer be expedited" (5007)
"This young man's wife is very anxious that he be discharged from Service" (5001)
"The request that the claim be paid" (9063)
"There was an order issued that my leave of absence be made effective upon . . ." (9043)

## b. Conditional Clauses

"Even if the mother were well the father needs his son to help make a living for himself" (8064)

[^69]"If he be between 18 and 21 years of age he must have written consent of his parent or of his guardian if there be no parent" (8056)
"If I were transferred to . . . I would be able to get the practical experience that I need" (9050)
"I would be able to settle down to work, quicker than if I were at some institution not familiar to me" (9050)
"I feel certain that if I were detailed for duty at . . . I would not be handicapped by reason of a lack of knowledge of the French language" (9064)
"Request transportation for myself, wife, and infant daughter, . . . from . . . , or if it be possible by rail from . . . to . . ." (9043)
"They could file their claims for exemption, if there be any" (9012)
"Were I to advise Mr. W-_ I would say to him . . ." (8296)
"And whether or not it be adopted, the fact that it is presented in open Conference should obtain for the idea much newspaper publicity" (9036)

In all, there were in the Standard English materials fifty nine instances of the use of the subjunctive-every one of the verb to be.

There were twelve cases of the subjunctive in "conditions" and forty seven of the subjunctive in that clauses following the kinds of words indicated above page 104 (a). On the other hand there were thirty three cases of the use of the indicative in "conditions" exactly paralleling those in (b) above for which the subjunctive was used. There were also sixty four cases of the use of the function word should rather than the subjunctive form in that clauses following such verbs as ask, request, recommend, etc., and sixty five cases of the infinitive rather than the that clause. On the whole, then, despite the nature of the material in the letters of Standard English which provides the conditions for an increased use of the subjunctive in that clauses following the words of request, the subjunctive forms are used in only 18.4 per cent of the situations in which we might expect them.

In the Vulgar English materials the situation in general is much the same as in the letters of Standard English except for the fact that there are by no means the number of instances of subjunctives in that clauses following such verbs as ask, request, recommend, suggest, order, etc. The situations in the Vulgar English letters were not such as lent themselves to the use of such formal requests. There were, however, the following types of subjunctives in the materials studied:
a. Object clauses after request, ask, recommend and similar nouns and adjectives
"I am asking that my Son be Discharged from . . ." (8225)
"I earnestly request that my husband be discharged" (8127)
"I request that he be sent home" (8098)
"he has already recommended to . . . that my transfer be disapproved" (8241)
"it is very necessary that he be discharged" (8130)

## b. Conditions

"I would be better satisfied if I were allowed to transfer to a local post" (8082)
"If I were you I would keep away from . . ." (6101)
"and if he were back home he would do his best" (8152)
"if he be between 18 and 21" (8056)
c. Wishes (especially formulas)
"God bless you and speed you on" (8005)
"So help me god" (8005)
"Blessed be his name" (8005)
In all, there were in the Vulgar English materials nineteen instances of the use of the subjunctive. Six were in conditions, eight in that clauses following such words as ask, request, recommend, and five miscellaneous uses, chiefly wishes. On the other hand, there were twenty four instances of the indicative in "conditions" exactly paralleling those in (b) above, thirty seven cases of the function word should or would rather than the subjunctive form in that clauses following such words as ask, recommend, etc., and eighty five cases of the infinitive rather than the that clauses. Altogether the subjunctive forms were used in only 13 per cent of the situations in which we might expect them.

Three facts stand out from this survey of the uses of the subjunctive forms in our materials.

1. In general the subjunctive has tended to disappear from use. This statement does not mean that the ideas formerly expressed by the inflectionally distinct forms of the verb called the subjunctive are not now expressed but rather that these ideas are now expressed chiefly by other means, especially by function words.
2. In these materials taken all together not more than one fifth of the instances of that clauses after such words as ask, request, com-
mand, suggest, order, etc., used the subjunctive form, and only 22.6 per cent of the "non-fact conditions" used the subjunctive.
3. The failure to use the subjunctive form in non-fact conditions, and in that clauses after words of asking, requesting, suggesting, etc., is not a characteristic of Vulgar English only. The practices of Standard English and Vulgar English do not differ significantly in this respect.

## VIII

## THE USES OF FUNCTION WORDS: WITH SUBSTANTIVES

The formal study of grammar in English and the other modern languages of western Europe was based upon the conventional study of Latin, for the grammatical apparatus that was available in the sixteenth century, when the first practical grammars of the vernaculars arose, was that which had been developed first in the study of Greek and later used for centuries for the Latin language. Latin was a highly inflected language and as a result the study of the grammar of that language necessarily centered attention upon the uses of the forms of words or inflections. The early grammars of English ${ }^{1}$ imitated these grammars of Latin and attempted to find in English parallels to all the structures which were familiar in Latin. Despite the protests of a very few of the writers of English grammars, ${ }^{2}$ who insisted that the apparatus of the Latin grammars was not suitable for the treatment of the English language, they all used it, fearing to introduce innovations and also desiring to lay a good foundation for the learning of Latin through the teaching of English grammar. ${ }^{3}$ Therefore, in the common school grammars of English, inflections or the forms of words have received the major emphasis, and those matters of structure which did not parallel the

[^70]devices of Latin have received very little or no treatment. We have seen in the former chapters, however, that in English, inflections or the forms of words have tended to disappear as a grammatical device until in Present-day English the only really live uses of the forms of words to express grammatical ideas are (a) those to distinguish plural and singular number in substantives and (b) those to distinguish past and present tense in verbs. All the other inflections of which some remnants remain (case forms for the genitive and dative-accusative of substantives, comparison forms for adjectives, and person and mood forms for verbs) have in considerable measure been replaced ${ }^{4}$ by other grammatical devices.

We come now to deal with these other means of expressing grammatical ideas-means which in the conventional grammars deriving from those modelled on Latin grammars receive very slight treatment. This chapter and the next two will contain a discussion of the grammatical ideas expressed by function words and Chapter X a discussion of the grammatical ideas expressed by word order.

By a function word I mean a word that has little or no meaning apart from the grammatical idea it expresses. In such an expression as "The mother of the boy will arrive tomorrow," it is quite clear that the words mother, boy, arrive, and tomorrow have meaning in themselves apart from their grammatical relation in the sentence. They are full words and have an independent meaning. But the words of and will express primarily grammatical ideas and have little or no meaning apart from the grammatical function they indicate. Of makes the word boy a modifier of the word mother; it is equivalent to a genitive inflection and the same idea would have been expressed by the boy's mother. Will here indicates primarily that the "arriving" will occur in the future and it is equivalent to a future tense inflection; it has no independent meaning. These words of and will are therefore called function words. ${ }^{5}$ They are typical of a fairly large class of words that in similar fashion express primarily grammatical ideas and relationships rather than

[^71]full word meanings. Some of the words that we shall deal with in this treatment of function words are (a) the so-called "preposi-tions"-the function words that are used with substantives, (b) the so-called "auxiliaries"-the function words that are used with verbs, (c) the words modifying adjectives that become function words of degree, (d) the so-called "conjunctions"-the words expressing the relationships of such word groups as we call clauses.

These function words have tended to supplant certain inflections in Modern English and therefore have become one of the important methods by which Present-day English expresses its grammatical ideas and relationships. Whether or not the function word is a more precise definition of a grammatical relation than an inflection is, as the following quotation indicates, may be open to question, but all will agree that this change in the grammar of our language is a matter of fundamental importance.
"The general movement by which single words have in part taken the place of inflection is the most sweeping and radical change in the history of the Indo-European languages. It is at once the indication and the result of a clearer feeling of concept-relation. Inflection in the main rather suggests than expresses relations; it is certainly not correct to say that in every case the expression of relation by a single word, e. g., a preposition, is clearer than the suggestion of the same relation by a case-form, but it is correct to say that the relation can become associated with a single word only when it is felt with a considerable degree of clearness. The relation between concepts must itself become a concept. To this extent the movement toward the expression of relation by single words is a movement toward precision. . . . The adverb-preposition is the expression in more distinct form of some element of meaning which was latent in the case-form. It serves therefore as a definition of the meaning of the case-form." ${ }^{\circ}$

## FUNCTION WORDS USED WITH SUBSTANTIVES

Table $X$ on the opposite page gives the actual number of the occurrences of each of the function words used with substantives in the letters of Group I (Standard English) and in those of Group III (Vulgar English).

Some facts in the figures of Table $\mathbf{X}$ that seem significant follow on page 112.

[^72]TABLE X
Function Words with Substantives

| In the Standard English Materials |  |  |  | In the Vulgar English Materials |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With Nouns | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { With } \\ \text { Pro- } \\ \text { nouns } \end{array}$ | Total |  | With <br> Nouns | With Pronouns | Total | Numbered Senses in OD |
| about | 30 | 4 | 34 | about | 28 | 24 | 52 | 11 |
| after | 17 | 2 | 19 | after .. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 16 |
| against | 2 | 1 | 3 | against .. | 3 | 1 | 4 | 18 |
|  | 229 | 0 | 229 | at ....... | 179 | 1 | 180 | 39 |
| before | 12 | 1 | 13 | before | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| between | 4 | 1 | 5 | between | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| by ..... | 99 | 29 | 128 | by ...... | 40 | 4 | 44 | 39 |
| during | 31 | 0 | 31 | during ... | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| for | 288 | 45 | 333 | for ...... | 142 | 92 | 234 | 31 |
| from | 192 | 24 | 216 | from | 85 | 58 | 143 | 15 |
| in | 561 | 12 | 573 | in | 324 | 2 | 326 | 40 |
| into | 15 | 0 | 15 | into | 6 | 0 | 6 | 22 |
| near ...... | 3 | 0 | 3 | near ..... | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |
| of. | 897 | 9 | $9 \mathrm{C6}$ | of . | 352 | 33 | 385 | 63 |
|  | 224 | 4 | 228 | on ...... | 94 | 7 | 101 | 29 |
| over | 7 | 0 | 7 | over | 14 |  | 15 | 19 |
| since | 7 | 0 | 7 | since | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| through | 5 | 0 | 5 | through | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| to ........ | 337 | 91 | 482 | to ....... | 184 | 104 | 292 | ${ }_{33}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { + } 22 \\ \text { with } \\ \text { vb. }\end{array}\right.$ |
| toward(s). | 2 | 0 | 2 | toward(s) | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| under | 33 | 0 | 33 | under ... | 26 | 0 | 26 | 25 |
| until | 18 | 0 | 18 | until | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| up ....... | 2 | 0 | 2 | up ...... | 9 | 0 | 9 | 7 |
| upon ..... | 27 | 4 | 31 | upon .... | 1 | 1 | 2 | 26 |
| with ...... | 133 | 31 | 164 | with .... | 45 | 20 | 65 | 40 |
| without | 14 | 1 | 15 | without | 43 | 7 | 50 | 15 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3189 \\ & 92.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 259 \\ 7.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 3448 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1618 \\ 81.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 357 \\ 18.1 \% \end{array}$ | 1975 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { among } . . . . \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { onto } \\ \text { within } . . . . . \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | around | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 1 | as | 6 | 1 | 7 | (34) [not |
|  | 10 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  | prep.] |
|  | - | - | - | beneath .. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 13 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |  | $\int 5$ be- |
|  |  |  |  | besides ... | 2 | 1 | 3 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { sides } \\ 5 \text { beside } \end{array}\right.$ |
|  |  |  |  | but | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | concerning | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | except ... | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | like ..... | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | off ...... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 as prep. |
|  |  |  |  | till | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24 | - | 27 |  |
| Total |  | 259 | 3461 | Total | $\overline{1624}$ | 360 | 2002 |  |
|  | $92.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. These particular function words, those commonly called "prepositions," are used primarily with nouns but comparatively little with pronouns. In the Standard English materials 92.4 per cent of the instances occur with nouns and but 7.6 per cent with pronouns.
2. In the Vulgar English materials, however, 82 per cent of the instances occur with nouns and 18 per cent with pronouns; that is, a distinctly larger proportion of the instances occur with pronouns in the Vulgar English letters than in those of Standard English.
3. Nine of these function words with substantives occur very frequently ; in fact, 92.6 per cent of the instances in the Standard English materials have the following nine words:

4. These same words occur most frequently also in the Vulgar English materials.

| at ............ 180 instances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by | 44 | " |  |
| for | 234 | " |  |
| from | 143 | " |  |
| in | 326 | " |  |
| of | 385 | " |  |
| on | 101 | " |  |
| to | 292 | " |  |
| with | 65 | " |  |
| Total | $\overline{1,770}$ | " | out of 2,002 or $88.4 \%$ |

5. These nine words that are used most frequently as function words with substantives have many meanings. The Oxford English Dictionary lists an exceedingly large number of separate senses and uses for each one.

| Word | given in the Oxford Dictionary |
| :---: | :---: |
| at | $39$ |
| by | 39 |
| for | 31 |


| from | 15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| in | 40 |
| of | 63 |
| on | 29 |
| to | 33 |
| with | 40 |

The average number of separately numbered senses recorded and illustrated by the Oxford Dictionary for each of these nine words is thirty six and a half. With this great variety of meanings carried by each of these words it is difficult to agree with the assertion in the quotation given above, that, unlike inflection which "rather suggests than expresses relations, . . . the adverb-preposition . . . serves . . . as a definition of the meaning of the case-form." As a matter of fact I should like to urge that perhaps the meanings displayed by the Oxford Dictionary are not in each of these words in themselves but lie rather in the whole context in which the words are used and depend upon the meanings of the words that are brought into relationship by these function words. This does not mean that these function words are interchangeable, but each one serves to connect nouns that are related to each other in a great variety of ways, and in many cases there are therefore such areas of overlapping that any one of several different function words might be used to connect the nouns. In such cases it is very hard to see and describe any difference in meaning that lies in the function word itself. We feel a difference between the expressions with the various function words, but we define it in terms of the context, and I am inclined to believe that this difference in feeling arises out of the fact that, although these words overlap in certain segments of their uses, their total areas of use diverge. We feel the words as different because of the suggestions coming from those portions of their uses that do not overlap.
In reading the following examples one must, if he would illustrate the view just stated, put the stress on the two nouns, not upon the function words. The function words italicized are without stress:

| $A$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| The house $a t$ the corner | The man of the street To arrive at the stroke of |
| The house $b y$ the corner | The man in the street twelve |

[^73]It will be our task, therefore, not to analyze the meanings which it is alleged that these words express but rather to indicate the grammatical relationships into which the substantive is brought by the use of the function word. This matter will be discussed below (pages 118-127).
6. There are some differences in the particular words that appeared in the two lists. There is not much that seems significant, but the following items should be noted:
a. within-appeared only in the Standard English materials. ${ }^{8}$
b. until-appeared more frequently in Standard English; on the other hand till, the older form, appeared in the Vulgar English materials only. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
c. off, but, like, as-used as function words with substantives appeared only in the Vulgar English materials. ${ }^{10}$
d. Both beside and besides, in the sense of "in addition to," are used in Vulgar English as function words with substantives. In the particular examples found, there seemed to be no difference in the use of the two forms.
"has 2 small children besides him" (8035)
"besides my discharge I can show to the Court my Army Service Record" (8157)
"Beside the above named reasons why I wish . . . theres these to think of" (8284)
In addition to the "single" function words with substantives as shown in Table $\mathbf{X}$ above there are a fairly large number of what may be called "compound" function words that operate as units. It is difficult to draw a definite line between the two groups, and I have

[^74]included in Table $\mathbf{X}$ above as "single" function words such combinations as into, onto, without, within, etc. It is even more difficult to draw a satisfactory line to separate "compound" function words from completely free expressions in the same pattern. We may all agree that the combinations on account of, for the sake of, and in view of do operate as single units because they occur frequently enough to be felt as bound forms, but it is not so easy to procure agreement upon the less frequently occurring combinations in the same pattern, as on completion of, for the support of, and in event of. In this matter, however, a precise classification is of little or no use and I shall, therefore, use the term compound function words loosely to stand for the various kinds of word groups I shall now try to describe and discuss. In many cases the range of examples will include some that appear frequently enough to be felt as formulas, and some that are clearly free expressions. This looseness of definition, however, will permit a more adequate representation of the facts involved.

There are three important varieties of these compound function words that appeared frequently in the materials examined.

1. There is, first, the expansion of the function word which amounts to an analysis and emphasis of the precise meaning relationship involved. Thus $a t$, which by itself may refer to position or time, becomes expanded to "at the place of his abode" (9030), "at the time of the occurrence" (9007), "at the rate of $\$ 25$ per week" (8283). In similar fashion for, which alone may denote purpose or advantage, becomes expanded to "for the sake of his mother" (8267), "for the purpose of visiting Canada" (9024).

Likewise during becomes expanded to "during the time of his illness" (8139), "during the time of his service" (9013). The simple by is expanded to by reason of, as in "several men were overpaid by reason of the non-reduction of allotments" (9032); or to by the use of, as in "a spark is introduced in the cylinder by the use of a spark plug" (9027) ; or by way of, as in "return to the United States by way of Europe" (9021).

The pattern of this expansion consists of three elements-(a) the function word (in nearly all cases one of the nine indicated above as used most frequently and as having many meanings), (b) a noun which names somewhat precisely the meaning relationship involved, and (c) the function word of. (In a much less number of cases this second function word may be to or sometimes with, as in "with a view
to his remaining" (8296), "in regard to the examination" (9027), or "in company with this officer" (8296).) This particular pattern of compound function words, although it appears in both the Standard English and the Vulgar English materials, seems to be much more a characteristic of Standard English, for approximately three times as many instances appeared in the Standard English letters as in the Vulgar English letters, that is, 156 instances in the former and fifty seven in the latter. ${ }^{11}$ This pattern, especially common in the Stand-
${ }^{11}$ Of the fifty seven instances in the Vulgar English letters twenty nine were the expression "in regard(s) to." Examples are in 8029, 8035, 8038, 8113, 8126, 8152, 8153, 8165, 8190, 8193, 8293, 8259, 8270.

Other examples of this pattern in Vulgar English are
"at the time of his birth" (8280)
"at the time of his enlistment" (8036)
"for reason of his mother who cannot . . ." (8115)
"in behalf of the chaplin" (8218)
"in case of war" (8063)
"in need of his support" (8270) (8237)
"writing you in regards of my husband" (8229) (8230)
"in view of this calling" (8251)
"on account of his minority" (8173) (8233) (8288) (8005) (8028) (8036)
"with the exception of one little boy" (8253)
Typical examples of this pattern in the Standard English materials other than those given in the discussion above are
"after the close of the schools" (8060)
"at the time of our interview" (8240) (8142) (9014) (8183)
"by direction of the president" (9050)
"by reason of the solidity" (9041)
"by way of protection" (8139)
"during the time of his service" (9013)
"for purposes of recreation" (9058)
"for the sake of convenience" (9053)
"in case of accident" (8075) (9053) (9040)
"in line of duty" (9002)
"Infantry in place of cavalry" (9058)
"in the event of my promotion" (9022) (9043)
"in the interest of more varied service" (9028)
"in the way of helping to make a living" (8180)
"in view of the fact" (9033) (9000) (8240) (9053) (9062) (9042) (9027) (9030) (9026)
"in behalf of Mrs. . . ." (8023)
"on behalf of the boy" (8095) (8204)
"on account of this accident" (8095) (9030) (8064) (8294) (8711) (8137) (8144) (9000) (9001) (9006)
"on the part of headquarters" (9007) (9022)
"since the date of his enlistment" (8081)
"promoted to the grade of Captain" (9016)
"contributed to the support of the family" (8081) (8142)
"under date of May 11th" (8299) (9065)
"upon completion of his duty" (9023) (9002) (9061) (9042)
"with the exception of the stop-over" (9016) (8240) (8239)
"in regard to the examination" (9027) (8002) (9030) (8262) (8283) (8260)
"with a view to remaining" (8206)
ard English practice, seems to come from an effort to fix more precisely the point of the meaning relationship between the following substantive and whatever it modifies.
2. There is, second, the use of two function words (it makes little difference whether they are called two prepositions or an adverb and a preposition) side by side, so joined in use as to operate as a unit. In respect to these "compound" function words it is also difficult to set precise boundaries because they extend over a wide range of possible combinations from such clear cases as into, unto, until, upon, throughout, within, without, to such doubtful and free expressions as in at, as in "put my petition in at the Prothonotary Office" (8157) ; off from, as in "he ran off from home last January" (8277) (8190); over in, as in "he was stationed over in Panama" (8123); up till, as in "where he made his home up till the time of his absence" (8257); and ever since, as in "I have had the care of the boy ever since the death of his mother" (8077). Unlike the "expansion" pattern above, which was especially characteristic of Standard English, this "addition" of function words seems to be especially frequent in the Vulgar English materials. In the Standard English letters there were but twenty four instances all told; in the Vulgar English letters there were ninety seven instances ${ }^{12}$-four times as many in the same amount of material.

[^75]3. There is, third, the combining of such adjectives as according, owing, relating, and due with the function word to so that the two words operate as a single word. ${ }^{18}$ This pattern seems to be more characteristic of Standard English, for there were approximately three times as many instances of its use in those letters as in the letters of Vulgar English.

Of these three important varieties of compound function words the first (the expansion pattern) and the third (the combination of an adjective, especially a participial adjective with the word to) appeared much more frequently in the Standard English materials; the second (the addition of two simple function words) appeared much more frequently in the Vulgar English materials.

As indicated above (page 114), the particular function words that we are discussing serve to bring each of the substantives that follow them into some type of grammatical relationship with a word that precedes. In respect to the materials examined here Table XI (pages 120 and 121), will show the number of instances in which each of these function words is used to bring the following

```
In the Standard English materials typical examples are
    "three days ahead of time" (9034)
    "information as to the possibility of getting the ..." (9061) (9010) (9004)
        (9052) (9042) (9040) (8296)
    "to get the boy out of the army" (8189) (8017) (8068) (9033) (9024) (9027)
        (9040)
    "he has been out of employment" (8283)
    "as much as he could spare out of his pay" (8303) (9052)
    "brought the allies down on her" (9017)
    "turned over to the new hospital" (9002)
    "the aforementioned $5.59 together with the enclosed sum" (9016)
    "I have just gone through with the hardest blow of my life" (9033)
    "who is well up in the seventies" (8095)
    "has been in . . . up to the date of my examination"(9022)
18 Typical examples in Standard English are
    "according to the Congressional Record I was promoted" (9016) (8240) (8144)
        (9007)
    "The need of . . . at home owing to the condition of his father's health" (8207)
        (8240)(9036)
    "transactions pertaining to property" (9040)
    "his death was due to foul play" (8095) (8283) (9020) (9024) (9027) (9054)
        (9036) (9053) (9007) (9003) (9001) (9057) (9058) (9066) (9059) (9030)
Typical examples in Vulgar English are
    "according to her friends it is doubted . . ." (8017)
    "grant him clemency owing to his services" (8153)
    "owing to his physical condition" (8153) (8089) (8251)
    "their appeal relating to various difficulties" (8073)
    "I am writing you relative to my son" (8079)
    "Due to my financial condition I am more ..."(8056) (8137) (8002)
```

substantive into the various grammatical relationships. The figures for the nine most frequently used of these function words are given in Table XII, pages 120 and 121.

The tables there given indicate the types of grammatical relationships into which substantives (primarily nouns) are brought by the use of function words and something of their relative frequency. Each of the groups there counted needs some comment and illustration. In the Standard English materials there are the following matters to be noted:
a. The most common grammatical relationship is that in which a noun is made a modifier of another noun. Nearly half of all the instances of the function word with a substantive ( 43.4 per cent of all; 45.8 per cent of the most used nine) are of this type. Typical examples are ${ }^{14}$
"any news about my son" (8075)
"vaccinations against typhoid" (9055)
"the other men at this place" (9031)
"employment at $\$ 45.00$ per week" (8086)
"The business before the court was" (9028)
"a widow without means" (8023)
"the plan under consideration" (8137)
"granted leave until Dccember" (9050)
"I can afford leave at half pay" (9001)
"the temple on the right side" (9042)
${ }^{14}$ A more complete representation of the various function words in this particular grammatical relationship appears in the following examples:
"his arrival at Camp Knox" (9043)
"the information at hand" (8260)
"the competition between companies" (9040)
"a reconciliation between him and his wife" (8296)
"a toolmaker by occupation" (8081)
"a journey by transport within . . ." (9053)
"the clothing for the children" (8260) (8296)
"his application for discharge" (8240)
"the mother's request for his discharge" (8234)
"the taxes for this year" (8283)
"a varied and wholesome diet for Mrs. ___" (8266)
"one's prospects for the future" (9033)
"a discharge from the service" (8234)
"a divorce from bed and board" (8296)
"the statement from Dr. -_-" (8294)
"extracts from my military record" (9027)
"instruction in flying" (9029)
"a course in trigonometry" (9027)
"absence in France" (9053)
"duty in the Air Service" (9027)
"all officers on duty at the training camps" (9060)

TABLE XI

| Standard English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mod. of Noun | Mod. of Verb | Mod. <br> Verbor Noun | Type of Obj. | Pred. Adj. | Mod. of Adj. | Obj. Compl. | Mod. of Adv. |
| about ........ <br> after <br> against <br> at <br> before <br> between <br> by <br> during <br> for <br> from <br> in <br> into <br> near <br> of <br> on <br> over <br> since <br> through <br> to <br> toward(s) ... <br> under ....... <br> until <br> up <br> upon <br> with <br> without <br> among <br> onto <br> within | 6 0 1 63 1 5 5 2 114 70 115 3 1 697 38 0 0 0 85 1 4 1 0 0 45 1 0 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ 140 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 104 \\ 26 \\ 74 \\ 81 \\ 210 \\ 11 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 126 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 176 \\ 1 \\ 19 \\ 14 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 28 \\ 3 \\ 17 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 75 \\ 30 \\ 12 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 33 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 20 \\ 25 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 25 \\ 12 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 48 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 |
| Totals ..... <br> Totals ..... <br> for nine most frequently used. | $\begin{array}{r} 1258 \\ 43.4 \% \\ 12.32 \\ 45.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1141 \\ 39.7 \% \\ 974 \\ 36.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 2.3 \% \\ 65 \\ 2.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184 \\ 6.3 \% \\ 181 \\ 6.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ 3.3 \% \\ \\ 88 \\ 3.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ 5 \% \\ 142 \\ 5.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 0.1 \% \\ 5 \\ 0.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | 2 |

TABLE XII

| For Standard English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mod. of Noun | Mod. of Verb | Mod. Verbor Noun | Type of Obj. | Pred. Adj. | Mod. of Adj. | Obj. Compl. |
| at ................... | 63 | 140 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| by ..................... | 5 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| for ................. | 114 | 74 | 28 | 75 | 3 | 25 | 0 |
| from ................ | 70 | 81 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| in ................... | 115 | 210 | 17 | 12 | 28 | 9 | 2 |
| of $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 697 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 20 | 13 | 0 |
| on .................. | 38 | 126 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 8 | 0 |
| to $\ldots$................ | 85 | 176 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 48 | 0 |
| with ................. | 45 | 63 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 11 | 3 |
| Totals .. | 1232 | 974 | 65 | 181 | 88 | 142 | 5 |

TABLE XI (Continued)


TABLE XII (Continued)
For Vulgar English

|  | Mod. of Noun | Mod. of Verb | Mod. <br> Verbor <br> Noun | Type of Obj. | Pred. Adj. | Mod. of Adj. | Obj. Compl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 143 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| by | 5 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| for | 27 | 113 | 12 | 44 | 2 | 24 | 1 |
| from | 15 | 53 | 13 | 40 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| in | 32 | 170 | 4 | 49 | 17 | 8 | 1 |
| of | 312 | 4 | 3 | 29 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| on | 13 | 42 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| to | 23 | 159 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| with | 9 | 45 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Totals | 466 | 760 | 53 | 190 | 32 | 66 | 3 |

b. The next most common grammatical relationship is that in which a noun is made a modifier of a verb. A little over one third of the instances of the function word with a substantive ( 39.7 per cent of all; 36.2 per cent of the most used nine) are of this type. Typical examples are ${ }^{15}$
"he enlisted about May 8" (8303)
"he will preside at the coming conference" (9036)
"her husband was at one time in the company's employ" (8034)
"I actually arrived at Baltimore" (9034)
"it was received by me" (9050)
"he took with him \$500.00" (9032)
"Dr. - served with the American Red Cross" (9005)
"I will return to my home" (9053)

[^76]c. In a certain number of instances (approximately 2.5 per cent) it is difficult to determine whether the noun is really a modifier of the verb or of a preceding noun. In these instances the preceding noun is usually the object of the verb in question. This fact is especially true of nouns with the function words for, in, at, and to. Typical examples are

```
"I am maintaining a place of abode at Ashville" (9030)
"a company which made satisfactory records at target practice"
    (9014)
"competent to make a living for herself and her daughter" (8139)
"There is no hope for her" (8064)
"I received a letter from the Adjutant General" (8160)
"I have no interest in the matter" (8260) (8139)
"I prepared affidavits in connection with the dependency applica-
    tion" (8240)
"to obtain employment in the above corporation" (8174)
"the training received . . . would be a great help to me" (9015)
    (9032) (9144)
```

$d$. Although the two uses given in $a$ and $b$ above (those in which the function word makes the noun a modifier of either another noun or a verb) make up 80 per cent of the total number of instances, there are three other important relationships which these function words serve. In the first of these the noun by means of the function word is made into some type of object of the verb. Frequently the verb is one that does not normally take an object. In many of these instances the function word could be considered part of the verb itself. At any rate the instances in this whole group, which comprises 6.5 per cent of the total instances, differ distinctly from those included in $b$ above. Typical examples are
"I don't remember of any instructions in regard to that" (7037)
"I remember of going to a picture show with ___" (7401)
"that I be informed of my new standing" (9022)
"he was relieved of the responsibility" (9032)
"he had been robbed of his last pay" (8095)

[^77]"to notify you of my change of address" (9027)
"will hardly pay for the groceries" (8260)
"my folks fought for the nation" (9036)
"arrange for the rental" (9054)
"to care for his children" (8286)
"circumstances call for a varied diet" (8266)
"his duties differ from other . . . men" (9031)
"he engaged in cutting lumber" (8180)
"I believe in God" (7032)
"believe in this case" (9032)
"This letter . . . elaborates upon the Western Union night letter which I . . ." (9005)
"look into this matter" (8004)
" N - took after his father" (8002)
"they talked over the things" (9040)
"I spoke about the fixing up" (9040)
". . . to furnish us with the desired report" (8299)
"to fool with liquor" (8296)
"I started to comply with this order" (9022)
"She could not look after him" (8114)
$e$. In the second of these groups that lie outside the two that make up 80 per cent of the instances, the noun is made into a modifier of an adjective. About 5 per cent of the instances are of this kind. Typical examples are
"The driver, sober at this time, called for his papers" (7042)
"Major - appears very much excited at the idea" (9000)
"These men available at this time were not considered" (7025)
"A task impossible under my present assignment" (9062)
"A position consistent with my abilities" (9028)
"a mother dependent on him" (8114)
"a condition impossible for the family" (8303)
"a contribution not necessary for their support" (8027)
"sufficient for the needs" (9019)
"available for such temporary duty" (9031)
"suitable for a . . ." (9028)
"eligible for promotion" (9027) (9042)
"ripe for conquest" (9017)
"ready for duty the next morning" (9007)
"unfitted for military service" (9002)
"difficult for me" (9030)
"inconsistent with the exigencies" (9028)
"satisfied with my work" (9020)
"a position similar to that of other officers" (9030)
"an offer acceptable to the State authorities" (9029)
"for some time previous to ——'s enlistment" (8240) (8303)
"an officer friendly to me" (9000)
"a line parallel to that line" (9003)
"familiar to me" (9050)
$f$. In the third of these groups that lie outside the two that comprise 80 per cent of the instances, the noun is made into a modifier of the subject although it stands after the verb to be as a predicate adjective. About 3 per cent of the instances are of this kind. Typical examples are
"he was as yet unmarried and without dependents" (9021)
"they were without these forms" (9039)
"who is under 21 years" (8294)
"the playground is for boys" (7012)
"my war experience has been with Machine Guns" (9014) (9015)
"this is for his mother" (8308)
"half of the instructional work . . . is on the subject of gas engines" (9052)
"I am at present on leave" (9054)
$g$. There are a few cases of uses (less than one tenth of 1 per cent) that do not fit into any of the categories named above. Some examples are

> "will find these papers in proper form" (8267)
> "they want him with her" (8064)
> "more good than having him here with her" (8174)
> "to have my wife with me" (9030)
> "I feel myself in need of the instruction" (9021)
> "he took F F for his confirmation name" (8101)
> "he took John for a name" (8201)
> "give me back my soldier boy for a present" (8038)
> "the city of Atlanta" (9013)

If the figures here are to be trusted, Vulgar English seems to differ from Standard English in the frequency of the use of the function word to make a substantive a modifier of another substantive. In the Standard English materials this use accounts for approximately 45 per cent (nearly half) of the instances; in the Vulgar English materials it accounts for considerably less than a third ( 27.4 per cent of all; 29.6 per cent of the most used nine) of the instances. In the other categories there is no significant difference between the uses recorded here of Standard English and those of Vulgar English with the possible exception of those listed above in
$d$, in which the noun by means of the function word is made into some type of object of the verb. These uses in the Vulgar English materials make a larger proportion of the total instances than they do in the Standard English materials ( 13.1 per cent as against 6.3 per cent).

In brief summary, the most significant facts concerning the use of function words with substantives are as follows:

1. Function words with substantives are of very great importance in the grammar of Present-day English. Both simple and compound forms are used freely to indicate relationships for which earlier stages of English and other Indo-European languages used word forms or case inflections. These function words, however, are used primarily with nouns rather than with pronouns. Approximately 90 per cent of the instances in these materials occurred with nouns and but 10 per cent with pronouns.
2. Nine of these function words are especially frequent in Modern English: at, by, for, from, in, of, on, to, with. In fact, these nine words occur in about 92 per cent of the instances.
3. These function words not only occur very frequently, they also are the means of expressing a great variety of relationships. If one attributes to these words "meaning," then, according to the Oxford Dictionary, there are at least a total of 329 different "meanings" for the nine most frequently used of these function words. If one insists that these meanings are not in the words themselves but in the context, or in the meanings of the words brought into relationship by means of these words, there is still a considerable variety of relationships in which these words function. They serve to make substantives (chiefly nouns) into (a) modifiers of other nouns, verbs, or adjectives; (b) various types of objects; (c) predicate adjectives; (d) appositives; (e) "object complements."
4. Present-day English shows a very definite tendency to the use of compound function words with substantives. The three most frequent types of compounding used are (a) the expansion which amounts to an analysis of and the precise indication of the particular meaning relationship involved (one of the many that can be carried by the particular function word which begins the compound); (b) the adding together of two or more function words which operate as a unit ; (c) the combining of such adjectives as according, owing, relating, and due, with the word to. Those described in (a) and (c) occur most frequently in the materials of Standard English; those in (b) occur more frequently in Vulgar English.
5. There are only a few points upon which Standard English and Vulgar English seem to differ.
$a$. Some of the particular function words used with substantives occur only in the Standard English matter-within, for example. Others occur only in the Vulgar English letters-till, off. Some, like until, although they occur in both, appear less frequently in one type of English than in the other.
b. As indicated above (pages 111 and 112), although it is true that in both the Standard English materials and in the Vulgar English matter these function words are used primarily with nouns rather than with pronouns, it is also true that in the Vulgar English letters a distinctly larger proportion of the instances occur with pronouns. (In Standard English 92.4 per cent with nouns as against 7.6 per cent with pronouns; in Vulgar English 82 per cent with nouns as against 18 per cent with pronouns.)
$c$. The use of compound function words is common in both Standard English and in Vulgar English, but there is a distinct difference in the relative frequency of the three most important types of such compounds. The expansion pattern which amounts to an analysis and emphasis of the precise meaning relationship involved occurs much more frequently in Standard English, as does also the type which consists of an adjective (especially a participial adjective) with the function word to following. On the other hand, the adding together of two or more function words, as off from, up till, occurs about four times as frequently in Vulgar English as it does in Standard English.
d. For some reason that is not evident, the Vulgar English materials here examined use much less frequently than the Standard English materials the function word to make a substantive a modifier of a noun. In seeming compensation they use the function word to make the substantive a modifier of a verb much more frequently. (In the Standard English letters the function word is used to make a substantive a "modifier of a noun" in 45.4 per cent of the instances; in the Vulgar English letters only 27.4 per cent of the instances. In the Standard English letters the function word is used to make a substantive a "modifier of a verb" in 39.7 per cent of the instances; in the Vulgar English materials in 48.6 per cent of the instances.) In all the other relationships the two sets of material show remarkably close frequencies except that Vulgar English uses a greater number of the "types of object" variety than does Standard English.

## VIIII

## THE USES OF FUNCTION WORDS: WITH VERBS

Some doubt arises concerning the appropriateness of the word "verbs" in connection with the uses of function words, for the function words that we shall be concerned with here-most of them commonly called "auxiliaries"-are not used with the so-called finite verbs (those verb forms that had personal endings) but almost solely ${ }^{1}$ with certain derived forms called "infinitives" and "participles." This is not the place to discuss the nature of the infinitive and of the participle. It will be sufficient to say here that the infinitive is a verbal substantive ${ }^{2}$ and the participles (both

[^78]"present" and "past") are verbal adjectives. All but one of the function words to be treated here (i. e., keep) are used with the infinitives; three of these function words, however-be, keep, and have-are also used with the participles-keep with the present participle, be with both the present and the past participles, and have with the past participle.

These function words will be discussed in the following order:
I. Function Words Used with the Infinitive
A. To, frequently called the "sign" of the infinitive
B. Do, "emphatic" form, substitute verb, but especially in questions and with negatives
C. Shall, will, the so-called "auxiliaries of the future tense"
D. Be, in its various forms, with to and the infinitive $B e$, in its various forms, with about and to and infinitive $B e$, in its various forms, with going and to and infinitive; all three expressions also dealing with the "future"
E. Have, with to and infinitive, as an expression of obligation or duty
F. Get, often together with have, and with to and infinitive; also as an expression of obligation or duty
G. Used, with to and infinitive, for customary action
H. May, can, must, might, could, would, should, and ought, with the infinitive, the so-called modal "auxiliaries"
II. Function Words Used with the Participles
I. Be, in its various forms, with the present participle, the socalled "progressive form," sometimes called the "definite tenses"
J. Get, in its various forms, with the present participle, expressing inchoative action
K. Keep, in its various forms, with the present participle, expressing continuous or repetitive action

[^79]L. Be, in its various forms, with the past participle, the so-called "passive" form
M. Get, in its various forms, with the past participle
N. Have, in its various forms, with the past participle, forming the so-called "perfect tenses"

## I. FUNCTION WORDS USED WITH THE INFINITIVE

## A. To, the "sign" of the infinitive

In Old English there were two infinitive forms. First, there was what is sometimes called the "simple" infinitive. It always had the ending -an and in origin seems to have been the petrified nomina-tive-accusative case of a neuter verbal noun ("se abbot ongan singan" = the abbot began [to] sing). Second, there was what is frequently called the "prepositional" infinitive (sometimes called the "gerundial" infinitive or "gerund"). The prepositional infinitive was made up of the preposition or function word to and the dative case of a verbal noun, ending in -enne (or -anne) ("sele us flæsc to etanne" = give us flesh to eat). ${ }^{3}$ This infinitive with to had a much narrower range of use in Old English than the simple infinitive. The to with the inflected dative infinitive seems to have had originally the same meaning and use it had before ordinary substantives-motion, inclination, and thus purpose. This narrower range of use in Old English shows itself in the relative frequency of the to infinitive and the simple infinitive. Out of 9,495 instances of the infinitive in Old English only 2,402 or 25.3 per cent are the infinitive with $t 0$, while 7,094 or 74.7 per cent are the simple infinitive without to. ${ }^{4}$

From Old English to Present-day English there has been a spread of the word to into nearly all the uses of the infinitive so that there has been a complete reversal in the relative frequency of the to in-

[^80]finitive and the simple infinitive in Present-day English. In the materials examined here, there are, in all, 1,085 instances of the infinitive, of which only 196 or 18 per cent are the simple infinitive, and 889 or 82 per cent the infinitive with $t o$.

The significance of this extension of to to nearly all the infinitives used in Present-day English ${ }^{5}$ seems to be that the word to has lost practically all meaning in this connection except that of a function word operating as a marker for the infinitive, parallel to a distinguishing inflectional form.

Perhaps this fact is the basis for the pressure to place the func-

[^81]tion word $t o$, the infinitive "sign," immediately before the infinitive itself and to avoid an intervening adverb-the so-called "split" infinitive. The "split infinitive" construction does occur, however, in the materials examined here, although it is by no means frequent. It is certainly not limited to Vulgar English, for of the twenty instances found, eighteen were in the Standard English letters and only two in those of Vulgar English.
"I desire to so arrange my affairs . . ." (9033)
"that - did conspire with other men to not enter their allotments" (9032)
"his ability to effectually carry on any project" (9020)
"that he may help me to properly support my family" (8056)
"would incur such delay as to almost defeat the purpose of . . ." (9001)
"in such manner as to enable him to properly perform his work" (9011)
"appreciate anything it may be possible to do to favorably consider his request" (9061)
"training is desired in order to better acquaint myself with the problems of . . ." (9061)
"and will be able to successfully fill any ordinary business position" (9039)
"to adequately prepare myself for this examination" (9027)
"His pay is not sufficient to properly care for me" (8127)
"he does not make enough . . . to properly support ${ }^{6}$ me and his mother" (8270)

The instances of the function word to with the infinitive that appeared in the materials here examined can be grouped as follows:

1. To + infinitive as a verb (or sentence) modifier, expressing "purpose."

In Old English to with the inflected infinitive very frequently expressed purpose. In the history of the language, as the word to was increasingly attached to other uses of the infinitive, there arose the expanded function word expression for to ${ }^{7}$ to indicate purpose as in Chaucer's lines

[^82]"For he was late $y$-come from his viage
And wente for to doon his pilgrymage" ${ }^{8}$
In our materials two other compound function word expressions for purpose appeared, in order to and so as to.

The number of occurrences is indicated in the following table:

> TABLE XIII
> "To" + Infinitive, Expressing "Purpose"

|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple form: |  |  |
| a. to + inf. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 63 | 123 |
| Expanded or compound forms: |  |  |
| b. for to +inf. . ............... | 0 | 1 |
| c. in order to +inf. ........... | 7 | 0 |
| d. so as to +inf. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4 | 0 |
| Total . ...................... | 74 | 124 |

STANDARD ENGLISH
a. "he ran off and joined the army to keep out of trouble" (8017)
"if any thing can be done to secure this boy" (8189)
"and had to drink to keep from going crazy" (8296)
"suits are now being tried to obtain the land" (9060)
"I am writing to assure you" (9061)
"I shall do my best to have this account settled" (9033)
b. No instances
c. "the practical experience I should have in order to pass these tests" (9050)
"if her son - could be discharged in order to return home" (8163)
"a person must be 21 years of age in order to enlist" (8056)
"I am needed at home in order to accomplish . . ." (9040)
"In order to enable me to comply with the above, I request that . . ." (9055)
d. "I request that the attached order be modified so as to allow me to. . ." (9061)
"Can the examination be given . . . so as to give ample time for him to be prepared" (9043)
"Had my orders read so as to take effect after July 1 . . . I would have been able to . . ." (9052)

[^83]
## VULGAR ENGLISH

a. "i am righting to see if you could . . ." (8313)
"So he lied to enlist . . ." (8238)
"I had to borrow to get him home" (8288)
"I worked to bring him where he is" (8005)
"I wish I was there to do for her what I could" (8039)
"after a Mother \& Father suffer to raise a Boy" (8074)
b. "I am asking for your help for to locate my son" (8106)
c. No instances
d. No instances

In these uses, Vulgar English again shows itself more conservative than Standard English. Nearly twice as many of the old simple infinitive of purpose appeared in the Vulgar English letters; and in these letters, too, appeared the only example of the Middle English expanded form, for to. Only in the Standard English letters appeared the newer compound forms in order to and so as to. ${ }^{\circ}$
2. To + infinitive as object of such verbs as attempt, begin, continue, decide, desire, endeavor, expect, fail, hate, help, intend, like, promise, refuse, start, threaten, try, ${ }^{10}$ undertake, want, wish.

These are the verbs that occurred in the Standard English letters. In the Vulgar English materials many of the same verbs appeared, as begin, decide, desire, endeavor, fail, hate, like, promise, refuse, try, ${ }^{10}$ want, and wish. Some verbs, however, appeared only in the Vulgar English materials, as afford, ask, beg, consent, hope, mean, need, and say. In all, there were in the Standard English materials seventy one instances; in the Vulgar English letters, 121. Examples are

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I . . . did not attempt to advocate . . ." (9057)
"and was just beginning to be a good officer" (9018)

[^84]"the same influence will continue to make his life . . ." (8296)
"- has decided to take the examination" (9027)
"I desire to visit my parents" (9065)
"we will still endeavor to secure same" (8299)
"I expect to be located near --" (9050)
"I failed to pay the assessment" (9059)
"but hate to be kicked out in this manner" (9033)
"The boy . . . helped to support the family" (8260)
"I intended to put in the request" (9040)
"I would like to report for . . ." (9027)
"I can only promise to do my best" (9033)
"He refused to return . . " (8144)
"I started to comply . . ." (9022)
"he threatened to enlist" (8002)
"His father tried to get him not to . . ." (8064)
"I tried to avoid . . :" (9057)
"in any undertaking tiat he might undertake to do" (8060)
"where no true wife and mother would want to stay" (8296)
"I hereby wish to make application" (8056)
vULGAR ENGLISH
"his health began to fail" (8072)
"My little son - decided to join . . ." (8244)
"the only reason he desires to stay . . ." (8057)
"I endeavored to go to -_" (8039)
". . . and failed to tell . . ." (8274)
"I Hate to seem impatient" (8135)
"we would like to have him home" (8154)
"I promised not to do . . ." (8265)
"his wife refuses to live with me" (8199)
"He tried to work" ${ }^{10}$ (8233)
"I want to get him out" (8165)
"I wish to ask for a discharge" (8152)
Examples of the to-infinitive as object with verbs that do not appear in the Standard English letters are
"we cannot afford to send her" (8233)
"he is asking in a letter to get hime out of" (8005)
"I also beg to release him" (8251)
"if you will consent to have him come home" (8075)
"I hope to find him" (8151)
"I do not mean to insinuate" (8251)
"they need to be fed" (8288)
"He says to tell you . . ." (8219)

[^85]3. To + infinitive with a substantive subject (pronouns in accusative form), the whole expression serving as object of such verbs as allow, ask, compel, direct, enable, estimate, find, get, know, lead, order, permit, want, write.

These are the verbs that appeared in the Standard English letters and are "active" in form. To be considered with the to-infinitives of this group are also those rather frequent instances in which the substantive that might have been the subject of the $t o$-infinitive appears as subject of the main verb, and this main verb has the socalled "passive" form. ${ }^{11}$ The list of verbs so used is as follows: advise, authorize, compel, destine, direct, force, inspire, make, oblige, order, prepare, recommend, require, select, sentence.

In the Vulgar English materials instances of both constructions appeared but with some differences especially in the frequency of their use. Of the first construction (the to-infinitive with a substantive subject, the whole expression as object of a verb, as "They directed me to return") more than twice as many instances occurred as in the Standard English letters; but in more than half of these instances the $t o$-infinitive with substantive subject was object of one of the three verbs ask, want, tell. Other verbs which appeared were advise, beg, expect, forbid, get, help, lead, persuade, request, wish.

Of the second construction (the to-infinitive depending upon a main verb in "passive" form, as "I was directed to return") very few instances occurred in the Vulgar English letters-in fact, only one third as many as in the Standard English materials. The particular verbs so used were allow, beg, entice, suppose, tell, warn.

The exact number of instances that appeared in our materials is as follows:

| Of the first construction $\ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 21 | 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Of the second construction $\ldots . . .$. | 24 | 7 |

Examples from Standard English of the first construction are
"to allow me to take leave" (9061)
"- has asked us to write" (8189)
${ }^{11}$ Compare, for example, " $I$ was directed to return" with "They directed me to returs."
"The conditions which compel the parents of this boy to request . . ." (8183)
"- directed me to return" (9050)
"enable me to comply" (9055)
"I estimate it to be well over 500" (9031)
"I have . . . found them to be suffering from . . ." (8266)
"His father tried to get him not to enlist" (8164)
"I know him to be an honorable and truthful man" (8064)
"this has led me to make a further investigation" (9063)
"I have ordered him to report" (9023)
". . . will permit me to leave this" (9028)
"they do want him to come home" (8144)
"we wrote the Red Cross Representative of - to furnish us . . ." (8299)

Examples from Standard English of the second construction are
"I was also advised to give" (9054)
"that I be authorized to remain on active duty" (9027)
"the father is compelled to work" (8183)
"I have been compelled to establish a place of abode" (9030)
"the airplane is destined to play a very great part" (9028)
"I was directcd to look . . ." (9050)
"I was forced to leave college" (9036)
"they will not be inspired to become leaders" (8296)
"he cannot be made to send . . ." (8174)
"She was obliged to discontinue . . ." (8260)
"I . . . was ordered to report at -_-" (9022)
"I would be recommended to attend this school" (9033)
"I am required to submit . . ." (9055)
"the undersigned was not required to take . . ." (9054)
"I had been selected to attend the school" (9025)
"he was sentenced . . . to pay only a nominal fine" (8296)
Examples from Vulgar English of the first construction are
"___ advise me to write to you" (8251)
"I ernestly ask you to do all you can" (8020)
"We are Beging you to lett him come Home" (8179)
". . . as they expect a man to do these days" (8018)
"the doctor forbids me to do . . ." (8235)
"a couple of his boy chums got him to go with them" (8018)
"if you would please help me to get him out" (8074)
"that my letter to you lead you to think . . ." (8251)
". . . perswaid him to join . . ." (8045)
"She requested me to write . . ." (8035)
"as one of the commanders told us to do" (8242)
". . . to tell you to write . . ." (8219)
"I want you to get this verry plain" (8005)
"I want you all to send him back to me" (8045)
"I wish you to Discharge him" (8236)
Examples from Vulgar English of the second construction are

```
"he will never be allowed to vote" (8038)
"if I were allowed to transfer to a local post" (8082)
"he was beged to join the . . ." (8025)
"My Son was inticed to Join the . . ." (8100)
"he is supposed to be posted in . . ." (8288)
"I was told to write you" (8074)
"She was also warned not to go to work" (8005)
```

4. To + infinitive as the predicate of an indirect question after such verbs as ask, know, tell, write.

Very few instances of this construction appeared in the materials examined here and most of them (eleven out of twelve) occurred in the Vulgar English letters.

STANDARD ENGLISH
"This information is desired so that I may know where to report" (9042)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"let me know what to do about it" (8084)
"you can tell me who to write to" (8038)
"Please write me the amount and who to send it to" (8249)
"let me know how to proced in getting his release" (8257)
"Please write me . . . whether to send money order or check" (8249)
5. To + infinitive as modifier of a noun.

The to-infinitive is fairly often used in Modern English as an adjunct modifier of a noun. In the Standard English letters forty instances occurred; in those of Vulgar English there were twenty seven instances.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"accentuates my desire to be returned at any early date" (9019)
"a tendency to equalize each other" (9024)
"a definite call to preach" (9036)
"for many years to come" (9061)
"the right to visit them" (8296)
"in a position to assist" (8283)
"funds to buy the son out" (8073)
"the additional opportunity to develop" (9061)
"only one subject to be examined in" (9027)
VULGAR ENGLISH
" 5 yr's is his limit to live" (8153)
"I never had Occasion to use Geometry" (8057)
". . . inibility to take this examination" (8057)
"this was his second time to leave home" (8218)
"the party to write to" (8249)
"have cause to know" (8072)
"no fit Place to go" (8187)
Belonging with the construction illustrated here perhaps, but also partly fitting in with the use of the to-infinitive as a modifier of an adjective (see group 6 beluw), are the following examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { STANDARD ENGLISH } \\
& \text { "neither was the proper person to have such custody" (8296) } \\
& \text { "I do not have sufficient funds to meet the required expenses" } \\
& \text { (90036) } \\
& \text { "to raise sufficient funds to buy the son out" (8073) } \\
& \text { "the necessary arrangements to take this course" (9024) } \\
& \text { "I had sufficient funds to cover. ." (9057) } \\
& \text { "One gave sufficient evidence to cause the closing of the ——Hotel" } \\
& \text { (9036) } \\
& \text { vULGAR Enclish } \\
& \text { "We didn't have anof money to pay our way" (8005) } \\
& \text { "to do enough work to bring them up" (8187) }
\end{aligned}
$$

6. To + infinitive as a modifier of an adjective.

The to-infinitive is frequently used in Modern English as a modifier of an adjective. In the Standard English letters sixty five instances occurred; in those of Vulgar English there were seventy nine instances. In about a third of the instances from Standard English the infinitive was a modifier of the adjectives able or unable; and nearly half of those from Vulgar English were modifiers of these same two adjectives. ${ }^{12}$

[^86]
## STANDARD ENGLISH

"her husband has become helpless and unable to work" (8189)
"__may in all probability be able to obtain employment" (8174)
"I am not financially capable to return" (9036)
"who is anxious to have her son" (8023)
"I am anxious to help these people out" (8004)
"I am tickled to death to get out of . . ." (9033)
"we shall be pleased to obtain . . ." (8239)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I am sickly and not able to do any work" (8127)
"his father . . . is disable to work . . ." (8255)
"We hant able to work much" (8246)
"he is verry young to be in . . ." (8167)
"he was making money enough to support me" (8230)
"work is hard to get" (8293)
In these Vulgar English materials also appeared six examples of the to-infinitive as a modifier of an adjective qualified by enough as an adverb of degree.
"until they are old enough to understand" (8067)
"he is not old enough to be . . ." (8280)
"if you will be kind enough to help me" (8018)
"he is all the boy I have that is big enough to work" (8025)
". . . you would be kind enough to send him . . ." (8211)
". . . only other old enough to help" (8176)
7. To + infinitive as a noun-subject, appositive, or predicate nominative.

The $t o$-infinitive is fairly frequently used in the functions of the simple noun other than that of object, as indicated above in group 2, although these subject, appositive, and predicate nominative uses are by no means as common as the object construction.

First, there are those cases in which the to-infinitive is frequently called the "logical" subject, but the actual position of subject is occupied by the function word $i t^{13}$ and the $t o$-infinitive stands next to an adjective as it does in the instances just listed in group 6.

[^87]
## STANDARD ENGLISH

"It was impracticable to leave my dependent wife" (9052)
"It is impossible to go at any other period" (9036)
"If it would be necessary to procure leave of absence" (9065)
"it is right to send him . . ." (9018)
"Was it correct to have drawn pay . . ." (9035)
"if it is possible to do so" (8267)
VULGAR ENGLISH
"if it is at all possible to get him out" (8270)
Second, there are those cases in which the to-infinitive is the "logical" subject with the function word it in the subject position, but no adjective is present. Only six instances were found.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"It will be appreciated to have you devote your . . ." (9029)
"it would be of no more expense to send me there" (9042)

## vulgar english

"it hurts me to see him in this condition" (8251)
"it bothers her quite a bit to work" (8129)
"He dont know what it is to stay away from Home" (8190)
"I[t] makes me worry very much to lose my son" (8048)
Here probably belong also such instances as the following, in the first of which the to-infinitive is the "logical" subject of the subordinate clause as well as modifier of the noun duty. In the second, the to-infinitive is the "logical" subject but also the "object" modifier of the noun desire. Both these instances are from the Standard English letters.
"I think it is my duty as a citizen to help them out" (8004)
"it is my desire to spend my leave of absence . . ." (9043)
Of rare occurrence in our materials was the $t o$-infinitive as subject without the it function word, as in the following example from the Standard English letters:
"to detail me to another station is an unnecessary expense" (9042)
Third, there are those cases in which the $t o$-infinitive is an appositive. In the first example from Standard English it is only formally an appositive of the function word it which occupies the "object" position. The second example is from the Vulgar English letters.
"I took it upon myself to talk with him" (8296)
"I would ask a favor of you to let him come" (8155)
Fourth, there are those cases in which the to-infinitive appears as a predicate nominative.

STANDARD ENGLISH
"My object in seeking this detail is to better myself" (9032)
"The purpose of this request is to enable me to acquire instruction" (9019)
vulgar english
"all I can do is to plead . . ." (8052)
8. To + infinitive preceded by the function word for and a substantive, the whole expression being a modifier of a noun or an adjective, or the "logical" subject with it in the subject position.

These uses parallel those without for and a substantive given above in groups 5, 6, and 7.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"so as to give ample time for him to be prepared" (9043)
"A most easy matter for him to accomplish" (9032)
"The resulting situation will be a difficult one for me to meet" (9056)
"The fact that . . . is going to make it difficult . . . for me to get settled" (9033)
"it is impossible for me to settle this account" (9033)
"It seems impossible for the family to make ends meet" (8303)
"it would be impossible for them to raise sufficient funds . . ." (8073)
"it was well nigh impossible for an error or other irregularity to escape notice" (9032)

## vulgar english

"i didn't sign the papers for him to go" (8246)
"I havent sined any papers up for him to get in the . . ." (8045)
"my daughter gave concent for her 18 year old son to enlist" (8274)
"Is there any way for him to be restored" (8038)
"it takes 6 days for a letter to get to New York" (8096)
"it is a proper thing and a good thing for a young man to belong to any . . ." (8018)
"it would be better for him to be at home" (8113)
"it is really necessary for me to get this boy" (8152)
"I would be very glad for you to advise me" (8028)
"it is impossible for him to support me" (8127)
Some examples of uses of this construction (for + substantive + to + infinitive) that did not appear in the Standard English materials are the following. In most of these examples the whole expression depends upon the verb as modifier or as object.
"the Mother signed for him to go" (8005)
"I cant hardly stand for him to be away" (8204)
"I dident sign up for him to join" (8261)
"I . . would also like for him to finish his education" (8022)
"I sigen up for this boy to go to work" (8025)
"I mean for him to be sent Back at once" (8045)
"The Dr. said . . . for him not to take any long walks" (8190)
In addition to the eight groups of uses of the to-infinitive here described, there are those in which the to-infinitive is used with certain function words to make up a so-called verb "phrase." As listed above (pages 129 and 130) they are
$a$. $b e$, in its various forms, with to and the infinitive, as in
"Mrs. - is to accompany me . . ." (9055)
"this land is to be presented to the government" (9062)
$b$. be, in its various forms, with about and to and infinitive, as in
"I was about to have _- released in the proper way" (8281)
c. be, in its various forms, with going, and to and infinitive, as in
"he was going to do away with himself" (8076)
"The fact that my pay will soon be reduced . . . is going to make it difficult to . . ." (9033)
"if they are going to discharge him" (8072)
d. have, with to and the infinitive, as an expression of obligation or duty, as in
"she frequently had to support the entire family" (8144)
"My children have to have books and clothes to go to school" (8235)
e. get, often together with have, with to and the infinitive; also an expression of obligation or duty, as in
"he is my only surporte and I have just got to have him" (8059)
f. ought, with to and the infinitive, an expression of (moral) obligation, as in
"that ought to be enough" (8157)
"anyone ought to know as much" (8067)
g. used, with to and the infinitive, an expression of customary action, as in
"I used to wash for a living" (8235)

## AMERICAN ENGLISH GRAMMAR

These seven, simply listed and illustrated here, will be discussed below in connection with each of the function words by which they are introduced.

The following table gives the precise figures of all the occurrences in each group of the function word to with the infinitive.

TABLE XIV

|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. As a verb or sentence modifier, expressing "purpose": |  |  |
| Simple form, to + inf. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 63 | 123 |
| Compound form, for to +inf. ............ | 0 | 1 |
| Compound form, in order to +inf. ........ | 7 | 0 |
| Compound form, so as to + inf. . . . . . . . . | 4 | 0 |
| 2. As object of such verbs as attempt, begin, decide, expect, help, intend, try, want, wish, etc. | 71 | 121 |
| 3. With subject substantive (pronouns in acc. form), whole expressions object of such verbs as ask, compel, enable, get, permit, want, etc.: |  |  |
| "Active" construction . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 21 | 53 |
| "Passive" construction | 24 | 7 |
| 4. As predicate of indirect question after such verbs as ask, know, tell, etc. | 1 | 11 |
| 5. As a modifier of a noun | 40 | 27 |
| 6. As a modifier of an adjective . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 65 | 79 |
| 7. As a noun-subject, appositive, or predicate nominative | 18 | 8 |
| 8. With for $+\mathrm{sb} .+$ to +inf ., the whole expression as modifier or as "logical" subject ...... | 11 | 25 |
| 9. Uses with other function words $\begin{aligned} & b e+t o+\text { inf. } \\ & b e+a b o u t+t o+\text { inf. } \\ & b e+\text { going }+t o+\text { inf. } \\ & \text { have }+t o+\inf . \\ & \text { get }+t o+\text { inf. } \\ & o u g h t+t o+\text { inf. } \\ & \text { used }+t o+\text { inf. } \end{aligned}$ | 33 | 56 |
| 10. The so-called "split" infinitive not included in classes indicated above | 18 | 2 |
| Total | 376 | 513 |

This survey of the function word to with the infinitive shows a number of facts that seem somewhat significant.

1. The spread of the use of the word to from a somewhat narrow range of use in only 25 per cent of the instances of the infinitive in Old English to a much wider range of use in 82 per cent of the instances in Present-day English justifies its designation as the "sign" of the infinitive, for it has become in most respects a pure function word operating as a marker for the infinitive, parallel to a distinguishing inflectional form.
2. The so-called "split" infinitive-the separation of the function word to from the infinitive by means of an intervening adverb-is not a matter of Vulgar English. Of the twenty instances appearing in our materials eighteen were found in the letters of Standard English.
3. In the use of the to + infinitive for the expression of "purpose" the Vulgar English materials contain twice as many instances as do the Standard English letters, but there seems to be little or no significance in this fact. On the sther hand, there does seem to be significance in the fact that, of the three "compound" forms to express purpose, for to appears in the Vulgar English only, and in order to and so as to appear only in the Standard English letters.
4. In the use of the to + infinitive as object of such verbs as attempt, begin, continue, decide, desire, help, intend, like, promise, try, want, wish, etc., two matters of difference between Standard English and Vulgar English appeared. First, the verb say in such a construction as "He says to tell you . . ." (8219) occurs in the Vulgar English letters only. Second, with the verb try, the construction try and rather than try to, although four instances appeared in the Standard English letters, occurred much more frequently in the Vulgar English materials.
5. Although the use of the $t o$-infinitive with a substantive subject (pronouns in accusative form), the whole expression being object of such verbs as allow, ask, get, permit, want, etc., as in "- has asked us to write" (8189) occurred frequently in both groups of materials, especially in Vulgar English, the construction with the $t 0$-infinitive depending upon a verb in "passive" form, as in "We were asked to write," occurred rarely in Vulgar English (seven instances in all).
6. In the use of the $t 0$-infinitive as the modifier of a noun, certain constructions appeared in Vulgar English only. These were the cases in which the noun modified by the to-infinitive was also modified by a genitive case substantive. Examples are
[^88]In place of such a genitive modifier the more usual Standard English construction is
"this was the second time for him to leave home"
"five years is the limit for him to live"
7. In connection with the $t o$-infinitive preceded by the function word for and a substantive, one type of construction occurs in the Vulgar English materials only. In this construction the whole expression, instead of being a modifier of a noun or an adjective, or the "logical" subject with it in the subject position, depends upon the verb as a modifier or as an object. Examples are

```
"the Mother signed for him to go" (8005)
"I cant hardly stand for him to be away" (8204)
"I . . . would also like for him to finish his education" (8022)
"I mean for him to be sent back at once" (8045)
"The Dr. said . . . for him not to take any long walks" (8190)
```


## B. Do, especially in questions and with negatives

The verb do, in its various forms, has, in Modern English, a variety of uses. It still retains, for example, its full word meaning of perform, accomplish, make, bring about, produce, as in the following examples:

```
"he seemed remorseful for what he had done" (8076)
"Mrs. __ did housework for Mrs. __"" (8240)
"he never did a stroke of work" (8144)
"she is unable to work or do anything" (8142)
"get work and do the right thing" (8139)
"anything that you can do for them" (8004)
```

But more frequently do operates as a function word followed by the simple infinitive. As a function word it has four important uses.

First, but least frequently, it is used to make an "emphatic" form-a form that receives strong stress in speech and one that usually stands in a statement offered as an answer to a preceding question or a contradiction of a preceding assertion. The following instance is the only one that appeared in the Standard English letters:

[^89]Second, it is used as a substitute verb, a word that serves to repeat or refer to the meaning of any verb that has been used before it in the immediate context. Thirteen instances of this use appeared in the Standard English letters.
"it is doubted whether her son would return to his bome, and in case he did it is . . ." (8017)
"knowing these conditions as I do, I am sure . . ." (8180)
"she has been asked to give her entire pay to her mother which she does at the present time" (8081)
". . . he promised to let it alone, and for a number of months he did so" (8296)
"I am informed that the mother who is illiterate and has a minor female to support needs this young man to provide the financial means to do so" (8234)
"The government does not especially need his services while from all indications his family does" (8073)

Third, and of especial importance for Modern English, the function word $d o$ is used with the negative verb. In this situation the word do seems to be a pure function word with no content of meaning; it serves as the formal element of the verb, bearing the inflectional signs of tense and number. The simple infinitive which follows the negative particle carries the full word meaning of the verb. Thus with do the "verb" is divided, and the negative particle retains its usual place immediately before the full word meaning element of the verb, and the subject can immediately precede the element bearing the formal characteristics. This use of the function word appeared in the fifteenth century ${ }^{14}$ and seems to have some relation to the development of the use of word order as a grammatical device. ${ }^{15}$ Thirty seven instances of this use of do as a function word with a negative verb were found in the Standard English letters. Examples are
> "people who know the father do not think a great deal of him" (8002)
> "I do not believe he is on that transport" (8075)
> "She does not expect to receive any damages" (8095)
> "The government does not especially need his services" (8073)
> "Her son B- did not support her before he went . . ." (8144)
> "she stated that she did not know . . ." (8139)

[^90]Fourth, and of equally great importance for Modern English, the function word $d o$ is used in questions. This use of $d o$ even more clearly than the use of do with negative verbs seems also to be related to the development of the use of word order as a grammatical device and arises at the end of the Middle English period. ${ }^{16}$ Reversal of the subject-verb word order has been the normal order for questions throughout the history of the English language. With the "dividing" of the verb by means of the function word do, the element of the verb that carries the formal characteristics of tense can precede the subject and the full word meaning element of the verb can follow the subject. In questions, in the present or past tense, therefore, the pattern in Present-day English is
> do (carrying the formal verbal characteristics) + subject + verb (carrying full-word verbal meaning)

This arrangement is especially necessary in Modern English whenever a transitive verb with an object is used. To make a question out of "The man killed the bear" one cannot simply shift the subject and the verb as in "Killed the man the bear?" With the use of the function word as in "Did the man kill the bear?" the subject is still distinguished from the object by means of the word order, and the interrogative reversal of subject and verb is also accomplished. Although this is a frequent use of do in Modern English, ${ }^{17}$ the materials examined here were not such as to make possible its frequent use. In fact only one example was found.
"Do you think any mother would . . ." (8005)
In the Vulgar English letters the same uses of do, both as full word and as function word, appeared in about the same proportions.

Examples of the full word do are
"have been doing business since . . ." (8094)
"I wish I was there to do for her what I could" (8039)
"If I had this to do over again" (8039)
"I would of never let him do such a thing" (8074)
"Not able to do any work . . ." (8127)

[^91]"he would do his best" (8152)
"it will do him good" (8218)
"I was glad to get out of doing 7 years" (8039)
Examples from Vulgar English of do as the function word to make a so-called emphatic form-often an answer to a preceding question or a contradiction of a preceding assertion-are
"I sure do worry over him" (8190)
"I do believe you know a fathers circumstances" (8079)
"We do need his support and need it bad and did need it when he went away" (8218)
"Please do give him a discharge" (8121)
"I do hope you will do one or the other" (8122)
"for my sake do let him out" (8204)
Examples from Vulgar English of do as a function word with negative verbs are
"he does not give much money" (8233)
"If we dont get this Boy out" (8005)
"if you don't think I am is lawful Gardine" (8025)
"he did not come home from there" (8038)
"I guess my clouds dont have those bright lineings" (8038)
"Some do not need there boy" (8187)
"I dont know what I am going to do" (8053)
The exact number of occurrences of $d o$ in our materials is as follows:

TABLE XV

|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do as a full word | 19 | 61 |
| Function word do- |  |  |
| "Emphatic" | 1 | 9 |
| Substitute verb | 13 | 8 |
| With negative | 37 | 40 |
| In questions .............. | 0 | 1 |

C. Shall and will, the so-called<br>"auxiliaries of the future tense" ${ }^{18}$

The school grammars of Modern English usually give as the one means of indicating future time the combination of shall and will with the infinitive, and name it the "future tense." Some give two forms for the future tense: one for "simple futurity" and another for the "emphatic future" or the "future of determination." As a matter of fact, however, the use of the function words shall and will with the infinitive is but one of several important methods of expressing future time. The present tense form of the verb, for example, frequently refers to future time both in subordinate clauses and in independent sentences when some other word than the verb, or the context in general, indicates the time notion. "He returns from his trip tomorrow." "If he comes, I must question him."

Some other combinations which should also be included as devices for an English "future tense" are those to be considered in section D, below. Examples of them are

> "The man is to accompany me."
> "He is about to dive from the bridge."
> "They are going to discharge him."

On the other hand, the use of shall and will to express "deter-mination"-the so-called "emphatic future" or "modal future"is no more entitled to be included in the name "future tense" than many other combinations of function words, and even full word verbs, with infinitives, which, because of their meaning, look to the future for fulfilment. This is especially true of the two function words to be treated below in sections E and F and of those socalled "modal auxiliaries" to be discussed in section H. Some examples of these expressions are
> "The men have to go to the city."
> "He is my only support and I've got to have him."

[^92]"We $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ought to build } \\ \text { must build } \\ \text { may build } \\ \text { intend to build }\end{array}\right\}$ a new house."
Concerning the use of shall and will there have been many vigorous discussions through more than a hundred years. One cannot read through the mass of these discussions without being impressed by the wide diversity of the points of view and the definite conflict of the opinions and conclusions thus brought together. Even among the articles that can be grouped as expressing the conventional rules there is considerable variety and contradiction, ${ }^{18}$ not in the general rule for independent-declarative statements (that a shall with the first person corresponds with a will with the second and third) but in the other rules concerning questions, reported discourse, and subordinate clauses. The conclusions expressed in the more scientific studies are not only in opposition to the conventional rules but they also conflict sharply with one another. ${ }^{20}$ In all this mass of material there is hardly a general statement concerning shall and will for which a direct contradiction cannot be found coming from a source that merits careful consideration. Thus, after more than a century of discussion of the use of shall and will, there are no accepted views of what the actual usage of these two words is, of the meaning and trend of the development of that usage, and of the causes that gave rise to it.

[^93]That there is a considerable body of literary usage which conflicts with the conventional rules is clearly proved by the many pages, in the books setting forth these rules, which are devoted to pointing out the "violations" and "blunders" which even "the best of our authors" have made. Thus in Fowler and Fowler, The King's English, pages 141 to 153 contain examples of such "blunders" taken from the following: Daily Telegraph, London Times, Richardson, Jowett, F. M. Crawford, Westminster Gazettc, Burke, S. Ferrier, Wilde, Stevenson, Crockett, Conan Doyle, Spectator, H. Sweet, Gladstone.

Richard Grant White, in Every Day English, gives "a long series of plain unmistakable examples of its misuse" by Cowley, Richard Burthogge, Samuel Shaw, Steele, Addison, Swift, Samuel Palmer, Shenstone, Burke, Landor, Robert Blakey, and Sydney Smith. The Society for Pure English, Tract VI, in the article "Shall and Will, Should and Would in the Newspapers of Today," devotes five pages to examples, "all from newspapers of the better sort" ${ }^{21}$ in which one or another of the "rules" has been violated.

The conventional rules for shall and will with something of the fullness they have in the school grammars of today first appeared in William Ward's Grammar of the English Language (1765), pages 121-123. This grammarian frankly makes a thoroughgoing attempt to form the rules on the basis of the "fundamental meanings" of the two words. ${ }^{22}$

Before Ward's grammar, George Mason, in his Grammaire Angloise of 1622 , had made the first statement of a distinction of use between shall and will; ${ }^{23}$ John Wallis, in his Grammatica Linguar

[^94]Anglicanae (1653), had given the first rules for the use of shall and will in independent-declarative sentences; ${ }^{24}$ and Bishop Robert Lowth, in A Short Introduction to English Grammar (1762) had . added a brief statement, concerning questions. ${ }^{25}$ But William Ward first developed the complete set of rules, adding to Lowth's statement concerning questions and setting forth the uses in the subordinate clauses of what he calls "Compound Sentences" and "Suppositions."

In many of the grammars before 1765 and in a number that followed there is no indication of any discrimination between the uses of shall and will in the formation of the future. The first grammar following Ward's of 1765 to accept his explanation of the meanings of shall and will and incorporate the rules he thus derives is that of Lindley Murray of $\mathbf{1 7 9 5}$. Only after the first quarter of the nineteenth century did the complete discussion of the rules for shall and will in independent-declarative statements, in interrogative sentences, and in subordinate clauses become a common feature of text books of English grammar.

The conventional rules for shall and will did not arise from any attempt to describe the practice of the language as it actually was either before the eighteenth century or at the time the grammar was written in which these rules first appeared. The authors of these

[^95]grammars (Lowth and Ward) definitely repudiated usage, even that of "our most approved authors," as the basis of correctness in language. ${ }^{26}$ Ward frankly insisted that his grammar is an attempt to discover "the Reason of every Part of Construction" and to correct that construction "where Custom is erroneous," so that "Reason will go Hand in Hand with Practice." These rules, then, are part of the eighteenth century search for a "rational grammar" and cannot safely be assumed to represent usage in any respect.

That the general usage of shall and will did not at any time during the history of Modern English agree with the conventional rules is a conclusion that can be reasonably drawn from the facts revealed in the following charts. These charts are based upon a recording and examination of some twenty thousand instances of shall and will occurring in (a) fifty British dramas produced during the last three hundred and fifty years, ( $b$ ) eighteen British dramas produced from 1902 to 1918, and (c) eighteen American dramas produced from 1906 to 1918. ${ }^{27}$

The facts set forth in these three charts seem to justify the following conclusions concerning the history of the use of shall and will in independent-declarative statements throughout the Modern English period:

## A. With the first person

1. The approximate stability of the relation of shall and will indicates that there has been no great change of use in the first person from the middle of the sixteenth century to the present time.
2. Will with the first person has, during all this time, always been more frequently used than shall ( $I$ [we] will from 70 per cent to 93 per cent and $I$ [we] shall from 7 per cent to 30 per cent).

## B. With the second person

3. In contrast with the approximate stability of the relation of will to shall in the first person for the past three hundred and fifty years, in the second person there has been practically a complete reversing of the situation existing in the sixteenth century. In the sixteenth century shall predominated, being used in more than 80 per
[^96]
## CHART I <br> Independent-Declarative Statements <br> First Person



2 Plays summarized each decade.


Legend:
Will
Shall ------


Note.
From $301070 \%$ is amilled in each groph

## CHART II

## Independent-Declarative Statements

Second Person


## CHART III

Independent-Declarative Statements
Third Person

cent of the instances; will correspondingly being used in less than 20 per cent. Throughout the eighteenth century the two words seem to have been used with the second person about equally-the curves approach the 50 per cent line. During the nineteenth century, however, the will with the second person has more and more displaced the shall so that it now is used in about 80 per cent of the cases and shall in about 20 per cent.
C. With the third person
4. With the third person also the relation of the shall and will has not been stable. As in the second person, the will has tended to displace the shall, being now used in about 85 per cent of the cases with shall in but 15 per cent.
5. The development with the third person as indicated by the charts, however, has not been as with the second person, a complete reversing of the situation existing in the sixteenth century. With the third person, the 50 per cent point appears in the sixteenth century with a gradual rising of the frequency of will and a sinking of the shall to the present 85 per cent to 15 per cent relation.
Shall and Will in Questions
The following tabulation exhibits the total number of instances of shall and will in direct questions occurring in these plays which cover a period of three hundred and fifty years.

> TABLE XVI
> "Shall" and "Wirl" in Questions

| Periods of approximately a half century each | 1st Person |  | 2nd Person |  | 3rd Person |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Will | Shall | Will | Shall | Will | Shall |
| 1557-1637 | 3 | 69 | 125 | 2 | 38 | 17 |
| 1656-1703 | 1 | 105 | 129 | 1 | 35 | 10 |
| 1713-1768 | 1 | 80 | 51 | 1 | 40 | 19 |
| 1775-1843 | 4 | 63 | 73 | 3 | 36 | 12 |
| 1860-1915 | 3 | 78 | 127 | 0 | 22 | 7 |
| Total | 12 | 395 | 505 | 7 | 171 | 65 |
| Percent | 2.9 | 97.1 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 72.5 | 27.5 |

From these figures several conclusions seem justified.

1. The instances of shall and will in direct questions reveal no shift in usage for any of the three grammatical persons similar to that which occurred with the second and third persons in independentdeclarative sentences.
2. With the first person shall has overwhelmingly predominated in questions although will has always been more frequently used in inde-pendent-declarative statements.
3. The frequent statement, however, that will is impossible in questions with the first person is inaccurate. Shall could hardly be used with the first person in such a question as appears in the following example: ${ }^{28}$

Viola. Haven't you seen the house, Mrs. Whipple?
Helen. Not above this floor.
Alice. Would it interest you?
Helen. Very much.
Alice [to Helen]. Will I do as your guide?
4. In second person questions shall has never been common, even during the sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries when in independent-declarative sentences more than 80 per cent of the instances with the second person appeared with shall. Of the 512 questions in the second person only seven or 1.3 per cent use shall; the rest ( 98.7 per cent) use will.

The facts set forth in Chart IV seem to justify the following statements concerning contemporary English and American usage of shall and will:

## A. Independent-declarative statements

1. In independent-declarative statements, the shall forms have been almost eliminated from American usage with all three grammatical persons. In contemporary English usage the shall forms are somewhat more frequent, although the figures do not support the rule that a shall in the first person corresponds to a will in the second and third persons.

TABLE XVII
"Shall" and "Wili" in Independent-Declarative Statements: Contemporary Usage

|  | American * |  | English* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Will | Shall | Will | Shall |
| 1st person $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 87 | 13 | 70 | 30 |
| 2nd person $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 94 | 6 | 78 | 22 |
| 3rd person $\ldots . . . \ldots \ldots$ | 96 | 4 | 90 | 10 |

- Expressed in terms of percentage.
${ }^{28}$ Augustus Thomas, The Witching Hour, edited by Quinn, 771, b.
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2. This difference between American and English usage is not confined to the first person, as has frequently been asserted. The degree of difference between American and English usage is practically the same with the second person as with the first.
3. In independent-declarative statements there seems to be no marked difference in usage between Americans and Englishmen except in the fact that with all three grammatical persons American usage shows a greater elimination of shall forms and a corresponding increase of will forms.

## B. Questions

4. In questions there is a close agreement between American and English use. Especially is this noteworthy in respect to the second person where there is the same overwhelming use of will to the practical exclusion of shall.
5. English and American usage also agree in the fact that shall is overwhelmingly the word used in questions with the first person. This is the one situation in which American usage has shall rather than will.

## C. Subordinate Clauses

6. In subordinate clauses of all types there is the same great preponderance of will in both American and English usage with the second and third persons.

TABLE XVIII
"Shall" and "Will" in Subordinate Clauses: Contemporary Usage

|  | American * |  | English * |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Will | Shall | Will | Shall |
| 2nd person | 96.4 | 3.6 | 95.6 | 4.4 |
| 3rd person | 95.3 | 4.7 | 88.6 | 11.4 |

- Expressed in terms of percentage.

7. In the case of the first person, a striking conflict appears between English and American usage. Here the American usage is predominately will, that of England predominantly shall (see Table XIX).

If, then, these figures force us to conclude that the conventional rules do not now represent and never have represented the practice of Standard English, either in England or in America, concerning shall and will what can be offered in a positive way concerning the use of these two function words? There seems to be agreement among the grammarians that those words or inflectional forms have

TABLE XIX
"Shall" and "Will" in Subordinate Clauses: Contemporary Usage

|  | American* |  | English* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Will | Shall | Will | Shall |
| 1st person $\ldots \ldots \ldots$. <br> (esp. reported <br> clauses) | 86 | 14 | 29 | 71 |

* Expressed in terms of percentage.
been used for future tense devices which were expressions naturally looking to the future for fulfilment. Such words were those of "volition," "purpose," "obligation," "necessity," "compulsion," "motion," and such inflectional forms were those modal inflections like the present subjunctive expressing "possibility." It is noteworthy that a considerable number of diverse languages tried out the same set of devices for the future, although with differing results. The Germanic languages thus used haban, munan, skulan, wiljan, wairpan. Late Latin and the Romance languages tried out velle, posse, debere, vadere, ire, venire, as well as habeo. Late Greek used not only the subjunctive but also $\epsilon_{\chi} \chi \omega$ and $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \omega$. Coptic used $N A$, the primitive word meaning "to go" and also the preposition $E$ meaning "toward" as devices for the future.

The course of development of such expressions, as these are used with stress upon the future, seems to be in the direction of their losing their original full word meanings and becoming more and more function words for the future-future tense signs. Thus, shall, which in Old English meant "to owe" as in "agief pæt pu me scealt" ("repay that which thou shalt [i. e., owest] me") gradually loses its original meaning of "obligation" and becomes an "empty" word indicative of the future. It is often assumed that this loss of full word meaning to become a function word is the end of the process and that whatever connotations there may be other than its function use are the "glimmerings through" of previous full word meanings. This assumption, however, seems inadequate to account for the facts. It would account for suggestions of "compulsion" or "necessity" that might attach to a future phrase with shall as in "You shall answer this at full," but it would not account for the suggestions of "intention" or "volition" on the part of the subject in such a sentence with an emphasized shall as "Damme! Sir, have a
care! Don't give me the Lye, I shan't take it, Sir." ${ }^{29}$ Nor would it account for the connotations of "compulsion" in the following sentence with will: ${ }^{30}$

Kurano. Kira taught you the wrong ritual?
Asano. Yes.
Kurano. You will not go unavenged.
And this assumption of the "glimmering through" of earlier meanings would not account for the suggestions of "intention" or "determination" in the following: ${ }^{81}$

Kurano. Are they going to kill me?
4th Ronin. They said they were going to make sure of you.
Even in Old Englisir one finds such a passage as the following, in which all four expressions seem to suggest with the future the "purpose of the speaker"-a meaning which is in no way related to the full word meanings of two of the three expressions used: ${ }^{32}$
"Eac ic wille geswigian Tontolis \& Philopes para scondlicestena spella; hu manega bismerlica gewin Tontolus gefremede syðððan he cyning wæs; . . . ."
"Ic sceall eac calle forlætan ba pe of Perseo \& of Cathma gesæde syndon, . . . ."
"Eac ic wille geswigian para mandæda para Lemniaðum \& Ponthionis pæs cyninges. . . ."
". . . ic hit eall forlete. Eac ic hit forlæte, Adipsus hu he ægber ofsloh ge his agenne fæder . . ."

In order to account for such facts as these the process of development of these function words with the future could in general be summarized as follows: a certain limited range of meanings furnish the grounds upon which the future is predicted. Any word or form with meanings within this range may be taken up and used as a device for the expression of the future. As it becomes such a device, the emphasis gradually shifts from the full word meaning to the function word use as a future tense marker. But now as a device for the expression of the future it may suggest (depending on the circumstances and without limitation of its original meanings) any of that range of meanings which are the bases of future pre-

[^97]dictions. Of course, in a rapid impression with an entirely unemphasized phrase, the general future prediction may be all that registers, but with more attention put upon the statement, directed by greater emphasis upon some part of the word group or by the reader's attempted analysis, there often stand out prominently some of the connotations of the grounds upon which the future is predicted-"intention," "resolve," "determination," "compulsion," "necessity." In respect to both shall and will, the lighter colorings shade into the unmistakable modal uses so inseparably as to make a dividing line impossible and no rules seem adequate to distinguish them satisfactorily.

In the letters examined for this study, the situations dealt with were so overwhelmingly matters of the past and of the present that comparatively few references to the future appeared. In all, there were only 139 instances of shall and will in the Standard English letters and 189 in those of Vulgar English.
The distribution of these instances appears in Tables XX and XXI.

Even with these few instances, however, it is possible in the light of the discussion above to make some statements.

1. In American English shall has been almost completely eliminated in all situations except as shown above in questions with the first person, where it is used in at least 80 per cent of the instances. Shall and will, therefore, are certainly not interchangeable.
2. There is in American English some use of shall with the first person in independent-declarative sentences, but these uses of shall cannot all be taken as expressing simple futurity. The "intention," even the "determination" of the speaker seems to be clearly indicated in some of the instances.

Examples from the Standard English letters of shall with the first person in independent-declarative sentences are
"I shall take up the matter with my wife at once" (9057)
". . . she will . . . get a statement to this effect . . . and we shall forward it to you" (82s3)
"I dare say you have forgotten, but I shall not, nor the magic that you worked" (9018)
"If anything is needed in addition to the affidavit of - we shall be pleased to obtain and forward this additional evidence" (8239) "I shall finish the course of instruction . . . on or about June 15 . . ." (9066)

TABLE XX
Standard English

|  | IndependentDeclarative Sentences |  | Questions |  | Subordinate Clauses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shall | Will | Shall | Will | Indirect Discourse |  | Conditions, Result, etc. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Shall | Will | Shall | Will |
| 1st person | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 26.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 73.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 2nd person | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| 3rd person | 0 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 13 |

TABLE XXI
Vulgar English

"I shall have approximately three months of leave accrued on July 1 . . ." (9064)
"I shall settle this account as rapidly as possible" (9033)
Similar examples from the Vulgar English letters are
"I shall depend on you that you will release $\qquad$ " (8274)
"but if —_dont come back I shall not be able to stand it" (8274)
3. The use of will with the first person in independent-declarative sentences to express simple futurity is not a matter peculiar to Vulgar English. The Standard English letters and those from Vulgar English both furnish a good number of instances of will with the first person, some of which do express "intention" or "determination" but others simple futurity.

## Examples from Standard English are

"I will have, on May 1..., seventy-four days leave due me" (9050)
"On the above date I will complete the course . . . at . . ." (9058)
"I have two months accrued leave and will have another month due on July first" (9058)
"I will receive some compensation for . . ." (9040)
"After April 11 . . ., my duties as . . . will have terminated . . . and I will be available for such temporary duty as . . ." (9031)
". . . and being instructor in . . . I will be called upon to take charge of . . ." (9031)
"I will ask you to please look into this matter . . ." (8004)
"If you will kindly pass on this and give me your opinion, I will appreciate it very much" (8183)
"we will take up the case thru . . ." (8299)
"as I will try to set forth later" (9032)
Examples from Vulgar English are
"we will have to go to the County farm" (8155)
"I am a man that is getting up in years and will soon have to give up hard work" (8173)
"Kindly consider my case and I will be very grateful" (8265)
"By doing so I will be sent to Pittsburg with a guardian" (8219)
"If you can do eny theng for us we will be very thankful to you" (8200)
"I wont be any better until I undergo an operation" (8052)
"Please do this for me and I will come home to stay" (8219)
"I will tell you about everything" (8087)
"I will pay you for it" (8096)
4. In these particular materials all the questions with the second person used will, but there seems to be no significance in that fact, for in all cases the situation was such that the will you was a petition. No cases appeared of what could be called a simple future in a question with the second person.
5. The use of will with all persons in subordinate clauses of all kinds is not limited to Vulgar English. In this respect there was no difference between the instances from Standard English and those from Vulgar English.

Standard English examples of the first person with will are

[^98]". . . and I believe that given a chance to . . . I will make myself of more value to the service" (9050)
". . . I am writing to assure you that I will very much appreciate anything it may be possible to do to . . ." (9061)

Some examples from Vulgar English are
". . . if he cannot come home I don't know what I will do" (8274)
"I hope I will See yo at Judment day" (8181)
"Henry I hope I will Sec you again" (8181)
D. Be (in its various forms) + to + infinitive

Be (in its various forms) + about + to + infinitive
Be (in its various forms) + going + to + infinitive
As indicated above (page 150) the combinations of $b e+t o+$ infinitive, $b e+a b o u t+t o+$ infinitive, and $b e+g o i n g+t o+$ infinitive, which are to be discussed here are expressions for the "future" and deserve a place with shall and will as function words of an analytic or periphrastic "tense." The development of these words into such function words seems to me to have been along the path marked out above (pages 161-164) especially for shall and will and applicable to any words that express meanings which look to the future for fulfilment. The meanings underlying these three expressions-"purpose" in the first, "proximity" in the second, and "motion" in the third-are all such as would lend themselves to the same sort of development as that of the words shall and will. So far as our present records go, the first is the oldest, arising in Middle English; ${ }^{33}$ the other two appear first in Early Modern English. ${ }^{34}$ The first tends to stress "necessity," "obligation," "duty," and constitutes a future of "appointment" or "plan." The second and third tend to express a "near" or "immediate" future.

In as much as the be may be used in its past tense form as well as in its present tense form, each of these three combinations can express a future either with reference to the present time or with reference to the past time.

The instances appearing in the materials examined here show

[^99]no difference in the use of these expressions in Standard English and in Vulgar English.

Some examples from these letters are
$b e+t o+$ infinitive
"Mrs. - is to accompany me" (9055)
"I am to be placed on the retired list" (9033)
". . . the officers are to be given an opportunity . . ." (9027)
". . . he is to be discharged" (8075)
"this land is to be presented to the government" (9062)
"i would like to know when he is to reach -_-" (8290)
"he is to be transferred . . ." (8231)
"They are to send him back to _ April 10" (8193)
"I am to be sent to - the 19th of this month" (8198)
"letter from _ said that by direction of I I was to be retained in the service" (9050)
"What would have been the proper interpretation then is the proper interpretation now. Quarters were to be assigned where quarters were available." (9030)
"Upon receiving notice that I was to be discharged . . . I asked for and . . ." (9050)
$b e+a b o u t+t o+$ infinitive
"I was about to have _ released in the proper way" (8281)
$b e+$ going $+t o+$ infinitive
"that fact that I have . . . is going to make it difficult . . ." (9033)
"I am going to prove it to you" (8038)
"they are going to discharge him" (8072)
"he is going to be turned loose" (8205)
"I dont know what I am going to do" (8053)
"he was going to do away with himself" (8076)
"I had heard that . . . was going to be one of the questions" (9227)
"when - saw that his absence was going to exceed 30 days he should have . . ." (9217)
"I was going to write you . . ." (8038)

## E. Have + to + infinitive

For some reason, words with the meaning "possess" seem to tend naturally to take on the meanings of "obligation" and "necessity"
and develop function word uses. Thus owe which most frequently meant "possess" in Old English soon took on the meaning of material obligation, and with its preterit ought also expressed moral obligation. In similar fashion, have meaning "possess" became in Early Modern English ${ }^{35}$ a function word used with the $t o$-infinitive to express "obligation" and "necessity." ${ }^{36}$ In the development of this use there seems to have been an intermediate stage in which an object substantive immediately followed the have and the infinitive came after the substantive. Examples of this use from our materials are

```
"I have a family to support" (9033)
"he has a big board bill to pay" (8088)
"he had $6.00 to pay" (8218)
"he has a wife and one child to support" (8258)
"if I had this to do over again . . ." (8039)
```

In all these examples, except possibly the last, the full word meaning of "possession" is clear in the word have. ${ }^{37}$ Only when the word order is shifted and the infinitive immediately follows the have, does the have become a function word in an expression of "obligation" or "necessity." ${ }^{38}$ That in such combinations the function word has lost its full word meaning of "possession" is clear from such instances as the following in which the function word have is used with the full word have:
"My children have to have books and clothes to go to school"
(8235)
In this use of have with the $t 0$-infinitive as a function word of "obligation" or "necessity," all tense forms of the verb have can be

[^100]used and thus in so far as the "obligation" or "necessity" looks to the future for its fulfilment, it can be a "future" with reference to a time point in the present, in the past, or itself in the future.

Examples are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "he has to be operated on" (8193) } \\
& \text { "In the case of small articles that had to be obtained to finish . . ." } \\
& \text { (9040) } \\
& \text { "she will have to go to work" (8003) } \\
& \text { "Enclosed you will find . . birth certificate which you said you } \\
& \text { would have to have before you would . . ."(8218) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the instances of this construction found in the materials examined for this study there are no differences in the usage of Standard English and that of Vulgar English. Some further examples from these letters are

```
". . . just what has to be done" (8189)
"he had to come by water" (8078)
"she had to stay in bed" (8002)
"he was living in hell all the time and had to drink . . ." (8296)
"I have to carry her" (8291)
"We have to deny ourselves . . ." (8251)
"My mother has to take in washing" (8129)
"we have to Doctor her all the time" (8028)
"and we haft to pay rent" (8246)
```

F. Get, often together with have, + to + infinitive

The word get, another word of "possession," has developed a wide variety of uses in addition to the sense of "obtain possession of (property, etc.) as the result of effort or contrivance." In the Oxford Dictionary there are given thirty four numbered senses in addition to the thirty eight uses of get with prepositions in specialized meanings, such as get at, get off, get over, get ahead, get away, get back, get out, get up. Within the thirty four numbered senses there are seventy two separately indicated divisions of meaning. Of these, only twenty three were in use in Middle English, so that the great expansion of the use of this word get has occurred in Modern English, chiefly in Early Modern English, before the end of the seventeenth century. If the materials examined for this study can be taken as typical, there is a distinct difference between Standard English and Vulgar English in the use of this word get. Of the thirty four numbered senses listed in the Oxford

Dictionary, twenty six were found in our materials. All but one of these twenty six senses were found in the Standard English letters as well as in the Vulgar English materials. The differences between the two sets of material lay not primarily in the kinds of uses employed by each group but in the amount of use. In all, there were 316 instances of get in its various forms, but only thirty one of these came from the Standard English matter. One can probably conclude, then, that although Standard English as well as Vulgar English does at times employ all the uses of get, ${ }^{39}$ nevertheless the very frequent use of this word seems to be a characteristic of Vulgar English.

The one use of get that did not appear in the Standard English letters was get, meaning "to receive, suffer by way of punishment."
"a fellow who was going away 8 day and got 15 years and another was gon three months and got three month in the guard hous" (8039)
"If he gets a right good scaring it will do him good" (8218)
As a function word, the use of get was rare in the materials examined here. With the infinitive ${ }^{40}$ the following instances appeared:

## Standard english

"he has got to serve his sentence" (9531)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"he is my only support and I have just got to have him" (8059)
"I have got to take care of three little children" (8593)
"the poor people haven't got to pay anything" (8504)
The following instances of $g$ et with the infinitive differ from the above:

> "his chums didn't get to go with him" (8518)
> "after that he got to be a friend of . . ." (6645)

[^101]
## G. Used + to + the infinitive, for customary action

The verb use in its various forms followed by to and the infinitive as in "His modir usith euery day gretly to sorowe" ${ }^{41}$ appeared in Middle English in the sense of "to be accustomed or wont to do something." In Late Modern English, however, the past tense form used is the one employed with the $t o$-infinitive and seldom do other words separate this word used from the $t$-infinitive that follows. It seems justified to call this used a function word to express "customary action" as an aspect of the verb. Only one example appeared in our materials.
"I used to wash for a living" (8235)
H. May, might, can, could, would, should, must, ought + the infinitive, the so-called "modal auxiliaries"

All of the words listed here except would belong to a small group of verbs which are frequently called "preteritive-present" verbs. For some unknown reason, the preterit or past tense forms of these verbs seem always to take on "present" meanings. In Old English the forms which were used with present tense meanings had previously been preterit or past tense forms fitting into the patterns of the "strong" verb. ${ }^{42}$ In order to express the past tense meanings of these verbs in Old English there were used preterit forms of newer formation which had been made in accord with the pattern of the "weak" verb by the addition of a "dental" suffix.

## TABLE XXII

| Old preterit forms which in OE <br> had present tense meanings | Newo preterit forms created by <br> the use of the weak verb dental <br> suffix, which had past tense <br> meanings |
| :---: | :---: |
| may | might |
| can |  |
| $[$ shall $]$ | could |
| $[$ mot $]$ |  |
| sove] |  |

[^102]But even these "double" preterits, might, could, should, must, ought, do not, in Present-day English, carry past tense meanings; all of them, when joined with the infinitive form of the verb, express a present or even look toward the future. ${ }^{48}$ In other words, the semantic drift of whatever preterits have been formed for these verbs seems to have been toward present meanings.

In older stages of the English language these words had full word meanings and were frequently used without following infinitives; throughout their history they have experienced various shifts of meaning and now can be used only as "auxiliaries" (function words) in connection with other verbs which do carry a full word meaning. As function words, whatever meanings these old verbs now express seem to have to do with various attitudes toward the "action" or "state" expressed by the verb to which they are attached. These function words can, therefore, with some justification be called "modal auxiliaries."

The chief shifts of meaning which these words have undergone ${ }^{44}$ are roughly indicated in the diagram on page 174.

Examples from the materials of Old English to illustrate the full word meanings of these verbs are the following:
"Twegan gafolgydon wæron sumum lænende; an sceolde fif hund penega [one should ( $=$ owed) five hundred pennies], and oठer fiftig."-Gospels, Luke 7:41.
"ßær weart ofslægen Harold cyng, . . . . and fela godra manna, and ba Frenciscan ahton wælstowe geweald" [and the French ought ( = possessed) control of the slaughter-place ( $=$ battle-field)].-Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, A. D. 1066.
"and pær bædon Scottas pæt hi pær mosten wunian [and there they begged the Scots that they must ( $=$ permit) them to dwell there]; ac hi noldon him lyfan, for pon pe hi cwædon pæt hi ne mihton ealle ætgædere gewunian pær [because they said that they might not ( $=$ were not able to) all live there together]."-Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, Description of Britain.
"pa cwædon hi pæt hi naðer ne scincræftas cuঠon [Then said they that they could ( $-k n e w$ ) neither magic arts], ne hi mid nængum

[^103]TABLE XXIII

[will] would did not belong to the group of "preterit-present" verbs.
godum weorcum pæt noht swiðe to Gode geearnod hæfdon" -Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, A. D. 565.
Magister: Canst pu ænig ping? [Master: Canst ( $=$ knowest) thou any thing?]
Venator: Ænne cræft ic cann. [Hunter: One craft I can ( $=$ know).]
—Aelfric, Colloquy
"And he wolde geseon [and he would ( $=$ wished) to see] hwylc se Hæland wære; ba ne mihte he [when he might ( $=$ could) not] for dære menegu, for pam pe he wæs lytel on wæstmum."-Gospels, Luke 19:3.
In respect to the later developments of meaning carried by these words, the situation is exceedingly cornplex and no rules yet formed seem adequate to mark. out precisely their areas of use. Something of their overlapping can be indicated by the following arrangement.

TABLE XXIV

| Meanings | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Words } \\ \text { by Which They }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { may } \\ \text { can } \\ \text { could } \\ \text { might }\end{array}$ |  |$\}$ Be Indicated

The table on pages 176 and 177 shows the actual number of occurrences of each of these words in the letters examined for this study.

Examples of the uses of each of these words are the following: ${ }^{45}$

## should

## STANDARD ENGLISH

(f) "We should have a military training . . ." (9012)
( $f$ ) "The Act of Congress should not receive a different interpretation now" (9030)

[^104]TABLE XXV

| Standard English |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vulgar English |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 右 } \\ & \stackrel{2}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { In }}}{\substack{0}}$ |  | 砍 |  | 哭 |  |  | － |
| should Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 <br> 0 <br> 9 <br> 11 | 1 <br> 0 <br> 1 <br>  <br> 2 | 0 <br> 0 <br> 10 <br> 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | 23 | should <br> Total | 1 2 3 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | 0 0 0 - 0 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 0 5 | 5 |
| would Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}14 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ \hline 33\end{array}$ | 0 <br> 2 <br> 0 <br> - | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 2 \\ 16 \\ \hline 26\end{array}$ | 22 4 35 | 61 | would <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}44 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ \hline 56\end{array}$ | 0 2 1 -3 | 13 <br> 17 <br> 17 <br> 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 23 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 106 |
| may Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 0 8 -9 | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 9 0 18 | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & (m a y b e) \\ & m a y \end{aligned}$ <br> Total | 1 2 3 | 0 0 2 -2 | 2 0 0 -2 | 0 2 1 -3 | 9 2 2 3 | 16 |
| might Total | 1 2 3 | 0 <br> 0 <br> 2 <br> -2 | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ | 2 1 8 | 11 | might <br> Total | 1 2 3 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | 0 0 1 -1 | 0 0 1 | 1 |

（d）＂Was it correct ．．．or should the amount over and above the pay for that period be returned？＂（9035）
（d）＂he feels he should be with her＂（8095）
$(g)$＂the order provides that the father should have the right to visit them at all seasonable hours upon his compliance with the order of the court＂（8296）
（g）＂If I should succeed in having this resolution passed it would be placed before the general body of ．．．＂（9036）
（g）＂I should greatly appreciate having this information as I wish to ．．．＂（8075）
（g）＂The physical benefits alone should be worth the time spent＂ （9012）

[^105]TABLE XXV（Continued）

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Standard English} \& \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Vulgar English} \\
\hline \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2 \\
\& \stackrel{y}{0} \\
\& \stackrel{y}{8} \\
\& 8
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { ! } \\
\& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \& 启 \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 品 } \\
\& \stackrel{3}{3} \\
\& 0 \\
\& 0
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 昜 } \\
\& \stackrel{1}{0} \\
\& \stackrel{1}{j}
\end{aligned}
\] \& 皆 \\
\hline can
Total \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3
\end{aligned}
\] \& \(\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ \hline 10\end{array}\) \& 0
1
0
-1 \& \(\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 1 \\ 11 \\ \hline 14\end{array}\) \& 5
3
17 \& 25 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
can \\
Total
\end{tabular} \& 1
2
3 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
31 \\
9 \\
20 \\
\hline 60
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
0 \\
3 \\
0 \\
\hline-3
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 26 \\
\& 29 \\
\& 23 \\
\& \hline 78
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 57 \\
\& 41 \\
\& 43
\end{aligned}
\] \& 141 \\
\hline could
Total \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3
\end{aligned}
\] \& \(\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 1 \\ 13 \\ \hline 18\end{array}\) \& 0
1
0
-1 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
2 \\
0 \\
13 \\
\hline 15
\end{array}
\] \& \(\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 2 \\ \hline 26\end{array}\) \& 34 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
could \\
Total
\end{tabular} \& 1
2
3 \& \(\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ \hline 18\end{array}\) \& 0
1
1
-2 \& \(\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ \hline 34\end{array}\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 19 \\
\& 15 \\
\& 20
\end{aligned}
\] \& 54 \\
\hline must

Total \& 1
2
3 \& $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0\end{array}$ \& 0
0
0
-
0 \& $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 0
0

0 \& 3 \& | must |
| :--- |
| Total | \& 1

2

3 \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ \& \begin{tabular}{c}
0 <br>
0 <br>
0 <br>
\hline 0

 \& 

0 <br>
0 <br>
0 <br>
\hline 0
\end{tabular} \& 1

0
0 \& 1 <br>
\hline ought
Total \& 1
2

3 \& \begin{tabular}{c}
1 <br>
0 <br>
0 <br>
\hline 1

 \& 

0 <br>
0 <br>
0 <br>
\hline <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} \& $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ \& 1

0

1 \& 2 \& | ought |
| :--- |
| Total | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ \& | 0 |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 | \& | 2 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 2 | \& 2

0
2 \& 4 <br>
\hline Total \& \& 84 \& 6 \& 96 \& \& 186 \& \& \& 141 \& 10 \& 168 \& 9＊ \& 328 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

－maybe

## vulgar english

（f）＂I think after a Mother \＆Father suffer to raise a Boy to be－ come the age of 17 they should be some help to their parents＂ （8074）
（ g ）＂it is becoming necessary that he should come home as soon as he can ．．．＂（8072）
（d）＂but as he has the chance now we thought he should take it＂ （8251）
（g）＂We would like to know if he should come up on a Transport to＿or by rail to Newark and when he would arrive＂（8290）

## STANDARD ENGLISH

（g）＂I would appreciate it very much if ．．．＂（9016）
（g）＂It would kill her＂（8139）
(g) "I would like to locate a white canvas bedding roll marked as follows . . ." (9053)
(g) "I would be pleased if you will kindly forward to me any information or . . ." (8294)
(g) "I will be assigned in the . . . and would appreciate this information" (9027)
$(g)$ "It is quite possible that I would not receive a reply" (9005)
(e) "In speaking about . . . he would always bring up something immoral" (7040)
(h) "I would request assignment to __-" (9022)
(h) "We would request that . . ." (6303)
(e) "and this reward would be charged against the pay of the men" (7125)
(e) "After this for a while . . . he would call us in for a conference with him, and things went on pretty well" (5129)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

(g) "I did not think for one minute that I would be where I am now" (8265)
(g) "I would like to hear something" (8029)
(h) "Would you please find out if . . ." (8310)
(g) "If T- would only come back home everything would straighten out right again" (8033)
(e) "In the days when the public would not Associate with Soilders" (8057)
may

## STANDARD ENGLISH

(b) "This income of $\$ 60.00$ may be withdrawn at any time" ( 8260 )
(a) "I desire this duty at - in order that I may again become an active pilot" (9031)
(c) "This request is being made at the request of the athletic authorities at - in order that I may assist in the coaching of . . ." (9026)
(b) "There may be some obstacles in the way" (8267)
(c) "I trust I may entertain your consideration" (9000)
(a) "I sincerely trust that the data I have submitted in this matter is sufficient and that we may have early action" (8203)
(b) "any news you can give me about my son and when he may arrive in New York" (8075)

## vulgar english

(c) "May I ask you to hold me here?" (8198)
(c) "I also beg of you to release him owing to his condition, also that he may take up his life work of being a Minister" (8251)
(b) "I can git eny kind of Papers signed you may send me" (8171)
maybe

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"Maybe I hadn't ought to write" (8038)
"Well maybe I will be there" (8039)
"could he be released or may be brought closer home" (8038)
might
STANDARD ENGLISH
(a) "and began to work so that she might aisist in the support of her mother's family" (8081)
(b) "I want to speak for him any favor that you might have that you could . . ." (8060)
(b) "suspected that he might join the ___" (8076)
(a) "The officer . . . had not notified the guard at any time that he might be found in the quarters of the Chaplain" (5230)
(c) "They all join in the request that he might come home before Christmas" (6403)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

(a) "_ advise me to write to you for a dismissal blank, that he might be released immediately" (8251)
can
STANDARD ENGLISH
(a) "I feel that I can pass the examination" (9027)
(c) "and now can I tell you how he happened to be there?" (5229)
(b) "whether or not his eyes can be treated" (9011)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

(a) "We cant hardely get a Long With out him" (8293)
(a) "I hear I can get him out by him not being of age" (8170)
(a) "Can you please help us out on this matter" (8193)
(c) "and we need the boy to care for him [his father] then when he is a little older he can Join the -again if he wants to" (8121)
could

## STANDARD ENGLISH

(a) "He couldn't find any visible evidence of rupture" (9006)
(b) "From the information contained in our letter . . . it would seem that this boy could hardly be expected to take care of his grandmother in the event he is discharged from service" (8095)
(a) "I couldn't bend over very far" (9006)
(a) "Could you please let me know what steamer he is coming in on" (8075)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

(a) "I'd never could earn the money" (8187)
(a) "I cood not change his mind" (8285)
(c) "I would appreciate it very much if I could have my Son home to take care of me" (8225)
( $a, c$ ) "and if he could only be released he could go back to his old job" (8080)
(b) "both of his bosses have been to see me to find out if he could come back" (8080)
(c) "I told him - wouldnt be 18 untill october but he could go for one year Mr - ask me to sign the blank and he would fill it out for one year so he filled it out for 3 years and sent it in so I got a telegram from - to give my consent so I answered that for one year" (8244)
(b) "Maybe you could know if he can come home" (8038)

## must

## STANDARD ENGLISH

(d) "It is my opinion . . . that even though he reaches his majority afterwards yet he must be discharged" (8183)
(g) "we thought she must be receiving treatment" (8240)
(c) "you mustn't tell him that I wrote to you" (5231)
(d) "his policy being that everything . . . must reach through -_" (9000)

VULGAR ENGLISH
(d) "We must fight for them" (8005)
ought

## STANDARD ENGLISH

(d) "he is reported as not being out with his organization at times I thought he ought to be out." (7340)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

(f) "or do something I had not ought to do" (8038)
(f) "that ought to be enough" (8157)
(d) "any one aught to know as much" (8067)

Although, in most cases, there are not enough instances to make the figures of statistical value, there do appear some facts that seem significant.

1. The common statement that "the difference between should and would is in general the same as that between shall and will" " is

[^106]hardly accurate and by no means an adequate description of the uses of these two function words. The examples found show that the notions of "obligation" are still strong in many uses of should and those of "wish" or "willingness" likewise strong in the uses of would. There is, in this respect, not the overlapping that was found in the cases of shall and will. ${ }^{47}$ On the other hand, the strength of these full word senses of should and would causes greater complications in the uses of should and would as function words.
2. Should seems to be used very iní equently in the Vulgar English materials-much less frequently than in the Standard English letters and would proportionately more frequently. In the Vulgar English letters should appears in only 4.5 per cent of the instances as against 95.4 per cent of would. In the Standard English materials should is used in 26.6 per cent as against 73.4 per cent of would.
3. In both the Standard English letters and those of Vulgar English would frequently appears with the first person in sentences like the following:

```
"I would be pleased if you will kindly forward to me . . ." (8294)
"I would like to locate a white canvas bedding roll" (9053)
"I would appreciate it very much if . . ." (9016)
"It is quite possible that I would not receive a reply" (9005)
"I would like to hear something . . ." (8029)
"I did not think for one minute that I would be where I am now"
    (8265)
```

4. May seems to be much less frequently used in the Vulgar English letters in proportion to can than it is in the Standard English materials. (Vulgar English may, 10.2 per cent; can, 89.8 per cent. Standard English may, 52 per cent ; can, 48 per cent.)
5. The so-called adverbial maybe as in "Well maybe I will be there" (8039), which has been in the English language since the early part of the fifteenth century, appeared only in the Vulgar English letters.
6. Comparatively few instances of might appeared in the Standard English letters (eleven in all), but only one instance in the Vulgar English materials.
7. Very few instances of either must or ought appeared in any of the materials examined. Two of the four instances from the Vulgar
[^107]English letters were of had ought, ${ }^{48}$ a construction which did not occur in the Standard English letters.

## II. FUNCTION WORDS USED WITH THE PARTICIPLES

As indicated above (pages 128,129) function words are used not only with the infinitives or verbal "substantives" but also with the participles or verbal "adjectives." The constructions that are to be discussed in the rest of this chapter are those in which the words be, get, keep, have are followed by these verbal adjectives or participles. As a matter of fact no "clear line can be drawn between verbal adjectives and other adjectives," ${ }^{49}$ and it is often very difficult to decide whether the participle is to be taken as a simple predicate adjective and the be or have with full word sense or the be or have are to be regarded as function words closely joined with a participle to make a so-called "verb phrase"-an "expanded tense" or a "passive voice." It is hard, for example, to demonstrate any real distinction between "She is dependent upon him for support" (8234), and "She is depending upon him for support" or "They were depending on him for their bread" (8004); between "M——'s presence at home is not necessary" (8027); and "his presence at home is needed" (8137). There are, however, those instances in which the verbal character of the participles seems to stand out prominently and the various forms of be or have used with them to become unstressed function words. These are the instances that need special discussion here.

## I. Be in its various forms, with the present participle, the socalled "progressive form"

The combination of the verb be with the present participle was used, but very infrequently, in Old English. Åkerlund ${ }^{50}$ counted

[^108]only three examples in Beowulf and but twenty four in the whole of the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle. In Aelfric's Lives of the Saints, a lengthy work, he found about a hundred. It is only in the Modern English period after the time of Shakspere ${ }^{51}$ that this combination of $b e$ with the present participle has become fairly frequent. ${ }^{52}$

In the older periods of the English language this present participle of the verb was added only to the simple present or past tense forms of the verb to be, as in "hio him beforan hleapende was and hi hyre æfter fyligende woron." No examples appear of be with the present participle following such other words as will, would, must be, have, etc., as in the following Modern English examples:
"A general dissatisfaction . . . will soon be impairing any value I might be to the service" (9061)
". . . or I would not be trying to get him home" (8067)
"he thought she must be recciving treatment" (8240)
"an immense new structure which is being erected" (8139)
"they have been trying to locate him" (8160)
"she had been staying at places where . . ." (8296)
The Modern English use of this combination is not only more frequent than it formerly was; it also extends into many more forms of the verb and thus has a wider range of use. In many cases the adjective character of the present participle seems especially prominent, as in the following examples:
"the pain in the groin was excruciating" (9006)
"I was in Columbus arranging for rental of quarters" (9054)
"The indictments are probably still pending" (8017)
"and any which are lacking to complete my record will be given my prompt attention" (9027)

[^109]"I am willing to defray all expense" (9059)
"He was missing from home two weeks" (8257)
"Because of the age of Mrs. - and the fact that her memory is failing, our visitor was unable . . ." (8095)

On the other hand, in most of the instances the verbal character of the participle stands out and the part of the verb to be used in combination with it seems to be an unstressed function word acting as the tense bearer for the whole expression. Some examples are

```
"I am writing you again . . ." (8023)
"The son is making $21.00 per month" (8064)
"She is no longer living at __"" (8144)
"He is applying for discharge" (8027)
"I was doing the best work of my career" (9033)
"I was participating in a baseball game" (9042)
"she was then taking insulin" (8240)
"he and his mother were living at -_" (8095)
"I have been training polo ponies" (9015)
"The regiment was being divided" (9019)
"This account is being paid" (9033)
```

Perhaps the best way to demonstrate the use of the combination of $b e+$ present participle is to place side by side for comparison the examples here given both with the expanded verb form and with the simple verb form.

1. I am writing you again. I write you again.
2. The son is making $\$ 21.00$ per The son makes $\$ 21.00$ per month. month.
3. She is no longer living at -. She no longer lives at -.
4. He is applying for discharge. He applies for discharge.
5. I was doing the best work of I did the best work of my career. my career.
6. I was participating in a baseball game.
7. She was then taking insulin.
8. He and his brother were livShe then took insulin. ing at -.
9. I have been training polo I have trained polo ponies. ponies.
10. This account is being paid. This account is paid.
11. The regiment was being di- The regiment was divided. vided.

From this comparison the following statements seem justified:
a. In most of the instances, the form of the present participle with be expresses a "definite" time as opposed to the "indefinite" time of the simple tense form. ${ }^{53}$ This seems to be particularly true in respect to sentences $1,5,6,7,8$.
$b$. There is often a contrast between the completed action expressed by the form without the present participle and the incompleted action or "action in progress" expressed by ;he form with the present participle. This is particularly true of sentences 10 and 11.
$c$. At times there seems to be practically no difference between the form with the present participle and the simple tense form. See, for example, sentences 2 and 3.
d. At times the form with the present participle does not seem to have a satisfactory paral!el in the simple tense form. See, for example, sentence 4. This is, of course, especially true of those instances also in which the adjectival quality of the present participle is most prominent. Such sentences are
"I am weilling to defray all expenses" (9059)
"He was missing from home two weeks" (8257)
"Her memory is failing" (8095)
"The indictments are probably still pending" (8017)
There do not seem to be any differences in use in the instances from Standard English and those from the Vulgar English materials. The actual number of instances of the various forms of be with the present participle, appearing in our materials, is given in Table XXVI.

Although the total number of instances is not great enough to draw any valid statistical conclusions, the following statements seem justified:

1. Although more instances of this combination of $b e+$ present participle appear in the Vulgar English materials than in the Standard English letters, there is in the former a much narrower range of use than in the latter. As a matter of fact, 96 per cent of the Vulgar English instances are of the simple present and simple past tense form
[^110]TABLE XXVI

| Standard English |  | Vulgar English |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am, is, are + pres. part. $$ | $$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \end{array}$ | $\text { am, etc. }+ \text { being }+$ past part. |
| was, were + pres. part. $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{lr} \text { Sing. } & \text { Pl. } \\ \text { 1. } & 5 \\ \text { 1. } & 0 \\ \text { 2. } & 0 \\ \text { 2. } & 0 \\ \text { 3. } & 12 \\ \text { Total } & 2 \end{array} \end{array}$ | was, were + being + past part. Sing. Pl. <br> 1. 0 1. 0 <br> 2. $0 \quad$ 2. 0 <br> 3. 1 3. 0 <br> Total 1 | ```was, were + pres. part. Sing. Pl. 1. 8 1. } 2. 0 2. 0 3. 13 3. 0 Total``` | was, were + being + past part. <br> Total |
| have + been + pres. part. Sing. Pl. 1. 3 1. 0 <br> $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2. } & 0 & \text { 2. } & 0 \\ \text { 3. } & 2 & 3 . & 1\end{array}$ Total | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { have }+ \text { been }+ \text { be } \\ \text { ing + past part. } \\ \text { Sing. } & \text { Pl. } \\ & \\ \text { Total } & 0 \end{array}$ | have + been + pres. part. <br> Sing. Pl. <br> 1. 1 1. 1 <br> 2. 0 2. 0 <br> 3. $1 \begin{gathered}3.0 \\ \text { Total }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { have + been + be- } \\ & \text { ing + past part. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $h a d+$ been + pres. part. Sing. Pl. <br> 1. 0 1. 0 <br> 2. 0 2. 0 <br> 3. $3 \begin{gathered}\text { 3. } 0 \\ \text { Total }\end{gathered}$ <br> Total 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { had + been }+ \text { be- } \\ \text { ing + past part. } \\ \text { Sing. Pl. } \\ \text { Total } 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { had }+ \text { been }+ \text { pres. } \\ & \text { part. } \\ & \text { Sing. } \quad \text { Pl. } \\ & \text { Total } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { had }+ \text { been }+ \text { be }- \\ & \text { ing }+ \text { past part. } \end{aligned}$ |
| will, etc. + be + pres. part. Sing. Pl. 1. 1 1. 0 <br> 2. $0 \quad$ 2. 0 <br> 3. 1 3. 0 <br> Total 2 | Total 0 | will, etc. + be + pres. part. <br> Sing. Pl. <br> 1. 2 1. 0 <br> 2. 0 2. 0 <br> 3. 1 3. 0 <br> Total | Total 0 |
| Inf. to $b e+$ pres. part. <br> Total 2 | Total 0 | Total 0 | Total |
| Total 92 | 15 | 147 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 107 | 147 |  |

of the verb to be with the present participle, i. e., of am, is, are + present participle, or was, were + present participle. In the Standard English materials 74 per cent of the instances are of this kind. Here again Vulgar English shows itself more conservative than Standard

English, for these were the only forms with the present participle that occurred in the older stages of English.
2. Fourteen per cent of the instances appearing in the Standard English letters are of the so-called "passive" voice. Not a single instance of this type appeared in the Vulgar English letters.

## J. Get, in its various forms, with the present participle, expressing inchoative action

As indicated above (pages 170-i71) the word get, like other words of "possession," has not unly taken on a great variety of meaning but has tended to become a function word used with both the present and the past participles and the infinitive form of verbs. In its use as a function word with the present participle it is recorded by the Oxford I. ictionary from the early part of the eighteenth century. ${ }^{54}$ The combination appears to express inchoative or beginning action. Only one instance appeared in our materials and that in the Standard English letters.
"A number of years ago this soldier got fooling with liquor" (8296)
K. Kcep, in its various forms, with the present participle, expressing continuous or repetitive action
Keep, another word having to do with possession, has also developed a wide range of meaning. The Oxford Dictionary gives forty one separately numbered senses and seventeen combinations with prepositions, that have specialized meanings. As a function word used with the present participle form of a verb to express continuous or repetitive action, it is recorded from the end of the eighteenth century. ${ }^{55}$ Only two examples appeared in our materials, one from the Standard English letters and one from those of Vulgar English.
"__kept muttering to himself during the whole trial" (7451)
"The reason I left - was to come here to get my Wife to come

[^111]with me but when I got here why she kep putting it off until Sunday night" (8243)

## L. Be , in its various forms, with the past participle, the so-called "passive voice"

The usual statement in the common school grammars of the combination of $b e$, in its various forms, with the past participle is fairly represented by the following quotation:
"We called . . . the past participle also the 'passive' participle, because it usually marks the thing described by it as 'suffering,' or 'enduring,' or being the object of, action defined by the verb. . . . Now, by putting this passive participle along with all the various forms, simple and compound, of the verb be, we make a set of verbphrases which are usually called the passive conjugation of the verb, because by means of them we take what is the object of any verbal form in the ordinary conjugation, and turn it into a subject, representing it as enduring or suffering the action expressed by that verbal form." ${ }^{56}$

One difficulty with such a statement is the fact that there are many examples in English at every stage in its history in which the past participle remains fully adjectival and does not form the so-called passive voice. Examples from the materials examined for this study are
"he was gone for some little time" (8114)
"the other countries of Europe were unprepared" (9017)
"he is inclined to cross bridges before they are reached" (9000)
"signed by - and - who are entirely disinterested" (8203)
"My military education is limited and has been largely selfacquired" (9021)

[^112]```
"both of the parents are advanced in years" (8183)
"Mr. _ was very greatly attached to his children" (8296)
"her son was connected with an express company" (8095)
"Men who serve in the Army . . . are more interested in their gov-
    ernment and the welfare of the nation" (9012)
"he is colored and his age is . . ." (8314)
"he is mistaken about C__ being his birth place" (8151)
"they are so devoted to each other" (8028)
"he was gone a little over 7 months" (8246)
"I am so disheartened when I think of what . . ." (8153)
"I am crippled in my limbs and not able to work" (8201)
```

The difference between a past participle which remains a predicate adjective after the veib to be and one that can be looked upon as forming a passive with the function word be may be illustrated by the sentence, "The man was lost in the woods." If the context is such that the attention centers upon the fact of the man's situation (the fact that he is in the lost condition), the lost is a predicate adjective; if, however, the context is such that the attention centers upon an antecedent activity "that some one or some thing caused this situation," then the expression can be looked upon as a "passive." The so-called "passive," then, is largely a matter of context, not a matter of form.

Another difficulty with the statement quoted is the fact that it does not seem to cover the especially significant use of this combination of $b e$ with the past participle. This function word be with the past participle of a full word verb is a device which makes possible a certain freedom within the fixed word order of expressing an actor-action-goal construction. As will be shown below (Chapter $\mathbf{X}$ ), the distinguishing of the substantive that is actor from that that is goal in Modern English rests solely upon word order. The difference between the "The man struck the bear" and "The bear struck the man" depends upon the fact that in the first instance the word man is shown to be the "starting point" of the action by its position before the verb, and the word bear is shown to be the "ending point" by its position after the verb. It is not possible to reverse the relative positions of the two substantives and maintain the same relations for these substantives if one keeps the same verb word or the same verb form. One can in many cases, however, because of the existence in English of many contrasting
pairs of verb words, reverse the order and use a contrasting verb. For example,

The water wet the sponge.
The sponge absorbed the water.
In these two sentences the actual fact that is stated seems to be the same. In the first, however, water is the "starting point" of the construction and sponge the "ending point" or "goal." In the second, sponge is the "starting point" and water is the "ending point." This shift of order and therefore of point of view while maintaining the expression of the same fact is accomplished by using a contrasting verb word. ${ }^{57}$ In many cases contrasting pairs of words make possible such an easy shifting of order and point of view.

The soldier followed the captain.
The captain $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { preceded } \\ \text { led }\end{array}\right\}$ the soldier.
But the language does not depend upon the existence of such word pairs to effect this shifting of word order and point of view in the actor-action-goal construction. For each verb that can be used in this construction there are in Modern English two forms, and these also make possible this shifting of word order and point of view. The first is the "simple" verb form; the second is the past participle of this verb preceded by the function word be as the tense bearer.

The water wet the sponge.
The sponge is (was, etc.) wet.
The man gave the boy the money. ${ }^{58}$
The boy was given the money.
The money was given the boy.
As shown above, this shift of order and point of view by means of this particular function word and verb form implies a "passive" only in certain contexts.

The following are some examples of the function word be + the past participle that appeared in the letters examined for this study:

[^113]
## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I am aware that I am not accounted for on June 30 -_" (9007)
"I was personally and intimately acquainted with him" (8060)
"We were told that Mrs. - . . ." (8240)
"I am physically qualified to resume flying" (9031)
"I am convinced of the justice of . . ." (8234)
"I personally am opposed to connecting . . ." (9032)
"I am not interested in the future" (9061)
"I am informed Mr. - is in failing health" (8163)
"If I cannot be granted this . . ." (9024)
"I am given to understand that . . ." (7321)
"I should have been consulted . . ." (9010)
"a letter from - was sent me" (9050)
"Register cards are made in all cases" (9002)
"the address in pencil was mistaken" (9063)
"A very limited experience was had in the basic duties of . . ." (9019)
"my middle name in the commission is misspclled" (9036)
"The room is poorly furnished . . ." (8095) (8027)
"Twenty-five days of leave are accrued to my credit" (9019)
"his resignation was very reluctantly accepted" (9013)
"He stayed on the job until these supplies were obtained" (9009)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

". . . and help me here at - where I am well known" (8094)
"I am to be sent to __" (8198)
"I was obliged to give up work" (8265)
"i was hit with automobile laste weeke" (8133)
"I was apointed his gardine" (8025)
"I was told to write to you" (8074)
"I am married now" (8112)
"Lately his father was ruptured and . . ." (8233)
"I was very much displeased" (8285)
"his Mother is sick and worried since he left home" (8101)
"my health is failed from over work" (8235)
"my employment is shut down" (8079)
"all mail is returned uncalled for" (8149)
"The work his step Father followed is done now" (8218)
"he will never be allowed to vote or . . ." (8038)
". . . how much a month he was paid" (8218)
"-was born here in . . ." (8151)
The actual number of instances of this be + past participle found in our materials is given in Table XXVII.

TABLE XXVII

| Standard English |  |  | Vulgar English |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With subject in |  |  | With subject in |  |  |
| 1st person . ..... | 156 | 21.9\% | 1st person ...... | 27 | 23\% |
| 2nd person ..... | 3 |  | 2nd person ...... | 0 |  |
| 3rd person. |  |  | 3rd person ...... |  |  |
| Persons 150 |  |  | Persons 73 |  |  |
| Neuters 403 | 553 | 77.6\% | Neuters 17 | 90 | 77\% |
| Total | 712 |  | Total | 117 |  |

From these figures the following statements seem justified:

1. The function word $b e+$ past participle is used much more frequently in the Standard English materials than in those of Vulgar English. In fact there were found just six times as many instances in the former as in the latter.
2. This construction appeared very rarely with a subject in the second person (three instances in Standard English; none in Vulgar English).
3. The difference in distribution of the instances found in the two sets of material was striking. In both the Standard English materials and in those of Vulgar English there is approximately the same percentage of instances with a subject in the first person ( 21.9 per cent in Standard English; 23 per cent in Vulgar English) and with a subject in the third person ( 77.6 per cent in Standard English; 77 per cent in Vulgar English). But when the instances with a subject in the third person are separated into those in which the subjects are "persons" and those in which the subjects are "things" (neuters) the contrast between the two sets of materials is great. In the Standard English materials, 150 or 27 per cent are instances in which "persons" are subjects and 403 or 73 per cent in which "things" (neuters) are subjects. In the Vulgar English letters, however, seventy three or 81 per cent are instances in which "persons" are subjects and only seventeen or 19 per cent are instances in which "things" (neuters) are subjects.

## M. Get, in its various forms, with the past participle

Get as a function word with the past participle form of the verb is recorded by the Oxford Dictionary from the middle of the seventeenth century. ${ }^{\text {b9 }}$ As in the case of the other uses of get (see above

[^114]pages 170 and 171 this particular combination of get with the past participle appears in both the Standard English materials and those of Vulgar English. Again, however, it occurs more frequently in Vulgar English. Ten instances, in all, were found; three from the Standard English letters and seven from those of Vulgar English.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"The fact that my pay will soon be reduced is going to make it difficult . . . for me to get settled" (9033)
"I was in Columbus arranging for . . . and getting settled" (9033)
"but should I ever get detailed in that department, I would feel very pleased to . . ." (7512)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"my crop got all wasied away" (8037)
"I got crippled with a horse" (8037)
"he got killed last fall driving a truck" (8246)
"if he gets discharged I no I would have help" (8205)
". . . nothing could be done until he got tried" (8153)
"and help us to get located at a home of our own" (8094)
"and come to me . . . and get acquainted with our Relatives" (8094)
> $N$. Have, in its various forms, with the past participle, forming the so-called "perject tenses"

The use of have as a function word with the past participle seems to have arisen out of have in its full word meaning of "possession" followed by an object substantive modified by the past participle of a transitive verb. This participle often carried the accusative case inflection as in the following sentence:
hie hæfdon hira cyning aworpenne
(they had their king deposed)
This use of have with the participle is much like such Modern English sentences as the following:
"because they had a child born about the same time" (8203)
"I have two months leave accrued" (9058)

[^115]"she had her hand caught in the door of a street car" (8095)
"so as not to have my pay held up" (9030)
Somewhat similar, too, are those instances in which the word have is used in the sense of "to cause, procure, or oblige (something to be done)" and is followed by a substantive and the past participle of a transitive verb.
"They will have the papers prepared that you require" (8160)
". . . do my best to have this account settled" (9033)
"We are having a cataract operation performed on Mr. " (8207)
"I would like to have them returned" (9027)
Even in Old English, however, there are instances in which the have is followed immediately by a past participle of a transitive verb in conditions that appear to be equivalent to our present-day "perfect tense."

> Augustinus hæfde genumen wealhstodas of Francena rice.
> (Augustine had taken interpreters from [the] Franks' kingdom)

But in general in Old English the have was used only with the past participles of transitive verbs. With intransitive verbs the verb $b e$ was used especially with verbs of motion. In early Middle English, however, the word have is found with verbs of action without an object and then with intransitive verbs, especially with the verb $b e$. Verbs of motion long continued to have the verb be rather than have to express "completed" action. "He is gone" and "he was gone" are common in Present-day English as well as "he has gone" and "he had gone."

That have (when joined with the past participle) has lost its full word meaning and has become a function word for the expression of completed action seems clear from the not infrequent instances of its use with the participle had (about 8 per cent of the total number of instances).

[^116]"his father has had an accident" (8255)
"We have had conclusive proof of this in recent years" (8063)
"The only support I had had was from . . ." (8079)
The number of instances of the function word have + the past participle that occur in our materials is given in Table XXVIII.

TABLE XXVIII

| Standard English |  |  | Vulgar English |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have + past part. of "transitive" verb: <br> have + had ....... <br> have + been + p.p. <br> have + p.p. of other "transitive" verbs <br> Total $\qquad$ |  |  | Have + past part. of "transitive" verb: have + had ..... have + been + p.p. have + p.p. of other "transitive" verbs . . . . Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39 | 8.8\% |  | 16 | 7.8\% |
|  | 88 | 20.0 |  | 16 | 7.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.32 | 52.4 |  | 104 | 50.3 |
|  | 354 | 81.2\% |  | 136 | 66.3\% |
|  |  |  | Have + past part. of |  |  |
| Have + past part. of "intransitive" verb: |  |  | "intransitive" <br> verb: |  |  |
| have + been ..... | 49 | 11.0\% | have + been | 54 | 26.4\% |
| have + p.p. of other "intransitive" |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { have + p.p. of } \\ & \text { other "intransi- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| verbs ....... | 34 | 7.8 | tive" verbs | 15 | 7.3 |
| Total | 83 | 18.8\% | Total | 69 | 33.7\% |
| Grand total | 442 |  | Grand total | 205 |  |

From this survey the following facts seem especially interesting:

1. Have + past participle was used much more frequently in Standard English than in Vulgar English. As shown earlier in this book (page 68) the past participle occurs nearly four times as frequently in the Standard English letters as in those of Vulgar English. $B e+$ past participle (see page 192) occurs more than six times as frequently in Standard English as in Vulgar English. But have + past participle occurs only twice as frequently in the former as in the latter ( 442 in the Standard English letters as against 205 in those of Vulgar English). Here again Vulgar English shows itself to be more conservative than Standard English.
2. The facts concerning the distribution of the instances that occur in the two sets of material are especially interesting. Remarkable similarity between the two shows itself in three situations.
a. Have + had. In Standard English 8.8 per cent of the instances are of this kind; in Vulgar English 7.8 per cent.
b. Have + past participles of "other transitive verbs." In Standard English 52.4 per cent of the instances; in Vulgar English 50.7 per cent. The have + past participle of "transitive" verbs was the earliest form in which this combination appeared in English, and it still accounts for more than half of the instances.
c. Have + past participle of "other intransitive verbs." In Standard English 7.8 per cent of the instances; in Vulgar English 7.3 per cent.
3. The two sets of material differ sharply in two situations.
a. Have + been + past participle. Here the number of instances in the Standard English letters greatly exceeds that of the instances from the Vulgar English materials (in Standard English 20 per cent of the instances as against 7.8 per cent of the instances in Vulgar English).
b. Have + the past participle been. Here is the one situation in which the number of instances from the Vulgar English letters exceeds that of the instances from the Standard English materials. (In Standard English only 11 per cent of the instances as against 26.4 per cent of the instances in Vulgar English.)

Some examples of these uses of have + past participle are the following:

1. Have + Past Participle of "Other Transitive Verbs"

Standard english
"He has written you the enclosed letter" (8144)
"they have not paid the taxes for this year" (8283)
"I have taken a personal interest in this case" (8267)
". . . how long we have lived there" (8280)
"he had never received any pay for this visit" (8240)
"she had already prepared affidavits" (8240)
"we had talked it over" (9040)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

```
"he has always minded me" (9025)
"i have lost the use of . . ." (8281)
"I have not received an answer" (8259)
"we have raised him ever since . . ." (8084)
"saying he had passed the last board" (8038)
"as I had previously asked him" (8070)
```


## 2. Have + Past Participle of "Other Intransitive Verbs"

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"It has just come to my attention" (9051)
"her husband has become helpless" (8189)
"the trunk has not arrived at _-" (9052)
"Had my wife remained at _—" (9052)
"if any question had arisen" (9010)
"my name has never appeared in . . ." (9022)
"an infection had set in" (6406)
VULGAR ENGLISH
". . . would see what has became of my son" (8015)
"that poor mother has went and got a job" (8005)
"health . . . has become worse" (8251)
"I have come to a point . . ." (8079)
"we did not know where he had gon to" (8193)
". . . sorry such a thing has happened" (8281)

## 3. Have + been + Past Participle

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I have been informed that I would shortly . . ." (9033)
"my duties . . . have been very limited" (9019)
"my earned leave will have been nearly all used" (9001)
"If this account has not been paid" (9057)
"the solution that had been proposed" (9057)
"this authority had been requested and granted" (9040)
"the requisition had been previously submitted to . . ." (9040)
"that I had been granted the remainder of the month . . ." (9007)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I have been devorced for several years" (8259)
"He has been discharged since we were married" (8127)
"His mother has been worried about him" (8136)
"I have been transferred back" (8039)
"a couple of his classmates had been informed" (8251)
4. Have + the Past Participle been

STANDARD ENGLISH
"he has been out of employment for . . ." (8283)
"he has always been lenient with her" (8144)
"my service has been continuous" (9022)
"he had not been home for eight months" (8142)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"He has not been in good health" (8072)
"-has always been a good boy" (8074)
"his Mother has Bin Did 3 years" (8277)
"i have Bin sick for over a year" (8155)
"until he had been in there for sometime" (8067)
"If he had been well enough to come" (8193)

$\mathbb{I X}$<br>\section*{THE USES OF FUNCTION WORDS: WITH ADJECTIVES AND WORD GROUPS}<br>THREE MISCELLANEOUS FUNCTION WORDS

As may be seen from the two chapters preceding this one, the function words have become for Modern English an exceedingly important grammatical device. They are used freely with nouns to indicate a great variety of relationships that formerly were expressed by inflections or the forms of words. With verbs, the function words play an even more important rôle and are used to signal a number of aspects of verbal action, and attitudes toward that action, that do not seem to have formal expression in the earlier stages of English. There are, however, still other uses of function words in Modern English, and these will be considered in this chapter. These are
I. Function Words Used with Adjectives
II. Function Words Used with Word Groups, the So-Called Conjunctions
III. Three Miscellaneous Function Words: it, there, one

## I. THE FUNCTION WORDS USED WITH ADJECTIVES

In a former chapter (pages 96-101) we have already touched the matter of the function words used with adjectives in connection with the topic "The Comparison of Adjectives." There it was shown that the inflections for comparison -er and -est have in some measure been supplanted by the function words more and most acting somewhat as inflection equivalents. The actual situation in respect to this development of the function word is given in Table XXIX.

In general, the function word rather than the inflection is used with the less familiar and more learned words, ${ }^{1}$ although there

[^117]TABLE XXIX

| Standard English |  |  |  | Vulgar English |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflection |  | Function word |  | Inflection |  | Function word |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text {-er } \\ & -e s t \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.4 \% \\ & 47.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | more most | $\begin{aligned} & 43.6 \% \\ & 53.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-er } \\ & -e s t \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | more most | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 11 \% \end{aligned}$ |

are many words with which either method of comparison is possible. ${ }^{2}$ As the figures show, the use of the function word seems to be especially characteristic of Standard English, for approximately half of the instances from the Standard English letters appear with the function word and but one tenth of the instances from the Vulgar English materials. Here again Vulgar English is more conservative than Standard English, for from the Early Modern English period the drift in English has been slowly toward an increasing proportion of instances that use the function word rather than inflection for comparison.

The use of the function word in the matter of comparison, however, is not the only use of the function word with the adjective in Modern English. There are those function words that have been called "intensives" and "down-toners," ${ }^{3}$ or sometimes "adverbs of degree." In general the process of their development seems to be as follows. If two adjectives stand next to one another as in "the dark green house," the first tends to become a modifier of the second. The active force in this process seems to be the pressure of word order for modification. As will be shown below (pages 270-277), the pattern of Modern English is that single words modify the words immediately following.

The force of this word order pattern has shown itself in a great variety of instances. Thus very, originally in English an adjective meaning "true," as in Chaucer's description of the knight, "a verray parfit gentil knight" ( = a true, perfect, well-born knight) or in his "And if that it a verray angel be" ( = and if that it a real angel be), wherever it stood before another adjective tended

[^118]to lose its full word meaning of "true," "real," "genuine," and become a function word of degree. Very is in Present-day English the most frequently used function word of degree. In similar fashion pretty, an adjective originally meaning "cunning" or "crafty," then "clever," "skilful," and later "pleasing," "comely," wherever it stood before another adjective has since the sixteenth century tended to lose its full word meaning and become a function word of degree as in the following quotations: ${ }^{4}$

## pretty

"I'll take pretty good care of you." Sheridan St. Patr. Day in. ii.
"I gave you, I remember, a pretty full account of all but her name, in my letter." Shaftesbury Characteristics I. 48.
"If such be the law, we are pretty sure it is not the law Parliament intended to make.". Law Q. Rev. (1896) July. 201.
Very similar has been the development of the word mighty. From an adjective meaning "powerful," mighty (and almighty) like very has become an intensifying function word.
mighty
"Tomorrow . . . wyll I cause a mightie greate hayle to rayne." Coverdale Exod. ix. 18.
"You are a mighty good obedient thing." De Foe Fam. Instruct. (ed. 1841) i. iv. 91.
"This is all mighty fine." Dickens O. Twist xlix.
"a mighty late hour" Stevenson Men \& Books 206.
"The coffee is almighty hot." Henry James The American 1. 79.
Examples of other words used as intensifying function words or function words of degree with the full word meanings fading out under the pressure of the word order pattern for modification are the following:
right
"the right gentell, right graciouse, and right confortable lettres" Paston Letters I. 72.
"There is also a ryght good exercise, which is also expedient to lerne." Sir Thomas Elyot The Governor I. xvii. 201.
"I was right glad . . . to see your writing again." Coleridge Lett. (ed. 1895) 336.
"And right interesting it is to observe . . ." Lytteil Landmarks III. viii. 142.

[^119]Similar also is right in forms of address such as "Right Reverend," "Right Honorable," etc.
real
"An opportunity of doing a real good office . . ." J. Fox Wanderer No. 17. 116.
"The burning of three real good and substantial houses in this town . . ." Mrs. Griffith Hist. Lady Barton II. 283.
"Last Friday was a real fine day." R. H. Froude Rem. (ed. 1838) I. 448.
"It looks real nice." G. Allen Babylon vi.
"real wicked . . . real stubborn" Hall Caine The Christian 75.
stark
"Everybody was, for the moment, stark mad on the subject of Porteous." Scott Hrt. Midl. vi.
"It fell stark calm." W. Scoresby Jrnl. 390.
"And, stark awake, with beating heart He put the hawthorn twigs apart." Morris Earthly Par. II. III. 45.
dead
"We were dead silent on that head." Carlyle Reminiscences I. 157.
"let a stockbroker be dead stupid about poetry" Stevenson Virginibus Puerisque 241.
"I thought it was a dead sure thing." Gilbert Parker The Right of Way 36.
"In a few minutes it fell dead calm." R. H. Dana Bef. Mast x. 24.
"Her engines were going dead slow." Times [London] 25 July (1881) 4/5.

## precious

"While on the Continent I have received precious few letters." Asa Gray Lett. (ed. 1893) I. 268.
"I . . . took precious good care to have it." Thackeray Fatal Boots viii.
"society makes precious short work of the cads" Shaw Plays Unpleasant 213.
"life is a dream, a precious poor dream at times" H. G. Wells The Time Machine 147.

## terrible

"The weather being terrible hot . . ." Sir T. Herbert Trav. 5.
"We were so terrible good as to take James in our carriage." Jane Austen Lett. (ed. 1884) I. 126.
"your ale is terrible strong" Swift Polite Conversation 159.
Similar to terrible is the development of awjul, with the later form also, awfully.
"He will have made an awfully bad choice if he comes to be sentenced to be hanged." Gen. P. Thompson Exerc. (ed. 1842) I. 238.
"In the way of money-making . . . he is awfully clever." Lang Wand. India 154.
"You'll be awfully glad to get rid of me." Black Green Past, ii. 15.

## devilish

"The cur is divelishe hungrie." Massinger Beleeve as you list IV. iii.
"Taking devilish long strides" De Foe Crusoe (ed. 1840) I. xx. 353.
"I have seen devilish little of the man." Stevenson Dr. Jekyll ii.
"I've been devilish annoyed about it." Thackeray The Newcomes 303.

It is by this process also that the word damned originally meaning "condemned" and "accursed" has become an intensifying function word.
damned
"I believe she's damned fond of me" Thackeray Van. Fair xiii.
"Damn'd's the superlative degree; Means that alone and nothing more. . . . Examples we may find enough, Damn'd high, damn'd low, damn'd fine, damn'd stuff." Lloyd Satyr \& Pedlar Poet. Wks. I. 57.

The adjective good also becomes an intensive function word as in the following quotation:
good
"It will take a good long time to bring them right." Daily News [London] 16 July (1885) 4/7.

But with the word good and the word nice the process extends even farther, for in sentences in which good or nice is joined by and with another adjective, the good and and the nice and together become the intensive function word.

## good and, nice and

"when it was good and dark" Mark Twain Huckleberry Finn 1. 78. "I was good and tired" Ibid. 1. 152.
"You'll be nice and ill in the morning" D. Jerrold Mrs. Caudle ii. "The boy was bad. Yes! He was good and bad."

In general, it seems fair to say that any adjective no matter what its original meaning, if placed immediately before another adjective, will tend, because of the pressure of the word order
pattern to indicate the direction of modification, to lose its full word meaning and become an intensifying function word. There is, afterward, it seems, considerable pressure to add an -ly ending to such "adverbs" of degree, and "awful good" becomes "awfully good," "tremendous large" becomes "tremendously large," "real wicked" becomes "really wicked," and so on.

The instances of intensifying modifiers that occur in the letters examined here can be grouped as follows. More and most which have been dealt with above (pages 98 and 99) are not included here.

```
                A. Intenstfiers with "-ly" Ending + Adjective
```


## Standard English

"absolutely truthful" (9007)
"considerably larger" (9033)
"duly grateful" (9023)
"especially fit" (7231)
"extremely careful" (9006)
"fairly accurate" (9003)
"largely self-acquired" (9021)
"nearly continuous" (9001)
"nearly all" (9001)
"palpably inappropriate" (9010)
"practically abandoned" (9030)
"practically unchanged" (9006)
"strongly otherwise" (9030)
"terribly disappointed" (9019)
"widely scattered" (9010) Etc.

Vulgar English
"entirely alone" (8094)
"hardly able" (8052)
"nearly three weeks" (8265)
"nearly 50 years" (8187)
"perfectly able" (8256)
"really necessary" (8152)

22

```
Total 6
```


## B. Intensifiers without "-ly" Ending + Adjective

Standard English
"almost necessary" (9063)
"better satisfied" (9020)
"better qualified" (9042)
"far distant" (9089)
"far reaching" (9063)
"much excited" (9000)
"much interested" (9014)
"quite sure" (9018)
"quite presentable" (9018)
"quite possible" (9005)
"so glad" (9018)

Vulgar Englisk
"all right" (8039)
"almost crazy" (8204)
"almost dead" (8288)
"awful bad" (8016) (8087) (8021)
"ofel hard" (8205)
"awful sorry" (8024)
". . . has been bad sick" (8016)
"better satisfied" (8082)
". . . is but eighteen years" (8257)

| Standard English | English |
| :---: | :---: |
| "somewhat stances" $\begin{gathered}\text { straitened } \\ (9023)\end{gathered}$ circum- | "the - is but seventeen years" (8097) |
| "too bad" (9011) | "ittle more" (8246) |
| "very successful" (9008) | "mighty hard" (8152) |
| "very exceptional" (9009) | "much longer" (8072) (8265) |
| "very minor" (9007) | "part dependent" (8036) |
| "very desirous" (9029) | "pretty bad" (8087) |
| "very necessary" (9027) | "pretty good" (8251) |
| "well fitted" (9020) | "pretty well" (8053) |
| "well-nigh impossible" (9032) | "quite necessary" (8072) |
| Etc. | "real bad" (8016) |
|  | "right good" (8324) |
|  | "so old" (8005) |
|  | "so bad" (8244) (8246) |
|  | "so thankful" (8028) |
|  | "such hot climate" (8250) |
|  | "too long" (8038) |
|  | "too young" (8218) (8270) |
|  | "very thin" (8250) |
|  | "very ill" (8288) (8024) |
|  | "well disciplined" (8063) |
|  | Etc. |
| Total . ............... . 47 | Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 157 |

$\qquad$ Total157
C. Adjective + "Enough"

Standard English
"good enough" (9011)

Vulgar English
"old enough" (8067) (8084) (8176) (8264)
"large enough" (8251)
Total 5
Grand Total 167

Some points of difference between the practice of Standard English and that of Vulgar English as revealed in our materials are as follows:

1. The pressure to add -ly to intensifiers modifying adjectives is especially strong in Standard English.
2. The use of intensifiers without the -ly ending, although it appears in both sets of materials, is much greater in Vulgar English. There are not only more than three times as many instances in Vulgar English, but also a much greater variety of separate words. Some of the words that appeared in the Vulgar English materials and not
in Standard English are all, awful, bad, but, mighty, part, pretty, real, right, such.
3. So as an intensifier modifying an adjective, not followed by a that clause, is found in both Standard English and Vulgar English.s

## II. THE FUNCTION WORDS USED WITH WORD GROUPS -THE SO-CALLED CONJUNCTIONS

A conjunction is often defined as "a word that joins together sentences or parts of a sentence." ${ }^{6}$ Conjunctions are therefore also words whose chief meanings lie in the grammatical functions they indicate, and, in spite of the fact that there is considerable independent "meaning" in some of them, we shall include them all here as "the function words used with word groups." The particular word groups with which these function words are used are sentences and those "parts of a sentence," frequently called "clauses," which also have the formal characteristics of sentences. The word groups, then, with which we are concerned here are those in which there are two essential elements, (a) a word with the formal marks of a substantive, and (b) a word with such a tense form as marks a finite verb. Table XXX gives the complete list of these function words that were found in the letters examined for this study and the number of occurrences of each.

## TABLE XXX

Function Words with Word Groups

| In the Standard English Materials |  | In the Vulgar English Materials |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and ............. 474 | 485 | and ............. 707 | 716 |
| and also ............. 4 |  | and also ............ ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| and then ............ 2 |  | and then ........... 2 |  |
| and consequently ...... ${ }^{3}$ |  | and consequently .... 0 |  |
| and so ............. 1 |  | and so $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .1$ |  |
| and therefore ......... 1 |  | and therefore ........ 1 |  |
| and still ............. 0 |  | and still ............ 2 |  |

[^120]
## TABLE XXX (Continued)

| In the Standard English Materials |  | In the Vulgar English Materials |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| also | 3 | also . | 0 |
| nor | 2 | nor .................. 0 |  |
|  |  | or ................. 1 | 1 |
| but | 66 | but | 87 |
| yet | 1 | yet | 0 |
| then | 3 | then ................... | 4 |
| therefore | 6 | therefore ............... | 2 |
| however ................. | 9 | however ................. | 0 |
| Total | 575 | Total | 810 |
| after | 9 | after ................... | 6 |
| although ........ 2 |  | although ....... 1 | 2 |
| though ........ 5 | 7 | though ....... 1 |  |
| as ...................... | 88 | as ..................... | 138 |
| because | 3 | because 6 cause 1 ...... | 7 |
| before | 6 | before ................... | 10 |
| for | 1 | for | 53 |
| how | 3 | how . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 19 |
| if | 102 |  | 171 |
| like | 1 | like ..................... | 5 |
| provided .................. | 3 | provided . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 |
| since | 12 | since ................... | 23 |
| so | 17 | so ..................... | 105 |
| than | 2 | than | 3 |
| that . | 414 | that ................. | 185 |
| unless | 4 | unless .................. | 6 |
| until | 7 | until 12 till $3 \ldots . . . .$. . | 15 |
| what | 19 | what ................... | 44 |
| when | 36 | when .................. | 48 |
| where | 19 | where 25 everywhere 1.. | 26 |
| whether | 10 | whether | 2 |
| which | 121 | which .................. | 31 |
| while | 25 | while | 7 |
| who | 79 | who | 47 |
| why ...................... | 6 | why . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6 |
| Total | 994 | Total | 959 |
| in case ............... 7 |  |  |  |
| in as much as ........... 8 |  |  |  |
| in order that .......... 6 |  |  |  |
| in the event that ....... 4 |  |  |  |
| in so far that .......... 1 | 26 |  |  |
| GRAND total ............ | $\overline{1595}$ | grand total ............ | 1769 |


| From the Standard English <br> Materials | From the Vulgar English <br> Materials |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clauses with no function word, in <br> which that might be used .... | 78 | Clauses with no function word <br> in which that might be used |
| Conditional clauses with no func- <br> tion word but with inverted <br> word order .............. | 5 | Conditional clauses with no <br> function word, but with in- <br> verted word order ........ |

Some significant facts in the figures of this table seem to be the following:

1. Of the thirty two function words here listed for the Standard English materials seven account for 1,353 or 84.9 per cent of the instances, and five more, or twelve in all, account for 92.2 per cent of the instances. These particular function words are

| and | 485 instances |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| that | 414 | " |  |
| which | 121 | " |  |
| if | 102 | " |  |
| as | 88 | " |  |
| who | 79 | " |  |
| but | 66 | " |  |
|  | 1355 | " | or $84.9 \%$ |
| when | 36 | " |  |
| while | 25 | " |  |
| what | 19 | " |  |
| where | 19 | " |  |
| so | 17 | " |  |
|  | 1471 | ${ }^{*}$ | or $92.2 \%$ |

2. Strikingly similar in totals are the figures for the Vulgar English materials, although the figures for the various words differ significantly. Here again seven words account for 1,455 or 82.2 per cent of the instances, although they are not the same seven words as appeared most frequently in the Standard English letters. In the Vulgar English letters twelve words also accounted for 93.3 per cent of the total instances.

| and | 716 instances |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| that | 185 | " |  |
| if | 171 | " |  |
| as | 138 | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| so | 105 | * |  |
| but | 87 | $\cdots$ |  |
| for | 53 | ${ }^{4}$ |  |
|  | $\overline{1455}$ | $\cdots$ | or $\mathbf{8 2 . 2 \%}$ |


| when | 48 | " |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| who | 47 | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| what | 44 | $\cdots$ |  |
| which | 31 | " |  |
| where | 26 | " |  |
|  | 1651 | " | or $93.3 \%$ |

3. The very figures show certain differences between the Standard English materials and those of Vulgar English.
a. Although the two lists of the twelve most frequently used function words differ only in one word (while in the Standard English list does not appear in the Vulgar English list, and for of the Vulgar English list does not appear in that for Standard English), the order in which these words occur in the list differs in respect to the words so, which, and who. So appears about six times as frequently in the Vulgar English letters as in those of Standard English. Which appears about four times as frequently in the Standard English materials as in those of Vulgar English; and who about twice as often.
b. As might be expected, the word and appears about 50 per cent more frequently in the Vulgar English materials than in those of Standard English.
c. The actual total numbers of instances of the so-called "subordinating" conjunctions in the two sets of material are approximately equal. The usual assumption that Vulgar English does not use "complex" sentences with "subordinate" clauses is not borne out by these figures.
d. Especially noticeable is the greater frequency in Vulgar English of the use of as and so. As will be shown later, as is used in the Vulgar English letters especially as a "causal" conjunction. In this use it is two and one half times as frequent as it is in Standard English. So is used in Vulgar English especially in the sense of a loose therefore.
e. That appears to be used much more frequently in Standard English than in Vulgar English. In this connection one should point to the figures for those clauses in which no function word appears but in which a that might be used. There were 414 instances of that in the Standard English letters and but 185 instances of that in those of Vulgar English. On the other hand there were in the Standard English letters only seventy eight instances of clauses without a function word in which that might have been used, as against 206 such instances in the Vulgar

English letters. If these figures are put together, one would have 492 for Standard English and 391 for Vulgar English-not a very significant difference.
f. If appears more frequently in the Vulgar English letters, but the difference does not seem to be significant. In this connection one should point out that the conditional clauses with no function word $i f$, but with inverted word order, appeared only in the Standard English letters.
g. For some reason that is not apparent, for as a causal function word appeared fairly frequently in the Vulgar English letters (fifty three instances), and but once in those of Standard English.
h. Till, rather than until, and cause rather than because appeared only in the Vulgar English materials. Provided, yet, and however appeared only in those of Standard English.

It is usual to classify these function words that are used with clauses into what are called "coördinating" conjunctions and "subordinating" conjunctions, and, in accord with that common practice, the conventional two groups have been kept separate in the table. Although one can easily distinguish those clauses that stand at the extreme of the coördinate group from those that stand at the extreme of the subordinate group, it is difficult to draw a distinct line to separate the two. Where, for example, would one mark the division between coördinate and subordinate clauses in the following series? And why?
a. The house is large and the location will suit it.
b. The house is large and consequently the location will suit it.
c. The house is large thus the location will suit it.
d. The house is large but the location will suit it.
e. The house is large still the location will suit it.
f. The house is large yet the location will suit it.
g. The house is large therefore
$h$. The house is large however
i. The house is large
j. The house is large
k. As
l. Because
$m$. Since
n. Although
o. If
p. Provided
so
so that the house is large the location will suit it. the house is large the location will suit it. the house is large the location will suit it. the house is large the location will suit it. the house is large the location will suit it. the house is large the location will suit it.
q. Unless
r. In case
s. In as much as
the house is large the location will not suit it. the house is large the location will suit it. the house is large the location will suit it.

The difficulty of finding a reasonable set of criteria by which to separate coördinate from subordinate clauses and thus coördinating function words from those that are subordinating, argues that, in English, this distinction is really of practically no importance. Each of these function words signals a particular set of relationships between the clauses which it joins and the precise nature of the relationship is vitally important. Whether we further classify that relationship as a "coördinate" one or a "subordinate" one makes no difference whatever.

Another classification of these function words, however, does seem to have importance. It will be observed that in the series of sentences given above, the function words in the sentences $a$ to $j$ stand between the two clauses that are joined, but that those in the sentences $k$ to $s$ stand before the first of the two clauses that are brought together. Certain of these function words, notably those given in the sentences $a$ to $j$ and that in such uses as "He knows that it is not safe," than in comparisons, for, and the relative pronouns, appear only between the two clauses that they join. The rest of the function words listed, notably those in sentences $k$ to $s$, may appear either between the two clauses joined or before the first of the two clauses that are brought into relationship. For example, sentences $k$ to $s$ might also have had the following order:

The location will suit the house as the house is large.
because
since
although
if
provided
in case
in as much as
The location will not suit the house unless the house is large.
Some of the other function words that can appear either between the two clauses that are joined or before the first of the two related clauses are after, before, like, until, when, while, where, in order that.

Most of the words in our list of function words with word groups will need no special comment. It will be sufficient for the following words simply to give typical examples for each from the materials covered in this study: also, nor, but, yet, then, therefore, however, after, although, because, before, for, how, provided, than, unless, until, whether. For the following fourteen words, however, some comment seems necessary to indicate the variety of their use and they will be discussed below: and, as, if, like, since, so, that, what, when, where, which, while, who, why.
A. Examples of eighteen function words used with word groups also

STANDARD ENGLISH
". . . I have completed four years of college work with . . . degrees. Also I graduated in Business and Accounting from College" (9029)
"I will be called upon to take charge of . . . demonstrations; also will make some demonstrations myself" (9031)

## VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES

nor
STANDARD ENGLISH
"he is not able to work nor does he send her any money" (8144)
"his married sister denies having signed her consent to his papers nor had she any legal right to do so" (8234)

VULGAR ENGLISH—NO EXAMPLES
but

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"His education is limited, but I have not at any time had cause to doubt his integrity" (8296)
"-_ appears very much excited at the idea of being left alone in the office for a while but being inclined to cross bridges before they are reached, I am of the opinion he will by actual practice find it can be done" (9000)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"I dont ask Him to come back here to live but I want to go where he is liveing" (8151)
"Mother needs me at home but she had too much pride to say so" (8026)

## STANDARD ENGLISH

". . . even though he reaches his majority afterwards, yet he must be discharged for the reason that . . ." (8183)

VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES

## then

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"At first _ stated that after a thorough search he could find no record of my enlistment, then later he found where I had enlisted . . ." (9030)
". . . he promised to let it alone and for a number of months he did so. Then, through planted evidence . . . he was arrested" (8296)

## vulgar english

"I used to wash for a living then kept boarders" (8235)
"he was there several weeks then they sent him on to --" (8193)

## therefore

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"His parents being rather poor could not help him as much as he deserved, therefore, what he is he has made himself" (8060)
"These people had been educated to the belief, that the Emperor was first and supreme even before God, therefore they were willing to be led by such a man" (9017)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I am sickly and not able to do any work myself, therefore, I earnestly request . . ." (8127)
"I had previously asked him, therefore I decided . . ." (8070)

## however

## STANDARD ENGLISH

". . . I had absolutely no motive in not entering them, as all repairs . . . were to my mind necessary and I believe were obvious to - - as we had talked over the things needed several times. However, my omission was due to carelessness on my part and to nothing else" (9040)
"Owing to a change in my address said document was not received until Mar. 29. However I accept with pleasure the commission" (9042)

## STANDARD ENGLISF

"These people took this boy after his mother died" (8160)
"Father's death occurred after the boy enlisted" (8303)
"even after he confessed rather than have a trial, he was sentenced . . ." (8296)
"After you are through with the passport, kindly return it" (8267)
VULGAR ENGLISH
". . . heard from him one time after he arrived there" (8117)
"After my devorce was granted I resumed my maden name" (8259)
"After a man gets past 50 years old he cant get a job" (8246)
although, though

## STANDARD ENGLISH

". . . I would have supposed that he had arranged the matter correctly, even though I did not freely understand the proceedure" (9007)
"although I fully realize the great importance of the work being done . . . I feel that the long period . . ." (9064)
"they will not be inspired to become leaders or thinkers, though they are naturally bright" (8296)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"his Mother is sick and his Father unable to get any work although he has been trying every day" (8270)
"it takes quite a good bit even though I try to be careful" (8251)
because, (cause)

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I am taking the liberty of writing you a personal letter because I believe that the situation warrants such action" (9001)
"If this account has not been paid, it is because the bill never reached me" (9057)
"- is having trouble with his eyes, and because of this has been recommended for discharge" (9011) ${ }^{7}$

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"The reason we would like to find it out is because he has a big board bill to pay" (8088)
"I wish you could help me cause I want - with me if its possible" (8107)
"I am doing so because I feel it is my duty towards my son" (8153)

[^121]
## before

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"My parents moved to Ohio before I graduated" (9053)
"he was gone for some little time before she knew" (8114)

## vulgar english

"please let me here at once before I take other steps" (8025)
"he was making $\$ 17.00$ a week before he went . . ." (8053)
". . . if I had this to do over again I would quit before I started" (8039)

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"Were I to advise - I would say to him not to return . . . for the same influence . . . will continue to make his life unbearable" (8296)
Examples of what might be called an expanded function word with for as the first element of the compound function word (See also pages 115 and 116 above) are the following:
"-_ is applying for Joe's release for the reason that the father has become disabled" (8073)
"- must be discharged for the reason that he was a minor at the time of his enlistment" (8183)

> VULGAR ENGLISH
"please get him out for I need his help" (8280)
"you can get his Birth date in - for he quit school and got a paper to go to work" (8280)
"We will have to go to the County farm for we we have no Way of suport" (8155)
how
STANDARD ENGLISH
"I do not understand how this came about" (9010)
"-_ does not know how she will pay for fuel, light, gas. . . ." (8260)
"I cannot say how it was worded" (9007)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I cannot see how he ever passed the examination" (8021)
"let me know how soon he can come home" (8133)8
"give me some information of how I can get my son out" (8171)
"I have explained everything how we are at this time" (8005)
${ }^{8}$ Other similar examples with how much are in $8168,8250,8094$, with how long in 8280 .

## AMERICAN ENGLISH GRAMMAR

"The thing now is how he could get in through a lawyer" (8218)
"it will be a matter of Great importance how soon I can have him discharged" (8238)

## provided

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I request information as to . . . in order to arrange for leave provided I am likely to go to Japan" (9061)
"I was given verbal information that I could proceed provided the trip would be continuous" (9065)
"Provided this detail is given me, I am willing to give up my leave" (9028)

> VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES

## than

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"Due to my financial condition, I am more constrained than I otherwise would be to ask for . . ." (8056)
"Mother . . . states that dependency on him exists more at the present time than when he enlisted" (8081)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"I knead him even more than the service does" (8187)
"I dont think I could send better Proofs than I have of the way . . ." (8072)
unless

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"Regulations require that she be vaccinated against Typhoid and Paratyphoid, unless she can present evidence that she has received two complete vaccinations" (9055)
"Unless - comes home, Mrs. - does not know how she will pay for . . ." (8260)

```
VULGAR ENGLISH
```

"it is all I can do under the circumstances unless I was where he was borned" (8280)
"unless I have my boy I will have to give up my home" (8080)
until

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"He . . . works untiringly until his object is accomplished" (9009)
"he had attended school until he was probably eighteen years of age" (8095)

> vulgar enclish
"I will close until I get news from you" (8270)
"I always told him to waite until he was twenty-one" (8288)
"his mind is bad untill he dont remember his age" (8254)
"I held of until I could indure no longer" (8024)
"they Kept him in - till he rote for my consent" (8244)
"we did not know anything till we got telafone that he is going . . ." (8178)

## whether

STANDARD ENGLISH
"A board of medical officers is appointed to determine the degree of disability and whether it originated in line of duty" (9002)
"it is doubted whether her son - would return to his home" (8017)
"Whether it was received during a demonstration of a physical drill, or in lifting a gun trail is not known" (9006)
"Whether or not it be adopted, the fact that it is presented . . . should obtain . . . much newspaper publicity" (9036)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"the party did not say whether - could or could not come home" (8038)
"I do not know whether he is in -_ or not" (8186)

## B. Discussion of fourteen function words most frequently used with word groups

The fourteen function words to be discussed now are the twelve that were shown above to be those that accounted for approximately 93 per cent of the instances in the materials examined and two others, like, and since.
and
The function word and is often looked upon as the one conjunction that is strictly coördinate, acting simply as a plus sign to add together two sentences or clauses or other word groups (or even separate words) that are exactly alike in structure or function. And it is true that most of the instances of the use of and are "additive." There are, however, a fair number of instances in which the context clearly shows that the actual relationship of two word groups that are joined by and is not that of simple addition. The following examples illustrate the various relationships found in our materials for which and is the function word used.

1. Additive. The word and, alone, frequently has the function of a mere plus sign, but at times it seems to be felt necessary to

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"the father has been out of employment for the past four months, and also is in poor physical condition" (8283)
"the leave is necessary to allow me to attend to personal business on the Pacific Coast and also to enable me to place myself in the best physical condition . . ." (9066)
"Mrs. - keeps the home and in addition raises chickens" (8027)
"You already have in your possession the affidavit of the parents of _ and also the baptismal certificate of the parish . . ." (8183)

## vulgar english

"Do you think any mother would Sign any . . . to have her son scent away knowining that we couldnt get along without the Boys help and also knowing she would halft to go to Work . . ." (8005)
"I had wanted to join . . . and also knew that my father would not sign any papers . . ." (8070)
2. Adversative, contrasting. Sometimes the two word groups joined by and are in opposition or contrast. This opposition or contrast is at times emphasized by adding words such as still or yet to the and.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"Plain surveying is the measurement of straight lines and geodetic surveying is taking into consideration the curvature of the earth's surface in the measurement of the lines" (9003)
". . . my middle name in the Commission is misspelled. It reads -_ and should read -_" (9036)
"I have been single-handed with the . . . since my arrival and believe that I am safe in saying the organization and work of the office has increased ten foal . . ." (9000)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I cood not change his Mind and his Father thought he cood" (8285)
"My son has Joined the . . . and I did not want him to Join" (8261)
"I feel that he has suffered and it has been a good lesson to him" (8153)
"my mother has to take in washings and still they been getting in debt" (8129)
3. Introducing a consequence or result. Sometimes the word group that follows an and expresses a fact that not only follows in time that which is expressed in the preceding word group but also follows it as a consequence, sequel, result, or effect. This relationship is at times definitely expressed by adding consequently, so, or therefore to the function word and.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"they have become impatient and forwarded this account to . . ." (9033)
"in order that I may again become an active pilot and keep up to date upon the new machines" (9031)
"he had made a failure of business and was not able to support her" (8076)
"he was living in heii all the time and had to drink to keep from going crazy" (8296)
"I do not feel justified in submitting to . . . and therefore beg leave to withhold authority to . . ." (9007)
"Mr. --'s father . . . suspected that he might join . . . and so the father wrote to your office and learned . . ." (8076)
"My duties . . . take me to very remote places and consequently mail is often delayed" (9004)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"He didnt like his name D __ and he named his self R _-" (8151)
"I am his wife and would like to know his whereabouts" (8030)
"I am a widow without means of support and need the aid of my son" (8261)
"The income my husband gets alone would not keep us and so I am asking his release" (8265)
4. Introducing the concluding clause of a condition, the protasis of which is expressed imperatively. Sometimes the relationship between the two word groups connected by and is that of a hypothesis expressed without an if and a conclusion.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"put in your request and I'll approve it" (9040)
"Let us know and we will try and obtain it" (8283)

## VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES

5. Introducing an explanatory or parenthetical clause. Sometimes the relation between the two word groups joined by and is
very loose, the second group being only a parenthetical comment or explanation.

STANDARD ENGLISH
"The work of the office has increased ten foal since my arrival and not without difficulty on my part" (9000)
". . . based upon my experience in . . . with fourteen thousand on my hands and 25 per cent of these coast artillery" (9000)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"He then lost his job from a partial shut down of the factory and no falt of his" (8080)
"he was to get a furlough and now he cant get one as he is so far away and me his mother cant see him" (8020)
"I am nearly 50 years old with 5 little ones to support . . . and me with bothe knees all to pieces with Rheumatism" (8187)
6. Connecting two verbs the second of which is logically subordinate to the first. ${ }^{9}$

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"she will try and get a statement to this effect" (8283)
"he can come home and support her" (8189)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"please try and let him come back home to his Mother" (8288)
"i want your department to try and locate him" (8154)
"So hurry up and get me out" (8096)
"let him come home and help us" (8244)
"if you will write and tell them" (8039)
In addition to the instances already listed in which the words or word groups connected by and are not simply in an additive relation to one another there are the following miscellaneous examples:

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"has two other sons aged 18 and 20 years who are living . . ." (8142)
"if he be between 18 and 21 years of age he must have the written consent of his parent or guardian" (8056)
"- has worked at this plant off and on" (8207)
"I have enjoyed my service here and as you are aware have been single handed since my arrival" (9000)

[^122]Two instances in which the precise nature of the relation between the clauses is indicated by a phrase following the and are
"She knows that there is no hope for her and for this reason she is almost losing her mind" (8064)
"Germany by her ruthlessness . . . brought the rest of the allies down on her and by this action was finally forced to lay down her arms" (9017)

VUIGAR ENGLISH
"we have two boy go to school 14 and 10 years old" (8178)
"I have been writing and Coaxing and well eny way I can or could to get him home" (8187)
"my husband and his father [these nouns refer to the same person] is unable to suport the Family" (8052)

Despite the fact that many more instances of and appear in the Vulgar English materials ( 485 in the Standard English letters and 716 in those of Vulgar English) the difference in use between the two sets of letters seems to be negligible. On the whole the same varieties of use appear in both except for the fact that there were no examples in the Vulgar English letters of those uses indicated in (4) above, in which and connects two word groups that are related as protasis and conclusion. One might suspect that the greater frequency of and in Vulgar English would be accompanied by a proportionate infrequency of the so-called subordinating conjunctions. But the actual figures show no such balance. In the so-called subordinating function words there are approximately a thousand instances in each of the two sets of material. In the Vulgar English letters there are, however, more sentences connected by and than there are in those of Standard English.
as
The function word as is used as the connective of two word groups that may be related to each other in a variety of ways, the chief of which are (1) the group introduced by as may state a cause or reason; (2) it may indicate a comparison; (3) it may indicate a point of time; (4) it may indicate a description or identification.

1. As introducing a cause or reason. This use of as occurred frequently. About half the instances from Standard English are
of this type and three fourths of the instances from Vulgar English. It occurs, therefore, much more frequently in the Vulgar English letters-two and a half times as often. In this use as may stand either between the two word groups or before the first one.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"the home will be lost as the mother cannot meet the payments" (8081)
"I should greatly appreciate knowing this information as I wish to cable him" (8075)
"as she is wholly unable to support herself she is badly in need . . ." (8023)
"as Mrs. - is not able to speak English, her married daughter . . . acted as interpreter" (8144)
"as we received no reply we again wrote . . ." (8299)
VULGAR ENGLISH
"We need his support at home as My Husband is a cripple" (8128)
"please let me hear at once as i am so wearried a bout him" (8117)
"As I did not get an answer from my other letter. . . . I will again ask if . . ." (8270)
"As my famlie is all sick I am writing you . . ." (8179)
2. As indicating a comparison, similarity, or parallelism. The use of as to indicate a comparison or similarity is used more frequently in the Standard English materials than in those of Vulgar English.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"As the family expressed it, he is of a rather roving disposition" (8142)
"As I understand the law a person must be 21 years of age" (8156)
"for all who arrive as I did" (9060)
"The following are the facts as I recall them" (9052)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"As I told you in my first letter his Mother is sick" (8270)
"As you know me and some friends have been trying to get my son released" (8080)
"everything will be the same again, as it was before" (8233)
"though I try to be careful as I can" (8251)
In this group should also be included, perhaps, those expanded forms such as as soon as, as quick as, as long as, as far as, as much as, as nearly as, etc.

## 8TANDARD ENGLIEF

"The youths of our country should be required to register as soon as they reach the age of nineteen" (9012)
"- will continue to occupy quarters on the post as long as it is practical to do so" (9017)
"the boy had really never worked anywhere as far as she knew" (8095)
"As nearly as I can understand the case, it appears that young is having trouble. . . ." (9011)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"Ill send the papers as soon as I get them" (8254)
"Just as soon as you get this letter I want you to ans right away" (8087)
"send him home to me as quick as you can" (8001)
"as far as I know b. entered the . . ." (8281)
"I have tried to get along as best as I could" (8079)
3. As, temporal.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"as the piston starts back down a spark is introduced in the cylinder. . . ." (9027)

VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES
4. As, relative.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I have waited until such time as I might be stationed . . ." (9058)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"But he had'nt a Devorce from me as I know of" (8186)
Some miscellaneous uses of as, especially in combinations, are
STANDARD ENGLISH
"No information has been received as to whether I would be recommended to attend this school" (9033)
"I am in doubt as to which Corps Area I belong" (9042)
"it would seem as if I had received both a . . ." (9018)
"it seems as though he could alleviate . . ." (8002)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I don't know as my writing to you will help" (8038)
"if you want any references as to what kind of parents we are . . ." (8074)

## if

The function word if introduces word groups that usually have one of two relations to the context in which they occur. They may be either the protasis of a condition or an indirect question. The two kinds of word groups appeared in about the same proportions in the two sets of material examined here. The conditions ${ }^{10}$ were much more frequent in both, accounting for 84 per cent of the instances in the Standard English letters and 76 per cent of the instances in those of Vulgar English.

## 1. Introducing a condition.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"If these records are available I would like to have them returned" (9027)
"If you will kindly pass on this . . . I will appreciate it" (8183)
"a reconciliation may be brought about if it is the will of the parties" (8296)
"I would appreciate it very much if you would let me know" (8075)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"if you cant let him out on just what has been done and said already couldnt I get up more paper showing . . ." (8080)
"If you doubt what Im saying just drop a line to the priest of the place" (8107)
"probably _ will be sent with this bunch if something isent done soon" (8080)
"I am tryen to hear from him if i can" (8112)
2. Introducing an indirect question.

STANDARD ENGLISH
"When asked if he could get work here she stated . . ." (8139)
"I doubt if a Quartermaster would take the responsibility" (9060)
"I shall take up this matter . . . to see if the bill is correct" (9057)
VULGAR ENGLISH
"I was wondering if there was any way in your power to get . . ." (8129)
${ }^{10}$ Attention should probably also be called to the construction in which inverted word order is used rather than the function word if. A number of instances appeared in the Standard English materials but none in those of Vulgar English. Examples are
"Had I noticed them I would not have presumed to think myself correct . . ." (9007)
"he is assured employment should he be discharged" (8081)
"Were I to advise Mr. - I would say to him . . ." (8296)
"Should she do so, we may be able to obtain . . ." (8144)
"will you please see if you can send my letter" (8087)
"Would you please find out if there was a man by the name of __" (8310)
Some miscellaneous examples from the Standard English letters are the following:
"I am very sorry if my letter offended" (9033)
"Even if the mother were well the father needs his son" (8064)
"The total amount involved is beyond my means, even if refund is made in monthly payments" (9032)

## like

Like as a function word introducing a clause has been used for more than four hundred years. Some early examples ${ }^{11}$ of this use of like are the following:
"Ye have said lyke a noble lady ought to say." Ld. Berners Arth. Lyt. Bryt. (c. 1530) 520.
"Lyke an excellent Phisitioun cureth moste daungerous diseases, so doth a man that is valyant . . ." Elyot Gov. (1531) III. viii.
"Like an arrow shot from a well experienst Archer hits the marke his eye doth leuell at." Shaks. Per. (1608) i. i. 163.
"Unfortunately few have observed like you have done" Darwin Life \& Lett. (1866) III. 58.

In the materials here examined like as a function word introducing a clause occurred once in the Standard English letters and five times in those of Vulgar English.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"He was so hurt to think he could not draw his pay like the others, that he threatened to enlist" (8002)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"I never received any money . . . like other mothers received" (8153)
"he is very sorry he left me like he did" (8152)

## since

The word groups that are introduced by since have usually one of two relations to the word groups with which they are connected, either causal or temporal. The instances from the Standard English letters are about equally divided between these two types, but those from the Vulgar English letters are all temporal;

[^123]no instances of the causal relationship occur. In a few cases in both sets of material the temporal character of the relationship is stressed by the addition of the word ever.

## 1. Causal

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"Since such does not appear to be the case, I request permission to . . ." (9007)
"Since my home was Falls City, Nebraska, I was directed to look to - for further orders" (9050)

VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES
2. Temporal

STANDARD ENGLISH
"the father has become disabled since the boy enlisted" (8073)
"Since the boy joined . . . her husband has become helpless" (8189)
"I have been knowing Mr. - ever since I was . . . Judge of the - Circuit" (8064)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"He has been discharged since we were married" (8127) "Sence he Joined - his father has had an accident" (8255) "his mother has been worried about him ever since he has been in —_" (8136)
so
The function word so is most frequently used to introduce two types of word groups. (a) There are those expressing a result or logical sequence. In these the so is moderately often supported by the addition of the word that, making the compound function word so that. (b) There are those expressing the conclusion for which the preceding clause is offered as a reason. In these the causative force may at times be very slight and the so indicate almost a mere sequence of time; at others the so is practically equivalent to a therefore or for that reason.

Although so is used in both types of connections in Standard English as well as in Vulgar English, its use in Vulgar English is much more frequent (six times as many instances). Most of the instances of the Vulgar English letters were of the second type; i. e., those in which the so is more or less equivalent to therefore and introduces a conclusion.

## 1. Introducing a result

STANDARD ENGLISH
"please rush this through so . . . he will not have to go back to . . ." (8138)
"she would like to have him home so she could look after him" (8114)
"This information is desired so that I may know where to report . . ." (9042)
"I hope you will find these papers in proper form so that we will be able to bring the matter to a conclusion" (8267)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"pleas let him out so he can come home" (8261)
"I am writing you so you can tell me what to do" (8258)
"see if you can send it so he will get it in a few days" (8087)
". . . are in need of clothing so that they can go to school" (8270)
"I am asking his release so that he can come home" (8265)
2. Introducing a conclusion (equivalent to therefore)

STANDARD ENGLISH
"she works by the day, so it was only recently we were able to have a personal interview" (8002)
"It was slow in so doing, so I was examined by Dr. -_-" (9006)
"The time necessary for . . . would exceed my allowance of leave, so for this reason I am desirous of getting . . . as soon as possible" (9040)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"i cant stand it much longer So hurry up and get me out" (8096)
"i cant get one the Trace of him at all So i am writen you for help" (8120)

In the Vulgar English materials there are some instances in which the connection indicated by so is exceedingly loose indeed, as in the following examples:
"they said his licence were in the paper. And it was but I did not pay any attention to it until they told me about it. So then I went to the Licence Bureau Where he had gotten the Licence" (8186)
"he has had 3 Breaks Down in the Last 6 month So Why cant you Send me Paper to fill out . . ." (8168)
"he is now 20 years old he was Born January - So i need his help home" (8168)

## that

The function word that with word groups is used very frequently both in Standard English and in Vulgar English, but more than twice as many instances appeared in the Standard English letters. In general the same varieties of use appear in the two groups of letters.

TABLE XXXI

| Type of clause introduced by that | Number of instances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| 1. Object clause after such verbs as advise, believe, certify, claim, feel, inform, know, notice, notify, request, say, suggest, think, wish, write ...... | 255 | 103 |
| 2. After such adjectives as certain, confident, sure | 8 | 6 |
| 3. Relative, modifying a substantive .... Neuters <br> Persons | 91 2 | 29 22 |
| Total . ...................... | 93 | 51 |
| 4. Predicate nominative .............. | 6 | 7 |
| 5. "Logical" subject with function word it in subject position | 43 | 3 |
| 6. Result . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9 | 9 |
| 7. Purpose ........................... | 0 | 6 |
| Total ...................... | 414 | 185 |

In these figures two matters that seem significant are
a. That as a relative pronoun for persons (introducing "restrictive" clauses) is used freely in Vulgar English but very rarely in Standard English.
b. The construction of the that clause as the "logical" subject with it in the subject position seems to be characteristic of Standard English rather than of Vulgar English.
Examples of the various uses of that are

## 1. Introducing an objective clause

## STANDARD ENGLISHI

"the court indicated that the right of the plaintiff to the divorce from bed and board was doubtful" (8296)
"She says that her brother and his family will remain" (8139)
"he suggests that I apply to you" (9027)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"you can see for your self that I am not lying to you people" (8133)
"He said to me to tell you sir that he lied about his age" (8288)
"to let youse know that I am in the -_一" (8243)

## 2. After adjectives

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I feel certain that . . . I would not be handicapped" (9064)
"he is confident that . . . the boy will be able to continue" (9011)
"I am sure that any duties required of him will be . . ." (9013)

## vUlgar english

"I am sure that it is a fine place" (8121)
"I feel sure that he has change place" (8147)
3. Relative, modifying substantives

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"the magic that you worked" (9018)
"mistakes that only made matters worse" (8296)
"He was one worthy of trust and one that has never failed in anything" (9029)
"I have never known any person that I could feel freer to endorse than -_" (9029)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"His pay that he received in - is not sufficient" (8127)
"a trade that will be useful to him" (8113)
"him and this man that was with him was found" (8076)
"have sent the last two doctors certificate that have tended on him" (8072)
"I can give you people that have known us for years" (8074)

## 4. Predicate nominative

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"My reasons for wanting to transfer are that I feel . . ." (9059) "the possibilities are that he will never work again" (8027)

## VULGAR ENGLISHI

"the only reason he desires to stay in . . . is that he has already served . . ." (8057)
"one reason he went away was that he had no work . . ." (8218)
5. "Logical" subject with function word it in subject position

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"It is presumed that eventually damages will be paid" (8139)
"it does not appear that the certificate referred to is desired" (9055)
"It is true that I have had a days leave" (9007)
"It is probable that this might easily be adjusted" (9007)
"It is on this account that we write to you" (8239)
"It is my understanding that . . . service in . . . does not count" (9055)
"It is only near the edges of the map that noticeable errors creep in" (9003)

## VULGAR ENGLISE

"if it was not that we need the help of my son . . ." (8172)
"it looks like that I may have some help" (8037)
"it is necessary that we get him out . . ." (8270)
6. Result

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I so arranged his trips that he was able to live . . ." (9023)
"her condition had grown so much worse that she could not work" (8240)

## vulgar english

"he has had so many fits for 13 years that his mind is bad" (8254) "the mule that throwed him and cracked his skull has Engered his mind that he is not real bright at times" (8220)

## 7. Purpose

## STANDARD ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"Please send me a copy of . . . that I can show the same to the Court" (8157)
". . . advised me to write to you for a dismissal blank that he might be released immediately" (8251)

Because of the fact that the uses of the clauses with no function word, in which that might be used, parallel so closely the uses of the that clauses, the pertinent facts and figures concerning them are given here.

TABLE XXXII

| Type of clause (no function word) | Number of instances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| 1. Object clause after such verbs as believe, claim, feel, know, say, think .... | 47 | 129 |
| 2. After adjectives .................... | 1 | 7 |
| 3. Modifying a substantive- |  |  |
| Neuters | 26 | 52 |
| Persons | 1 | 13 |
| Total | 27 | 65 |
| 4. Predicate nominative ............... | 1 | 1 |
| 5. "Logical" subject with function word it in subject position | 2 | 1 |
| 6. Result . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 3 |
| Total ....................... | 78 | 206 |

1. Object clause

STANDARD ENGLISH
"he will find by actual practice it can be done" (9000)
"the mother said she had given her consent" (8144)
vulgar english
"I trust it will Satfie you" (8000)
"I hope I haint don any thing wrong" (8005)
2. After adjectives

STANDARD ENGLISH
"I shall be quite sure you are right" (9018)
VULGAR ENGLISH
"I am very shure he would be found in . . ." (8151)
"I am sure you will not . . ." (8153)
3. Modifying a substantive
standard english
"any news you can give me" (8075)
"the data I have submitted" (8023)
"the children she brought with her" (8276)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"the only thing he can get to do" (8218)
"do some thing I had not ought to do" (8218)
"now is the time I need $i t$ " (8235)
"my boy . . . is the only one I can depend on" (8272)
"I have a son in Law has served 7 years . . ." (8258)
4. Predicate nominative

STANDARD ENGLISH
"The fact is, it is constantly getting worse" (8064)
VULGAR ENGLISH
"his answer was $B$ _-was treated with jairness" (8038)
5. "Logical" subject with function word it in subject position STANDARD ENGLISH
"it is feared it will become serious" (8065)
VULGAR ENGLISH
"It seems to me he is very young" (8167)
6. Result

STANDARD ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES

VULGAR ENGLISH
"times is so hard work is hard to get" (8293)
"we need him so bad I would be so glad if you would . . ." (8244)

## what

There seem to be no significant differences in the uses of the function word what in the two sets of material examined here.

Examples of the various uses of what are

## STANDARD ENGLISH

a.
"What makes it more horrible it is on her face" (8064)
"What he is he has made himself" (8060)
b.
"he is sorry for what he has done" (8144)
"He has no income whatever except what he makes on a rented farm" (8064)
c.
"Her only income is what small help her married children are able to give her" (8240)
d.
"She wants to know what steps to take" (8189)
"let me know what steamer he is coming on" (8075)

## vulgar english

a.
"please do what you can for me" (8186)
". . . hopes you will think over what I am asking you" (8187)
b.
"when I think of what the Doctor's testified at the trial . . ." (8153)
c.
"Can you inform me . . . what time he was Discharge" (8109)
$d$.
"let me know what to do about it" (8084)
"I would like to know what the delay is" (8135)
"I Wounder what you are mad with me a bout" (8179)
when
The distribution of the various uses of when is shown in Table XXXIII.

TABLE XXXIII

| Type of clause introduced by when | Number of instances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| 1. Temporal, definite | 24 | 32 |
| 2. Temporal, indefinite, or conditional | 7 | 6 |
| 3. Indirect question | 2 | 5 |
| 4. Modifier of noun | 4 | 4 |
| 5. Concessive . | 0 | 1 |

Examples of the various uses of when are as follows:

## STANDARD ENGLISH

1. Temporal, definite
"When I changed stations in . . . I left a half dozen articles" (9058)
"When I boarded the train I was unable to get transportation" (9016)
2. Temporal, indefinite, or conditional
"When the piston starts up on the compression stroke the intake is closed" (9027)
"Then when they are discharged they remain interested" (9012)
"When an enlisted man is permanently unfitted . . . he is discharged . . ." (9002)

## 3. Indirect question

"kindly inform me by telegraph . . . when he may be expected to arrive . . ." (8075)
"I am . . . most anxious to know when he will be in ___" (8075)
4. Modifier of noun
"the time . . . when he . . . could conquer" (9017)
"any record of his next step when he left the coast" (8075)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

1. Temporal, definite
"When we last heard from him he was stationed at -_" (8149)
"My nephew's Mother and Father died when he was nine weeks old" (8084)
2. Temporal, indefinite, or conditional
"when a man gets so old working in the mines they kick him out" (8005)
"I am so disheartened when I think of what the Doctor's testified . . ." (8153)
3. Indirect question
"When he is discharged will you please give him his ticket" (8118)
"they Knew when he was borned" (8254)
"I want to know when he will get his discharge" (8001)
4. Modifier of noun
"In the days when the public would not associate with . . ." (8057)
". . . at times when he takes cool or gets to hot . . ." (8190)
5. Concessive
"I have sacrificed to let him . . . when I needed him at home" (8153)

## where

The distribution of the various uses of where is shown in the following table:

## TABLE XXXIV

| Type of clause introduced by where | Number of instances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| 1. Relative, modifying a noun | 14 | 9 |
| 2. Adverbial, indefinite, equivalent to wherever, or conditional | 2 | 7 |
| 3. Indirect question .................... | 3 | 7 |
| 4. Miscellaneous ....................... | 0 | 2 |
| Total . .......................... | 19 | 25 |

## Examples of the various uses of where are

STANDARD ENGLISH

1. Relative, modifying a noun
"The community where he lived considers him . . ." (8017)
". . . in the northern states where it was read extensively" (9012)
"This appears to be a case where the mother is much in need of . . ." (8239)
"A promising opportunity whereby I feel I can better myself" (9061)
2. Adverbial, indefinite, equivalent to wherever, or conditional
". . . is used . . . where exactness is demanded" (9037)
". . . there is no travel pay available where a preference of this kind is taken" (9029)
3. Indirect question
". . . to know wherc I am . . ." (9060)
"he found where I had enlisted" (9030)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

1. Relative, modifying a noun
"the priest of the place where my son was born . . ." (8107)
"I went to the Licence Bureau Where he had gotten the Licence" (8186)
"you can write to - and get my papers where I was apointed his gardine" (8025)
2. Adverbial, indcfinite, equivalent to wherever, or conditional
"I have to carry her where she goes" (8291)
3. Indirect question
"let me know where he is" (8147)
"no one ever told me Where he was" (8201)
4. Miscellaneous
"I see where . . . can be released if . . ." (8080)
"I was gone about 5 hours and got 7 years where if it was another man they would have got 1 month" (8039)
Instances such as these in 4 in which where is equivalent to that or to where as appeared only in the Vulgar English materials.

## which

Although there are four times as many instances of which in the Standard English letters as there are in the Vulgar English letters, there seem to be no significant differences in the uses of this function word in the two sets of examples, except for the following four instances which come from Vulgar English:

[^124]"his Father being a man of 57 years and has Rupture in Both Sides witch he is unable to do hard manuel labor" (8253)
"I am asking for your help for to locate my Son which he is in the -_" (8106)
"- left Our home without Our Knoweledge or consent and Joined the . . . at - witch he was only 18 Years old at time he . . ." (8258)

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"balloon companies which are now . . ." (9031)
"at the rate of $\$ 25$ per month, which includes heat and light" (8283)
"a house consisting of six rooms and bath which they own" (8303)
". . . give her entire pay to her mother which she does at the present time" (8081)
"the theft of an automobile for which indictments are probably still pending" (8017)
"I had some service in . . . shortly prior to 1905, of which I have no difinite record" (9055)
"he went off on a trip and has not returned since which time I have had no knowledge . . ." (8296)
"She hopes to keep enough of the money . . . to take care of her own funeral expenses . . . unless her grandson is discharged . . . in which event she will use this money to . . ." (8095)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"my correct date of birth which is September 28 . . ." (8070)
"one more effort which I pray will be successful" (8080)
". . . by disability of father which I consider is our case" (8080)
"to look after baggage which I left here" (8094)
"come home and give me aid which I believe he will" (8079)
"and the name in which my son inlisted under . . ." (8259)
"he got in through a lawyer for $\$ 21.00$ which he had $\$ 6.00$ to pay yet" (8218)

## while

The function word while in the two uses of introducing a temporal clause and introducing a concessive clause appeared in both the Standard English letters and those of Vulgar English. As an adversative, however, it appeared in the Standard English materials only.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

## 1. Temporal <br> "all his property was sequestered while he was in jail" (8296)

"While he was at home for the three months he never did a stroke of work" (8144)
2. Concessive
"While neither are here now; I think both can be located" (8014)
"The personnel of our Department . . . has been reduced while the number of students taking the course has increased over twenty per cent" (9051)
3. Adversative
"Plain surveying is used in surveying small areas and short distances while geodetic surveying is used in surveying large areas" (9003)
"The government does not especially need his services while from all indications his family does" (8073)
"the other countries of Europe were unprepared while Germany had been preparing . . . for the last forty years" (9017)

## vulgar english

1. Temporal
"While he was on . . . duty he was making money enough to support me" (8230)
"my boy send her money to help her out while she lived" (8028)
2. Concessive (only one example)
"While he is pretty good some days others he is'nt able to go" (8251)
3. Adversative (no examples)

## who

The function word who with its inflected forms whose and whom appears much more frequently in the Standard English materials than in those of Vulgar English (seventy nine instances in Standard English, forty seven in Vulgar English). As a matter of fact, only the form who appears in the Vulgar English letters; there are no instances of whose or of whom.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"his people who were becoming crowded" (9017)
"This brought on war with France who was in alliance with Serbia" (9017)
"on behalf of a Mrs. - . . whose son is at present . . ." (8294)
"- is a native of Nevada, whose parents lived practically . . ." (9061)
"leaving a wife behind whom he was deserting" (8076)
"a neighbor whom we interviewed" (8002)
"let me know whom should be notified" (8075)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"the poor old couple $k u$ raised them" (8005)
"Many reasons are given by Candidates who are seeking appointment . . ." (8057)
"we did not know who to write to" (8038)

## why

The function word why is used in the instances from Standard English most often to introduce an indirect question, as in the following examples:
"I cannot understand why I was not notified" (9010)
"I understand why they have become impatient" (9033)
At times the why joins its clause to a noun, as in the following:
"setting forth reasons why I should not pay" (9032)
"I see no reason why he cannot be made to send his mother a reasonable allowance" (8174)

In the instances from Vulgar English the function word why is also used to introduce an indirect question.
"to find out why the people aint never discharge my son" (8001)
"I dont see why that I must be the unlucky dog" (8039)
"I wounder why yo Dont rite to me" (8179)
But there are among the Vulgar English instances a number in which the why seems to be a very loose connective with no trace of its usual significance equivalent to "for what reason." Instances of this sort appeared only in the Vulgar English letters.
"but when I got here why she kep putting it off" (8243)
"then when I called her up why she told me to . . ." (8243)
"so when I got there why she had a Dective" (8243)

## Some expanded function words with word groups

In the pages above, certain "compound" or expanded function words have been already pointed out and illustrated. These are such words as the expansions of and, as in and also, and in addition, and still, and therefore, and so, and consequently, and for this reason, and by this action (pages 217-221); the expansions of as, as in as soon as, as long as, as much as, as far as, as quick as, etc., as if, as to whether, as to which, as to what (pages 222223); the expansions of so in so that and so for this reason (pages 226-227); and the strengthening of after, though, and if by even
as in even after, even though, even if, as well as the strengthening of since by ever as in ever since.

Other combinations that seem to operate as units are in as much as, in case, in the event that, and in order that. Examples of these compound forms that occur only in the Standard English letters are the following:
> "In as much as I was on duty ——, it would seem that . . ." (9010)
> "In case there is a change in the diagnosis, a duplicate card is made out . . ." (9002)
> "in the event that we do not receive a reply within ten days we will take up . . ." (8299)
> "I desire to . . . in order that I may be near to my business interests" (9000)

From this survey of the function words with word groups the following statements can be made concerning a comparison of the uses appearing in the two sets of material examined.

1. There seem to be approximately the same number of the socalled "subordinate clauses" in Vulgar English as in Standard English. (Standard English, 994 ; Vulgar English, 959.)
2. As introducing a cause or reason appeared in both the Standard English letters and those of Vulgar English, but was much more frequent (two and one half times) in the Vulgar English materials.
3. Like as a conjunction appeared in both sets of material, but in the Standard English letters only in a construction without the verb.
4. Since introducing a causal clause appeared only in the Standard English letters. Introducing a temporal clause it appeared in both sets of material.
5. So, equivalent to a somewhat weak therefore, was used in both the Standard English materials and those of Vulgar English, but was very much more frequent in this use in the Vulgar English letters (five times as frequent). In the Vulgar English letters there appeared also some instances in which the so introduces a clause which seems simply to follow another as a matter of time sequence.
6. That introducing word groups appeared much more frequently in the Standard English letters. Especially was this true in respect to that as the introductory word for object clauses after such verbs as say, know, think, wish, etc. In contrast, in the Vulgar English materials there were many more such object clauses after these same verbs without any function word than appeared in the Standard English letters. (That with such object clauses, Standard English, 255;

Vulgar English, 103. Such object clauses without function word, Standard English, 47; Vulgar English, 129.)
7. That as a relative pronoun for "persons" appeared in both sets of material but much more frequently in Vulgar English.
8. Why as a very loose connective with no trace of its usual significance appeared only in the Vulgar English letters.

## III. THREE MISCELLANEOUS FUNCTION WORDS

In addition to the function words used with nouns (the prepositions), those used with verbs (the so-called auxiliaries), those used with adjectives (the words of degree), and those used with word groups (the conjunctions), there are three other words whose uses as function words it is necessary to discuss. These are the words it, there, and one.

## it

The word it has been used in a variety of ways throughout the history of the language. It is and has long been, for example, the form of the pronoun used as a substitute for neuter nouns, as in the following sentences:
"I became interested in radio and have given it considerable study" (9029)
"The room is poorly furnished and it is most disorderly" (8095)
"The general court of which I am a member will have no further business before $i t$ " (9028)
"knowing the angle of dip and allowing for $i t$ " (9037)
"If I borrow one hundred and twenty dollars and send it to you . . ." (8274)
"The mixture has done its useful work . . ." (9027)
This substitute word it not only stands for particular words in the context as in the preceding examples; it often represents a whole statement or the matter implied in a statement.
"We cant even pay our way and I am going to prove it to you" (8005)
"a reconciliation may be brought about if it is the will of the parties" (8296)
"If this account has not been paid it is because the bill never reached . . ." (9057)
"they took him and for over a week no one ever told me where he was. It turned me gray" (8201)
"And as her husband expressed $i t$, she is slowly dying with cancer" (8064)
"I would like to complete four years of this duty if it would not effect my chance of being . . ." (9064)

But the particular uses of it to which attention is especially directed here are those in which it operates as a function word to fill the requirements of our favorite sentence pattern.
a. $i t+$ verb + that (clause)

STANDARD ENGLISH
"It is very necessary that I have the above recommendations" (9027)
" $i t$ is believed that the training received at . . . would be a great help to me as a . . ." (9015)
"it does seem too bad that he should be dismissed" (9011)
"it may appear at first sight that to detail me to another station is an unnecessary expense" (9042)
"it is understood that there is no travel pay" (9029)
" $i t$ is only near the edges of the map that noticeable errors creep in" (9003)
"I first want it understood that I am not crying" (9033)
"I make it clear that there is no authority of this nature vested . . ." (8073)

## vulcar enclish

"It is my earnest desire that you grant him a discharge" (8069)
" $I t$ is very necessary that we get him out" (8270)
"But it was only a couple of hours later that he left" (8288)
b. $i t+$ verb + (adjective) + to + infinitive

STANDARD ENGLISH
"it would be impossible to obtain others" (9010)
"it seems best to have him complete his . . ." (8139)
"it would be of no more expense to send me there" (9042)
"Was it correct to have drawn pay . . ." (9035)
"it is my desire to return to the . . ." (9001)
". . . is going to make it difficult in a financial way to get settled" (9033)
"If you think it is right to send him" (9018)
"I do not find it necessary to sign this one" (9022)
"I took it upon myself to talk with him" (8296)

## vulgar english

"I must tell you it costs money to have all these things done" (8072)
"it will fall to him to help me" (8067)
"It cost me $\$ 25$ dollars to get him home" (8288)
"He dont know what it is to stay away from Home" (8190)
$c . i t+$ verb $+($ adjective $)+$ for + substantive $+t o+$ infinitive
standard english
"it would be impossible for them to raise sufficient funds" (8073)
"it would have been a most easy matter for him to accomplish" (9032)
"I also think it is somewhat dangerous for this woman to be living by herself" (8294)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"it is impossible for him to support me" (8129)
"it is for us stronger ones to help the weaker ones" (8038)
"it takes 6 Days for a letter to get to New York . . ." (8096)
"I think $i t$ would be better for him to be at home" (8113)
d. $i t+$ verb + clause (no introductory function word)

STANDARD ENGLISH
"The mental condition of the mother . . . is bad . . . and it is feared will become serious" (8065)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"it seems to me he is very young" (8167)
e. it + verb $+i f$ (clause)

STANDARD ENGLISH
"it would be of material assistance to him if his son . . . could be discharged" (8163)

VULGAR ENGLISH-NO EXAMPLES
f. Miscellaneous

STANDARD ENGLISE
"it was he who suggested that she write to . . ." (8095)
"Request transportation for myself, wife and infant daughter . . . from San Francisco to . . . , or if it be possible, by rail from . . . , Utah, to . . ." (9043)
"if it is not inconsistent with the exigencies of the service, I request that I be given assignment to . . ." (9028)
"I would appreciate it very much if you would let me know" (8075)
" $i t$ would seem as if I had received both a . . . and a . . ." (9018)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

[^125]"if $i t$ is agreable I want him to come home" (8277)
"Hoping that you will look into this matter as soon as $i t$ is possible" (8270)
"I would appreciate it very much if I could have my Son home . . ." (8225)
"it look like I cant hardly stand for him to be away from me" (8204)
" $i t$ is so Hot here" (8096)
"It is getting so near Christmas" (8038)
"i suppose . . . would allow me some of his pay the way it stands now" (8281)

Some types of examples that appeared in the Vulgar English letters but not in those of Standard English:
"if it is any way that I can get him out I wish you would please let me know" (8021)
"Let me know if $i t$ is some one by that name and discription there" (8186)
"here is a fellow that was going away 8 day and got 15 years and another was gon 3 months and got 3 months in the guard hous that is the way they hand it to you" (8039)
The figures showing the number of instances for these various uses of the function word $i t$ are as follows:

TABLE XXXV

| Varieties of construction | Number of instances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard English | Vulgar English |
| a. it + verb + that (clause) | 51 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { b. it }+ \text { verb }+(\text { adjective })+\text { to }+ \text { infin- } \\ & \text { itive } \ldots \ldots \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. } i t+\text { verb }+ \text { for }+ \text { substantive }+ \text { to }+ \\ & \text { infinitive } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 7 |
| d. $i t+$ verb + clause (no introductory conjunction) <br> e. $i t+$ verb $+i f$ (clause) .............. | $2$ | 0 |
| Total | 85 | 18 |
| f. Miscellaneous-other than those above | 8 | 38 |

Although the actual number of instances of the function word it appearing in our materials is really not sufficient to justify statements of significant differences between the two sets of material, two facts should probably be indicated.

1. The use of it in the position of subject with the "logical" subject expressed in a that-clause or a to-infinitive after the verb appeared primarily in the Standard English letters. (Standard English, seventy-three; Vulgar English, ten.)
2. The use of it in the subject position and equivalent to there appeared only in the Vulgar English letters.

## there

There as an adverb of locality or place is of rather frequent occurrence and may stand in any one of a number of positions in the sentence, but there as an unstressed function word occurs less frequently and is limited to the position that is usually the place of the subject substantive. In fact, its function seems to be simply to fill out the sentence pattern when the subject substantive is placed after the verb in a fact statement. Twenty six instances occurred in the Standard English letters and thirteen instances in those of Vulgar English.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"there is no other man on both lists" (9018)
"There were no written forms given . . ." (9052)
"If there were any instructions at all I do not recall them" (9052)
"In case there is a change in the diagnosis, a duplicate card is made out" (9002)
"he thought that there was a shorter route" (9012)
"nor was there any person on duty" (9010)
"There is $\$ 2.00$ in improvement assessments" (8303)
"there was no material or funds available" (9040)
"There seems to be a chronic oedema . . ." (9006)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"there will be Six little orphans in the Street if my Son doesnt come back home soon" (8288)
"if there is any thing not wright let me know" (8135)
"Would you please find out if there was a man by the name of in . . ." (8310)
"would there be any trouble getting him out . . ." (8012)
"I want to know if there are a chance for me to get him out of . . ." (8090)

In the use of there as a function word no differences appeared in the practice of the two sets of material examined. ${ }^{12}$ As indicated above, however, only in Vulgar English appeared the older
use of $i t$ equivalent to the function word there as in "if it is any way that I can get him out please . . ." (8021), and in general the function word there seems to be more frequently used in Standard English, although the number of instances found in these particular materials furnishes no basis for any conclusion.

## one

The word one, starting as the English lowest cardinal numeral, has developed in several ways. It still continues as a numeral, but has also produced (1) the indefinite article $a$, an, (2) the anaphoric pronoun as in "I could not get a car so I thought I would borrow one" (8030), "it is requested that the error be corrected if it is one" (9022), and (3) the independent indefinite pronoun as in "and for over a week no one ever told me where he was" (8201), "I do not think that one could expect to be cheerful and delighted with . . ." (9033). In addition to these uses there are those to be included here as function word uses.
> "The decisive innovation was the use of combinations like a good one. This, I think, is to some extent like the use of it in it rains or in I think it necessary to wait, and like the use of there in there was peace or let there be peace: in all these cases a word becomes necessary because speakers are accustomed to have some word in that particular place : it and there take the place of a subject or of an object, and similarly one takes the place usually occupied by a substantive." ${ }^{13}$

As a function word, one is distinctly dissociated from the numeral.
"The dissociation from the numeral is especially clear, (1) when the pl. ones is used, (2) when one is preceded by another one, or ones by another numeral, (3) when one is preceded by the or a similarly definite word, for one is originally indefinite, as appears from the development of the indefinite article from a weakened form of one." ${ }^{14}$

As a function word, one furnished a device for distinguishing number with such words as have no number inflection, as in the interrogative "Which one do you want?" "Which ones do you want?" or in adjectives, "the good one," "one good one" "the good ones,"

[^126]"two good ones." It also provides a means for using a genitive inflection with words which do not have it, as in "We took that one's club away," "The little one's eyes filled with tears."
Only a few instances of one as a function word occurred in our materials, eight in the letters of Standard English and six in those of Vulgar English.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"I believe that the H——home is a good one" (8296)
"I tried to give an analysis and a fair one" (9057)
"The resulting situation will be a difficult one for me to meet" (9056)
"I did purchase the material to make my area a liveable one" (9040)
"Do not mistake my position for one of criticism" (9000)

## vulgar engish

"I am nearly 50 years old with 5 little ones to support" (8187)
"He has doctored with quite a number but these are the last ones" (8052)
"it has his nerves affected so terrible and his limbs, especially the right one until he just drags that heel every step" (8052)
"I am pleading to you for his return home as there is a large family he being the oldess one" (8020)

## $\mathbb{X}$

## THE USES OF WORD ORDER

As has been indicated above (Chapters IV and VI), the order of the words as they stand in a sentence has become for Modern English an important device to show grammatical or structural relationships. This device, word order, has, in English, especially since the fourteenth century, been in competition with the other devices, word forms or inflections and function words, for the expression of certain grammatical ideas. In this discussion of the uses of word order we shall not be concerned with the rhetorical or stylistic effects of word position-the force of an unusual arrangement of sentence elements or the so-called naturally emphatic positions within the sentence. Nor shall we attempt here a description of the so-called normal positions of the various grammatical elements of a sentence and the possible variations from those positions. ${ }^{1}$ The purpose of this chapter is very definitely limited to an effort to set forth those grammatical ideas which in Modern English depend upon word order for expression. The development of these structural or grammatical uses of word order is still in progress in Modern English, and there is still considerable alternative practice and conflict of usage, so that it will be possible only to describe the chief patterns and tendencies

[^127]and to note some of the exceptions to those patterns as we have done in the case of other types of grammatical apparatus.

The grammatical ideas that a language may express may be roughly divided into two types. ${ }^{2}$ There are first what might be called the "essential or unavoidable" relational concepts, and there are, second, the "dispensable or secondary" relational concepts. If, for example, one is to say anything about a bear and a man in connection with the action of killing, it is essential and unavoidable that he indicate which one did the killing and which one was killed. All known languages express this sort of relationship (the so-called subject-object relationship) unmistakably. On the other hand, whether the killing took place in the past, the present, or the future; whether it was instantaneous or long drawn out; whether there were several bears, or two bears, or but one bear; and whether the speaker knew this fact of his own first hand knowledge or only from hearsay-these matters are of the "dispensable or secondary" type and may or may not be expressed. Languages differ greatly with respect to the extent to which their grammatical practices force the speakers to give attention to these points. A number of the North American Indian languages, for instance, compel the speaker to choose between the forms that indicate whether he is reporting what has been told him by some one else or matters that he himself has experienced. English verb forms compel the speaker to choose a present, past, or future form for his statements, even though he may want to express a general principle good for all time. In English, too, we are forced, in naming any concrete thing, to indicate a singular or a plural even though the matter of number is of no consequence. We must say either "What man came?" or "What men came?" when we use the noun. There is no common number noun form such as the convenient pronouns in "Who came?" and "What stood there?" English grammar, therefore, as does every other language, expresses the "essential and unavoidable" relationships and, in addi-

[^128]tion, its own particular set of the "dispensable or secondary" grammatical ideas.
In Chapters V and VI above we have seen the particular grammatical ideas expressed in English by inflections or the forms of words. These are, in Present-day English, chiefly number and tense-grammatical concepts that are clearly of the dispensable or secondary type. In Chapters VII, VIII, and IX are set forth the grammatical ideas expressed by function words. With verbs, these function words indicate especially precise times for the action or attitudes of the speaker toward the action, and therefore, also, in large measure, express grammatical ideas of the dispensable or secondary type. In this chapter (Chapter X) we shall find that the grammatical ideas expressed in English by word order are almost completely those that must be classed with the essential or unavoidable relational concepts. They are primarily those of the so-called "subject" and "object" relation and those that we include under the term "modification." ${ }^{8}$ As a matter of fact, it might almost be fair to say that the history of the English language in respect to its grammar has in some large measure been a steady progress away from that type of language in which both "dispensable or secondary" grammatical concepts and "essential or unavoidable" ones are expressed by inflections or word forms, toward a type of language in which inflections are used for only the "dispensable or secondary" grammatical ideas, and word order for the "essential or unavoidable" grammatical relationships.
This point is so important in an attempt to grasp the structure of English that it will be worth while to set forth here certain parts of the historical evidence upon which it rests. Such a historical view will probably also furnish the best background against which to view the differences of Standard English and Vulgar English in the grammatical uses of word order which are to be presented later in this chapter.

The first of the essential or unavoidable grammatical relationships that all known languages express are the so-called "subject" and "object" relations. We shall not here enter the discussion of the meanings of the words subject and object as they are used in the grammars, nor shall we attempt to define precisely the content and the variety of the subject and object relationships. It is

[^129]enough to say that, if we wish to speak of a thing and an action, it seems essential that we know whether the thing is conceived as the "starting point" or the "end point" of the action: "

The water wet the sponge.
The sponge absorbed the water.
The book lies on the table.
The table supports the book.
When the thing is grasped as the starting point of the action, we call it "subject," and when it is grasped as the end point of the action, we call it "object." In Old English practically all the grammatical relationships to which the language gave attention could be expressed by inflections and nearly all were so expressed. Some were expressed by function words, but none, so far as I know, actually depended upon word order for expression. The "subject" relationship was expressed by the nominative case form, and the "object" relationship was most frequently expressed by the accusative or the dative inflection (sometimes by the genitive).

It is true that in Old English in most cases there was no distinction of form in the nominative and accusative endings of the nouns themselves. But with these nouns there were used an inflected article and an inflected adjective, and these "agreeing" words most frequently had distinct forms to separate the nominative from the accusative. There were also many cases of two nouns used with a verb in which the number form of the verb clearly showed which noun was subject. As a matter of fact, in Old English less than 10 per cent of the instances lack these distinctive forms. Dative forms of the nouns were usually in themselves quite distinct.

The particular historical facts of significance for our purpose here concern (a) the position of those words which in Old English bore the accusative inflections-the "accusative-object," and (b) the position of those words which in Old English bore the dative inflection-the "dative-object." In both cases we are concerned only with those accusative-object and dative-object instances which did not involve the use of a preposition (function word). In examining the materials of Middle English and Early

[^130]Modern English when the distinctive inflectional syllables of both adjectives and articles had been lost, we counted as accusativeobjects or as dative-objects only those instances for which we had clear inflectional parallels in Old English.

In brief statements, then, the significant facts in the historical development of (a)-i. e., the position of those words which in Old English bore accusative inflections-seem to be the following:

1. In Old English the accusative-object could stand in any position. The order of the words seems to have no bearing whatever upon the grammatical relationship. The accusative inflection expressed the relationship completely. The following sentences each express the same relationship, that the "bear" is the "end point" of the activity, that it is the one that was "struck":

Se mann pone beran sloh.
pone beran se mann sloh.
pone beran sloh se mann.
Sloh se mann pone beran.
2. In Late Old English of about 1000 A. D., if the sermons of Aelfric can be taken as a fair representation of the language of that time, about 53 per cent of the accusative-objects appeared before the verbs and only about 47 per cent after the verbs. ${ }^{5}$ Typical examples are
"and Crist to helle gewende and pone deofol gewylde"
(and Christ to hell went and the devil overcame)
"gladne giefend lufat God"
(a cheerful giver loveth God)
"ælc man ....pe.... Jone oderne hyrwde"
(each man who
$\frac{\text { the other }}{\text { acc. }}$ despised)
"and butan se Ælmihtiga God $b a$ dagas gescyrte" (and unless the Almighty God those days shorten)
3. The change from the free position of the accusative-object either before or after the verb to the fixed position after the verb is indicated by the figures in Table XXXVI. ${ }^{6}$

[^131]TABLE XXXVI

|  | 1000 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accusative-object | $52.5 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ | $40+\%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $1.87 \%$ |
| before verb $\ldots .$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accusative-object |  |  |  |  |  |
| after verb ....... |  |  |  |  |  |

4. Almost by 1400 and certainly before 1500 the position following the verb had become the fixed position for the accusative object.

In similar brief statements, the significant facts in the historical development of (b)-i.e., the position of those words which bore the dative inflection, the "dative-object" ${ }^{7}$-seem to be ${ }^{8}$ :

1. In Old English the dative-object like the accusative-object could stand in any position. Even when an accusative-object was also expressed, the order of the words in relation to each other and in relation to the verb seems to have no bearing upon the grammatical relationship. Typical examples are
> "Cartaginenses sendon $\frac{\text { fultum }}{\text { acc. }} \frac{\text { Tarentinum." }}{\text { dat. }}$
> (Carthaginians sent aid [to] Tarentinians). Orosius 162.8.
> "bam godan casere dat. sende theodosie $\frac{\text { arend-gewrit." }}{\text { acc. }}$

([to] the good Caesar sent Theodosia [a] message.) Elfric Saints I. 536. 792.
"he asende his $\frac{\text { apostlum }}{\text { dat. }} \frac{\text { bone halgan gast." }}{\text { acc. }}$
(he sent [to] his apostles the Holy Ghost.) Wulfstan I. 230. 27.
"Hi moston $\frac{\text { him }}{\text { dat. }}$ beran unforbodene floesc."
(They were permitted [to] him to take unforbidden flesh.) Ælfric Saints II. 72. 91.

As a matter of fact, the words with the dative inflections could be changed into any other position in these sentences without altering

[^132]the grammatical relationship now expressed and without doing violence to the patterns of Old English word order.
2. In the materials examined for Old English ( 900 a. d. to 1000 A. d.) we find the distribution of some 2,558 instances that is shown in Tables XXXVII and XXXVIII.

TABLE XXXVII

|  | Dative-Object <br> BEFORE the verb |  | Dative-Object <br> AFTER the verb |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Nouns ......... | 95 | $27.6 \%$ | 249 | $72.4 \%$ |
| Pronouns ...... | 492 | 48.7 | 518 | 51.3 |
| Both together .... | 587 | 43.4 | 767 | 56.6 |

TABLE XXXVIII

|  | Dative-Object <br> BEFORE Acc.-Object |  | Dative-Object <br> AFTER Acc.-Object |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Nouns ......... | 249 | $64 \%$ | 140 | $36 \%$ |
| Pronouns ....... | 674 | 82.8 | 141 | 17.2 |
| Both together .... | 923 | 76.6 | 281 | 23.3 |

3. The materials examined for Early Middle English (c. 1200 A. D.) show a clear (although not a violent) tendency to place the dative-object after the verb. The figures are given in Table XXXIX.

TABLE XXXIX

|  | Dative-Object <br> BEFORE Verb |  | Dative-Object <br> AFTER Verb |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Nouns ......... | 26 | $23 \%$ | 88 | $77 \%$ |
| Pronouns ....... | 218 | 43 | 288 | 57 |
| Both together .... | 244 | 39.4 | 376 | 60.6 |

4. Just as in the case of the accusative-object, by the early part of the fifteenth century, the position of a noun as dative-object ${ }^{\circ}$ had become fixed. It was after the verb but before an accusative-object.
[^133]The general situation then at the end of the fourteenth century and the beginning of the fifteenth was this. The fixed "object" position was after the verb and accusative-objects were distinguished from dative-objects by the fact that dative-objects (especially when nouns) preceded accusative-objects. Most important was the fact that by this time practically no nouns as accusativeobjects or as dative-objects preceded the verb. ${ }^{10}$ As a result the position before the verb, cleared of the presence of formally distinct accusative-objects and dative-objects, became subject territory and thus exercised its pressure upon the function of all the substantives that stood there.

Nouns which formerly stood before the so-called impersonal verbs as dative-objects with dative case inflection, now, with the case inflection gone, functioned as subjects wherever the verb forms permitted. ${ }^{11}$

> "The knight liked it right noght"-Tale of Gamelyn, 52.
> "This tale nedeth noght be glosed"-Confessio Amantis, VII, 3786.

Nouns following these impersonal verbs, which formerly were subjects with clear nominative case inflections, now, standing in object territory, tended to function as objects.
> "Whan a wolf wanteb [h]is fode, . . . of the erpe he et" (When to a wolf is lacking his food, . . . of the earth he eats)-Alexander and Dindarus, 860.

The functional pressure of the position before the verb as subject territory was so strong that dative-accusative pronoun forms were changed to accord with the pattern. ${ }^{12}$

> "Me lakketh nothing" became "I lack nothing."
> "Hem lacked a ladder" became "They lacked a ladder." "Hem nedede no help" became "They needed no help." "Me wæs gegiefan an boc" became "I was given a book."

[^134]Even the form of the verb itself was changed to agree with a substantive pressed by the fixed word order to function as subject. Compare, for example, Chaucer's "Wostow nought wel that it am I" with the following fifteenth century sentence: "It is I that am here in your syth" (Coventry Mysteries).

In general, then, in respect to the expression of the subject and object relations, the development in English has been away from inflectional devices which made it grammatically possible for subjects and objects to stand in any position among the words of a sentence, to the use of grammatically functioning fixed word order patterns which made the position before the verb, "subject" territory and the position after the verb, "object" territory.

Before attempting to describe more precisely the operation of the grammatical use of these positions in Present-day Standard and Vulgar English it is necessary to give something of the historical evidence concerning the development of the expression of the second of the essential or unavoidable relationships that all known languages express-the direction of modification.

If, for example, the qualities "red" and "big" are expressed at the time one is speaking of both a man and a barn, it is necessary to know to which of these two-the man or the barn-the qualities "red" and "big" are to be attached. It is essential that a language have some means of showing to which thing-words any quality-words belong. We must know what modifies what. There must be some device to show the direction of modification. We are here not concerned with the content of the modification nor with the various kinds of modification, ${ }^{13}$ but solely with the develop-

[^135]ment of the particular devices English has used for showing this essential and unavoidable relationship, called here the "direction of modification."

In Old English this relationship of modification (the charactersubstance or modifier-noun relationship) was indicated primarily by means of inflectional forms. Articles and adjectives "agreed" ${ }^{14}$ with the nouns they modified; that is, they had endings that stood for the same grammatical relationships as those of the nouns to which they belonged. Thus in such a sentence as "on ænium oberum mynstres pingum" ${ }^{15}$ it is quite clear that oberum must go with pingum, for the case form of both words is dative plural. That of mynstres is genitive singular so that oberum could not be a modifier of mynstres. We could not, therefore, keep the Old English order of words if we wished to give the Modern English equivalent of this phrase, for in the Modern English phrase "in any other monastery's things" the word other modifies monastery's. We are compelled to say "in any other things of the monastery," for in Modern English other can be made to modify things only by being placed immediately before it.

In Old English, with the use of inflectional forms to show the direction of modification, it was quite possible to place modifiers either before or after their nouns or to separate them from their nouns by other words. Some examples are the following: ${ }^{16}$

"Comon bær scipu six to Wiht"<br>(Came there ships six to Wight) Anglo-Saxon Chronicle 897.<br>> "Fpelwulfes suna twegen"<br>> (乍thelwulf's sons two) Anglo-Saxon Chronicle 855.

| an habitual liar | a new acquaintance | a rapid writer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a grown-up party | an insane asylum | a practical joker |
| a deaf and dumb | a mental hospital | an old friend |
| teacher | a psychopathic ward |  |
| the lost and found | a stone wall |  |
| notices |  |  |
| the cotton trade |  |  |
| a gold mine |  |  |
| 14 See above pages $45-46$. |  |  |
| 15 From the tenth century, Benedictine Rule, 95.14. |  |  |
| 16 For most of the examples used in my discussion here and for the figures on |  |  |
| the post-position genitive following, I am indebted to Russell Thomas whose dis- |  |  |
| sertation on The Development of the Adnominal Periphrastic Genitive in English |  |  |
| contains a wealth of material. |  |  |

"and ealle para nytena frumcennedan"
(and all the animals' first born) - Mdn. Eng. "all first born of the animals." Exodus 133.5.
"Ge gesawon ealle pa mæran drihtnes weorc"
(Ye saw all the great lord's works) $=$ Mdn. Eng. "Ye saw all the great works of (the) lord" Deuteronomy 214. 7.
"an lytel sæs earm"
(a little sea's arm) = Mdn. Eng. "a little arm of the sea." Orosius 28. 12-13.
"to ðæm Godes huse"
(to the God's house) $=$ Mdn. Eng. "to the house of God." Orosius 94. 18.
"pone drihtnes pægen"
(the lord's servant) - Mdn. Eng. "the servant of (a) lord" Elfric 184. 249.

Perhaps the progressive fixing of the word order pattern for modification can best be illustrated by the facts concerning the position of the inflected genitive modifying a noun. The inflected adnominal genitive has always been adjectival in its function and in Old English could, like the adjectives, stand either before or after the noun ${ }^{17}$ it modified. In the Old English of Alfred (c. 900 A. D.), out of 2,247 instances, 1,175 or 52.4 per cent stood before the noun modified, and 1,072 or 47.6 per cent stood after the noun modified.

The figures in Table XL show the developing change in this situation.

TABLE XL

|  | c. 900 | c. 1000 | c. 1100 | c. 1200 | c. 1250 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Before <br> its noun . ...... | $52.4 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ |
| After <br> its noun . ...... | $47.6 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $.9 \%$ |

By the end of the thirteenth century the post-positive inflected genitive had completely disappeared. By this time the general word order pattern to express the direction of modification had become

[^136]well established. Single word modifiers of the noun or adjective class preceding the nouns they modified remained in that position and became the usual practice, whereas single word modifiers of this class in other positions tended to be eliminated or to use a function word. With the loss of inflection this particular position before a noun became the grammatical device of Modern English to indicate adjunct relationship.

Just as in the case of the other grammatical patterns that have developed in English, there have continued to exist in our practice certain remnants of the older situation that have not yielded to the pressure of the newer pattern. Some of these uses are
a. Nouns in apposition
"William the Conqueror"
"Alfred the king" (The older "Aelfred cyning," in which no article was used with the second noun, has become the regular "King Alfred.")
b. Cardinal numerals as modifiers
"Chapter ten"
"Page three"
"in the year 1000"
c. Some petrified phrases
"God Almighty"
"Brother mine"
d. Modifying participles
"for the time being"
"the day following" (cf. "the following day")
"the money required" (cf. "the required money")
e. Some phrases borrowed from other languages-especially from French "heirs male"
"the body politic"
With the functioning of this word order pattern to indicate modification without the need of inflection, there have appeared an increasing number of instances in which the genitive form is suppressed, resulting in a noun modifier with no formal indication, other than position, of its adjunct character. ${ }^{18}$ Such, for example, are the following:

| "a ten days leave" | "the company officers |
| :--- | :--- |
| "a six months course" | "the hospital gardens" |
| "a one day vacation" | "my business interests" |

18 See above page 43 and also C. C. Fries, "Some Notes on the Inflected Genitive
in Present-Day English," Language, Vol. 14, 1938, pp. 121-133, especially pp. 128-130.

In fact, in Present-day English, position alone indicates modification, and nouns, both singular and plural, are freely placed before others as modifiers. The nature of the modification may be of the widest variety and often is extremely vague. The following examples are typical: ${ }^{19}$

```
"construction programs"
"flood control"
"flood control projects"
"senate appropriations committee"
"film explosion"
"farm crops"
"[attacks] Vandenberg plan"
"the present Works Progress administration system"
"benefit payments"
"war threat"
"state jobs"
"world war veteran"
"old-age insurance"
"appropriation bill"
"the federal old-age insurance program"
"the 22 -member group"
"farm labor"
"the 2 per-cent payroll tax"
"real estate holdings
"securities salesmen"
"airplane factories"
"peace talks"
"the pump-priming bill"
"troop movements"
```

In line with this pressure of word order as a grammatical device to indicate modification is the strong tendency for the first of two adjectives modifying a noun to become a modifier of the second adjective-the word immediately following. In the expression "the dark green house" there is a strong tendency to regard dark as a modifier of green rather than of house. In "an icy cold drink" and "a blazing hot fire," icy and blazing tend strongly to be felt as modifiers of cold and hot respectively. ${ }^{20}$

In general, then, single word modifiers of the adjective and noun

[^137]class have with the loss of their inflections, tended to hold a single fixed position immediately preceding the nouns they modified. This position, therefore, has tended to become the grammatical device of Modern English to displace inflections as the means of indicating the essential relationship of the direction of modification.

The situation with respect to word group modifiers, however, differs somewhat from that of the single word modifiers. Word group modifiers have become fixed in the position following the nouns they modify. The development of the periphrastic genitive is typical of this group. The periphrastic form of the genitive with of rose in frequency after the post-positive genitive had practically disappeared. The figures in Table XLI show the details of this progress. ${ }^{21}$

TABLE XLI

|  | Post-positive <br> Genitive | Periphrastic <br> Genitive | Pre-positive <br> Genitive |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. $900 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $47.5 \%$ | $.5 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| c. $1000 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 30.5 | 1.0 | 68.5 |
| c. $1100 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 22.2 | 1.2 | 76.6 |
| c. $1200 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 11.8 | 6.3 | 81.9 |
| c. $1250 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | .6 | 31.4 | 68.0 |
| c. $1300 \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | .0 | 84.4 | 15.6 |

All word group modifiers of nouns, those phrases introduced by the function words called prepositions ${ }^{22}$ and those clauses introduced by relative pronouns, tend to modify the word immediately preceding. It is the pressure of this pattern in spite of the logic of context that creates the humor in such sentences as the following:
"an old gentleman held a boy in his arms about the size of little Rawdon"
"The children will christen the battleship on Thursday that they built"
"Wanted: a piano by Richard Jones with a modern small case"
"The undersigned was given a physical examination for promotion by a Medical Board"
With the fixing of this position for modifying word groups, there has appeared an increasing number of modifying clauses with the

[^138]introductory function word relative omitted. The position itself serves without the pronoun to indicate the subordinate modifying function of the clause, ${ }^{23}$ as in

```
"There is a man below wants to speak to you."
"This is the boy we spoke of."
"In the songs I love to sing."
"Those nice people I stayed with."
"What is it you are talking of?"
"I am not the madman you thought me."
```

Both in respect to the grammatical relation of modification just discussed and in respect to the subject and object relation dealt with earlier in this chapter, the development in English has been away from grammatically functioning inflectional devices and a variety of accompanying positions in the sentence to a loss of inflections with grammatically functioning fixed word order.

In Present-day English the situation in regard to the grammatically functioning fixed word order revealed by the letters examined for this survey seems to be as follows:

## I. THE FIXED POSITIONS IN THE ACTOR-ACTIONGOAL CONSTRUCTION IN STATEMENTS

The grammatical significance of the fixed positions in the "actor-action-goal" construction in statements-the most common sentence type in English.

These positions concern the relations between substantives and verbs.

1. A single noun preceding the verb-a noun that has the full formal characteristics of a substantive (i. e., with possible determiners as well as inflection for number)-and is not preceded by an accompanying function word, nor inflected for genitive case, is the subject or the starting point of the actor-action construction.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"telegraphic resignation is not permitted" (9005)
"his work with both organizations redounded most favorably to his professional ability" (9005)

[^139]"My duties as Veterinary Inspector . . . take me to very remote places" (9004)
"The north end of a compass needle always tends to point down" (9003)
"Mrs. ——'s remains lie in the Army Morgue" (9003)
"the enlisted man's parents are in need of him" (8183)
"the mother's affidavit . . . has already been furnished" (8239)
"the month's time that I have lost has necessitated quite a lot of extra work" (9027)
"The findings of the board are forwarded in duplicate" (9002)
"the soldier's father returned to Italy" (8144)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"Many reasons are given by candidates" (8057)
"the public would not associate with Soilders" (8057)
"the charges against him are taking an auto" (8038)
"my clouds dont have those bright lineings" (8038)
"his right name is
 " (8033)
"my Son has enlisted" (8033)
"Of course a man can not go home unless . . ." (8026)
"this other man was still living" (8026)
"that poor mother has went and got a job" (8005)
"This boy's father is in the insane Asylum" (8077)
"his parents were both dead" (8211)
"my sons full name is _-_" (8038)
"Sister Callie boy is in the U.S. Army" (8181)
2. Two such nouns preceding the verb-nouns that are equivalent or refer to the same person or thing-are the subject and an appositive, the first in order being the subject.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"The mother Mrs. - is getting along nicely" (8017)
"Mrs. M-, the grandmother, informed our visitor" (8095)
"Mr. - of - - , a constituent and a friend of long standing, was in my office" (8064)
"The grocer, Mr. - verified the account" (8283)
"The mother, -_, is seemingly in good health" (8283)
"Her son B-_ did not support her" (8144)
"her married daughter, Mrs. P—_, acted as interpreter" (8144)
"Captain -, a graduate of the University of Nebraska, is at present in Nebraska" (9050)
"the Sears Roebuck Plant, an immense new structure is being erected here" (8139)

Similar sentences from the Vulgar English letters are somewhat rare. ${ }^{24}$ There were but twenty one instances in all and of these, ten are of the words "my son ___."

## VULGAR ENGLISH


3. Two or more such nouns preceding the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing but are levelled by similar accent and/or function words-constitute a compound subject (two or more subjects).

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"the care and repair of . . . vehicles at this station are under my supervision" (9052)
"the necessary forms and other machinery for operation was furnished by . . ." (8416) "the organization and work of this office has increased ten foal" (9000)
"The discipline and hygiene of the . . . speaks for itself" (8415)
"their sanitary conditions and the arrangement of their quarters was very good" (8426)
"The registrant as well as his dependents were given an opportunity to appear" (8417)
"Backsights and foresights are approximately equal" (9037)
${ }^{24}$ The following type of structure appeared in the Vulgar English letters but not in those of Standard English:
"Mr. R-H-he can give you my name" (8025)
"My son James he left home" (8218)
"My husband he is 70 years old" (8272)
Although the pronoun subjects were very frequent in Vulgar English, only one appositive occurred with these pronouns:
"and we his parents want to get him out" (8165)
"My experience and duties with . . . have been very limited" (9019)

VULGAR ENGLISH
"My Wife \& 2 children has got the Plegleory" (8179)
"The father and mother is dead" (8181)
" $D$ - $R$ - and $R$ - $R$ - is the Same Boy" (8151) ${ }^{25}$
"After 13 days him and this man . . . was found" (8026)
4. If two nouns precede the verb, stand next to one another, and are not levelled by accent and/or function words, but with only one possible determiner and that before the first noun, the second noun is the subject and the first a modifier of the subject. ${ }^{26}$

STANDARD ENGLISH
"A base line is the starting line from which . . ." (9037)
"Register cards are made for all cases" (9002)
"Map projection is a method of . . ." (9003)
"My war experience has all been . . ." (9015)
"the exhaust valve opens" (9027)
"Government quarters are not available there" (9030)
"The family quarters at present used are . . ." (9030)
"Flying training is desired" (9061)
"The condemnation suits are being tried" (9062)
The only instance from the Vulgar English materials of a subject noun so modified is the following:
"my soldier boy has been in . . ." (8028)
5. A single noun following the verb-a noun that has the full formal characteristics of a noun and is not preceded by an accompanying function word or inflected for genitive case, if this noun refers to the same person or thing as the subject noun-is an identifying noun-a so-called predicate nominative.

STANDARD ENGLISH
"This soldier is a toolmaker" (8081)
"The family were fine people" (8283)
${ }^{25}$ An interesting example is the following in which but one person is referred to but in respect to his two capacities-as husband and as father:
"my Husband and his father is unable to support the Family" (8052)
${ }^{26}$ Such modifying nouns may also be attached to any of the "objects" after the verb. Whether before or after the verb, the modifying noun causes no confusion in the matter of the relations between the verb and the substantive. It is touched here in order to be excluded.
"I have had business dealings with them" (8004)
"draw any pay envelope" (8002)
"the incident was an accident" (9043)
"the actual time consumed was five days" (9034)
"a diagnosis of chronic appendicitis was the final outcome" (9006)
"my folks have been American citizens" (9036)
"one cause was the desire of . . ." (9017)
"the time would be a distinct advantage" (9058)
"the authority establishing the second hospital might be a division surgeon" (9002)
"the only means of support is $\$ 15.00$ weekly compensation insurance" (8260)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"the writer is a soldier" (8057)
"Many candidates are men who . . ." (8057)
"J- S- is a poor boy" (8063)
"We have always been good parents to our children" (8074)
6. Such a single noun following the verb, if it does not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, is the object-the end point of the "action." 27

STANDARD ENGLISH
"The family . . . pay rent" (8283)
"The grocer . . . verified the account" (8283)
"Examination of Mrs. - about July 1st shows no improvement" (8266)
"the defendent had left the jurisdiction of the court" (8296)
"Mrs. - did housework for . . ." (8240)
"The grandmother earns some money by canvassing" (8095)
"Congress passed a law that . . ." (8183)
"the Act of Congress should not receive a different interpretation now" (9030)
"P_M-'s brother and sister meet all necessary expenses" (8027)

[^140]It is less certain whether the following should also be included here:
"Alimony was denied the wife" (8296)
"personal quarters are furnished the officers" (9030)
"Quarters were furnished such officers" (9030)
"The custody of the children was denied both the father and the mother" (8296)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"James had no written consent" (8218)
"My son James he left home" ${ }^{28}$ (8218)
"that poor mother . . . got a job to help keep the family" (8005)
"any mother would Sign any armory paper" (8005)
"my clouds dont have those bright lineings" (8038)
"another got 3 months in the gard house" (8039)
"he did not realize the responsibility" (8173)
"ever one knows me condition" (8171)
"we need the boy" (8121)
"he passed the examination" (8021)
"we herd nothing" (8049)
"you almost immediately see a change" (8063)
"He walked the streets for weeks" (8080)
7. Two such nouns following the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, but do themselves each refer to the same person or thing as the other-are a "direct" object and a "result" object or so-called "object complement" after such verbs as call, make, elect, appoint, consider. After other verbs they are direct object and appositive, the first in order being the direct object. ${ }^{29}$ Only one instance appeared in the Standard English materials-and that with a pronoun for the first "object."
"The community considers him a liability" (8017)
The following are the only examples to appear in the Vulgar English materials:
"He named his self Roy" (8151)
"that leaves his name $J-J-F-M$ " (8101)
8. Two or more such nouns following the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun and do not themselves each refer to the same person or thing as the other, but are levelled by accent and/or function words-are a compound accusative-object ("direct" object)-i. e., several objects.

[^141]
## STANDARD ENGLISH

"The children need shoes and underwear" (8260)
"Mrs. - has several sons and daughters" (8240)
"his mother needs his support and comfort" (8294)
"Mr. - leaves a widow and a girl of 11 years and the son" (8137)
"The father owns a small house and two acres of land" (8064)
"The family owe a grocery bill of $\$ 50$ and a bread bill of $\$ 18.00$ " (8283)
"The mother needs his companionship more than the $\$ 5.00$ per month" (8064)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

"we could pay our rent and Bills" (8233)
9. Two such nouns following the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, and do not themselves each refer to the same person or thing as each other, and are not levelled by accent and/or function words-are a dativeobject ("indirect" object) and an accusative-object ("direct" ob-ject)-the first in order being the dative or "indirect" object. ${ }^{30}$

## STANDARD ENGLISH

" $\$ 5.00$ per month will do his mother more material good" (8174) (Most of the instances appear with a pronoun as "indirect" object.)
"Miss V- . . . has written you the enclosed letter" (8144)
"some of the . . . could tell you the results" (9033)
"the expense of . . . has cost me considerable money" (9033)
"This error has caused me trouble and annoyance" (9007)
"all the districts have afforded him opportunities" (9023)
VULGAR ENGLISH ${ }^{31}$
"
__ get my son a release" (8233)
"the . . . offices . . . tells his son all the nice things" (8005)
"-_ pay the government whatever expenses then . . ." (8251)
"I sent S-_'s captain an afidavit" (8037)
(Other instances appear with a pronoun as "indirect" object.)
"the goverament will pay them an allotment" (8258)
"I wrote you a letter" (8274)
"I am dropping you a few lines" (8288)
"he sends me $\$ 8.00$ dollars" (8233)
"you fine me my reference" (8044)

[^142]"you will grant him clemency" (8153)
"cause . . . anybody any trouble" (8100)
The application of the statements given above ${ }^{82}$ to the following series of sentences in which the position of the word sentinel is the chief difference will probably help in setting forth the significance of word order as a device for showing these particular grammatical relationships.
$a$. The sentinel and a man stood by the box.
$b$. The sentinel, a man, stood by the box.
c. The man, a sentinel, stood by the box.
d. The sentinel man stood by the box.

## ${ }^{22}$ For convenience the statements are here brought together in summary.

The word order pattern for the nouns of the actor-action-goal construction:

1. A single noun preceding the verb-a noun that has the full characteristics of a substantive (i.e., with possible determiners as well as inflection for number), that is not preceded by an accompanying function word, nor inflected for genitive case-is the subject or the starting point of the actor-action construction.
2. Two such nouns preceding the verb-nouns that are equivalent or refer to the same person or thing-are the subject and an appositive, the first in order being the subject.
3. Two or more such nouns preceding the verb-nouns that do not refer to the some person or thing but which are levelled by similar accent and/or function words -constitute a compound subject (two or more subjects).
4. If two nouns precede the verb, stand next to one another, and are not levelled by accent and/or function words, but with only one possible determiner and that before the first noun, the second noun is the subject and the first a modifier of the subject.
5. A single noun following the verb-a noun that has the full formal characteristics of a substantive and is not preceded by an accompanying function word or inflected for genitive case-if this noun refers to the same person or thing as the subject noun, is an identifying noun-a so-called "predicate nominative."
6. Such a single noun following the verb, if it does not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, is the end point of the action or object.
7. Two such nouns following the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, but do themselves each refer to the same person or thing as the other, are a "direct" object and a "result" object or a so-called "object complement," after such verbs as call, make, elect, appoint, consider. After other verbs they are "direct" object and appositive, the first in order being the direct object.
8. Two or more such nouns following the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun and do not themselves each refer to the same person or thing as the other, but are levelled by accent and/or function wordsare a compound accusative ("direct") object-i. e., several objects.
9. Two such nouns following the verb-nouns that do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, and do not themselves each refer to the same person or thing as the other, and are not levelled by accent and/or function wordsare a dative-object ("indirect" object) and an accusative object ("direct" object), the first in order being the dative or indirect object.
10. If two nouns follow the verb, stand next to one another, and are not levelled by accent and/or function words, but with only one possible determiner and that before the first noun, the first noun is a modifier of the second and the second may be either 5 or 6 above.
e. The man sentinel stood by the box.
$f$. The man stood a sentinel by the box.
g. The man stood by the sentinel box.
h. The man stood by the box sentinel.
i. The man made the sentinel a box.
$j$. The captain made the man a sentinel.
In $a$ there are two nouns preceding the verb-nouns with the full formal characteristics of a substantive, nouns that are not accompanied by such function words as of, $b y$, at, etc. and that do not refer to the same person or thing, but are levelled by the function word and. These nouns are therefore a compound subject (two subjects). (See statement 3, page 263.)

In $b$ and $c$ there are two such nouns before the verb whieh are equivalent or refer to the same person or thing. In $b$, therefore, sentinel is subject and man is appositive. In c man is subject and sentinel is appositive. (See statement 2, page 262.)

In $d$ and $e$ two nouns precede the verb, stand next to one another, are not levelled by a function word, but they have only one determiner and that before the first noun. In $d$, therefore, man is subject and sentinel is a modifier of man. In e, sentinel is subject and man is a modifier of sentinel. (See statement 4, page 264.)

In $f$ two nouns follow the verb, but the relation of box is indicated by the function word by accompanying it, leaving only sentinel as the noun whose relationship is indicated by word order. This noun as it stands here in a sentence isolated from other context may be in either of two relationships. If it refers to the same person or thing as the subject noun, it is a "predicate nominative" or identifying noun. (See statement 5, page 264.) If it does not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, it is "object." (See statement 6, page 265.)

In $g$ and $h$ two nouns follow the verb, ${ }^{38}$ stand next to each other, are not levelled by a function word, but they have only one determiner and that before the first noun. In $g$, sentinel is a modifier of box and in h, box is a modifier of sentinel. (See statement 4, page 264.)

In i two nouns with the full formal characteristics of a substantive follow the verb. They do not refer to the same person or thing

[^143]as the subject noun, they do not themselves each refer to the same person or thing as each other, and they are not levelled by function words such as and or or. Therefore, sentinel is the dative-object ("indirect" object) and box the accusative-object ("direct" object). (See statement 9, page 267.)

In $j$ two nouns with the full formal characteristics of a substantive follow the verb. They do not refer to the same person or thing as the subject noun, but they do themselves each refer to the same person or thing as the other. Therefore, man is accusative-object and sentinel is a "result" object ("object complement"). (See statement 7, page 266.)

The chief differences between the practice in the Standard English materials and that in the Vulgar English materials are
a. The Standard English materials contain many more nouns in the subject and object relation than do those of Vulgar English. The Vulgar English letters use pronouns in these relations primarily.
b. Only one noun modifier of a subject appears in the Vulgar English materials as against some thirty instances in the Standard English letters. (See page 264.)
c. The so-called "object complement" construction seems to be very rare. There was but one instance in the Standard English letters and none in those of Vulgar English. (See page 266.)
d. The word order method of indicating a distinction between a dative-object ("indirect" object) and accusative-object ("direct" object), although used in both groups, is much more frequent in Standard English. About twice as many instances appeared in the Standard English letters as in those of the Vulgar English group. (See pages 92, 267, and 268.) Vulgar English seems to use more frequently the function words $t o$ and for to express this grammatical relationship.
e. Aside from the four points here noted there seem to be no differences in the practices of the two social groups in these uses of word order to show the relations of nouns and verbs.

## II. THE FIXED POSITIONS IN THE MODIFIER-NOUN (CHARACTER-SUBSTANCE) CONSTRUCTION

As shown above (pages 259 and 260) single word modifiers of the noun and adjective classes, in general pattern, immediately precede the words they modify, but word group modifiers (so-called "phrases" and "subordinate clauses"), in general pattern, imme-
diately follow the words they modify. The following statements seem to represent the situation.

1. A single word with the formal characteristics of an adjective ${ }^{34}$ preceding a noun is a modifier of that noun. This is an exceedingly common type of construction. In the Standard English letters there were 1,413 instances. The exceptions to this pattern-the cases in which a single word modifier followed the noun-were comparatively rare, for there were but seventy six in all. In other words, of the 1,489 instances with single word adjective modifiers of nouns, 94.9 per cent immediately preceded the noun and 5.1 per cent immediately followed. Examples of this common pattern of modification, widely various in respect to the kind of relation existing between the two elements, are the following:
```
"favorable action" (8234)
"personal quarters" (9030)
"practical work" (9052)
"foreign service" (9028)
"regular channels" (9061)
"a delightful man" (9003)
"reasonable precautions" (9032)
"my sincere desire" (9000)
"a nervous breakdown" (8002)
"the proper officers" (8076)
"my proper station" (9036)
"his legal guardian" (8114)
"his physical condition" (8283)
"the total amount" (9032)
"the young man" (9023)
"sterling character" (9038)
```

Examples of such single word modifiers in a position after the noun are of the types shown in the following groups:

STANDARD ENGLISH
a.
"no travel pay or allowance available" (9029)
"no funds available" (9040)
"the best physical condition possible" (9066)
"of the information available" (9061)
b.
"a young man, industrious and trustworthy" (9039)
"a man, straight and truthful" (8060)
84 The chief formal characteristic of an adjective is the inflection (or the function word) of comparison.
c.
"some . . . post not far distant" (9043)
"a young man-strictly temperate" (9038)
d.
"the best information obtainable from her" (8095)
"at some institution not familiar to me" (9050)
"on the list eligible for duty" (9042)
"the temperament suitable for . . ." (9028)
"the time necessary for accomplishing this" (9040)
"all expense incident to the transfer" (9059)
"six children dependent upon her" (8189)
"one worthy of trust" (9029)
6.
"two weeks ago" (8095)
"seven years ago" (8144)
f. ${ }^{\text {sb }}$
"for the time being" (9017)
"for a week following" (8002)
g. ${ }^{36}$
"any further information needed" (8283)
"discharges of the nature requested" (8073)
"any papers attached" (9035)
"of injuries received" (9027)
"the procedure used" (9032)
"the actual time consumed" (9034)
"the examinations required" (9012)
h.
"to have its date corrected" (9036)
"in having this resolution passed" (9036)
"have this matter checked up" (9057)
"to have her son discharged" (8023)
"have the papers prepared" (8160)
"had her hand caught" (8095)
${ }^{35}$ There were, however, eight times as many instances of this kind with the adjective preceding the noun.
"the following statement" (9019)
"the resulting benefit" (9042)
"the outstanding bills" (9040)
There is, of course, no such parallel for the example "for the time being."
${ }^{36}$ Here again there were many more instances with the adjective preceding the moun-three times as many.
"the required tests" (9050) (9036)
"the designated place" (9043)
"the returned requisitions" (9040)
"the inclosed resolution" (9036)
"the attached order" (9061)
"the desired report" (8299)

In the Vulgar English materials there were fewer adjective modifiers all told, and a number of the types illustrated above did not appear. There were 479 in all. Of these, 458 or 95.6 per cent were of the common pattern, immediately preceding the noun and twenty one or 4.4 per cent following the word modified.

Examples of the common pattern showing something of the variety of relation involved are

```
"a weak heart" (8117)
"his younger brother" (8141)
"in good health" (8127)
"a good worker" (8074)
"a steady worker" (8080)
"your kind attention" (8104)
"a nervous wreck" (8080)
"an honorable discharge" (8288)
"a foolish thing" (8080)
"my earnest desire" (8288)
"a critical condition" (8080)
"to a local post" (8082)
"unfortunate circumstances" (8084)
"his Baptismal certificate" (8000)
"his crect age" (8000)
"my correct date of birth" (8070)
"my sole support" (8034)
"financial aid" (8034)
"the open air" (8063)
"the proper application" (8063)
"an early date" (8261)
"last week" (8288)
"continual use of liquor" (8080)
"Regular hours" (8063)
"a existing vacancy" (8082)
"the following reasons" (8036)
"he enlisted as a single man" (8012)
```

Examples of such single word modifiers in a position after the noun are of the types shown in the following groups but are rare in the Vulgar English materials. (For convenience of comparison the groups are given the same letters as are the groups of the Standard English materials. At the end a miscellaneous group (i) is added of instances unlike any that appeared in Standard English.)

## VULGAR ENGLISH

a.
"that will be time inough" (8080)
"anything wrong" (8118) (8005) (8135) (8201)
b.
"a poor boy without education undevelop" (8063)
c. No examples
d.
"he was making money enough to support me" (8230)
e.
"about 6 years ago" ${ }^{37}$ (8030)
"some few days ago" (8135)
"two months ago" (8116)
f. No examples
g.
"the duties assigned" (8063)
"the last seen of him" (8115)
"an affadavitt sworn to by me" (8101)
"all mail un called for" (8149)
h.
"he has had his leg broken twice" (8032)
$i$.
"is crying himself sick" (8053)
"it turned me gray" (8201)
"he was found dead" (8094)
"on his way back" (8117)
"leave us all" (8288)
"take care of them all" (8288)
"mad with us all" (8181)
2. If two nouns stand next to one another, and are not levelled by accent and/or function words, but with only one possible determiner and that before the first noun, the first noun is a modifier of the second. These noun adjuncts with no formal indication, other than position, of their modifying function are very frequent in the Standard English materials. There, there are 412 in all, but in the Vulgar English letters there are only 111-approximately only one fourth as many in an equal amount of writing.

[^144]In these noun adjunct constructions the relations between the modifier and the noun are even more various than between the adjectives and the nouns they modify. (Shown above note 13.) Often the relationship is very loose and hard to define. This variety of relationship is especially marked in the instances from Standard English. ${ }^{38}$ In order to illustrate this variety a fairly large number of examples are included here.

## STANDARD ENGLISH

"a school teacher" (8060)
"the school year" (9031)
"sea level" (9037)
"my victory medal" (9018)
"the promotion list" (9022)
"examination papers" (9035)
"a summer camp" (9034)
"at target practice" (90i4)
"the home conditions" (8137)
"our home address" (9040)
"a home visit" (8240)
"my leave order" (9050)
"longevity pay" (9050)
"my household effects" (9056)
"the newspaper clipping" (9057)
"the reparations problem" (9057)
"my college course" (9058)
"at government expense" (9058)
"a transportation request" (9052)
"my freight allowance" (9058)
"blanket roll" (9060)
"the condemnation suits" (9062)
"a work statement" (8303)
"a bread bill" (8283)
"the store bill" (8002)
"the land contract" (8081)
"the family physician" (8283)
"army life" (8027)
"a funeral bill" (8027)
"business dealings" (8004)
"no business training" (8137)
"the company store" (8002)
"any pay envelope" (8002)
"my business interests" (9000)
"a leave status" (9001)
"a compass needle" (9003)
"the hospital fund" (9002)
"the hospital gardens" (9002)
"janitor service" (8260)
"a cataract operation" (8207)
"labor conditions" (8207)
"beauty culture" (8095)
"the immigration law" (8144)
"his boy chums" (8018)
"for Doctor bills" (8288)
"a silk mill" (8079)
"my mason work" (8067)
vulgar english

[^145]"my Gardine papers" (8025)
"the coal mines" (8005)
"his Baptism certificate" (8000) ${ }^{20}$
"no birth certificut" (8254)
"the county farm" (8133)
"the prothonotary office" (8157)
"blood pressure" (8235)
"lung trouble" (8171)
"automobile machinist" (8173)
"at Judgment day" (8181)
"the licence bureau" (8186)
"peace times" (8250)
"her grocerie store" (8251)
"his life work" (8251)
"the air army" (8274)
3. In some of the modifier-noun (character-substance) constructions there appeared two or even more modifiers for a single noun.
a. These multiple modifiers when levelled by accent and/or function words operate as do the simple single word modifiers. The following examples are illustrative:

```
"strong and beautiful children" (9012)
"sanitary and healthful habits" (9012)
"due and diligent care" (9032)
"an honest and honorable boy" (8060)
"her physical and mental comfort" (8294)
"agricultural and mechanical arts" (9058)
"an easy, accurate manner" (9037)
"a robust, active physique" (9009)
"a restless, roving disposition" (8139)
"honest, honorable, straight men" (8060)
```

b. Often, however, multiple modifiers are not so levelled, and then the pressure of the word order pattern for indicating the direction of modification shows itself, for wherever the lexical meanings of the words permit, each modifier tends to modify the unit immediately following. ${ }^{40}$
"in reasonable good health" (8017)
"a little rented house" (8142)
"excellent moral character" (9009)
"high moral character" (9005)
"an absolute bona fide statement" (8267)
"more varied service" (9028)
This tendency shows itself also where the second modifier is a noun adjunct. ${ }^{41}$

[^146]"all regular army captains" (9034)
"a special service school" (9024)
"his foreign service tour" (9061)
"a successful fying officer" (9028)
"the ninth corps area" (9064)
Where several noun adjuncts occur in order, the rule of immediate contact for modification seems to have no exceptions.
"a beauty culture parlor" (8095)
"a street car accident" (8093)
"the street rail-way company" (8095)
"gas engine laboratory" (9052)
"air service instructor" (9031)
In line with this tendency, also, the ordinal numerals and such words as next, last, past, appeared invariably before other adjective modifiers.

```
"the next two years" (9064)
"the last forty years" (9017)
"the past ten years" (9039)
"the first regular opening" (8143)
"the last few times" (8002)
"the next upward stroke" (9027)
```

In the Vulgar English materials there were only about a dozen examples all told of multiple modifiers in the character-substance construction. These were all like those of Standard English shown under (3a) above or like those just indicated with the words next, last, etc.
"each and every day" (8005)
"his old Blind father" (8121)
"a true loyal citizen" (8235)
"a nice honest quite boy" (8187)
"a fine big honest lad" (8288)
"the last 8 years" (8115)
"the last 6 month" (8168)
4. In the case of word group modifiers of nouns, i. e., the socalled prepositional phrases (the function words with nouns discussed above, pages 108 and 127) and the subordinate modifying

[^147]clauses, the fixed position has become that immediately following the word modified. In other words a prepositional phrase or a subordinate clause in general pattern modifies the word immediately preceding.
a. The actual numbers of the prepositional phrases used as modifiers of nouns that immediately precede them correspond very well with the numbers of simple adjectives preceding the nouns they modify.

In the Standard English materials there are 1,258 and in the Vulgar English letters 490.42 These in every case except one follow immediately the nouns they modify.

Examples are
"the information at hand" (8260)
"a reconciliation between him and his wife" (8296)
"a journey by transport" (9053)
"a divorce from bed and board" (8296)
"a course in trigonometry" (9027)
"carelessness on my part" (9040)
"the personal care of this son" (8023)
"in your letter to her" (8234)
"experience with horses" (9015)
"a widow without means" (8023)
The one instance in which the whole context proves that the phrase cannot modify the preceding noun is the following:
"The undersigned was given a physical examination for promotion by a medical board" (9054)

It is in just such a case as this, however, that the actual use of word order to indicate the direction of modification makes itself felt, for even here the reader is pressed to take the phrase "by a medical board" as a modifier of the word promotion in spite of the logic of the context. But there are a certain number of instances (sixty seven in the Standard English letters and sixty six in the Vulgar English materials) in which a word group modifier following a noun could just as well, according to the logic of the context, be considered a modifier of the verb, inasmuch as phrase modifiers of verbs are much less fixed in their positions.

[^148]Some examples are

```
"I have no interest in the matter" (8260) (8139)
"I received a letter from the Adjutant General" (8160)
"I am maintaining a place of abode at Ashville" (9030)
"a company . . . made satisfactory records at target practice"
    (9014)
"There is on hope for her" (8064)
```

In all these instances, however, both those from Standard English and those from Vulgar English, the phrase can be taken in accord with the pattern as a modifier of the noun which it follows.
$b$. The situation with respect to the position of subordinate clause modifiers of nouns is much like that of the prepositional phrase modifiers, although with marked differences. As indicated above, the general pattern holds that such a clause modifies the noun immediately preceding. In the Standard English materials there are 338 such clauses as against fifty eight that do not immediately follow the noun they modify. Of all the subordinate clauses modifying nouns, 86 per cent follow immediately the noun modified; 14 per cent have other words intervening, usually a phrase modifier of the same noun. Examples of the general pattern in which the clause is a modifier of an immediately preceding noun are
"a neighbor whom we interviewed" (8002)
"people who know the father" (8002)
"the community where he lived" (8017)
"various papers which were requested" (8027)
"within a few miles of B- where I live" (8060)
"all products that are generally raised..." (8060)
"the circumstances in which they are situated" (8064)
Of the 338 instances in this general pattern twenty seven or 8 per cent omit the function word to introduce the subordinate clause. Position without function word is sufficient. Examples are
"The only decision I can find" (9063)
"The time I would spend there" (9058)
"This is the first time anything of this nature has happened to me" (9057)
"from the time he was a small boy" (9029)
"the period they can best receive training" (9012)
"any news you can give me" (8075)
"the money she now has on hand" (8095)
"doing the best he could" (8296)

Examples of those clauses that do not immediately follow the noun they modify are

```
"my verbal request made to you that I be transferred" (9062)
"all officers on duty at training camps who arrive as I did" (9060)
"my application for transfer to _ which was submitted . . ."
        (9053)
"the above mentioned letter from __ which said . . ." (9050)
"many officers in this camp whom I rank . . ." (9042)
"I purchased a new automobile from this company for which I paid
        them cash" (9033)
"There is nothing in the Act that justifies the conclusion" (9030)
"a qualified officer of the United States Army who will be acceptable
        to the . . ."(9029)
"for any position of trust or otherwise that he might apply for"
        (9029)
"our chief of staff had some ideas with respect to -_ and _
    which could hardly be considered as in accord with . . ." (9000)
"The $5.00 per month which he is sending to" (8064)
"Mrs. - has two other sons, aged 18 and 20 years, who are living
    with . . ." (8142)
"they will have the papers prepared that you require" (8160)
"the sister has made affdavit to that effect which I am enclosing"
        (8234)
```

"The family occupy a house consisting of six rooms and bath which they own" (8303)

In the Vulgar English letters fewer clauses were used as modifiers of nouns- 214 in all. Of these 207 or 92 per cent follow immediately the noun they modify; 8 per cent have other words intervening.

Examples of those clauses that immediately follow the nouns they modify are
"any trouble whitch might set me Back" (8281)
"a favor which I promised" (8265)
"the name in which my son enlisted under" (8259)
"my husband - - who is now in . . ." (8230)
"our son who left home" (8193)
"the place where my son was born" (8107)
"people that have known us" (8074)
Of the 207 instances in this general pattern, sixty five or 31 per cent omit the function word to introduce the subordinate clause.

Examples are

```
"The income my husband gets" (8265)
"whatever expenses they had" (8251)
"the information you request" (8242)
"ony thing you wont to know" (8179)
"an oll the Sister he got in World" (8112)
"what more Proof I can give you" (8072)
"I guess my clouds dont have those bright lineings some folks tell
    about" (8038)
"with the abuse I haft to take" (8205)
"the work his step father followed" (8218)
"That \$25. I had to borrow . . ." (8288)
```

Examples of those clauses that do not immediately follow the nouns they modify are
"There is also four children in the family who are too young to work" (8270)
"relate a few facts to you that I am sure you have not . . ." (8080)
"get my Gardine papers from the Clerk at the County seat that was made to years ago" (8025)
"I heard tha was a rection officer at Lynchburg that run around with _-"." (8045)
"I have sent the two last doctors certificats that have tended on him" (8072)

In brief summary, the most noteworthy facts concerning the uses of word order in respect to the modifier-noun (charactersubstance) relation are the following:
a. As a pattern, single word modifiers precede the nouns they modify. In spite of the fact that there are about three times as many adjective modifiers in the Standard English materials as in those of Vulgar English, the actual proportions of those that precede to those that follow are remarkably close. (Standard English, 1,489 instances; 94.9 per cent precede their nouns, and 5.1 per cent follow. Vulgar English, 479 instances; 95.6 per cent precede their nouns, and 4.4 per cent follow.)
b. The free use of the noun adjunct seems to be much more characteristic of Standard English than of Vulgar English. Four times as many instances appeared in the Standard English letters and in these same letters the types of relations between the modifying noun and its head word show much more variety.
c. Standard English uses multiple modifiers much more frequently than does Vulgar English. Only eleven instances all told appeared in the Vulgar English materials and these were all either of two or three levelled adjectives or of such words as last with a numeral.
d. As a pattern, word group modifiers (the so-called prepositional phrases and the subordinate clauses) modify the nouns immediately preceding them. Of the prepositional phrases there is only one instance, and that is in Standard English, in which the phrase, according to the context, could not modify the immediately preceding noun. There are, however, about an equal number of instances in both the Standard English letters and those of Vulgar English in which the phrase, although immediately following a noun it could modify, might also logically modify the verb preceding that noun. Of the subordinate clause modifiers, a larger proportion do not immediately follow the noun they modify but have other words intervening. In practically all cases these intervening words are a phrase modifying the same noun. In many of these cases, too, there is some pressure to feel the clause as a modifier of the noun of the phrase it immediately follows in spite of the logic of the context. Here again, in spite of the fact that the number of the clause modifiers in the Standard English letters is nearly twice that of the clause modifiers in the Vulgar English materials, there is a remarkably similar proportion of those that immediately follow their nouns in each case. (Standard English, 396 in all; 86 per cent immediately follow the nouns they modify, 14 per cent have other words intervening. Vulgar English, 214 in all; 92 per cent immediately follow the nouns they modify, 8 per cent have other words intervening.)
$e$. The omission of the function word to introduce the modifying clause when that clause immediately follows the noun it modifies is much more frequent in the Vulgar English materials than in those of Standard English. In these cases, position without the function word seems to be sufficient. In the Standard English letters, only 8 per cent omit the function word, whereas in the Vulgar English materials 31 per cent omit the introductory function word.

## $\mathbb{X I}$

## SOME INFERENCES FROM THIS STUDY FOR A WORKABLE PROGRAM IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE FOR THE SCHOOLS

Much labor has, in recent years, gone into the investigations of the so-called "common errors" in the English of pupils in the hope of thus determining the particular errors which school children most frequently make and then of building a program of drill that will effectively eliminate them. ${ }^{1}$ Although one may justly insist that the technique employed in collecting these "errors" has been so loose as to make inaccurate and unreliable the conclusions of most of these investigations, yet some significance attaches to the fact that they do reveal a striking similarity of results. The same categories of "errors" appear with the highest counts in all the studies. Charters insists also that
". . . the similarity of frequencies in errors in cities widely distributed geographically indicates that a large proportion of the errors of school children are national rather than sectional errors." ${ }^{2}$

These facts offer clear evidence that there is a common body of material in these so-called errors to which teachers direct attention over the whole country. The published language usage tests ${ }^{8}$ furnish additional ground for this same conclusion, for practically all of these tests cover approximately the same items. In other words, the so-called "incorrect" English of the pupils in our schools, as determined by error counts and language tests, consists of a rather limited body of items that appear to be the same for all sections of the United States.

[^149]The same "error" items appear not only in all parts of our country, they also turn up in about the same proportions in every grade throughout the elementary school, the high school, and the college. ${ }^{4}$ In some cases the studies of language errors show that the errors actually increase in number and proportion in the later grades after teachers have made an attack upon them and the study of grammar has begun. After finding that pronouns were "used incorrectly more frequently in Grade VIII than in the lower grades," the authors of one study raise the following question:
"Is the present teaching of pronouns leading to a more confused state of mind in the eighth-grade child than existed when he was in the third grade and was entirely unconscious of the rules of grammar governing the use of such words?" ${ }^{5}$

As a matter of fact, any one who makes a thorough survey of the published studies of the language errors of those who attend our schools and colleges is forced to the conclusion that the teaching efforts that have been and are now directed toward the elimination of these so-called errors are largely ineffective and futile. ${ }^{6}$ In these efforts, the study of "grammar" has at times been exceedingly prominent; at others it has been abandoned as useless. ${ }^{7}$ Most of those who have recently expressed themselves concerning the curriculum in English have taken the point of view that the school study of grammar is of very little use in dealing with the matter of language correctness. The following statement is typical:

[^150]"Because scientific investigations have failed to show the effectiveness of grammar in the elimination of usage errors, it is not here organized for that purpose." ${ }^{8}$

It is the point of view of this report that a study of the real grammar of Present-day English has never been used in the schools and that the conclusions concerning its effectiveness relate only to the type of "grammar" that has been tried. The "grammar" hitherto used in the schools has been either the logical analysis of sentences and "parsing," most often illustrated by the various methods of diagramming, or a learning of rules and definitions which were assumed to be the measures of correct language. ${ }^{9}$ As indicated in the first two chapters of this book, this use of grammar assumes that the problem of language usage is a simple one of correct forms and mistakes which can easily be separated according to the rules. The teaching efforts that have been devoted to this type of grammar have therefore been directed toward making pupils "conscious of the rules" by which to determine correctness.

In the light of the principles which underlie our investigation this customary use of "grammar" is fundamentally unsound. First, language usage cannot thus be separated into two simple classes. Instead, our usage presents a complex range of differing and changing practices which must be understood in relation to the feelings of an indefinite number of social groups. Second, sensitiveness to usagea richness of assimilated experience through which one becomes

[^151]aware of the suggestions attaching to words and constructions because of the circumstances in which they are commonly used-is the only condition upon which good English can be won. All the effort which goes to make one conscious of "rules of grammar" serves to deaden this sensitiveness to one's speech environment and to turn one's attention away from the only source of real knowledge.

This book, therefore, presents a grammar of Present-day American English that differs from any that has yet been tried in the efforts to deal with the language practices of students. It contains no rules and definitions of correct English and it is not a closed handbook of usage. It does, however, attempt to provide the starting point for a workable program in English language for the schools by its method and materials.

1. In method it presents an outline of the three important grammatical devices which Present-day English uses (forms of words, function words, word order) and the purposes for which they are employed. This grammatical outline is in reality a sketch to guide observation and to furnish a basis for the classification and interpretation of the language phenomena observed. The outline is filled out in some detail with the facts observed in a definite body of material that was carefully selected as representative of the practices of certain social groups. In details, the sketch presented here is very much limited, and needs, therefore, to be constantly checked, corrected, and supplemented by actual observations upon other bodies of language material.
2. In method, too, this sketch attempts to give some proportion to the description of the grammar of Present-day English by the use of quantitative information. Many of the generalizations appearing in English grammars actually express or imply quantitative judgments-judgments of absolute or relative frequency. Most of these depend upon general impressions rather than upon an attempt carefully to calculate the frequency of actual instances in any body of material. Here every example of each grammatical item discussed was recorded so that its relative frequency in the body of material here examined could be indicated. For a teaching program it seems worth while to know, for example, the nine words that account for 92 per cent of all the instances of prepositions used and the twelve words that account for 93 per cent of all the instances of
conjunctions; that only forty six verbs have different forms for the past tense and past participle and that less than 5 per cent of the instances of plural nouns have forms other than the " $s$ " pattern.
3. In materials, this book attempts to find those language items in which Standard English and Vulgar English differ. As a matter of fact, if the letters here examined can be regarded as characteristic of these two groups of users of English, there are many details of language practice to which drill is given in our schools, items that are discussed or condemned in our handbooks of usage, which are not matters of distinction between the practices of Standard English and Vulgar English. Here it has been assumed that the obligation resting upon the schools is to teach the knowledge of and the ability to use the "standard" English of the United States. But for a workable program, the teaching must deal with real Standard English, that which is actually used in conducting the major affairs of our country, and not with grammatical usages that have no validity outside the English classroom. From the materials examined here it seems clear that the following items, for example, are not matters of difference between Standard English and Vulgar English. They all appear to be used with some frequency in the Standard English materials.
a. None with plural verb
b. The indefinites everyone, everybody, etc., with a plural reference pronoun or a plural verb separated from the indefinite by other words
c. The use in accord with the pressures of word order of the case forms of the six pronouns which still retain dative-accusative forms
d. The use of the indicative form in non-fact clauses
e. As introducing a causal clause and so equal to a weakened therefore
$f$. The noun adjunct
4. In its materials, also, this study seems very clearly to show that the point of primary attack in a program of English language for the schools should be in striving to develop a knowledge of and practice in using the wide resources of the language. Over and over again in the preceding chapters it appeared that the differences between the language of the educated and that of those with little
education did not lie primarily in the fact that the former used one set of forms and the latter an entirely different set. In fact, in most cases, the actual deviation of the language of the uneducated from Standard English grammar seemed much less than is usually assumed, and in practically all instances was in the direction of greater conservatism. Vulgar English uses many forms that were common in the older stages of the language and that Standard English has given up.

The most striking difference between the language of the two groups lay in the fact that Vulgar English seems essentially poverty stricken. It uses less of the resources of the language, and a few forms are used very frequently. Get, for example, in its many senses appears in both the Standard English and the Vulgar English materials, but it is employed ten times as frequently in the Vulgar English letters as in those of Standard English. So as a weak therefore occurs in the Standard English letters as well as in those of Vulgar English, but it is used six times as often in the Vulgar English materials as in those of Standard English. On the other hand, the "expanded" form of the function word with substantives, ${ }^{10}$ an expansion which amounts to an analysis and emphasis of the precise meaning relationship involved, occurs only one third as often in the Vulgar English letters as in those of Standard English. In vocabulary and in grammar the mark of the language of the uneducated is its poverty. The user of Vulgar English seems less sensitive in his impressions, less keen in his realizations, and more incomplete in his representations. It would seem to be a sound inference from the results of our study that perhaps the major emphasis in a program of language study that is to be effective should be in providing a language experience that is directed toward acquaintance with and practice in the rich and varied resources of the language. Here again observation of actual usage seems the most important method, and the tools of observation absolutely essential.

A program of English language teaching in the schools, if it is to have any chance of dealing effectively with the language of pupils, must start from the following points of agreement:

[^152]
## A. We must agree upon the kind of English which it is the obliga-

 tion of the schools to teach.The social pressure which makes Standard English the obligation of the schools ${ }^{11}$ applies primarily to informal English-the language of the polite conversation of cultivated people, of their familiar letters and everyday discussions-rather than to formal literary English. We may not like many of the constructions that characterize the language of "well-bred ease," and we may even wish that we could change the many illogical expressions that are old as well as those that have been and are being newly created. This was the hope of those that urged the setting up of an authorized academy "to correct and fix" the English language from Edmund Bolton in 1617 to Jonathan Swift in 1712. To "provide a definite standard for the English language," to "prune it of its crudities" and its "false syntax," and to "bring it into method" expressed the aims of an increasing number of the writers of grammars in the eighteenth century. In spite of all their efforts and the huge expenditure of teaching time and resources in the schools, there is hardly a single item of the grammar of our language that has been affected. Our language has been and is changing constantly, but these changes do not come from the practices of the uneducated nor from the foreigners in our midst and, more important than anything else, the direction of those changes seems not to be affected by the efforts of the writers of handbooks and of school teachers. In spite of the teaching hours devoted to lie and lay, for example, the displacing of the preterit lay and the past participle lain by the "weak" verb form with dental suffix, laid goes steadily on. We may not like it, but we can do absolutely nothing effective about it.

Johnson, after seven years of work on his Dictionary, writes the following:
"Those who have been persuaded to think well of my design, require that it should fix our language, and put a stop to those alterations which time and chance has hitherto been suffered to make in it without opposition. With this consequence I will confess I flattered myself for a while; but now begin to fear that I have indulged expectation which neither reason nor experience can justify. When we see men grow old and die at a certain time one after another, from cen-

[^153]tury to century, we laugh at the elixir that promises to prolong life to a thousand years; and with equal justice may the lexicographer be derided, who, being unable to produce a single example of a nation that has preserved their words and phrases from mutability, shall imagine that his dictionary can embalm his language, and secure it from corruption and decay, that it is his power to change sublunary nature, or clear the world at once from folly, vanity and affectation. With this hope, however, academies have been instituted, to guard the avenues of their languages, to retain fugitives, and repulse intruders: but this vigilance and activity have hitherto been vain; sounds are too volatile and subtile for legal restraints; to enchain syllables and to lash the wind, are equally the undertakings of pride, unwilling to measure its desires by its strength." ${ }^{12}$

The experience of at least two hundred years shows that we cannot hope to change the practices of a language; we can only help students to learn what those practices are. Social pressure will necessarily support a particular set of speech habits, and a language program to be effective must have the active support of real social pressure. But it cannot be an imaginary social pressure as has so often been the case in the attempt to foster a school-mastered speech; it must be the vigorous social pressure of a living speech, the forms of which can be constantly verified upon the lips of actual speakers. For our schools we can muster real social pressure for the learning of actual, living, informal Standard English.
B. We must agree to base our teaching upon an accurate, realistic description of the actual practices of informal Standard English and eliminate from our language programs all those matters of dispute for which there is any considerable usage in informal Standard English.
The body of this book (Chapters V to X) has thus attempted a tentative sketch of the grammatical practices characteristic of informal Standard English. This sketch was based upon a limited body of material consisting of some three thousand letters and therefore covers proportionately only an exceedingly small volume of present English usage. From the point of view of grammar, however, many items appeared with considerable frequency and furnish sufficient evidence from which to conclude that many matters to which our teaching now gives time can be safely omitted from our

[^154]programs. It would seem to be a waste of time and resources-a waste that is harmful in the light of the great many important things to be taught-to strive futilely to eliminate from the speech of our pupils practices that our sketch shows to be fairly frequent in informal Standard English. We need not set ourselves positively to teach these practices; we need only ignore them for more important matters. To be effective a language program must be cleared of every item that is not so definitely a practice of Vulgar English that it carries the connotations of that particular social group.
C. We must agree to stimulate among our pupils observation of actual usage and to go as far as possible in giving them a practical equipment for this purpose.
From the time of the introduction of English as a subject of study in the schools teachers as well as pupils have habitually turned their attention away from an observation of the facts of actual usage to "authority." Like Lord Chesterfield they wished for a dictator, ${ }^{18}$ and the school grammars and dictionaries in a practical way did fulfill that office. But these grammars with their rules that were in part the rules of Latin grammar and in part the results of "reason" did not and could not provide the tools of an effective language program. Even if the subject of English could command much more of the pupil's time than it does now, it would be impossible to train the pupil in all the specific language items he would need throughout his life. To be really effective a language program must prepare the pupil for independent growth, and the only possible means of accomplishing that end is to lead him to become an intelligent observer of language usage. If we would have him observe intelligently the facts of the language usage about him, he must acquire the necessary tools; he must become thoroughly familiar with the three types of devices which our particular language uses to indicate

[^155]grammatical ideas. He must know the usual grammatical uses in English of word forms or inflections, of function words, and of word order. It is in these respects that the various sets of language habits differ, and only in so far as the pupil can thus refer any given usage to the pattern has he the equipment necessary to make intelligent observations and decisions for himself. It is upon grammar in this form that is new in the schools that the hope of a workable program of English language teaching rests.

## INDEX

[All references are to pages. Subject entries are in roman; particular words or groups of words are in italics; and authors and titles are in Capitals and Small Capitals. Letters in parentheses thus in regard(s) to indicate variations.]
$a, a n$, indef. art. See Articles, Determiners $-a$, plural ending of fem. o-stem nouns, 41
abidden, 128
abide, 128
able, 139
about to with inf. as expression for future, 129, 144, 167
Absolute use of genitive. See Genitive
Accent, 263-64, 266-68, 274-76
according to, compound function word, 118, 126
Accusative: displaced by dat., 89 ; in Old English, 88-89, 250 ff.; participles, 193 ; plural, 41, 72; singular, 41 ; subj. of inf., 136, 144, 146
-object (= direct obj.), 190, 250; becomes subj. with "passive," 267; with dat. obj., 252-54, 267-68, 270; pronoun acc. obj., 88-89, 254 ; position of, 25052; with result obj. (=obj. comp.), 266, 270; relationship, 89, 250-51
-see also Adjectives, Case, Dative, Inflection, Nouns, Objects, Pronouns
acre, 42
Action: actor-action-goal construction, 250; action-goal, 265, 268; completed, 185, 194; customary, 129, 172, 175; inchoative, 187; incompleted or in progress, 185; state expressed by verbs, 173
"Active," 136, 144
Actor-action-goal construction, 189-90, 250, 261, 268
Addison, Joseph, 18, 57
Adjectives: acc. form, 88; attributive demonstrative pro., $36,46,51$; with enough, 205; followed by obj. clauses, 104-05; formal characteristics of, 271; frequency in Standard English, 281; inflection of, 250-51; levelled, 282; with ending -ly, 204-05; with one, 245; other, 46; with that-clause, 229, 231
-comparison of adj. See Comparison
-in constructions: with it and to + inf., 141, 241, 243; with for + sb. + to + inf., 142, 242
-as function words: in combination with to, 118, 127; of degree ( $=$ intensifying function words), 201-06
-with function words, $110,199,240,276$

- modified: by function word ( $=$ prep.) + sb., 120, 124, 126; by inf., 139, 140, 142, 144
-as modifiers: of adjectives, 259; in agreement with modified noun, 256; of noun adjunct, 276; relation between adj. and head word, 255,275 ; see also Character-substance
-number in, 41, 45-46, 49; concord of, 46, 48; comparative and superlative degree with number of objects referred to, 47, 100
-participial, 118, 119, 182 ; character or function of, 59, 183, 185, 188
-word order: position of adj., 257, 258, 270-73, 277-78, 281; see also Word order
Adjunct: the to-infinitive as modifier of noun, 138; see also Noun adjunct
Adnominal genitive, 257
Adverbs: in compound function words, 117 ; intervening between to and inf., the "split" inf., 132, 145; like introducing clause, 225 ; of locality or place, 244; maybe, 181, modified by function word ( $=$ prep.) + sb., 120; modified by inf., 139; adverb-preposition, 110, 113; s in whereabouts, adverbial ending, 53; where ( $=$ wherever), 234-35
-of degree, 140, 200, 204; more and most, with adjectives, 97
-see also Comparison
Adversative or contrasting clause: with and, 218; with while, 236-37; see also Word groups
advise, 136, 228

Ascriac, 183, 251-52, 257
afford, 134
after, function word: as conj. with word groups, 211, 212, 214; with even, 238;
as prep., 92, 93, 120-21
against, function word with sb. (= prep.), 92-93, 120-21
ago, 274
agreeded, 71
Agreement: of articles and adj. with nouns, 250, 256; see also Number concord
ahton (ought = possessed), 173
ain't, 2
Åerrlund, Alfred, 182
Alfred, 11, 163
all, as intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 206
Allen, H. B., 14
allow, 136
$a \operatorname{lot}(s), 44$
also, function word: as conj. with word groups, 207, 212, 218
although, function word: as conj. with word groups, 207, 212, 218
alumni, 43
am, 47-48, 63, 91, 102, 186
America, 9, 284 ; center of affairs in, 13
American: communities, 11; English, 286
American Council of Learned Societies, 27
American Speecte, 35, 66
-an, plural ending of weak nouns, 41
an, 245; see also Article
Analogy, 64, 128; in comparison, 97
Anaphoric pronoun one, 245
Analysis, grammatical, 8, 23, 285
and, function word: frequency of, 221; joining two or more words, 53, 54, 59; levelling by means of, 269-70; as conj. with word groups, 208-10, 212, 217-21
-expanded or in combinations: and also, 218, 238 ; and by this action, 221, 238; and consequently, 210, 219, 238; and for this reason, 221, 238; and in addition, and so, 238; and still, 218, 238; and therefore, 219, 238
-expressing relationships between clauses: additive, 217-18; adversative, contrasting, 218; condition, 219; consequence, result, 219 ; explanation or parenthesis, 219; subordination, 220; miscellaneous, 220
Anglo-Saxon, 130
Anolo-Saxon Chroniclis, 183
Asers Arbor News, 259
-anne (-enne), ending of verbal noun, 130
Antecedent of pronoun, 57,58
any, 50, 56
anybody, 50
anyone, 50
appoint, 266, 268
Apposition, nouns in, 258
Appositives: with dir. obj., 266, 268; by means of function words, 126; with pro. subj., 263 ; with subj., 262, 26869; to-inf. as, 140, 141, 144
Apostrophe: in genitive, 73, 75; in genitive pro., 80 ; in plural forms, 44-45, 73
are, pres. ind. of to be, 47, 48; with collective noun, 54,55 ; with comp. subj., 54 ; with pres. part., 186; with sing. indef. pro. none, 56 ; with sing. subj., 55 ; with there and sing. subj., 56, 57
aren't, are not, 8
Aronstein, Philip, 151
Articles: indef. art. from weakened form of one, 245; inflection of, 250-51, 256; number form in, 45-46; see also Definite article
-as, plural ending of masc. a-stem nouns, 41
as, function word: frequency, 207 ; introducing to-inf., 139; position of, 211 ; with sb., 114; as conj. with word groups, 210-12, 221-23, 238
-expanded or in combination: as best as, 223; as far as, 222-23, 238; as if, 21, 238; as long as, 222-23, 238; as much as, 222, 238; as nearly as, 22223; as quick as, as soon as, 222-23, 238; as to what, as to whether, as to which, 238; as well as, 54
-expressing relationship between clauses: cause, reason, 221, 239, 287; comparison, similarity, parallelism, 222; coördinate or subordinate, 210 ; description or identification, 221 ; relative, 223 ; temporal, 223 ; miscellaneous, 223
ask: with inf. obj., 134, 136, 144 ; followed by obj. clause, 104 ; subjunctive after, 105-07; with to-inf. in indir. questions, 138, 144
Assertions, 146, 149
Assimilation, 128
a-stem masc. and neut. nouns in Old English, 72
at: expanded to comp. function word, at the place (rate, time) of, 115 ; fre-
quency of, 120-21, 126; function word with nouns (= prep.), 123, 269
atlas, 42
attempt, 134, 144-45
Attributives. See Adjectives, Demonstrative pronouns, Participles
audience, audiences, 48
Authority and language, 3-4, 22, 24, 290; academy of language, 289
authorize, 136
Auxiliaries, function words used with verbs, 110, 128-98, 240; be in "passive" constructions, 188; shall and will, 12930, 150-67; have, 128-30, 168-70, 19398; listed, 129-30; modal, 129-30; shifts in meaning, 173-75
awful, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 202-03, 206; awfully, 202; awful good, 204; awfully good, 204
bad, 37, 206; worse, 37
bad (ly), 96
bad grammar. See Grammar
Barbarisms, 35
be: as function word with verbs, 12930, 144, 167-68, 182-92, 194-95; with intrans. verbs in "perfect," 194; with past part. in "passive," 130, 188-92, 195; with pres. part. in "progressive" or "definite" tenses, 129, 182-87

- -in constructions signifying future: with about to, going to and inf., 129, 144, 167-68; with to and inf., $129,144,167-$ 68
-inflection of, 63, 70; subjunctive, 10405
Beall, Florence G., 49
beat, v., 59
bec (book), 42
because (cause), function word: as conj. with word groups, 207, 210-12, 214; coördinate or subordinate, 210; free position of, 211
become, 21
been, past part., 63, 196-98
before, function word: as prep. with nouns and pro., 111, 120-21; as conj. with word groups, 207, 211-12, 215
beg, 134, 136
begin, 134, 144-45
begot, begotten, 62
begun, 62
behind, 92
believe, 228, 231
bend, bent, 64
Beowulf, 183
bereave, bereft, 64
beseech, 64
beside, besides, function word with sb., 93, 114
best, 98
bet, 59
better, 98-99
between, 92, 120-21
bid, bidden, 62
Blakey, Robert, 152
bleed, bled, 64
Bloompield, Leonard, 37
Blount, Alma, 151
"Blunders," 152
Bolton, Edmund, 289
book, 48; books, 42, 48
bonnet, 8
bonus, 42
bore, born, 62 ; borned, 71
Borrowed words, 42
Boston, 13
both, both of us, 100
Bradley, C. B., 151, 173
breed, bred, 64
bring, 64, 146
Brooke, Stopford, 57
Brugmann, Karl, 128, 182
Buchanan, James, 18
build, built, 64
Burke, 152
burn, burnt, 64
burst, 59
Burthogge, Richard, 152
but, function word: coördinate or subordinate, 210; frequency, 207-08; as intensifier or function word modifying adj., 206; as prep. with sb., 114; as conj. with word groups, 207-08, 212
buy, 64
$b y$, function word with sb. ( $=$ prep.), 92-93, 111-13, 120-21, 126, 269; expanded or in combinations, by reason of, by the wse of, by way of, 115
call, 266, 268
Callaway, Jr., Morgan, 130
Cabppbell, George, 99
can, function word with verbs ( $=$ modal auxiliary): frequency of occurrence, 177, 181; with inf., 129, 131, 172, 179; meaning of, 174-75; see also Auxiliaries, Function words
Capitalization, 30-32

Cardinal numerals. See Numerals
Case, 41 ; acc. of past part., 193; genitive, 261, 268; governed by part., 129; inflections, 126
-forms (=inflections) : in conflict with pressure of word order, 90 ; expressing subj. and obj. relationships, 250 ; in infinitives, 128 ; loss of, 251-52, 254, 258, 260-61; meaning of, 113; in pronouns, 88-89, 254, 287; supplanted by function words, 110. See also Accusative, Dative, Genitive, Nominative
Cassidy, Frederic G., 252
cast, 59
catch, 10, 64; "ketch," 10
Causal conjunction, as, 209; see also Function word
Causal function word, 210; see also Function word
cause, 210, 214; see also Because
Cause expressed in clause introduced by as, 221-22, 239, 287; since, 225-26, 239
Caxton, Winliam, 147
certain, 228
certify, 228
Change in language, 6-7, 40, 285, 289 ; dialect, 12; displacement of case, 89 ; grammatical, 110 ; resulting from pressure of word order, 91, 255, 261; sound, 80, 128
Character-substance construction ( $=$ modification), 270, 276-77; relation expressed, 256, 281
Charters, W. W., 2, 285
Chaucer, 6, 12, 91, 132-33, 200, 255
Chesterfield, Lord, 291
chewed, 60
child, 73; children, 42, 73 ; child's, children's, 73
choose, 64; chose, chosen, 62
chorus, 42
Christian Science Monitor, 4
circus, 42
claim, 228, 231
class, classes, 48
Clauses. See Adversative, Cause, Comparison in clauses, Concession, Conclusion, Condition, Consequence, Contact, Explanatory, Objects, Purpose, Reason, Result, Temporal, Word groups
cleave, cleft, 64
climb, climbed, clomb, clum, 7
cold, cold-er, cold-est, 96
Collective noun. See Noun
Cibber, Colley, 163

Colloquial, 35, 91; defined, 9 ; English, 9, 91
Commands, 102
committee, committees, 48
Common English. See English
Common number. See Number
Common school grammars. See Grammars
Common speech. See English
Communication, 1 ; function of, 29
Comparison, 99; of adjectives, adverbs, 96 ff., 109, 199-200, 271 ; comparative degree, 47, 96-101; defective or incomplete, 96; double, 97, 98, 101; with -er, -est, 96-99, 101; with function words more and most, 96-99, 101; inflection for, 72, 96; irregular, 98; with suffix -more and -most, 96-97; superlative, 47, 96-101
Comparison in clauses, 221-22; see also As
compel, 136, 144
Completed action. See Action
Compound. See Pronoun, Function word, Subject, Object
Compound word, 275, 276
Concession expressed in clause introduced by when, 233-34; by while, 236-37; see also When, While
Conclusion expressed in clause introduced by and, 221; by so, 226-27; see also And, So
Concord of number. See Number
Condition in clauses: shall and will, 165; with indicative, subjunctive, 10407; introduced by and, 219; by if, 224; by when, 233-34; by where, 23435 ; with no function word, 208, 210, 243 ; see also And, When, Where
confident, 228
Conjugation, "passive," 188
Conjunctions, 110, 210-11; defined, 206; see also Function words with word groups
Connective, 240; see also Function word ( $=$ conjunction)
Connotation, 291
consent, 134
Consequence expressed in clause introduced by and, 219; see also Result
consequently with and, 219
consider, 266, 268
Consonants, 64; see also Weak verbs
Contact-clauses, 261
continue, 134, 145
Contractions, 8

Conventional point of view or attitude toward language practices, 1-5, 7; see also Eighteenth century
Conversation, 8-9, 92, 95, 289
Conversational English. See English
Coördinate. See Conjunction, Clause, Function words, Word groups
Coote, Charles, 97
Coptic, 162
correct, "crect," 30
Correct English. See English
Correct grammar, 4
Correct usage. See Usage
Correctness: in 18th century, 16, 18-19, 21, 154 ; in grammar, 284; in language, 3, 5, 8; "make-believe," 14 ; in accord with rules, $15,21,285$; in accord with usage, 21, 23 ; see also Rules, Usage
Corruptions in English, 16; see also English
cost, 59
coughed, 60
could, function word with verbs ( $=$ modal auxiliary): frequency, 177; with inf., 129, 131, 172, 179; meaning, 17375 ; see also Auxiliaries, Function words
Coverdale, 167
Cowley, 152
Crawford, F. M., 152
creep, crep, crept, 64
crew, crews, 48
Crockett, 152
crozed, crowds, 48
Curme, G. O., 51, 151, 206
Curriculum, 1; grammar, 3, 20. See English
Customary action: expressed by used to, 129, 144, 172; by would, 174-75; see also Action, Used to
cut, v., 59
cwcn(e) (queen), 42, 72

## Daily Telegraph, 152

damned, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 203
data, 43
Dative: displacement of acc., 89; plural, 41, 256; sing., 41
-object ( $=$ indirect obj.), 190, 250-51, 267-68, 270; before acc.-obj., 254; becomes subj. with "passive," 267; before impersonal $v$. becomes nom., 254; in Old English, 251; position of, 250,

252-54; pro. obj. 88, 89; relation, 250, 252-53
-see also Case, Forms of words, Pronouns
Dative-accusative: form in Old English, 88, 250 ff.; relationships, 89, 250; replaced by nom., 94; of substantives, 109; in "subject" territory, 95-96
-pronouns, 6, 84-85, 88, 90-91, 95; in constructions, 92-93; as "disjunctives," 95-96; with self, 82; with to and for, 93 ; used in accordance with pressures of word order, 287
day, day's, days, 43
dead, 202
deal,-dealt, 64
decide, 134, 144, 145
Declarative. See Independent-declarative
Defective or incomplete series in comparison, rather, next, 96
Definite article: acc. form, 88 ; inflection for number, 41 ; se (the), 45 ; the, 46
"Definite" tenses and time ( $=$ "progressive"), 129, 185; see also Be
Definition: of compound function words, 115; of "correct" English, 286; in grammar, 2,285 ; of grammatical relations, 110, 113
Degree: adverbs of, 110, 140, 200, 204; function words, 98, 201, 240; see also Adjectives, Adverbs, Comparison, Function words
demand, 104
Demonstratives: as attributives, 36,46 , 51; plu. with kind and sort, 51
Dental suffix. See Suffix
Derivational forms, 36
Description or identification expressed in clause. See As
Descriptive genitive. See Genitive
desire, 134, 145
destine, 136
Determination, future of, 150, 163-65; see also Future
Determiners, 261, 264, 266, 268-69, 274
Detroit Free Press, 2
Development in language. See Change
devilish, 203
Diagramming, 285
Dialect. See Linguistic Atlas, Geographical differences, Social differences
Dictionaries, 22, 48, 291; see also Johnson, Sheridan, Oxford, Webster
did, 63
Diebel, Amelia, 91, 284
direct, 104, 136

Direction of modification. See Charactersubstance, Modification
"Direct" object: with dative or "indirect" object, 267-68, 270; defined by position ( $=$ word order), 265, 269; with obj. complement, 266, 268, 270; pronouns, 92-93; see also Accusative, Da-tive-accusative, Objects, Word order
Direct questions, 158
do, irregular verb, 63; as full word, meaning perform, make, etc., 146, 148; past part. used for preterit, 67 ; preterit for past part., 69-70
-as function word with inf., 131, 146; "emphatic" form, 129, 146, 148-49; with negatives, 129, 146-47, 149; in questions, 129, 146, 148-49; as substitute verb, 129, 147-48
doesn't, 53, 58; do not, 8; don't, 8, 5253, 58
done, 10,68
Double comparison, 97-98, 101
Double genitive, 76, 77, 81
Double negative, 7, 35
Double subject. See Subject
Double superlative, 97
Doubt, 175
"Down-toners" (intensifiers or function words of degree modifying adj.), 200; see also Function words
Doyle, Conan, 152
dozen, dozens, 48
dragged, 60
drank, drunk, 62
dream, dreamt, 64
Drill, in grammar, 20-21, 23-24, 283, 287
Dual number, 47 ; see also Number
due, 118, 126
during, during the time of, 115
dwell, dwelt, 64
$-e$ : or $-a$, plural ending of fem. $o$-stem nouns, 41 ; genitive ending of cwen (queen), 72
eagan (eye), 42
Early Middle English. See English
Early Modern English. See English
earn, earnt, 64
eastermost, 96
-ed, past tense dental suffix, 60; see also Suffix
Eighteenth century: "elegance," 16; grammatical correctness in, 16, 19, 21 ;
as source of 19th and 20th century "standards" of correctness, 18-19
Einenkel, E., 247
either, 50, 56
elder, eldest, 96
elect, v., 266, 268
"Emphatic": future, 150; rhetorical position, 247; use of do, see Do
"Empty" word, 162; see also Function word, Full word
enable, 136, 144
endeavor, 134
-ende, participial ending, 183
Endings. See Inflection
End point of action, 250-51, 265, 268; see also Actor-action-goal, Objects
enemy, enemies, 48
England, 7-8, 11-12, 17, 161
English: American, 286; "common," 31, 35, 43; conversational, 9; "correct," 1, 5, 13-14, 18, 286; curriculum, 1, 284; Early Middle, 47, $60,97,194,253$; Early Modern, 7, 50, 52, 60, 94, 97, 167, 170, 200, 250; Late Middle, 97; Late Modern, 7, 172 ; literary, 8, 9, 22, 29, 32, 61, 92, 152, 289; London, 12-13. See Good English, Informal English
-Middle English: collective noun, 49; dat.-acc., 82; dat. with impersonal verbs, 90; do, 148; genitive, 73; get, 170; inf., 134; loss of inflection, 88, 250; number concord, 50 ; pronouns, 91; shall, 167; use, 172
-Modern English: be, 183; collectives, 49; concord, 46; dat.-acc., 88; do, 146-48; func. wd., 110, 199-200; future, 150 ; genitive, 72 ; get, 170 ; grammatical processes, 72; have, 193; inf., 138-39; "passive," 190; person, 101; pronouns, 57; shall and will, 154; strong verbs, 60 ; tense, 59 ; word order, 90, 247, 256, 258, 260
-Old English: acc. obj. (= direct obj.), 251, 252, 253; be, 182; case endings, 41 ; character-substance relation ( $=$ modification), 256; collective nouns, 49-50; comparison, 101; concord, 45-47; dative and accusative, 8891; dative obj. (=indir. obj.), 25053; double negative, 35 ; genitive, 72, 73, 257; have, 194; inf., 130, 132, 145; mood, 103; person, 101; plural ending, 41 ; "preteritive-present" verbs, 172; shall, 162 ; weak and strong verbs, 64; word order, 253, 256, 257
-Present-day English: be and its forms,

194 ; comparison, 96, 109 ; concord, 4548, 51; "contact-clauses," 261; do in questions, 148; function words, 89, 109, 126, 286; genitive, 73-74, 109; grammar in schools, 285; grammatical and derivational forms, 36 ; have and its forms, 194; inf., 130-31, 145; inflections and syntax, 27; "modal auxiliaries," 173 ; mood, 103, 109; number forms in, 40-41, 109, 249; person, 101, 102, 109; tense, 59-61, 109, 249; usage, 39; very, 201; word order, 89, 109, 259, 261, 286
-"Standard" English: basis of classification, 30-32; compared and contrasted with "Vulgar," 102, 287-88; defined, $11-13,15$; in the schools, 1415, 289-90 (For particular problems of usage, see subject entries and table of contents.)
-teaching, 9, 14, 16, 18, 19-23, 91, 108, 284-92
-"Vulgar" English: basis of classification, 29-30, 32; compared and contrasted with "Standard," 102, 287-88
English Journal, 14, 20, 21, 22, 99, 206, 284, 285
-enne (-anne), ending of verbal noun, 130
enough, 30, 140, 205
entice, 136
com (am), 91
eow, Old English dat. pro., second person, 89
eowic, Old English acc. pro., second person, 89
-er, comparative degree ending, 96, 99, 101, 199
"Errors" in language, 2, 4, 5, 7, 20, 23, 283-85; frequency of errors counted, 20, 283, 284
-es: genitive ending of masc. and neut. a-stem nouns, source of Modern English $s$-ending, 72 ; third person sing. pres. indicative ending, 7
Essential grammatical ideas or relationships. See Grammatical ideas, Relationships
-est, superlative degree ending, 96, 99, 101, 199
estimate, 136
-eth, third person sing. pres. indicative ending, 7
even, 238; even after, 239; even if, 225, 239; even though, 239
ever, 239; ever since, 117, 226, 239
everybody, 50, 59, 287
everyone, 19, 50, 59, 287
evil, as comparative form of $\operatorname{bad}(l y), 96$
Expanded forms: function words ( $=$ prep. and conj.), 115, 118, 126-
27, 132, 288; tense, 182, 184-85
expect, 134, 136, 144
Explanatory or parenthetical clause, introduced by and, 219
eyes, 42
"Faculty" psychology, 19
fail, 134
family, families, 48
far, farther, farthest, (further, furthest), 96
fear, feart, 64
feed, fed, 64
feel, 228, 231
feet, 42
Feminine: pronoun, 89; o-stem nouns, 41; see also Gender
Ferrier, S., 152
fight, 64
find, 136
Finite verb, 102, 128, 206
First person. See Person
Fixed position of words. See Position, Word order
flee, fled, 64
folio, 42
folk, folks, 48
foot, 7, 42, 43
for, function word, 210, 212, 215; frequency, 92-93, 120-21, 207, 208; with inf., 143-44, 146, 242-43; position of, 211; with pronouns, 92,93 ; with substantives, 123, 126
-expanded or in combinations: for that reason, 226; for the purpose of, for the sake of, for the support of, 115; for to, 132, 134, 144, 145
forbid, 136
force, 136
Foreign phrases, adj. in post-position, 258
Foreign words, plurals, 41-43
foremost, 96
Formal characteristics of nouns, 261, 268
Formal grammar, 19-20, 108
Formalism in American English, 19
Formal literary English, 289; see also English

Formal number concord, "violations" of, 59
Form-words, 109-188; see also function words
Formal writing, 8-9
Forms of words (=inflections): acc., 251; in articles, 46; comparison, 96, 101, 199, 200, 271; concord, 45-57, 58; dative, 250, 252, 254; defined, 36; displaced by function words and word order, 38, 40, 247; genitive, 41, 7288, 109, 246, 250, 257; grammatical ideas or relationships expressed by, 37, 109, 113, 126, 199, 249, 250; loss of inflection, 45-46, 103, 109, 200, 251, 258, 260, 261; nominative, 250, 254; of nouns, 48, 261, 268; number, 40 ff ., 109, 245 ; of participles, 193; person, 101-03, 109; pronouns, 57-59, 88-96; social differences in, 27 ; uses of, 40, 286; verbs, 59-71, 103-07, 109, 188
fought, 64
Fowler, F. G. and H. W., 151, 152
Free position of acc. obj. in Old English, 251; see also Word order
French, 11-12
Frequency of occurrence: of "active" and "passive" with to-inf., 136; of and, 221; of be + pres. part., 183; as factor in composition of words, 275; function words (= prep.), 11113, 120-21; function words with word groups, 207-09; of language errors, $20,23,283$; as quantitative evidence of language phenomena, $36,286,290$; see also Relative frequency
Fries, C. C., 3, 5, 16, 21, 108, 152, 258
from, function word with sb. ( $=$ prep.), 92-93, 113, 120-21, 126
Full word: defined, 109-10; meaning of be, 182, of do, 146, 149, of have, 182, 193; shift to function word, "auxiliary verb," $162,163,169,173-74$, 181, 200-01, 203-04; in "verb phrase," 147-48, 188, 189; verbs, 131, 150, 189
Function words: adverb-preposition, 110, 113; defined, 109; of degree, 110 (see also Adverbs); derived from full words, 162-63; empty word, 162; for, 142-43, 144, 146; grammatical ideas expressed by, 38, 72, 109 ff., 199, 247, 249, 250, 286; inflection displaced by, 110, 199; it in subj. position, 140, 141-44, 146. 240-44; one,

245-46; there, 56, 59, 244-45; uses of, 37, 39, 292
—with adjectives, 199-206, 276; awful, pretty, very, etc., 200 ff.; "intensives," "down-toners," "adverbs of degree," 200-06, 259; more, most in comparison, 96-101, 199-200, 271
-with substantives (= prepositions), 108-27, 260, 261, 263-64, 266-70, 274, 277; compound, 114-18, 126-27, 275, 288; frequency of, 111-12, 113; with indirect obj., 93,253 ; meanings of, 114; as means of relating one word to another, or as means of indicating direction of modification, 118-27, 258, 260; of in genitive, 73-74, 76, 78-79, 87-88
-with verbs, 128-98; be in its various forms, 167-68, 182-87, 188-92; compound, 132-33, 144, 145; do, 146-49; get, 170-71, 187, 192-93; have, 16870, 193-98; with inf., 129; keep, 18788; "modal auxiliaries," 103, 150, 17282; with participles, 129-30, 182-98; shall and will with "future," 150-67; should, would, 105, 106; tense expressed by, 59; to with inf. 130-46; used to, 172
-with word groups (= conjunctions), 110, 206-40, 260-61, 279-80, 282; coordinate or subordinate, 209-11; expanded or in combinations, 207, 226, 238-39; frequency of, 207-09; listed and discussed, 212 ff .
"Functional" grammar, 20
further, 96, 98; furthest, 96
Future, 109, 130, 170, 173, 248; with about to, going to, to + inf., 129, 16768; "modal," 150; with shall and will, 129, 150-67
Futurity, expression of, 175; "simple," 150
gallon, 43
gear, 42
Gender: feminine, 89; grammatical, 50 ; logical, 50; masc., 41, 72, 80 ; neut., 4142, 72, 79, 80, 89, 130, 192, 228, 231, 240
Genitive, 72-73, 75, 77, 78, 109, 246, 250, 261, 264, 268; absolute, 76, 77, 80; adnominal, 257; with apostrophe, 73, 75, 80; double, 76, 77, 81 ; form suppressed, 258; before gerunds, 76, 77, 84 ; identical in sound with plural,

41; inflection displaced by of, 73, 7879, 87; modifier, 145-46, 257; of nouns, $72-78,79,80,84,87,88$; periphrastic with of, 73-74, 78-79, 87-88, 109,260 ; plural, $6-7,72,80$; of pronouns, 6, 78-88; with -self, 82, 88; sing., 72-73, 256
-relation expressed: association, participation, 83, 86; descriptive, 74, 75; of measure, 75, 77; objective, 74-75, $77,83,86,88$; of origin, $75,83,86$, 88; partitive, 74; possessive, 74-75, 77, $83,85,88$; subjective, $75,77,83,85$, 88
Geographical differences in language, 7, 11, 26, 29
Germanic languages, 162
Gerunds: with genitive form of nouns and pronouns, 76-77, 84; in Old English, 130
Gerundive, 129
get, "git," 10; gotten, 7
get, function word with verbs, 129, 288; with have and to + inf., 170-71; with inf., 136, 144; with participles, 182, 187, 192-93; used for receive, have, become, grow, 21
-in combinations: get ahead, get at, get away, get back, get off, get out, get over, get up, 170; get on one's nerves, 9
gild, gilt, gilded, 64
gird, girt, 64
Gladstone, 152
glofa, glofe (gloves), 42
gloves, 42
go, 37, 63, 70; went, 37, 70
goda, godan (good), 45
going, function word with verbs: with to go, 37; going to, future, 129, 144, 163, 167
good, 46, 203; good and, 203
Good English, 1-2, 5, 19-24, 286
good or well, better, best, 96
got, 62, 171; gotten, 7, 62
Gothic, 47
Gower, 12
Grammar, 2, 4, 6, 16-21, 91, 101, 126, 248-49, 284-86, 288, 290, 292; "bad," 19; change in, 110; formal, 19-20, 108; functional, 20; systematic, 19
Grammars, 1, 21-23, 48, 108, 128, 150, 153, 154, 188, 289, 291
Grammatical forms, $10-11,36,45$
Grammatical gender. See Gender
Enammatical ideas: essential, 248, 249,

255-56; expressed by forms of words ( $=$ inflection), 42, 46, 59, 72, 89, 96, 101; expressed by function words, 109-10; expressed by word order, 249 ff ; methods of expressing, 36-40, 247, 291-92; secondary, 248-49
Grammatical processes, 38-39; see also Forms of words, Function words, Word order
Grammatical relationships, 110, 119, 122, 247, 250, 252-53, 256, 261, 268;
see also Relationships
Grattan, J. H. G., 19
Grattan and Gurrey, 4
Greek, 47, 108, 128, 129, 162, 188
grew, 36; grow, 21, 36
Group I. See English (Standard)
Group II. See English (Common)
Group III. See English (Vulgar)
gymnasium, 42
haban (have), 162
had, 195
had ought, 71, 182; had not ought, hadn't ought, 70
"haint," 70
Hall, J. Leslie, 21, 22, 99
Harap, Henry, 20
hard, harder, 36
has, 52-54, 56-57, 194, 198; has became, has gave, has went, 70; has been, 56
hate, 134
Hatfield, W. W., 285
have, 21, 171, 183; with collective nouns, 54 ; with none, 56
-function word with verbs, 37, 128; with inf., 131 ; with past part. in "perfect," 130, 173, 182, 196-98; have broke, have wrote, 70, have got, 21, haven't got, 171; with to-inf. expressing obligation, 129, 144, 168-70; have to have, 169
he, 50, 91, 101 ; he done, he seen, 10
headquarters, 53
head word, 255, 275, 281
hear, heard, 64
held, 62
help, 134, 136, 144-45
hem (them), dat.-acc. plu., third person pro., 6, 38
heo, hi, fem. acc. pro., 89
her, genitive, dat.-acc. third person fem. pro., 88-89; genitive plu. third person pro., 6, 80
herd, herds, 48
her(e), dat. of fem. pro., 89; hern, hers, genitive, absolute form, 80-81
herself, 82
ki, 89; see also Heo
hid, hidden, 62
high, high-er, kigh-est, 96
him, dat.-acc. masc. sing. pro., 88-89, 95
himself, 82, 88
hine, acc. masc. pro., 89
hir, gen. plu. third person pro., 6
his, gen. masc. pro., 80; hisn, hisis, absolute form, 80-81; hisself, 82, 88
his very self, 82
History of English language: historical change, 7, 128; historical development, 251-52; historical differences, 6, 11; structure, 249; word order, 250; see also Change
hit, 59
hold, 128; holden, 62, 128
Hollingworth, H. L., 24
hood (of car), 8
hope, 134
hors (horse), 41
horses, 41
houses, 42
how, function word, conj. with word groups, 207, 212, 215-16
however, function word with word groups, 207, 210, 212-13
how much, 215
Hoyt, Franklin S., 19
hurt, 59
hus (house), 42
hwom (whom), dat. relative-interrogative pro., 89
hwone, acc. relative-interrogative pro., 89
"Hyper-correct," 96; see also English (correct)
Hyperurbanisms, 94; see also English (correct)

I, 37, 90, 91, 101, 154; ic, 91
$1 \mathrm{am}, \mathrm{I}$ 'm, 8
ic hyt com (it am I), 91
idea, 42
Identification, clause of, introduced by as, 221
Identifying noun ( $=$ pred. nom.), 264, 268, 269
Idiom, idiomatic, 4, 19, 37
if, function word with word groups (=conj.), 212, 224-25, 242, 243;
coördinate or subordinate, 210; with even, 238; frequency, 207-08; omitted, 219; position of, 211; was in clauses introduced by, 21
I has, I is, 103
ill, 96
"Illiterate," 29, 32 (Cf. Literary)
Imperative(ly), 219; see also Commands
Impersonal verbs, 90, 254
Improprieties, 18
in, function word with substantives (= prep.), 113, 120-21, 123, 126
-in combinations, in addition to, 114 ; in as much as, 211, 239; in at, 117; in case, 211; in company with, 116; in event of, 115; in order that, 211, 239; in order to, 133, 134, 144, 145; in regard(s) to, 44, 116; in the event that, 239; in view of, 115
Inchoative or beginning action, 129, 187
"Incorrect" forms of English, 2-4, 9, 15, 283, 285
Incorrectness, 18
Indefinite article. See Article
Indefinite pronoun, 49-50, 56-59, 245, 287
"Indefinite" time, 185
Independent-declarative statements: future in, 150; could, can, must, ought, 177; with "modal" auxiliaries, should, would, may, might, 176; with shall and will, 151-67
Indian, 248
Indicative, 41, 47-48; displacement of subjunctive forms, 103-07, 287
Indirect discourse, 164-65
Indirect questions. See Questions
Indirect object, 3, 93, 267-68, 270; see also Dative-object
Indo European, 47, 100, 110, 126
Infinitives, 105, 128, 129, 182, 187, 243 ; with "modal" auxiliaries, $172-82$; in Old English, 130; with pro. subj., 9293, 136-38, 144
-"simple," without to, 130-31, 134 ; with do, 146-49; with shall and will, 150-67
-with to, 130-46; with be and about to, going to, 167-68; with get, 17071; with have, 168-70; as "logical" subj. with it, 142-43, 241-42; modifier of adj., 139-40, 142-43; modifier of noun, 138-39, 142-43; as a noun, 140; as obj. of verbs, 134-36; as pred. of indirect questions, 138; "split," 132,

145; with to, for to, in order to, so as to, expressing purpose, 133-34; with used, 172
Inflection. See Forms of words
inform, 228
Informal English, spoken and written, 8, 9, 27, 289-90
-ing, verbal ending, 59, 76-77, 84, 87, 183
-inge (-ende), verbal ending, 183
innermore, innermost, 96
insist, 104
inspire, 136
intend, 134, 144, 145
Intensifying function words ("downtoners," adverbs of degree). See Function words
"Intention" or "determination," 150, 163-64; see also Future
item, 42
Interrogative, 153, 245; who, 91, 93-95; word order, 148
into, function word with sb. ( $=$ prep.), 115, 117, 120-21
Intransitive verbs, 194, 196-97
Inverted word order in conditional clauses, 208, 210, 224
"Irregular" verb, 63, 70; see also Verb
is, third person sing. pres. ind. of to be, 63, 186, 194; number concord, 4748, 52-59, 102; see also To be
is not, isn't, 8
it : neut. pro., third person sing., 50,80 , $82,89,101$; function word in subj. position, 140, 141, 146, 199, 228, 230, 231, 232, 240-45
"It am $I$," "It is $I$," 91
"It is me," 2, 8, 91, 95
it rains, 245
its, genitive, 80-82; with apostrophe $i t$ 's, 80
"its own self," 82
itself, 82

Jespersen, Otto, 4, 49, 74, 76, 94, 99, 183, 185, 201, 245, 254, 261
Joinson, Richard, 18
Johnson, Samuel, 57, 62, 289, 290
Jowett, 152
Judgments, quantitative, 286; see also Frequency
keep, 64, 129, 182, 187; kept, 64
Kelee, W. H. H., 74
Kentishman, 12
kill, kilt, 64
kind, kindness, 36
kind of, sort of with these, those, 51
Kirby, T. J., 3
kneel, knelt, 64
knit, 60
know, 30, 136, 138, 144, 228, 231, 239
Krapp, G. P., 188
Krúger, 151
Kruisinga, E., 247
lachen (ME from OE leect(e)an $=$ to seize), 64
lacco(e)an (to seize), 64
laid, lain, 289
Landor, 152
Language, 258
Language, program for teaching, 21, 23; see also English teaching
Lardner, Ring W., 34, 35
last, 98, 277, 282
Late Middle English. See English
Late Modern English, 172; see also English
Latin, 11-12, 18, 19, 37, 49, 90, 101, 129, 162, 188; grammar applied to Eng-
lish language, 21, 90, 108-09, 291
latter, 98
lay, 289
lead, 64, 136; led, 64
lean, leant, 64
leap, lep, lept, 64
learn ( $=$ teach), 6
Learned words, 199
leave, 64, 131; left, 64
lend, lent, 64
Leonard, S. A., 16, 21-23, 284
less, 98
let, 59
Letters and numbers, plural written forms, 44, 73
Levelling: of adjectives by accent and/or function words, 276, 282; of nouns by accent and/or function words, 263-64, 266, 267, 268, 269, 274; of preterits of strong verbs, 52, 58; of unstressed vowels in Middle English, 47
licence, 52
lie, 289
Liedtike, Ernst, 49
light, lit, 64; lighted, 60
like, function word: as prep. with substantives, 114, 121; as conj. with word groups, 211, 212, 217, 225, 239
like, ., 134, 145
Linguistic Atlas, 27
Linguistic Society of America, 26
Literary English and language usage. See English
little, less or lesser, least, 96
Lives of the Saints, 183
Localism, 9
Local differences in language, 26; see also Geographical differences
Logical gender. See Gender
Logical sequence in clause introduced by so, 226; see also Result
"Logical" subject with function word it, 140-42, 144, 146, 228, 230-32, 240-44
London English, 12-13
London Times, 152
long, longer, 96
lose, lost, 64
Loss of inflectional vowels, 60; see also Forms of words
Loss of $n, 47$
$\operatorname{lot}(s)$ in $a \operatorname{lot}(s), 44$
Lounsbury, Thomas R., 90
"Low" language, 9
Lowth, Robert, 17, 62, 97, 153, 154
Lutebiee, W. F., 154
lumber ( $=$ timber), 8
$-l y$, added to intensifiers modifying adj., 204-05; see also Function words
Lyman, R. L., 19, 20, 21, 283, 284

Maetzner, E., 247
make, 136, 146, 266, 268
man, 46, 73; man's, 73; men, 42, 73
many, more, most, 96
Marcewardt, Albert H., 64
Marshall, Archibald, 57
Masculine gender, 72, 80 ; see also Gender
Masefield, Join, 163
Mason, George, 152
may, function word with verbs (= modal auxiliary): with inf., 129, 131, $172,174-76,178$; and can, 175, 181; frequency, 176-77; meaning, 175; shift in meaning, 174
maybe, may be, 176, 179, 181
me, 19, 37, 88-90, 95; meself, 82; see also "It is me"
mean, 64, 134; meant, 64
Meaning: of case-forms, 110, 113 ; in conflict with form, 50 ; as determiner of number form in $v ., 48-49$; of language forms and constructions, 10-

11; relationship defined by expansion, $115,117,288$; of sentence, 37 ; shift from full word to function (empty) word, 172-74, 201, 203-04
—of words, 6,46 ; of adj. and adv. permitting comparison, 96; of conj., 206; in full words and function words, 109-10, 148; of "modal" auxiliaries, 175; of prep., 112-14, 126; of shall and will, 151-53; specialization in compounds, 275 ; of verbs repeated by do, 147
means, 53
Measurement movement in education, 19
mec, acc. pro., first person, 89
medium, 42
meet, met, 64
Menceen, H. L., 34-35, 66
ment, 41, 45
Menner, Robert J., 35, 66
"Mental discipline," 19-20
Middle English. See English
Middle West, 13
might, function word with verbs ( $=$ modal auxiliary), 129, 131, 179, 181; frequency, 176; meaning of, 175; shift in meaning, 172-74
mighty, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 201, 206
mihte (might $=$ could), 175
mihton (were able), 173
million, 43
Milton, 6
mine, 80
minus, 42
Mistakes in language practice, 4-5, 73, 285
Modal auxiliaries, 103, 129-30, 150, 17273 ; see also Function words
Modal future, 150
Modal inflection, 162 (Cf. Subjunctive)
Modal use of shall and will, 64
Modern English. See English
Modern Language Association of America, 26; Publications of, 16, 21, 50, 108, 150
Modern Phillology, 154
Modification: an essential relational concept, 249, 255-56, 261; pressure of word order in development of "adv. of degree" from adj., 200, 204, 259 ; word order pattern for modification, 257-60, 276-78; see also Char-acter-substance, Word order
Modifiers: of adj., 120-21, 124, 126;
adj. as "adv. of degree," 200 ff .; of adv., 120-21; agreement of articles and adj. with sb. modified, 256; inf. as, 138-41, 144-45; multiple, 276-77, 282; nouns as, 258-59, 264, 268-70, 274-77, 281; position of multiple, single, word group, 258-60, 270, 282; relation to head word, 255, 275, 281; single, 271, 276, 281; of substantives, 109, 119-21, 123, 125-27, 271, 279; word groups as, 142-43, 144, 229, 231, 233-35, 260, 277-80; of verb, 120-23, 126-27, 278, 282; see also Adjectives, Character-substance, Modification, Word groups, Word order
Modifier-noun. See Noun adjunct
monap (month), 42
month, months, 42-43, month's, 43
Mood, inflection for, 72, 101, 103-07, 109
Moore, Samuel, 50
more, 37, 96-99, 101, 199
-more, 96-97
More, Sir Thomas, 98
Morris, Edward P., 110
most, 96-99, 101, 199
-most, 96-97; -mest (OE), 97
mosten (must $=$ permit), 173
most highest, 97
mot (must), 174
"Motion," expression of, 162, 167
move, 104
much or many, more, most, 96
Multiple modifiers, 276-77, 282
Multiple negative, 35
multitude, multitudes, 48
munan, v., 162
Murray, Lindley, 97, 153
must, function word with verbs ( $=$ modal auxiliary), 129, 131, 172-$75,180-81$; frequency, 177; meaning of, 175 ; shift in meaning, 17374
my, 80; myself, 82
naman (name), 42
names, 42
nation, 36, 48; national, 36; nations, 48
National Councir of English Teaceers, 22, 23
near, 93
Necessity, expression of, 162, 164, 16770
need, v., 134

Negatives: with do and verbs, 146-49; double, 7, 35
neither, 50, 56
nervous, 30
nethermore, nethermost, 96
Neuter gender. See Gender
Newberry, J., 18
New England, 13, 27
news, 53
Newspapers, 29, 31, 152
New York, 13
next, 96, 98, 277
nice, 5; nice and, 203
nobody, 50
nodded, 60
Nominative, 3, 72, 88; nom.-acc. forms, 41, 130; plural, 6, 41, 72-73; pronouns, 6, 89-90, 94-95, 250, 254; singular, 41
none with a plural verb, 50, 56, 59, 287
nor, 212
Norman Conquest, 11
Northup, C. S., 151
notice, v., 228
notify, 228
Noun adjuncts ( $=$ nouns as modifiers of nouns), 258-59, 264, 274-77, 281, 287
Nouns: a-stem, 72; collective, 48-51, 54, 57-59; definition of, 261, 266, 268; inf. as, 140, 144 ; levelled by accent and/or function words, 263-64, 26669, 274; nom.-acc. form and relation, $88-89,250$; preceding subjunctive, 104-05; with reference pronouns, 47-$50,57-58$; self as a noun, 82 ; of time or distance without -s, 42-43, 45 ; verbal, 128, 130, 183
-in actor-action-goal constructions: as appositives, 262, 268-69; as objects, 190, 253-54, 265-70; as "pred. nom.," 264, 268-69; as subj., 101, 261, 26364, 268-69
-in character-substance constructions: with function words (= prep.), 74, 110-27, 199, 240 ; modified by adj., 100, 271-73, 276-78; modified by inf., 138-39, 141-42, 144-46; modified by word groups, 233-34, 278-81; as modifiers, see Noun adjuncts; position of modifiers, 256-58, 260, 270-82
--inflected: for genitive, 72-78, 81, 84, 87-88; for plural, 41-46, 49-51, 53, 55, 248; see also Number concord
Number: common, 58, 248; distinct
forms, 41, 47-48, 56, 58; dual, 47, 100-01; inflection, $36,40-45,48,72$, 147, 245, 249, 261, 268; in numerals and letters, 44-45, 73
-number concord, 36, 41, 45-50; in attributive demon. pro., $46,48,51$; in collective nouns, 48-49; in comparison of adjectives, 100 ; conflict between form and meaning, 48-49; definition, 46 ; history of, 45-51; in indef. pro., 50 ; reference pro. and antecedents, 57-59; in verbs, 47-48, 51-54, 250
-see also Adjectives, Articles, Nouns, Plural, Pronouns, Singular
Numerals, 7, 42-45, 51, 73, 245, 258, 277

Objective case, 3 ; see also Accusative Objective forms, 6
Objects: clauses, 104-05, 228, 231, 23940; complements, $120-21,126,266$, 268, 270; compound, 266, 268; inf. or inf. clause as obj. of verb, 134-36, 140, 143-46; "object" of noun, 141; position in actor-action-goal constructions, 141, 254, 261, 264-66, 268-70; prep. phrases as, 120-21, 12324, 126-27, 183; relationship, 95, 190, 249-50, 255 ; sb. with function word have, 169, 193; territory, 90-91, 93, $95,254-55$; of a verb, $37,123,126$, 148, 194, 245, 251, 254, 266, 268; who as, 19, 91, 94 ; see also Accusative object, Dative object, Direct object, Indirect object, Genitive
Obligation, expression of, 129, 162, 16770, 174-75, 181
Obligation of schools in teaching of English, 1, 4-5, 11, 14-15, 287, 289
oblige, 136
of, function word with sb. (= prep.), 113, 183; 269; frequency, 111-13, 120-21, 126; kind of, sort of, 51; periphrastic genitive, 73-74, 78-79, 8788, 109, 260; with pronouns, 92
off, 114, 127
off from, 117, 127
on, function word with sb. (= prep.), 113, 126; frequency, 111-14, 120-21; with pronouns, 92; in combinations, on account of, 92, on completion of, 115
one, function word, 199, 240, 245-46;
genitive one's, 246; plural of, 245-46;
pronoun, 42
onto, 115
opera, 42
or, function word with word groups
(= conj.), 207, 270
order, v., 104-05, 136
Order of words. See Word order
Ordinal, 277
Orosius, 163, 257
O'Rourie, L. J., 284
Orthography, 18
o-stem nouns, 41
other, 42, 46
ought, function word with verbs (= modal auxiliary), 129, 172-73, 180-81; frequency, 177 ; meaning of, 175; shift in meaning, 173-74
—had ought, 70-71
—preterit of owe, 169
our, ourn, ours, 80
ourselves, 82
outermore, outermost, 96
over, function word with sb. (= prep.), 111, 120-21; with pronouns, 93
over in, 117
owe, 169, 174; owing, 118
Owen, E. T., 188
ox, oxen, 73; oxa, oxan, 41, 72; ox's, oxen's, 73
Oxford English Dictionary, 5, 22, 51, $112,113,126,134,147,148,151,167$,
$170,173,182,187,192,201,206,225$

Palmer, Samuel, 152
paper bag, 8
parade, 30
Parallelism, 222
Parenthetical clause introduced by and, 219
parents, 30
Parsing, 2, 3, 285
part, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 206
Particles, 35, 147
Participles, distinguished from present tense forms, 59; frequency, 69; passive, 188
—participial adj., 129; comparison, 97 ; in compound "prep.," 118, 127; in post position, 258
-past participle, 35, 289; be with "passive," 129, 130, 188-92, 193-98; with and without dental suffix, 67,

69, 70-71; distinguished from present tense, 59; with get, 130, 171, 187, 192-93; with have for "perfect," 128, 129, 130, 173, 193-98; undistinguished from preterit in st. verbs, $60-62,67$, 128, 287; used for preterit in st. verbs, 67, 69-70
-present participles: with be for "progressive," 129, 182-87; ending, 59; with get, inchoative action, 129, 171, 182, 187 ; with keep, continuous or repetitive action, 129, 182, 187-88; used for past, 70
Partitive genitive, 74; see also Genitive
Parts of speech, 247
party, parties, 48
"Passive," 136, 144-45; be and past part., 130, 182, 187-92; subj. of pass. verb, 267
past, 277
Past tense: distinction between sing. and plu. forms, 6, 52; distinction from present, 109; form, 60, 128, 167, 172, 183; inflection, 59-67; irregular verbs, $63,65,68$; strong verbs, $60-61,65$, 67,172 ; weak verbs, $60-65,172$; undistinguished from past part., 60-63, 287; see also Preterit
-past time or past tense meaning, 164, 167, 170, 173, 248
Patterns, language, 38, 40, 292 ; inflected genitive, 72-73; for number and concord, 41-43, 49-50, 287; periphrastic, 79; of questions in present and past tense, 148; in verbs, $60-61,64,69,102$, 172; word combinations, 115-16, 118; word order, 204, 247-48, 253-55, 25758, 260, 268, 270, 273-74, 278-82
pen, pent, 64
people, peoples, 48
"Perfect tenses," 130, 193-98
perform, 146
Periphrastic combinations: for time distinction in verbs, 59, 167; see also Genitive
Permission, expression of, 174-75; see also Can, May
permit, 136, 144
Person: definition, 101; distribution in "passive" constructions, 192; forms for, 72 ; shall and will with first, second, and third, 151-65; shall and will in questions, 159, 161; would with first, 181
—personal pronouns, 78; definition, 101; as predicatives, 90-91, 95; first,

89-91, 100, 102 ; second, 44, 89, 102 ; third, 47-48, 80, 89
-person in verbs: defined, 101; don't in third sing., 58; form distinction of person in v., 41, 102-03, 109; third sing. pres. ind. in -s, 7, 47-48, 67, 70, 102, 172; were, subjunctive in first and third, 104
Personal verbs, 90; see also Impersonal
persuade, 136
"Petrified" phrases, 258
Phonetic features, 73, 96, 275
Phrases, 270, 277-79, 282 ; see also Function words with substantives
Pitch of voice, 6
Plato, 128
played, 60
please, 131
Plural number, 40-59, 72, 76, 82, 99, 100-01, 109, 248, 256, 259, 287; adjectives, articles, pronouns, verbs, 41-51; with apostrophe and $s, 73$; attributive demon. pro., 51; history of -s form, 40-42; in letters and figures, 4473; in reference pronouns, 57-59; in substantives, $42-45,74,76$; in verbs, 51-57; you all, 7
poke (paper bag), 8
Pooley, R. C., 14, 22
Pope, 18
"Popular" or "common" English, 32
Position (word order), 258, 268, 274, 279; fixed, 252, 254, 260; free, 251; it in subj. position, 244; there in subj. position, 244; see also Word order
"Positive" degree in comparison, 96
possess (OE owe), 169
Possession, expressed by have, 169, 193 ; get, 170, 187; keep, 187
Possessive genitive ( $=$ possessive case), 74-75, 77, 83, 85, 88; see also Genitive
Possibility, expression of, 162, 175
Post-position, or -positive, genitive, 25657, 260
Poutsma, H., 74, 247
Power, expression of, may, can, could, might, 175
precious, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 202
Predicate, 138, 144
Predicate adj., 120-21, 125-26, 182, 189; see also Adjective
Predicate nominative, 140, 142, 144, 228-29, 231-32, 264, 268-69
Predicatives, personal pronouns as, 19, 91, 95

Prediction, expressed by should, must, would, 175
premium, 42
prepare, 136
Prepositional, 130, 277-79, 282
Prepositions, 92-93, 110-12, 117, 130, 183, 187, 240, 250, 253, 260, 286; see also Function words with substantives
Pre-positive genitive, 260; see also Genitive
Present: indicative, 7, 47-48, 102; subjunctive, 47, 162; tense, 40-41, 58-59, 67, 70, 103-04, 109, 150, 167; time, 164, 170, 173, 248
Present-day English. See English
Pressure of word order, 90-91, 94-95, 200, 203, 254, 258-60, 276, 282, 287
Preterit tense and form (= past), 35, 40-41, 46-47, 59-71, 103-04, 169, 289 ; pret. subjunctive, 47; was, were, 47; weak verbs, 47; see also Past
pretty, as intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 201, 206
Priestley, J. B., 108
Program, English language, in schools, 23
Progressive form of verbs, 129, 182, 185
promise, 134, 145
Pronouns, 6, 38, 42, 44, 48-49, 76, 100, 248, 253-54, 270, 284; anaphoric one, 245; compound, 19; dative-acc., 8896; disjunctive, 56, 95-96; with function words, 126-27; genitive, 81-88; indefinite, see Indefinite pro.; obj., 266, 268; personal pro. defined, 101; reference, $50,57-59$; relative, 228, 240, 260-61; subj., 263 ; subj. of inf., 136, 144; see also Demonstratives, Person
Pronunciation, 6, 10, 11
propose, 104
Propriety: expressed by should, ought, 175; in language, 17
Protasis, 219, 221, 224
provided, function word with word group (= conj.), 207, 210-12, 216
Punctuation, 30-32
Purpose, clause introduced by that, 228, 230; development of devices for expressing future, 162-63; expressed by to + inf., 133-34, 144-45, 167
pushed, 60
put, 59
Psychology, 19, 23

Questions, 129, 138, 144, 146, 148, 15859, 161, 210
raced, 60
raised, 60
ran, 62
rapid, rapidly, 36
rather, 96
Rational grammar, 154
read, 64
real, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 202, 204, 206; really, 204
Reason, rationalism in language, 18, 154, 291
Reason, in clauses with as, since, so, 221, 225-26, 239
receive, 21
recommend, 104-106, 136
Reduplicating verbs, 60,64
Reference pronouns. See Pronouns
refuse, 134
regard, in regard(s) to, 44, 45
Regional differences in language, 7
Relational concepts in grammar: essential, 248-49, 255-56; secondary, 24849
Relationships: character-substance (modification), 249, 256, 260, 275, 281; between clauses, 217, 221, 224-46; expressed by genitive, 74, 88; expressed by inflection, 126, 199, 247, 250-51; indicated by function words, 109-10, 113-14, 118, 120-21, 123, 126, 211, 250 , 269,288 ; indicated by word order, 89-90, 199, 247, 250, 260; "objective," 89, 91, 95 ; between subj. and obj., 248-49, 255, 270; between subj. and verb, 264; "subjective," 96
Relative: as, 223; function word, 261; pronoun, 91, 93-95, 211, 260; that, 228, 229, 240; where, 234-35
Relative frequency, 38, 119, 127, 130; see also Frequency
Relative-interrogative pronoun, 89
remains, v., 53
rend, rent, 64
Repetitive action, 187
request, v., 104, 105, 106, 107, 136, 228
Requests, expression of, 102, 105
require, 104, 136
Restrictive clauses, 228
Result: expressed by as + to-inf., 139;
shall and will in clauses of, 164-65
-clause introduced by and, 219, by so, 226-27, by that, 228, 230; without function word, 231-32
"Result" object (= obj. comp.), 266, 268, 270
Richardson, Samuel, 152
rid, v., 59
ridap, ridep (rides), third sing. pres. ind., 47; ride ( $n$ ), 6
right, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 201-02, 206
Rivlin, Harry N., 285
roast, roasted, 36
Rokr, Anny, 200
Romance languages, 162
Royalty, Paul, 63
Royster, J. F., 167
rubbish, 8
ruin, ruint, 64
Rules of grammar, 2-5, 15, 17-18, 21-24, 90-91, 108, 284-86, 290; for "modal auxiliaries," 175; for shall and will, 151-54, 159, 164
run, 62
s-ending: gen. of nouns, 72, 74-75, 80 ; plural of nouns, 41-42, 43-44, 46, 75, 287 ; third sing. pres. ind. of verbs, 48, 52, 104
s-less form of nouns, 42-44, 74
sack, 8
Sanders, Hermann, 130
sang, 6
Sapir, Edward, 248, 250
saved, 60
say, said, 64, 134, 228, 231, 239
scare, scairt, 64
sceolde (should = owed) 173
Scientific point of view or approach to language, 4-5, 21
schatte (shot), 64
se (the), 45
Sears, Isabel, 91, 284
Sectional differences, 11; see also Geographical differences
see, 67, 69; seen, 10
seek, 64
select, 136
self, 82, 88
sell, 64
Semantic, 173, 275
send, sent, 64
sentence, v., 136
Sentences: completeness, 8; "compound,"

153; in coördinate relation, 217; in-dependent-dec., 150, 153, 158, 164-65; interrog., 153; "parts" of, 206; pattern or type, 241, 244, 261 ; to-inf. as modifier of, 144; word order, 247
Sequel, expressed in clause introduced by and, 219
serum, 42
set, 59
setten, 128
Shaw, Samuel, 152
Shakspere, 6, 49, 52, 80, 91, 94, 9/, 183
shall, function word with verbs ( $=\mathrm{fu}$ -
ture auxiliary), 21, 129, 131, 150-54,
158-59, 161-62, 164, 167, 174, 180-81;
see also Future
she, 101
shed, 59
sheep, 42
Shenstone, 152
Sheridan, Thomas, 17
Shift of number, 55
Shift of meaning, 173-74
shine, 128
shinnen (shine), 128
shoe, shod, 64
shoot, shot, 64
Shortened vowel, 64
should, function word with verbs ( $=$ modal auxiliary), 129, 131, 152, 172-73, 175-77; in clauses, 105-06; frequency, 175,181 ; meaning, 174, 18081; shift in meaning, 173-74; use of, 180-81
shown, 70
shred, 60
shrunk, 70
shut, 59
Sign: of future tense, 162 ; of inf. to, 129-30, 145
since, function word with word groups (= conj.), 207, 212, 217, 225-26, 239; coördinate or subordinate, 210; position, 210; ever since, 239
Singular number, 6, 40, 45-46, 48-59, 103, 172, 248, 256, 259; see also Number
sit, 128
skulan, v., 162
sleep, slep, slept, 64
slit, 60
smell, smelt, 64
Smith, C. Alphonso, 91
Smith, Dora V., 284, 285
Smiti, Reed, 284

Smithe, Sydney, 152
so, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 206
so, function word with word groups (= conj.), 207, 208, 212, 226-27, 239, 287-88; coördinate or subordinate, 210; frequency, 207-08
-in combinations: and so, 219; so as to, 133, 134, 144, 145; so for this reason, 227, 238; so that, 210, 226, 227, 238
Social or class differences, 9-10, 13-15, 27, 36; groups, 24, 29, 31, 33, 40, 42, 270
Society for Pure English, 76
Solecism, 2, 17
sort, sort of, 51
Southern England, dialect, 7, 11-12
Southern United States, 7, 13, 44
speaks, 53
Specialization of meaning in compounds, 275
specimen, 42
Spectator, 152
speed, sped, 64
spell, spelt, 64
Spellings, 12, 27, 30-32, 96
spend, spent, 64
Spenser, Edmund, 6
spill, spilt, 64
spit, 59
split, 59
"Split" infinitive, 132, 144-45
spoil, spoilt, 64
spread, 59
stand, 128
Standard American English. See English
Standards of language practice, 3, 23, 289
standen (stood), 128
stark, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 202
start, v., 134
Starting point of action, 250 ; see also Actor-action-goal construction
"State" expressed by verb, 173
Statements: actor-action-goal, 261; of fact, 244; independent-declarative, 153-61
Steadman, J. M., 167
Stelle, Richard, 152
Stevenson, 152
stigma, stigmatize, 36
still, 210
sting, stang, 7
Storfel, C., 200, 201
stopped, 60
Stormzand and O'Siea, 20

Streitbirg, 63
Stress, 113, 146
stride, stridden, 128
Strong verbs, 47, 52, 58, 60, 61, 62, 64, 69, 70, 71, 128, 172
stung, 7
Sturtevant, E. H., 49
Subject: compound, 263, 268-69; defined, 250 ; of inf., 136, 144, 146; modifier, 125, 264, 268; pronoun, 91, 263; subj.-obj. relationship, 96, 248-49, 255, 261; subj.-verb concord of number, 47-48, 53, 55, 57-58, 102; territory, 90-91, 94-96, 254-55; of verb, 3, 37, 101, 140, 144, 190, 192, 254
-position: with appositive, 262, 268; as definition, 261, 268; of $i t, 141-42,146$, 228, 230-32, 244; in negative statements, 147 ; nouns in, 264-70; in questions, 148; there in, 244-45
Subjective genitive. See Genitive
Subjunctive, 41, 47, 103-07
"Subordinate" clauses: not clearly distinguished from coördinate, 210-11; future in, 150-51; without introductory function word, 261 ; "modal auxiliaries" in, 176-77; position as modifiers, 270, 277-80, 282; shall and will in, 151, 153, 161-62, 164-66; with toinf. as "logical" subj., 141; in Vulgar English, 209, 239, 280
Subordinated verb, 220
"Subordinating" conjunctions, 209-10, 221
Substantives: acc. form, 109; distinction between "actor" and "goal," 189; formal characteristics, 206, 266, 269, 270; with function words, 108-27, 288; gen. form, 109; genitive form before gerunds, 87 ; with have and past part., 194; modified by clause, 228-29, 231; number form in, 36, 41-45, 109; number concord with other words, 46-47, $48-49,55,59$; object, 169,193 ; one as substitute, 245; subj. of inf., 136, 14244, 146, 242 ; in subj. territory, 254-55; verb relationship, $90,261,264$
Substitute words, 149, 240-46
such, 206
Suffix: dental -ed, -t, past tense, $60-$ 61, 63-64, 67, 69-71, 172, 289 ; -s for genitive and plural, 73; -more, -most, 96-97
suggest, 104-05, 228
sunge( $n$ ), pret. plu., 6
sunk, 70

Superlative degree. See Comparison
Supines, 128
suppose, v., 136
Suppositions, 153
sure, 228
sweat, 60
sweep, swep, swept, 64
Sweet, Henry, 4, 109, 151, 152, 247
Swift, Jonathan, 16, 17, 18, 152, 289
Syntax, 18, 27, 289
Systematic grammar, 19, 23
$-t$, past tense dental suffix, 60
table, tables, 36
teach, 6, 64
Teaching English language. See English
teeth, 42
tell, $64,136,138,144$
Temporal clause: with since, 225-26, 239 ; with when, 234 ; with while, 236
Temporal indefinite clause, 234 ; see also Condition
Tense inflection, 59-71; see also Future, Past, Perfect, Present
terrible, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 202
Tests, 2, 19, 23, 283
pa, pl. def. art. 45
than, 211, 212, 216
that, demon. pro., 46, 48, 51
that, function word with word groups 207, 208, 209, 211-12, 239; rel. pro., 228, 229, 240; so that, 226; where, equivalent, 235
-that-clause: as "logical" subj. with it, 228, 230, 241, 243-44; subjunctive in, 104, 105, 106, 107
that's me, 91
be dat., pec acc., second person pro., 89
their, 6, 19, 38, 51, 58, 80, 82 ; theirn, 81; theirs, 80
their own selves, 82
theirselves, 82, 88
them, $6,38,51,58,88-89$; themselves, 82, 88
then, 212-13
there, function word in subj. position, 56, 240, 244-45
therefore, function word with word groups (= conj.), 207, 210, 212-13; and therefore, 219; use of so as loose equivalent, 209, 226-27, 239, 287-88
there is (was) (has), 59
these, demon. pro., 48, 50-51
these kind (sort) of, 19, 51, 58
they, 6, 38, 49, 50, 52, 57-58
they'd better, they had better, 8
they is, 52
they sung, 70; they was, 58
thine, 80
think, 64, 228, 231, 239
this, demon. pro., 46, 48, 51
Thomas, Augustus, 159
Thomas, Russell, 73, 99, 206, 256, 260
Thorndixe, E. L., 21
those, demon. pro., 48, 51
those kind (sort) of, 58
thou, 6, 91
though, 207, 214, 238
threaten, 134
throughout, 117
thrust, 59
thus, 210
thy, 82; thyself, 82
till, 127, 210
timber, 8
Time, 42-43, 221, 249; indefinite, 185; "time" nouns, 266; sequence, 239
to, function word: with sb. (= prep.), 7, 92, 93, 111-13, 115, 118, 120-21, 123, 126-27, 270
—with verb, 129-31; to + inf., 132-46, 167-72, 241-44
to be, irregular verb: inflection, 47, 59, 102-105; number concord, 48,52 ; with past part. for "passive," 188; with pred. adj., 125; with pres. part. for "progressive" form, 182-87
tongues, 42
top, 8; topmost, 96
Transactions of American Philological Society, 151, 173
Transfer of training, 19
Transitive verbs, 148, 193-94, 196
tremendous, tremendously, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 204
Tressler, J. C., 284
troop, troops, 48
true, truth, 36
try, 134, 144-45; try and, 134 ; try to, 134
tungan (tongue), 42
$-u$, plural ending of neuter $a$-stem nouns, 41
Umlaut, 96
unable, 139
under, 7, 111
undertake, v., 134

United States, 7, 8, 13, 15, 19, 26, 33, 283, 287
unless, function word with word groups ( $=$ conj.), 207, 211, 212, 216
until, function word: with sb. (= prep.), 111, 114, 117, 127; with word groups ( $=$ conj.) , 207, 210, 212, 216
upon, function word with sb. (= prep.), 92-93, 111, 117
up till, 117, 127
urge, v., 104
us, $37,88-89$; us selven, 82
Usage in language: as basis for judgments, $2-5,15,21-24,27,33,154$; dialectical variations, $11-13,38,43,58$, 87-88, 101, 159, 161, 285; diversity and conflict of, 91, 247; English, 34, 39; handbooks, 286-87; scientific examination of, 36, 38, 49, 288, 290; shall and will, 151-52, 154, 158-59, 161 ; taught in schools, 284, 285; tests, 283
used to with inf. expressing customary action, 129, 144, 172
usic, acc. second person pro., 89
usith (uses), 172
vacuum, 42
Van Der Gaaf, Willem, 90, 254
Verbs, 220, 239, 241-44, 278, 282; in actor-action-goal construction, 24970; customary action, 129, 172, 175; finite, 102, 128, 208; intransitive, 19497; irregular, 70; objects, 126; "phrase," 143,182 ; with pred. adj., 125
-verb form: historical differences, 6, 47; literary and colloquial differences, 8; mood, 103-07, 109; number, 36, 45, 47; number concord, 46-48, 50, 51-57; person in, 101-03, 109; regional differences, 7; tense, 59-71, 287; see also Strong verbs, Weak verbs
-with function words, 110, 128; with infinitives, 129-82; modified by noun by means of function word (= prep.), 120-23; with participles, 129-30, 18298
Verbals, 76, 84, 87, 128-30, 182
Vernaculars, 108
verray (true), 200
very, intensifier or function word of degree modifying adj., 200-01
Vocabulary, 6, 8, 28, 36, 288
Voice. See Active, Passive
Vowels, 61, 64, 67, 96
Vulgate, 34
weron (were), 183
wairban, v., 162
walked, 60
Wallis, John, 108, 152, 153
want, 134, 136, 144, 145; wanted, 60
Ward, William, 17, 152, 153, 154
warn, 136
was: was borned, 70; was found, 54; was took, 70; see also To be
we, 37, 52, 91, 101, 154; we is, 52
Weak declension, 41, 42
"Weak" verbs, 47, 64, 172 ; without middle vowel, 63
Weaver, John V. A., 34
Webster's New International Dictionary, 9, 49, 71
wed, 60
weeks, 43
weep, wep, wept, 64
wend, 63; went, 37, 63
were, 48; see also To be
Westminster Gazette, 152
we two, 100
we've, 8
we was, 58
what, function word with word groups, 207-09, 212, 232-33
when, function word with word groups, 207-09, 211-12, 233
where, function word with word groups, 207-09, 211-12, 234-35
whereabouts, 53
wherever, 234-35
whether, 212,217
which, function word with word groups, 80, 207-09, 212, 230, 235
while, function word with word groups, 207-08, 211-12, 236-37
White, R. G., 3, 152
Whitney, W. D., 180, 188
who, 8, 30; function word with word groups, 207-09, 212, 237; interrogative,
3, 89; as obj., 19, 91, 93-95
whom, 3, 88, 89, 92, 94-96, 237
whose, 80, 237
why, 207, 212, 238, 240
wide, wid-er, wid-est, 96
Widde, Oscar, 152
wiljan, v., 162
will, function word with verbs ( $=$ future auxiliary), 150 ff.; see also Future Willingness, expressed by may, would, 175, 181
Winchester, England, 11-12
Wish expressed by may, would, 175, 181
wish, v., 134, 136, 144, 228, 239
wishes, n. pl., 106
wit, dual, first person pro., 100
with, function word with sb. ( $=$ prep.), 7, 37, 92-93, 113, 115, 120-21, 126; with a view to, 115; within, 114, 115, 117, 127; without, 92, 93, 115, 117
wolde (would = wished), 175
Woolley, Edwin C., 151
word, words, 41
Word formation, 36
Word forms, 42, 126, 247, 292
Word groups (= clauses) : "coördinate," 210, 211; defined, 206; indicative in non-fact, 287; position of, 260, 277-82; subordinate, 141, 150, 210, 211, 261
-with function words, $110,208,211$, 212, 214, 231, 238, 239; with and, 21721; with as, 221, 287; with if, 224, 242 ; introduced with rel. pro., 260; function word it, 241-43; with like, since, 225 ; with so, 226 ; with that, 206, 228; subjunctive in that, 105, 106, 107, see also That-clause; with what, 232 ; with when, 233 ; with where, 234; with which, 235; with while, 236-37; with who, whose, whom, 237; with why, 238
Word order: in actor-action-goal constructions, 189-90, 261-70; in charac-ter-substance constructions, 200-03, 270-82; fixed position, 189-90, 251, 260-61, 270, 278; as grammatical process, $37-39,72,90,93,109,147,148$, 247-61, 286; have to have, 169; history of development, 249-61; inverted in conditional clauses, 208, 210, 224; in negative statements, 147; pressure,
evidence of its effect on structure of English, 90-91, 93-94, 200-01, 203, 254, 256-60, 287; in questions, 148; uses of, 247 ff., 292
work, worked, wrought, 7
worse, 37, 96, 98; worst, 96, 98
would, function word with verbs ( $=$ modal auxiliary), 129, 131, 152, 172, 177-78, 180-81, 183; frequency, 176; meaning, 175; shift in meaning, 174
Wriget, E. M., 128
Wright, Joseph, 128
write, 36, 62, 136, 138, 228; written, 62 ;
wrote, 30, 62
zurong, 30
Wycliffe, 6, 80, 81
Wyid, H. C., 5, 16, 59
ye, second person pro., 89
year, years, 42-43, 274
yet, function word with word groups,
210, 212, 213, 218
you, 52, 89, 101
you all, 7, 44
you folks, 44
you is, 52
you'll, you will, 8
you men, 44
you people, 44
your, 80; yourn, 80-81; yours, 80
yourself, yourselves, 82
yours truly, 81
youse, 44
you was, 10, 58
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the report on "Training in English Language for English Teachers" published in the English Journal, XVII (December, 1928), pp. 825-835.
    ${ }^{2}$ Published for the Modern Language Association by the Century Company, 1925.
    ${ }^{3}$ Published by George Wahr, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1924, and subsequent editions. The Linguistic Atlas of the United States and Canada will eventually furnish the important details concerning the pronunciation of American English. See Linguistic Atlas of New England, Hans Kurath, director and editor. Three volumes and a Handbook, $1939+$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Of Professor Krapp's 730 pages given to the English Language in America, but fifteen are devoted to the discussion of inflections and syntax. Mr. H. L. Mencken, in his The American Language does treat grammatical matters, but his use of sources and evidence is such that it would be very difficult to use the material for the determining of a program for the schools. See below, page 35, note 2.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ From an editorial in The Detroit Free Press, December 9, 1928.
    ${ }^{2}$ W. W. Charters, Teaching the Common Branches (New York, The Macmillan Co., rev. ed., 1924), pp. 96, 98, 115.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ T. J. Kirby, Grammar Test, University of Iowa Standard Tests and Scales.
    4 "Minimal Essentials in Language and Grammar," in Sixteenth Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education (Bloomington, Ind., Public School Publishing Co., 1917), pp. 86, 87.
    ${ }^{5}$ For a statement of the development of this point of view see C. C. Fries, Teaching of the English Language (New York, Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1927), Ch. I, "The Rules of Grammar as the Measure of Language Errors."
    ${ }^{6}$ R. G. White, Words and Their Uses (Boston, Houghton Mifflin Co., rev. ed., 1899), p. 14.

[^3]:    7 "Some better reason than a custom arising from ignorance . . . is needed for changing the English language. It would seem to be still the part of the schools to teach the language strictly according to rule, and to place emphasis on such teaching, rather than to encourage questionable liberties of usage." From an editorial in The Christian Science Monitor, Boston, February 23, 1923.
    ${ }^{8}$ Henry Sweet, New English Grammar, Vol. I (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1891), p. 5 .

    - Grattan and Gurrey, Our Living Language (London, Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1925), p. 25.
    ${ }^{10}$ Otto Jespersen, A Modern English Grammar (Heidelberg, 1909), I, Preface.

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ H. C. Wyld, Elementary Lessons in English Grammar (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1925), p. 12.
    ${ }^{12}$ This statement must not be taken to imply that mere correctness is to be considered the ultimate ideal of language. The scientific point of view does not in any way conflict with the artistic view of good English. See the discussion of "The Scientific and the Artistic Points of View in Language," in C. C. Fries, The Teaching of the English Language, pp. 102-121.
    ${ }^{18}$ One should, perhaps, call attention at this point to the fact that the great Oxford English Dictionary is the outstanding document in this "scientific view of language." The principle underlying the production of the Oxford Dictionary, the very foundation of its method, was the insistence upon use or practice as the sole criterion of the legitimate meaning of words. Compare, for example, the treatment of the word nice (especially sense 15) in this dictionary with the usual statements concerning it as given in the conventional handbooks.

[^5]:    ${ }^{14}$ One should note that in the case of wrought the old form has not the flavor of "vulgar" English as have the other examples here given but suggests super-refinement.

[^6]:    ${ }^{15}$ The word colloquial as applied to English words and structures is frequently misunderstood, even by teachers of English. Some confuse it with localism, and think of the words and constructions marked "colloquial" as peculiarities of speaking which are characteristic of a particular locality. Others feel that some stigma attaches to the label "colloquial" and would strive to avoid as incorrect (or as of a low level) all words and phrases so marked. The word colloquial, however, as used to label words and phrases in a dictionary like Webster's New International Dictionary has no such meaning. It is used to mark those words and constructions whose range of use is primarily that of the polite conversation of cultivated people, of their familiar letters and informal speeches, as distinct from those words and constructions which are common also in formal writing. As a matter of fact, even the language of our better magazines and of public addresses has, during the last generation, moved away from the formal toward the informal.

[^7]:    16 "Standard" French, "Standard" Italian, "Standard" Dutch, etc., have similar histories.

[^8]:    ${ }^{17}$ See, for example, H. B. Allen's article "The Standard of Usage in Freshman Textbooks," in English Journal (college ed.), Vol. 24, 1935, pp. 564-571; and R. C. Pooley, Grammar and Usage in Textbooks on English, Bulletin 14, Bureau of Educational Research, University of Wisconsin, 1933.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ See note 14 on p. 24.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ See H. C. Wyld, History of Modern Colloquial English (New York, E. P. Dutton and Co., 1920), p. 18.
    C. C. Fries, "Rules of the Common School Grammars," Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. 42, March, 1927, pp. 232-236.
    S. A. Leonard, The Doctrine of Correctness in English Usage, 1700-1800, University of Wisconsin Studies in Language and Literature, Vol. 25, 1929.

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the United States especially, with its great middle class gaining control of affairs and striving for social acceptability, the speller and the school grammar became the most important instruments of the accepted marks of culture, so that in this country the study of systematic grammar received an additional emphasis. This fact probably accounts for the present attitude toward grammar in our schools, an attitude phrased by Professor J. H. G. Grattan, of the University of London, as follows:
    "Now the attitude of the American schools is, so far as the English language is concerned, ultra-conservative. Eighteenth-century ideals of 'correctness' are not yet dead in the United States.
    "Indeed, by American standards, many idiomatic usages long sanctioned in Great Britain are still 'bad grammar.' Such are the construction of the collective noun with plural verb, the use of their referring back to every one, the compound pronoun these kind of, the employment of who as object and of me as predicative. Without attempting to justify this rigid formalism, we can recognize in it a sign of the strength of tradition in the United States."-"On Anglo-American Cultivation of Standard English," Review of English Studies, October, 1927, p. 437.
    ${ }^{3}$ See especially the study by Franklin S. Hoyt in Teachers College Record, Vol. 7, November, 1906, pp. 467-500. Other such studies are indicated in R. L. Lyman's Summary of Investigations Relating to Grammar, Language, and Composition, Chicago, 1929.

[^12]:    * Reorganization of English in the Secondary Schools, Department of Interior, Bureau of Education, Bulletin, Vol. 2, p. 37.
    ${ }^{5}$ Summaries of the studies of language errors appear in the Sixteenth Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education, Part I, pp. 85-110; R. L. Lyman, Summary of Investigations Relating to Grammar, Language, and Composition, pp. 71-133; Henry Harap, "The Most Common Grammatical Errors," English Jowrnal, Vol. 19, June, 1930, pp. 440-446.
    - See Stormzand and O'Shea, How Much English GrammarP Baltimore, 1924.

[^13]:    E. L. Thorndike and others, "An Inventory of English Constructions with Measures of Their Importance," Teachers College Record, Vol. 17, February, 1927, pp. 580-610.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Bibliography in Lyman, op. cit., pp. 256-292.
    ${ }^{8}$ C. C. Fries, "Rules of the Common School Grammars," Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. 42, March, 1927.
    S. A. Leonard, Doctrine of Correctress in Englisk Usage, 1700-1800, University of Wisconsin Studies in Language and Literature, Vol. 25, 1929.

    9 "It should hardly need to be said that if we really intend getting down to fundamentals it is necessary first to stop teaching a great mass of valueless distinctions and untrue dicta about usage: the usual distinctions between skall and will; the arbitrary condemnation of was in all if and as if clauses, or have got, and of get for receive, have, become, grow; . . ."-"Report of the Committee on Economy of Time," Englisk Journal, Vol. 8, March, 1919, p. 185.

[^14]:    ${ }^{10}$ Current English Usage, a monograph published by the National Council of Teachers of English in 1932, is a development of an earlier study by Leonard and Moffett, reported in the English Journal, Vol. 16, May, 1927, pp. 345-359, under the title "Current Definition of Levels in English Usage."

    Another presentation of similar material from these sources and from the Oxford Dictionary which has much the same purpose is R. C. Pooley's "Handbook of Current English Usage," Colorado State Teachers College Bulletin, Series 30, June, 1930, No. 3.

    11 "In the ensuing sections, the author will take up a number of locutions at issue in our language, most of them burning questions in the best grammars and rhetorics. Evidence pro and con will be given, the opinions of the best grammars, rhetorics, and dictionaries cited, and the reader left to draw his own conclusions. In many cases the word or phrase will be traced through the literature for centuries. . . . About two hundred authors, either 'reputable' or eminent, will be cited or quoted. Those who believe in the authority of a few supreme writers will find that these have been emphasized. Those who prefer to find their authority in a majority or a large number of reputable authors will no doubt be satisfied. . . . One prime object of this volume is to show the continuous use of certain words and phrases in the literature. If a locution can be so traced from early periods down to recent or present days, there is every reason to regard it as good English. On the other hand, a new word, or phrase, if found in enough standard writers, ought to be given a fair chance to spread through the language. . . . The tables, or lists, in the ensuing pages are, of course, not exhaustive: they simply show how often the various locutions have been found in over 75,000 pages of English and American literature. If a statement such as 'Found in 65 reputable authors 453 times' does not carry conviction to the reader, it might at least entitle the word to a fair chance and help to mitigate any attacks made upon it by purists and pedants. . . . The author of this volume relies mainly upon usage to establish a locution. . . . 'Custom is the most certain mistress of language.' "-J. Leslie Hall, English Usage (Chicago, Scott, Foresman and Co., 1917), pp. 23, 25, 26.

    12 "The conclusions arrived at in the following pages were derived from a study of the results of two ballots. The first contained 102 expressions . . . of whose standing there might be some question. This ballot was submitted to a number of groups of judges whose standing qualified them to indicate what seemed to them to be the norm of usage among educated people generally. . . . The first group of judges comprised a number of the foremost linguistic experts in the world-lexicographers, philologists, and grammarians. As trained observers of language ways, they were naturally qualified above all others to estimate the standing in actual cultivated use of the various items on the ballot. Therefore, in the following discussion of the separate items, their comments are given special prominence. . . . Where the other groups show any significant divergence from the judgment of the linguists, the fact is noted. The second group consisted of active members of the National Council of Teachers of English. A third group was composed of well-known authors; a

[^15]:    fourth, of the editors of influential publications; a fifth, of leading business men; a sixth, of members of the Modern Language Association; and a seventh, of teachers of speech. Returns were received from 229 judges altogether. They should constitute a significant sampling of cultivated usage. . . . Ballot II consisted of 130 additional expressions of the same nature as those in Ballot I."-S. A. Leonard, Current English Usage (Chicago, National Council of Teachers of English, 1932), pp. 95, 96, 97.

[^16]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Chapter IV for an explanation of the method here indicated.
    14 "A part of a complex stimulus, recurring by itself or in some foreign context provokes a complete reaction previously made to the total situation of which this detail was a part. . . . The fundamental fact underlying all these associative processes is perhaps a tendency for brain patterns to be reinstated more or less completely when any of their parts are excited."-H. L. Hollingworth, The Psychology of Thought (New York, D. Appleton-Century Co., 1926), pp. 92, 94.

[^17]:    1 "This is to certify that at the annual meeting of The Modern Language Association of America, held at Columbia University, New York City, December 31, 1924, the following resolution was adopted by unanimous vote:
    'Resolved: that the Modern Language Association of America officially requests the Bureau of Education of the Department of the Interior, United States Government, to aid this association in a Survey of American English by using its influence to make available for this purpose correspondence materials now on file in the . . . Bureau.' . . .

    Carleton Brown, Secretary."
    2 "I take pleasure in sending you this official transcript of a minute formally adopted by the Linguistic Society of America, on December 27, 1926, at Cambridge, Mass., on the recommendation of the Executive Committee:
    'The Linguistic Society of America, recognizing the scientific value of a survey of the inflections and syntax of American English, hereby expresses its approval of the plans formulated by the Committees of the National Council of Teachers of English, for this purpose, and joins these organizations in urging the United States Government to make available for such a scientific study correspondence in its possession.'

    Roland G. Kent, Secretary."
    ${ }^{8}$ We plan later to study these materials in respect to local or geographical differences.

[^18]:    4 It is hoped that the survey of local differences in the language of certain communities of rural New England, now being conducted by the Linguistic Atlas of New England with the aid of the American Council of Learned Societies, will find it possible to lead the way in the use of such mechanical records

[^19]:    ${ }^{5}$ Two specimens of such reports follow. The first is a report on 8062.
    "This young man was born and raised in Callahan County, Texas, . . . virtually all his life he and his parents lived within a few miles of Baird, County Seat of Callahan County, where I live, and I have known his parents and this boy all their lives. He has a splendid father and grandfather. . . . The young man was raised on a farm, and has a good knowledge of cows, borses, etc., also all products that are generally raised on a farm. He applied himself well to his school and has made a success in that line and after the close of the schools he attended Normal school and became a school teacher in this county . . . this places him at about twenty or twenty-two years old. . . ."

    The second is a report on 8072, a family living in southern Ohio. It consists of three pages, typewritten in single space.
    "The . . . family consists of . . . . This family lives in a four-room house for which they pay $\$ 20$ a month rent. The bouse is in poor condition. The neighborhood is composed of old and rather dilapidated houses. The . . . home was dirty and in disorder. The mother and the three younger children whom we saw, were all dirty and their clothing was ragged. . . ."
    (Then follow first a paragraph on the sicknesses in this family during the last two years and some doctor's reports, and next, four paragraphs on the family income, giving the employment of each, their education and the possibilities for employment, and the bills outstanding.)
    "The family's only income consists of about $\$ 20$ per week, which is earned by the father and brother together. The father does paper hanging for . . . . Their work has been satisfactory, but according to . . . their employer, they work very slowly and therefore make very little money."

[^20]:    ${ }^{6}$ In order that the materials could also be used for the study of geographical differences, only those subjects were chosen for whom the available information revealed the facts that the present address of the subject was also the locality in which he had been born and raised.
    ${ }^{7}$ We hope later to publish a study of the language of the locally written material in 3,500 newspapers covering two weeks' issues from some three hundred carefully selected localities.
    s "Vulgar" as here applied to English must not be taken to mean "offensive to good taste"; it stands simply for a type of English that is as nearly "iliterate" as writing may become and still fulfill the function of communication.

[^21]:    - The reader must be warned against supposing that all people can be classified in one of the three groups here named or even in the five groups made with addition of "literary" and "illiterate" classes. Many subjects investigated in connection with this study could not be put into any of the groups here indicated; they seemed to stand between them. We took no specimens from such subjects. Here we have attempted to analyze only the specimens taken from those subjects that could be isolated in accord with the definite standards set up, assuming that these standards would serve to select those who could be convincingly classed as the core of each group.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ H. L. Mencken, The American Language (New York, Alfred A. Knopf, 3rd ed., 1924), p. 398. See, however, the following quotation from the 4th edition, 1936, Preface, p. vii: "I have also omitted a few illustrative oddities appearing in that edition [the 3rd edition]-for example, specimens of vulgar American by Ring W. Lardner and John V. A. Weaver and my own translations of the Declaration of Independence and Lincoln's Gettysburg Address. The latter two, I am sorry to say, were mistaken by a number of outraged English critics for examples of Standard American, or of what I proposed that Standard American should be. Omitting them will get rid of that misapprehension. . . ."

[^23]:    ${ }^{2}$ See also Professor Robert J. Menner's comments in his article "The Verbs of the Vulgate," American Specch, January, 1926, pp. 230-231. Concerning The American Language he says, "but Mencken seems to have gathered his forms from all kinds of sources, oral and written; it is impossible to distinguish those he has observed personally from those he has found in contemporary writers of comic stories. Furthermore, he gives the impression of preferring to record as characteristic of the common speech whatever is furthest removed from the language of literature. . . ."

    Part of Professor Menner's remarks concerning the accuracy of the writers of comic stories follows: "Ring Lardner . . . employs only forms of the verb which are familiar, or at least conceivable, in colloquial speech. But he besprinkles the conversation of his characters with barbarisms much more plentifully and consistently than they occur in actual life. This is the inevitable exaggeration of comic art. 'He win 10 bucks,' is funnier than 'He won 10 bucks,' and Mr. Lardner now uses the preterite win almost consistently, though, according to my observation of oral practice. it is used, even in class $D$, only once out of ten times."

[^24]:    8 For a thorough analysis of the problem involved here see Leonard Bloomfield, Language (New York, Henry Holt and Co., 1933), pp. 207-246. On pages 222 and 223 occur the following statements: ". . . The structure of a complex word reveals first, as to the more immediate constituents, an outer layer of inflectional constructions, and then an inner layer of constructions of word-formation. In our last example [the word actresses], the outer, inflectional layer is represented by the construction of actress with [-ez], and the inner word formational layer by the remaining constructions, of actor with -ess and of act with [-r]. . . . Another peculiarity of inflection, in contrast with word-formation, is the rigid parallelism of underlying and resultant forms. Thus, nearly all English singular nouns underlie a derived plural noun, and, vice versa, nearly all English plural nouns are derived from a singular noun. Accordingly, English nouns occur, for the most part in parallel sets of two; a singular noun (hat) and a plural noun derived from the former (hats). Each such set of forms is called a paradigmatic set or paradigm, and each form in the set is called an inflected form or inflection. . . . It is this parallelism also, which leads us to view entirely different phonetic forms, like go: went, as morphologically related (by suppletion): go as an infinitive (parallel, say, with showo) and wert as a past-tense form (parallel, then, with showed)."

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following are examples of native English which have adopted the regular plural $s$ ending:

    | OE Plural | Has become | MnE Plural |
    | :--- | :---: | :---: |
    | word | "u | words |
    | hors | $u$ | horses |

[^26]:    "a four year high school" (9065)
    "a three year course" (9064)

[^27]:    ${ }^{4}$ Examples of in regards to are found in the following specimens: 8084, 8120, 8135, 8136, 8153, 8168, 8270, 8259, 8255, 8249, 8238, 8230, 8229, 8035.
    ${ }^{5}$ Examples of in regards to are found in the following specimens from Group II: 8256, 8221, 8105, 8068, 8007.

[^28]:    ${ }^{6}$ One should call attention here to the fact that the word other, which took on an $-s$ plural in the sixteenth century, does not use this plural form when it is used attributively. As a substantive it is the other, singular, and the others, plural. As an attributive (or adjective) it is the other man and the other men.

[^29]:    One other matter of adjective form should receive comment here. Many insist that the comparative degree form, not the superlative, should be used when only two things are considered and that the superlative implies more than two. They prefer "of the two cars the Ford got the worse of it." This use of the comparative form for two and the superlative form for more than two seems to me to be the last remnant of the old distinction between a dual and a plural number which was set off by many clear forms in the older stages of Indo-European languages. Examples are abundant in classical Greek and in Gothic. In Old English, however, most of this dual inflection and concord had already disappeared. Standard Presentday English sometimes uses the comparative degree in this way, but the superlative form is more frequent by far. See below pages 99,100 , especially footnote 45.

[^30]:    ${ }^{7}$ It seems a mistake to insist upon the generalizations usually made that

    1. "Adjectives agree in number with the substantives they modify" when the only forms to support such an agreement are this, these, that, those;
    and that
    2. "Verbs agree with their subjects in number" when the only forms to support that statement are the -s of the third person singular present of all verbs except those of the verb to be. Approximately one fifth of the verbs in the materials examined here had number distinct forms. See page 103.
[^31]:    ${ }^{8}$ See, for example, Otto Jespersen, A Modern English Grammar, Vol. II (Heidelberg, 1914), 4, p. 813.
    "According as the idea of plurality is more or less prominent in the mind of the speaker, there is in all languages and at all times a tendency to forget the fact that collectives are grammatically singular, and we often find plural constructions, partial or total. . . . In Modern English the tendency is perhaps stronger than in most languages, because so few verb forms and hardly any adjectives show any distinction at all between the two numbers. . . . And then also distance plays some part, the plural construction occurring more easily at some distance from the singular substantive (they in the next sentence, etc.) than in immediate contact with it."

    Also E. H. Sturtevant, Linguistic Change (Chicago University Press, 1917), p. 140.
    "In addition to the ordinary plural nouns most languages have a number of collective nouns which are singular in form. That Latin multitudo, English crowd, etc., are virtually plural appears from the fact that they tend to govern plurals, as when Shakespeare writes, 'The army of the queen mean to besiege us,' . . . The change from specific meaning to collective involves but a shift of emphasis."

    Also Webster's New International Dictionary (2nd ed., 1934), lxxxvii, p. 49.
    "Collective nouns, of course, take a plural verb when the individuals of the group are thought of."
    ${ }^{9}$ For the evidence and conclusions concerning the history of collectives and indefinites in English see especially the two following dissertations:

    Ernst Liedtke, Die numerale auffassung der Kollektiva im Verlaufe der englischen Sprachgeschichte, Königsberg, 1910.

    Florence G. Beall, Concord of Number in Modern Bnglish with Special Reference to the Indefinites (unpublished dissertation), University of Michigan, 1932.

[^32]:    ${ }^{10}$ Compare the development of the use of logical gender with reference to the pronouns $h e$, she, $i t$, out of the older grammatical gender.

    Samuel Moore, "Grammatical and Natural Gender in Middle English," Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. 36, 1921, pp. 79-103.
    ${ }^{11}$ Typical examples are:
    a. "I hold no malice toward anyone for my arrest and prosecution. I think they acted in good faith. . . . "-American Mercury, July, 1930.
    b. "Fitler: Has anybody been saying anything to you about me, Antoinette? Miss Lyle: What could they say ?"-George Kelly, Behold, the Bridegroom.
    c. "[War] is not a game to the conscript, or the pressed sailor; but neither of these are the causes of it."-Ruskin, Crown of Wild Olive (London, 1904), p. 125.

[^33]:    ${ }^{12}$ See Oxford English Dictionary, s.v. sort, sense 7, and kind, sense $14 b$.
    Also G. O. Curme, Syntax (Boston, D. C. Heath and Co., 1931), pp. 544, 545.
    ${ }^{18}$ For literary examples of them as a demonstrative attributive = those, see OD them III, $5, a, b$.

[^34]:    ${ }^{14}$ The final sound of this word licence being an [s] may account for the plural verb. See below, p. 53.
    ${ }^{15}$ Not immediately following the singular subject.

[^35]:    ${ }^{16}$ Headquarters also appears with plural demonstratives in the following examples from Group I:
    "will report to these headquarters" (5101)
    "the early departure of these headquarters" (9056)
    Means appears with a plural demonstrative in the following example from Group II: "I employ these means in seeking your kind office" (8078)
    ${ }^{17}$ In whereabouts the $s$ is the adverbial ending, not the plural inflection.

[^36]:    ${ }^{18}$ Other examples from Group I may be found in $8419,8283,8095,8002$. Examples with the singular verb may be found in $9061,8073,8002$.

[^37]:    ${ }^{19}$ Other examples from Group II may be found in 8452, 8146, 8008; examples with the singular verb in $8221,8085$.
    ${ }^{20}$ Examples of singular verbs in such situations can be found in $8410,8437,8418$, 8420. Examples of plural subjects with singular verbs are in $9043,8430$.

[^38]:    "There was soldiers inside" (8450)
    "there was only two of us left" (8449)

[^39]:    ${ }^{21}$ The following examples from literary materials are significant:
    "Every English man and woman has good reason to be proud of the work done by their forefathers in prose and poetry."-Stopford Brooke, Primer of English Literature.
    "Our club has frequently caught him tripping, at which times they never spare him."-Addison, Spectator, No. 105.
    "Each house shall keep a journal of its proceedings, and from time to time publish the same, excepting such parts as may in their judgment require secrecy. . . ."Constitution of the United States, Art. I, Sec. 5, \#3.
    "No one could have made themselves more liked in so short a time."-Archibald Marshall, The Old Order Changeth.
    "And with equal justice may the lexicographer be derived who being able to produce no example of a nation that has preserved their words and phrases from mutability. . . ."-Johnson, Preface to Dictionary.

[^40]:    ${ }^{22}$ For the history of this form see H. C. Wyld, A Short Fistory of English, pp. 237. 258 ; for its use in periphrastic combinations see Chapter VIII below.
    ${ }^{23}$ These eighteen verbs are: beat, bet, burst, cast, cost, cut, hit, hurt, let, put, rid, set, shed, shut, spit, split, spread, thrust. Seven other verbs should perhaps also

[^41]:    be included with those given above although each of them does appear with the differentiated past tense and past participle, as knit, quit, shred, slit, sweat, wed, wet.
    ${ }^{24}$ In all there were in Old English at least 312 strong verbs (including the class of reduplicating verbs but not compounds). Of these about one third have dropped out of use in the later stages of the language.

[^42]:    ${ }^{26}$ The twenty four "strong" verbs that have become "two form" verbs in Present-day English are: abide, shine, slide, strike, stride; cling, sling, slink, spin, sting, stink, swing, win, wring, fight, bind, find, grind, wind; get, sit; stand; hold, hang. The forty two strong verbs that still maintain different forms for preterit and participle are: bite, drive, ride, rise, smite, write; choose, freeze, cleave, fly; begin, drink, run, shrink, sing, sink, spring, swim; bear, break, steal, tear, come; bid, eat, give, lie, see, speak, tread, weave; draw, forsake, shake, slay, swear, take; fall, blow, grow, know, throw. Come and run have distinctive forms for the present and preterit only. A very few verbs that did not originally belong to the strong verbs have been drawn by analogy to strong forms: strive, thrive, ring, fing, wear, hide, dig, string, chide, stave.

[^43]:    ${ }^{80}$ Eight if one counts beseech as a separate verb rather than as belonging to seek.
    ${ }^{81}$ Catch seems to have been attracted to this group by analogy with ME lachen from $O E$ laecc(e)an, "to seize." The preterit fought of the old strong verb fight has probably been supported by the similar preterit forms of the verbs in this class.
    ${ }^{82}$ Creep, originally a "strong" verb, still has in Vulgar English a preterit crep. Leap, sleep, sweep, weep, were in Old English "reduplicating" verbs and the forms: lep, slep, swep, wep, still persist in Vulgar English.
    ${ }^{83}$ Shoot, a "strong" verb of Class II, developed much like choose, but is put here with the assumption that the preterit shot is the modern representation of the fourteenth-century "weak" form schotte.
    ${ }^{84}$ See the dissertation by Albert H. Marckwardt, "Origin and Extension of the Voiceless Preterit and the Past Participle Inflections of the English Irregular Weak Verb Conjugation," Language and Literature, Vol. 13 (Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press, 1936), pp. 151-328.
    ${ }^{35}$ The verbs marked with a star have also a preterit that is in accord with the regular pattern, burned, dwelled, gilded, girded, learned, penned, smelled, spelled, spilled, spoiled, bereaved, cheaved, dreamed, kneeled, leaned.

[^44]:    ${ }^{36}$ See Chapter VIII below, pages 146-149.

[^45]:    ${ }^{87}$ Mencken's lists, in his book The American Language, and the comic representation of Vulgar English give a very different impression. In respect to this point I should urge again Professor Menner's comments in his article "The Verbs of the Vulgate," American Speech, Vol. I, pp. 230-240. See above Chapter IV, page 35, note 2.

    88 ". . . It is easy to note unusual preterits and participles but hard to detect the customary ones. The person who shocks one with 'I done it' or 'I have saw' cannot compensate for his error by a dozen 'correct' forms, because the latter pass un-noticed."-R. J. Menner, "Verbs of the Vulgate," loc. cit., p. 231.

    89 Other instances of this type of Vulgar English preterit appeared in 8200, 8033, 8072, 8244.

[^46]:    ${ }^{40}$ Other examples appeared in $8045,8190$.

[^47]:    ${ }^{41}$ Other examples of this form of past participle occurred in $8258,8001$.
    42 See note 27, page 62 above for the situation and tendency in Standard English of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.

[^48]:    ${ }^{43}$ Other examples of this use of the preterit for the past participles occurred in 8087, 8153.

    44 Other examples occur in 8280.
    ${ }^{45}$ There was but one example of this use and I am inclined to regard it simply as an orthographic variation of the form shown.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chapter IV, pages 36-39.

[^50]:    ${ }^{2}$ T. C. Hansard, Typographia (1865), p. 440. "The genitive case of the singular number is generally known by having 's for its termination, but is not allowed in the plural."

    Mason, English Grammar (1876), p. 29. "It is . . . an unmeaning process to put the apostrophe after the (possessive) plural $s$ (as birds'), because no vowel has been dropped there."
    ${ }^{8}$ In the instances appearing in the letters of Group III nearly half did not have the apostrophe.
    ${ }^{4}$ These figures are quoted from the dissertation by Russell Thomas entitled Synlactical Processes Involved in the Development of the Adnominal Periphrastic Genitive in the English Language (Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, 1931), p. 88. Chapter III, gives the "Chronology of the Decreased Use of the Adnominal Inflected Genitive and the Increased Use of the Adnominal Periphrastic Genitive."

[^51]:    ${ }^{5}$ See above pp. 42-43. Five per cent of the plural nouns appeared without the $s$-ending.
    ${ }^{6}$ Typical quotations illustrating this commonly expressed view are the following:
    "The inflectional genitive is now nearly confined to the possessive meaning, whence it is often called the 'Possessive Case.'"-W. H. H. Kelke, An Epitome of English Grammar (London, Kegan Paul, Trench \& Co., 1885), p. 77.
    "From the fact that the genitive in the majority of cases expresses a relation of possession, it is often called the possessive."-H. Poutsma, A Grammar of Late Modern English (Groningen, P. Noordhoff, 1904-1917), Part II, Section I, A, p. 41.
    "In the course of time we witness a gradual development towards greater regularity and precision. The partitive, objective, descriptive and some other functions of the genitive become obsolete; the genitive is invariably put immediately before the word it belongs to; irregular forms disappear, the $s$ ending alone surviving as the fittest, so that at last we have one definite ending with one definite function and one definite position."-Otto Jespersen, Language (New York, Henry Holt and Co., 1922), pp. 351-352.

[^52]:    - See below pages 84, 85, 87, for the use of the pronouns in this construction; also Otto Jespersen, On Some Disputed Points in English Grammar, S.P.E. Tract No. 25, pp. 147-172.

[^53]:    ${ }^{10}$ This absolute use of the genitive form implying a house or place did not occur in the Standard English materials, nor did the so-called "double" genitive occur in the Vulgar English letters.
    ${ }^{11}$ See above, Chapter V, page 43.

[^54]:    12 Other examples of this construction with $m e, u s$, them, etc., occur in 8084,8288 , 8155, 8225, 8127.
    ${ }^{13}$ Other examples in $8281,8049,8030$.
    14 Other examples in $8112,8155,8187,8253$.
    ${ }^{15}$ Other examples of this partitive use are in $8187,8313$.
    16 Neuter pronouns in the genitive form are very rare. In the materials here, only 1.6 per cent of the total number of genitive pronouns in Standard English were the neuter pronoun; in the Vulgar English letters only two instances of the neuter appeared out of a total of $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ genitive pronouns.

[^55]:    ${ }^{28}$ Just as in the case of nouns (see above page 76) there is some use of the socalled "double" genitive with pronouns. Only one example occurred in Group III (Vulgar English) ; two were in Group I (Standard English), and two in Group II (Common English).

    From Group I "a former tutor of mine" (9012)
    "This communication of mine" (9056)
    From Group II "So long as this great nation of ours lives" (8066) "This brother of mine" (8051)
    From Group III "in regard to a brother of mine who ran away" (8165)
    ${ }^{24}$ The formula yours truly with its variations, which appears at the close of the letters, was not included in the figures.

[^56]:    ${ }^{25}$ Meself occurs in literature as late as the sixteenth century.
    ${ }^{26}$ Chaucer uses us selven.
    ${ }^{27}$ This unmistakable plural developed in the sixteenth century.
    ${ }^{28}$ The nature of the material would be likely to limit the use of the plurals.

[^57]:    ${ }^{29}$ I have not included here the forms for the dual number.

[^58]:    ${ }^{80}$ The only real resistance comes from the efforts of schools to make Modern English conform to the rules of a Latin grammar. For a more complete discussion of the rise of word order as a grammatical device see below, Chapter $\mathbf{X}$.
    ${ }^{31}$ See the forty three quotations in Thomas R. Lounsbury, The Standard of Usage in English (New York, Harper \& Bros., 1908), pp. 182-186.
    ${ }^{32}$ See the dissertation by Willem van der Gaaf, The Transition from Impersonal to Personal in Middle English (Hilversum, 1904).
    ${ }^{38}$ In those few situations in which it does not, the attempt of the schools to enforce a usage contrary to the pressures of word order seems to introduce considerable

[^59]:    confusion of practice. See Isabel Sears and Amelia Diebel, "A Study of the Common Mistakes in Pupils' Oral English," Elementary School Journal, Vol. 17, September, 1916, pp. 44-54. These authors found that the case forms of pronouns were used incorrectly more frequently in Grade VIII than in the lower grades and they raise the following question: "Is the present teaching of pronouns leading to a more confused state of mind in the eighth-grade child than existed when he was in the third grade and was entirely unconscious of the rules of grammar governing the use of such words?" (p. 51.)
    ${ }^{84}$ See the examples quoted by C. Alphonso Smith in Studies in Englisk Syntax, pp. 77-86.
    ${ }^{85}$ It should be noted here that such an expression as "It is me" or "It is I" is primarily a matter of colloquial English. The situations which call for its use are

[^60]:    conversation situations. Formal literary circumstances furnish practically no occasions for use of the construction; it is written only when there is an attempt to reproduce conversation.

[^61]:    ${ }^{86}$ A relative immediately after a preposition in all cases had the dative-accusative form both in the Standard English and in the Vulgar English materials.

    Examples are
    "To all with whom he may come in contact" (9038)
    "My wife for whom I am maintaining a place of abode" (9030)
    "the concern by whom her son was employed" (8095)

[^62]:    "the young man about whom I spoke" (7420)
    "this man with whom they went away" (7421)
    "responsible for the security of all prisoners no matter by whom they are housed" (7417)
    87 See also discussions by Otto Jespersen in Philosophy of Grammar (New York, Henry Holt and Co., 1924) pp. 349-351.

[^63]:    ${ }^{88}$ In such words as long there is, of course, a phonetic adjustment in the inflected forms which does not show itself in the spelling, as, long [loŋ], longer [longr].

[^64]:    "The double superlative most highest is a phrase peculiar to the old vulgar translation of the Psalms; where it acquires a singular propriety from the subject to which it is applied, the Supreme Being, who is higher than the highest."
    ${ }^{41}$ Page 79, footnote (e).
    "'His more braver daughter.' Shakespeare's Tempest.
    'Forasmuch as she saw the cardinal more readier to depart than the remnant;

[^65]:    for not only the high dignity of the civil magistrate, but the most basest handicrafts are holy, when they are directed to the honour of God.' Sir Thomas More.
    'After the most straitest sect of our religion I lived a Pharisee.' (Acts xxvi. 5.)
    "These comparatives and superlatives are ungrammatical, as they doubly express the degree of comparison; more braver being the same as more more brave, most basest as most most base, etc., a repetition which is absurd, and only justifiable when applied to the Creator, who is sometimes called the most highest, as His dignity is so infinitely superior to that of every other being."

    42 Page 137 (1800 ed.), Syntax, Rule viii, 4.
    "Double comparatives and superlatives should be avoided: such as, 'A worser conduct'; 'On lesser hopes'; 'A more serener temper'; 'The most straitest sect'; 'A more superior work'; They should be, 'worse conduct'; 'less hopes'; 'a more serene temper'; 'the straitest sect'; 'a superior work.'"
    ${ }^{43}$ For the development of other function words of degree see below Chapter IX, pages 200-203, and Chapter X, page 259.

    44 I have omitted from these figures the sixty one cases of irregular comparison with such words as last, best, next, worst, and further, former, better, worse, less, latter.

[^66]:    ${ }^{45}$ For the earliest example of this discussion see George Campbell, The Philosophy of Rhetoric (Edinburgh and London, W. Strahan, 1776), Book II, Chapter III, Section ii.
    "Sometimes indeed the comparative is rightly followed by a plural; as in these words, 'He is wiser than we.' But it cannot be construed with the preposition of before that to which the subject is compared. There is one case, and but one, wherein the aforesaid preposition is proper after the comparative, and that is when the words following the preposition comprehend both sides of the comparison; as ' He is the taller man of the two.' In these words, the two, are included he and the person to whom he is compared. It deserves our notice, also, that, in such cases, and only in such, the comparative has the definite article the prefixed to it, and is construed precisely as the superlative; nay, both degrees are in such cases used indiscriminately. We say rightly, either 'This is the weaker of the two,' or-'the weakest of the two.' If, however, we may form a judgment from the most general principles of analogy, the former is preferable, because there are only two things compared."

    For more recent treatments of the problem with evidence in the form of quotations see the following:
    J. Leslie Hall, English Usage (Chicago, Scott, Foresman and Co., 1917), pp. 279-280.
    Otto Jespersen, A Modern English Grammar (Heidelberg, 1914), Vol. II, pp. 203-205 (7.771-7.775).
    Russell Thomas, "The Use of the Superlative Degree for the Comparative," The English Journal (College Edition), Vol. 24, December, 1935, pp. 821-829.

[^67]:    ${ }^{46}$ This difference in the distribution of the persons in Standard English and Vulgar English seems to me to show some difference in the formality of the material. The Vulgar English letters were somewhat more intimate and used a greater proportion of first and second person subjects.

[^68]:    ${ }^{47} I$ is and $I$ has appeared only in the writing of Negroes.
    ${ }^{48}$ See below Chapter VIII, pages 172-182.

[^69]:    ${ }^{40}$ Historically, of course, be forms in the present tense could be indicative as well as subjunctive.
    ${ }^{s 0}$ Thus in the formulas of parliamentary procedure the subjunctive is used in "that" clauses following the verb move.
    ${ }^{81}$ Other instances of subjunctives of this type are to be found in: 9016, 9001 9029, 9028, 9027, 9026, 9025, 9042, 9024, 9039, 9036, 9050, 9053, 9052, 9054, 9055. 9056, 9058, 9059, 9060, 9061, 9062.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ See C. C. Fries, "The Rules of the Common School Grammars," Publications of the Modern Language Association, No. 42, 1927, pp. 221-237.
    ${ }^{2}$ See for example John Wallis, Grammatica Linguae Anglicanae (1653), and J. B. Priestly, English Grammar (1761).
    ${ }^{8}$ From an anonymous grammar entitled A New English Accidence (1736) are taken the following sentences: "It must be acknowledged that the Plan of the Latin Grammar, is not the best which might be contrived, especially for our English Youth, but as Custom and Authority have made it the Standard Rules of teaching them that Language, there seems therefore a necessity of making the Rules of an Introduction to an English grammar, as subservient thereunto as possible, (so far as the nature and Genius of our own Tongue will admit) that whilst we are teaching the one, we may at the same time be laying a good Foundation for the other. And this I think the only reason for keeping as close as we can to the Method and Rules there laid down; for otherwise, I should be the last to find fault with any Person for quitting the Old Track and setting out a better."

[^71]:    4"Person" forms as shown above (pages 102-103) have almost disappeared completely with no other device to take their place.
    ${ }^{5}$ Henry Sweet calls these words form words. See his explanation in New English Grammar (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1892), Part I, pp. 22-24, 58, 59, 60, 61. I prefer the term function word because form words sounds so much like the expression the forms of words, which I use for inflections, that students are often confused.

[^72]:    ${ }^{6}$ Edward P. Morris, On Principles and Methods in Latin Syntax (New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1901), pp. 102, 103, 104.

[^73]:    ${ }^{7}$ These last examples seem to me less natural English.

[^74]:    8 Typical examples are
    "receive a reply within ten days" (8299)
    "parents lived within a few miles" (8060)
    "acting within my rights" (9032)
    "her condition within the last month and a half had grown much worse" (8240)
    Other examples are in 9021, 9064, 9065, 9033, 9053, 8296.

    - Typical examples of the uses of till are
    "her grandmother cared for her till her death" (8028)
    "from July 1924 till September 1925" (8157)
    10 Typical examples of off, like, but, as are
    "he hasnt been off the island" (8005)
    "in a case like this" (8026)
    "i hafent got any thing But a Job" (8097)
    "nothing but bad health and expense" (8052)
    "he enlisted as a single man" (8012)
    "all kind of letters the same as this" (8005)
    "At a time when a soldier was classed as a bum" (8057)
    "he enlisted as twenty one years of age" (8084) (8136)

[^75]:    "with reference to your son" (8143) (8163) (8183) (9029) (9000) (8163)
    "without regard to personal quarters" (9030)
    "with respect to the General Staff" (9000)
    "in accord with those" (9000)
    "in company with this officer" (8296)
    "in connection with my duties" (9033) (8076) (9023)
    "in contact with the distressed people" (8073)
    ${ }^{12}$ Typical examples of this pattern of compound function words, in the Vulgar English Materials are
    "stay away from home" (8190) (8165) (8005) (8202) (8201) (8211) (8261) (8291)
    "information as to the where bouts of my son" (8175) (8074) (8067) (8032) (8235)
    "we are back in are rent" (8178)
    "he was sent back to Honolulu" (8193) (8138) (8030) (8033) (8038) (8039) (8218) (8106) (8229) (8028) (8250) (8045)
    "I have tried ever since May 2nd to locate him" (8149)
    "ben sick for over a year" (8055) (8052)
    "put my petition for naturalization in at the Prothonotary office" (8157)
    "They found him out by Catalina Island" (8026)
    "I could pick him out from a million" (8067)
    "as we are up against it" (8033)
    "a man that is getting $u p$ in years" (8173)
    "we have raised him ever since up until the time he . . ." (8084)
    "up to that time I was . . ." (8060) (8193) (8072)
    "take me up to Fort Slocum" (8005)

[^76]:    "dependency on him" (8081)
    "personal business on the pacific coast" (9066)
    "the troops on the Mexican border" (9041)
    "carelessness on my part" (9040)
    "the affidavit of the parents" (8183)
    "the personal care of this son" (8023)
    "part of a larger amount" (9032)
    "January of this year" (9014)
    "letters of recommendation" (9010)
    "a great benefit to me" (9052) (9030)
    "in your letter to her" (8234)
    "my visits to headquarters" (9052)
    "his service with the government" (9013)
    "men with a general knowledge" (9012)
    "experience with horses" (9015)
    ${ }^{15}$ The following examples will furnish a more complete representation of the various function words used in connection with this particular grammatical relationship:
    "About that time she slipped on the sleety street" (8095)
    "having resigned his reserve commission about December" (9022)
    "Examination was made about March 1st" (8266)
    "After thorough investigation . . . I believe that" (9061)
    "leave this Department after June 24" (9028)
    "I am at present hclding a commission" (9005)
    "at my request he resigned" (9013) (9026)
    "She lives by herself" (8294)
    "reasonable precautions were taken by me" (9032)
    "he was sentenced by the federal court" (8296)
    "she has had for the past seven or eight years the care of" (8114)
    "She pays $\$ 7.00$ per week for board" (8081)
    "they were depending on him for their bread and butter" (8004)
    "I have paid the . . . from time to time" (9033)
    "notification is just coming to me from the Adjutant General's Office" (9010)
    "I could get the exact dates from the records" (7022)
    "I graduated from that university" (7064)
    "there is a great deal to be learned in the infantry" (7027)
    "she resides in San Antonio" (7000)
    "it originated in line of duty" (9002)
    "he failed in everything" (9029)

[^77]:    "the officer of the day would frequently come down of an evening" (5024)
    "considcrable time was spent on other duties" (9019)
    "he landed on the coast of America" (9012)
    "received a commission on April the 21st" (9022)
    "They are on a small farm" (8183)
    "he contributed to the support of the family" (8260)
    "he gave his wages to his mother" (8283)
    "he returned with the request that . . ." (9063)
    "the papers are filed with my application" (9027)
    "he aavirat anith the Marhino Cum Combanv" (On15)

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is hardly sound, however, to assert, as our common school grammars usually do, that the "auxiliary" have, for example, is used only with the past participle and never with the simple past tense form of the verb, when at least 10 per cent of the strong verbs that have not gone over to the regular pattern in Modern English use the simple past tense form with this auxiliary. Such verbs are abide, shine, stride, sit, stand, hold, in which the past participle forms abidden, shinnen, stridden, setten, standen, and holden, have disappeared. All the weak verbs, of course, have, by regular historical sound change, lost all distinction of form between the simple past tense and the participle. See also above pages 61-62.

    2 "The infinitive was originally a nomen actionis, formed by means of various suffixes in the different Indo-European languages."-Joseph Wright and E. M. Wright, Old English Grammar (London, H. Frowde, 1908), p. 480.

    The following quotations from Karl Brugmann's Comparative Grammar of the Indo-Germanic Languages touch briefly the usual views concerning infinitives and participles:
    "Since the nomina actionis denote not merely a continuous activity but also one which may be defined in point of time, they sometimes come to be used in verbal constructions. Here, . . . the connective with the verb may include the power of governing a case, and of distinguishing differences in time, different kinds of action (such as momentary, continuous, inceptive) and Voice (diathesis, genus verbi).
    "This kind of assimilation to the character and construction of the verb appears e. g. in Gr. rìv rov $\theta$ eoo $86 \sigma$ וv $\dot{v} \mu i \nu$ (Plat.) 'the gift of God to you' . . . But a still more complete identification is seen in what are called infinitives (and supines), which are crystallised cases (generally acc., dat., loc.) of nomina actionis. An infinitive may be said to be completely formed when the noun is no longer regarded as a case-form belonging to its own system, and its construction no longer follows the analogy of its original use as a noun; . . . Before, however, such forms were completely isolated from the nominal system, they passed through a number of intermediate stages, and hence it is often hard to say whether any particular form should be called an infinitive in the strict sense of the word. . . . The infinitive reached its

[^79]:    most characteristic development in Greek and Latin, the only languages in which we find a special expression for differences in voice."-Vol. II, Part I, 8 156, pp. 470-471.
    "An adjective can be used to denote not only a quality inherent in the nature of the thing, but a transitory attribute, defined in regard to time according to the standpoint of the speaker; thus it comes to have somewhat of a verbal character, in other words it becomes a participle ( $\mu$ erox ${ }^{\boldsymbol{f}}$ ). Its verbal nature may include the power of governing a case, and of distinguishing different epochs of time, different kinds of action (momentary, continuous, inceptive), and Voice (diathesis, genus verbi)."-Vol. II, Part I, §144, p. 456.
    ". . . no clear line can be drawn between Verbal Adjectives (Participle, Gerundive) and other Adjectives."-Vol. IV, Part III, 81099, p. 605.

[^80]:    ${ }^{8}$ For a complete treatment of the infinitive in Old English see the monograph by Morgan Callaway, Jr., The Infinitive in Anglo-Saxon (Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1913). This study "is based upon a statistical reading of the whole of Anglo-Saxon literature with the exception of the glosses and a few out-of-prints." To it I am indebted for the statistics concerning the forms and uses of the infinitive in Old English. The display of examples and the exact references to all the occurrences of the infinitive in the materials covered are invaluable. For early Middle English see the monograph by Hermann Sanders, Der syntaktische Gebrauch des Infinitivs im Frühmittelenglischen (Heidelberg, 1915).

    4 In both the figures for Old English and those for Present-day English the number of instances used with the future auxiliaries and the modal auxiliaries have been omitted, for there has been no shift here in the infinitive form used.

[^81]:    ${ }^{5}$ As will be seen below, the simple infinitive without $t o$ is still used with the function words shall, will, may, can, must, might, could, would, should, and do, although the instances of these uses do not bulk large in the materials examined. Certain verbs of full word meaning werc also found with the simple infinitive in our materials. These are, in the Standard English letters, let, please, help, make, see.
    "the hospital authorities let me get up" (9006)
    "if you would let me know" (8075)
    "let the boy come home" (8064)
    "Please pardon the delay" (8144)
    "I will ask you to please look" (8004)
    "Please forgive me" (9033)
    "He has helped pay for his father's funeral expenses" (8303)
    "an attendant helped support the left side" (9006)
    "I cannot help but feel that this boy should be discharged" (8073)
    "I have seen him mount a truck any time of night" (9009)
    "impossible for the family to make ends meet" (8303)
    [Make, however, is also used with the to infinitive, as in "which I voluntarily had cancelled to make me to attend this school" (9015). 1

    In the Vulgar English letters these same words and, in addition, leave and have appear with the simple infinitive without to. The number of instances in the Vulgar English materials, however, greatly exceeds that of the instances in the Standard English letters; in fact, there are eight times as many.

    Examples are
    "Please leave my son come home" (8178)
    "leave me know" (8310)
    "I hate to let it go so bad" (8080)
    "kindly let me hear from you" (8136)
    "to please lett my Boy come home" (8179)
    "to please discharge him at once" (8126)
    "will you please give him his ticket" (8118)
    [Though rarely, the verb please also appears with the to infinitive, as in "Please to look in this affair" (8288).]
    "to help support the family" (8129)
    "help save our crops" (8258)
    "and kelp rase the twoo little boys" (8220)
    "help me get him out or transferred back" (8018)
    "that I can not help but make one more effort" (8080)
    "have him write to me" (8116)
    Have in this use also appears with the to infinitive as in the following instances:
    "So want you to have his Captain to discharge him" (8190)
    ". . . had the Red Cross to write to Captain __-" (8028)

[^82]:    ${ }^{6}$ Parallel with the insertion of an adverb between the function word to and the infinitive is the frequent use of an adverb between the other function words and the infinitive used with them, as in "so that he can properly support his parents and myself" (8270)
    ${ }^{7}$ Compare other expanded function word expressions discussed above, pp. 115-117, (1), (2). and (3).

[^83]:    ${ }^{8}$ Geoffrey Chaucer, Canterbury Tales, Prologue, lines 77-78. Some other examples from the Prologue are lines 17, 73.

[^84]:    - The first quotation in the Oxford Dictionary for in order to is dated 1655, and that for so as to is dated 1680 .
    ${ }^{10}$ The construction try and rather than try to appeared much more frequently in the Vulgar English letters. Examples are
    "please try an let him come back" (8288)
    "please try and find out . . ." (8088)
    ". . . please try and get my son" (8079)
    "i want your department to try and locate _-" (8154)
    "I want you to try and send - home" (8311)
    Four examples, however, occurred in the Standard English materials. They are
    "B- went there to try and apprehend him" (9567)
    "If you will try and locate this man" (7530)

[^85]:    "she will try and get a statement" (8283)
    "to try and find the missing articles" (5112)

[^86]:    ${ }^{12}$ Here also should be considered those examples in which the $\boldsymbol{t} 0$-infinitive introduced by as modifies an adjective (or an adverb) and expresses "result."

    From Standard English
    "My work . . . has been such as to make me . . ." (9042)
    ". . . be so kind as not to send this boy away" (8160)

[^87]:    From Vulgar English
    "I have gotten in such circumstances as to have to sell our little home" (8152)
    ${ }^{12}$ See below pages 240-244 in Chapter IX for a discussion of it as a function word.

[^88]:    "this was his second time to leave home" (8218)
    "5 yr's is his limit to live" (8153)

[^89]:    "In answer to a direct question . . . as to whether or not they would like him home, they agreed that . . . they do want him to come home . . ." (8144)

[^90]:    ${ }^{14}$ The earliest example given in the Oxford Dictionary is from Caxton, dated c. 1489, "It is to late to repente me that I dyde not doo."
    ${ }^{15}$ See below Chapter X.

[^91]:    ${ }^{16}$ The earliest example given in the Oxford Dictionary is from Chaucer, dated c. 1386, "Fader why do ye wepe?"
    ${ }_{17}$ Note, for example, the 1,424 instances counted by Thorndike et al. and its rank of 6 on his scale of 1 to 9 ( 9 being most frequent). "Inventory of English Constructions," Teachers College Record, Vol. 28, February, 1927, pp. 580-610.

[^92]:    ${ }^{18}$ For the discussion of shall and will here, I have drawn freely upon my two earlier articles: "The Periphrastic Future with Shall and Will in Modern English," Publications of the Modern Language Association, Vol. 40, 1925, pp. 963-1024; and "The Expression of the Future," Language, Vol. 3, 1927, pp. 87-95. For permission to use this material I am grateful to the editors of these periodicals

[^93]:    ${ }^{19}$ Compare, for example, the rules for shall and will as given in the three following books:

    Alma Blount and C. S. Northup, English Grammar (New York, Holt and Co., 1914).

    Edwin C. Woolley, Handbook of Composition (New York, D. C. Heath and Co., 1907).
    H. W. Fowler and F. G. Fowler, The King's English (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1906).
    ${ }^{20}$ See, for example, the conflict of statements and conclusions in the following treatments of shall and will:

    Henry Sweet, New English Grammar, Vol. 2, "Syntax," 1898, pp. 92-96.
    Krüger, Syntax der Englischen Sprache, Vol. 4, "Zeitwort." 1914, pp. 1425-1500.
    Oxford Dictionary, articles on skall, will.
    C. B. Bradley, "Shall and Will-An Historical Study," Transactions of American Philological Association, Vol. 42, 1911, pp. 5-31.
    G. O. Curme, "Has English a Future Tense?" Journal of English and Germanic Philology, Vol. 12, 1913, pp. 515-539.

    Philip Aronstein, "Shall und Will zum Ausdrucke der Idealität im Englischen," Anglia, Vol. 41, 1917, pp. 10-93, 301-392.
    For a listing of some of the outstanding conflicts in the statements appearing in

[^94]:    these six treatments of shall and will see C. C. Frics, "The Periphrastic. Future with Shall and Will in Modern English," pp. 965-966, Note 6.
    ${ }^{21}$ These are British papers.
    ${ }^{22}$ He says "Of the difference between the Future by shall and that by Will":
    "The Verb by shall, States of fixed Order shows: Or States which Chance directs. as we suppose. And shall those verbal Future States declares Which for itself, an Object hopes or Fears, Thinks of itself, surmises, or foresees; But which for other Objects it decrees. . . . The Verb by will those Future States declares For others, which an Object hopes or fears, Of others thinks, surmises or foresees; But for itself. States which itself decrees."
    ${ }^{23}$ George Mason, Grammaire Angloise (1622), pp. 25-26:
    "Le signe du futur est, shall ou will, mais il n'en faut pas user indifferement: car si vous usez de ce signe, shall, quand il faut dire, will il a mauvaise grace, oultre qu'il semblera que vous parliez d'audace: example; vous pouvez dire elegamment, If I doe eate that, I shall be sicke, si je mange cela, je sera malade: au lieu que se vous disiez, I will be sick, il sembleroit que volontairement vous volussiez estre malade:

[^95]:    ains vous pouvez dire: I hope you will be my friend, j'espere que vous me serez amy: If you doe that you shall bee beaten or chidden. Si vous faites cela, vous serez batu ou tancé: But I shall not, mais non seray: but you shall not chuse, mais vous ne choisirez pas, c'est a sçauoir, ce ne sera pas á vostre chois: pour le fair court, il est malaisé d'en bailler reigle certaine, parquoy je vous r'envoye a l'usage, auquel, á fin de mieux y parvenir, nous vous proposerons la variation de certains verbes."
    24 John Wallis, Grammatica Linguae Anglicarae (1653), pp. 94-95:
    "Shall and will indicant Futurum. . . . Quoniam autem extraneis satis est cognitu difficile, quando vel hoc vel illud dicendum est; (non enim promiscue dicimus shall \& will); neq; tamen alii quos vidi ullas tradidere regulas quibus dirigantur; has ego tradere necessarium duxi, quas qui observaverit hac in re non aberrabit.
    "In primis personis shall simpliciter praedicentis est; will, quasi promittentis aut minantis.
    "In secundus \& tertiis personis, shall promittentis est aut minantis, will simpliciter praedicentis."
    ${ }^{25}$ Robert Lowth, A Short Introduction to English Grammar (1762), pp. 64-65:
    "Will, in the first Person singular and plural, promises or threatens; in the second and third Persons, only foretells; shall on the contrary, in the first Person simply foretells; in the second and third Persons, promises, commands, or threatens. But this must be understood of Explicative Sentences; for when the Sentence is Interrogative, just the reverse for the most part takes place: Thus, I shall go; you will go; expresses the event only; but will you go? imports intention; and Shall I gof refers to the will of another. But again, He shall go, and shall he go? both imply will, expressing or referring to a command."

[^96]:    ${ }^{26}$ See Lowth, op. cit., Preface, iv, v; and W. Ward, Grammar (1765), Preface, $\nabla$, $\mathbf{x v i i}, \mathbf{x x}$.
    ${ }^{27}$ For a more complete analysis of the materials here briefly set forth see the articles referred to in Note 18 above. For corroborating figures from English novels see W. F. Luebke, "The Analytic Future in Contemporary American Fiction," Modern Philology, Vol. 26, 1929, pp. 451 ff.

[^97]:    ${ }^{29}$ Colley Cibber, Love's Last Shift (1696), IV, 66.
    ${ }^{80}$ John Masefield, The Faithful (New York, The Macmillan Co., 1915), I, ii, 11.
    ${ }^{81}$ Ibid., II, i, 62.
    ${ }^{82}$ Alfred. Orosius, E.E.T.S., I, 42

[^98]:    ". . . it seems probable that I will draw foreign service within a year or two" (9021)
    "I do not know what number I will be assigned to" (9027)

[^99]:    ${ }^{38}$ The first quotation given in the Oxford Dictionary for this use is c. 1200.
    ${ }^{34}$ The first quotation given by the Oxford Dictionary for the second is from Coverdale, dated 1535 and for the third is 1482, but the going to future certainly is not used with any frequency until the second half of the seventeenth century. See also J. F. Royster and J. M. Steadman, "The going-to Future," The Manly Anniversary Studies (Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1923), pp. 394 ff.

[^100]:    ${ }^{85}$ The earliest quotation of this use given in the Oxford Dictionary is dated 1579.
    ${ }^{80}$ The use of have as a function word with the past participle will be discussed below in section N .
    ${ }^{17}$ Compare also such expressions as the following in which the meaning "possess" is carried by the function word with:
    "I am nearly 50 years old with 5 little ones to support" (8187)
    "I am unable to go out to work with a 8 months old baby and sick husband to care for" (8211)
    ${ }^{88}$ The contrast of the meanings expressed by this difference in word order is shown in the following sentences:
    "I have from the first felt sure that the writer, when he sits down to commence his novel, should do so, not because he has to tell a story, but because he has a story to tell."-An Autobiography by Anthony Trollope, XII.
    "Speeches may be broadly divided into two kinds. There is the speech a man makes when he has something to say, and the speech he endeavours to make when he has to say something."-Literary World, May 10, 1901.

[^101]:    ${ }^{39}$ The use of got, the past participle, with the function word have "in senses equivalent to those of the present tense of have or possess" is not a matter peculiar to Vulgar English.
    "but now we haven't got the money to hire any more. . ." (8244)
    "As for having money to buy him out I haven't got it" (8235)
    ${ }^{40}$ The use of get with the participles will be commented on below.

[^102]:    ${ }^{12}$ Gesta Romanorum, v. 12.
    42 It is for this reason that such forms as may and can do not have the inflectional $s[z]$ in the third person singular.

[^103]:    ${ }^{48}$ To refer to the past these words are joined with the past participle form of the verb used with the function word have. Compare, for instance, "He might go" with "He might have gone."
    ${ }^{44}$ See articles in the Oxford Dictionary for each of these words and also the discussion by C. B. Bradley, "Shall and Will-An Historical Study," Transactions of the American Philological Association, Vol. 42, pp. 5-31.

[^104]:    ${ }^{45}$ The small letter attached to each example indicates the particular meaning in Table XXIV which it probably illustrates. It will be understood that this assignment of a particular area of meaning to each of these words in the examples given is highly

[^105]:    subjective and depends solely upon the context in which the expression stands．It is impracticable to give in each instance all the features of context that determined the classification assigned．

[^106]:    ${ }^{46}$ W. D. Whitney, Essentials of English Grammar (Boston, Ginn and Co., 1877), p. 121. See also Garland Greever and Easley S. Jones, The Century Collegiate Hand-

[^107]:    book (New York, D. Appleton-Century Co., Rev. ed., 1939), p. 64: "The ordinary distinction between should and would is like that between shall and will."
    ${ }^{47}$ See above, pages 162 and 163.

[^108]:    68 The Oxford Dictionary records the past participle ought-
    a. in the sense of owed, 1375 to 1672.
    b. in the sense of possessed (modern Scots), 1560, 1800.
    c. in the sense of been obliged, 1836, 1895.
    ${ }^{69}$ See K. Brugmann, Comparative Grammar of the Indo-Germanic Languages, Vol. IV, Part III, \& 1099, p. 605. See also ibid., Vol. II, Part I, \& 144, p. 456:
    "An adjective can be used to denote not only a quality inherent in the nature of the thing, but as a transitory attribute defined in regard to time according to the standpoint of the speaker; thus it comes to have somewhat a verbal character, in other words it becomes a participle ( $\mu$ eto $\chi$ ㅁ)."
    ${ }^{50}$ Alfred Akerlund, On the History of the Definite Tenses in English (Lund, 1911), p. 6.

[^109]:    ${ }^{51}$ Ibid., p. 62 :
    "Shakespeare has perhaps favoured the construction more than most of the authors belonging to the period; but still it must be sdid that the difference is great between the frequency in his works and in such as belong to our days."
    ${ }^{52}$ Otto Jespersen, who formerly believed that the Modern English 1 am reading is an aphetic form of $\boldsymbol{I}$ am a-reading "where a represents the preposition on, and the form in -ing is not the participle but the noun," now holds that these forms are "a continuation of the old combinations of the auxiliary verb and the participle in -ende; but after this ending had been changed into -inge and had thus become identical with that of the verbal substantive, an amalgamation took place of this construction and the combination be on + the sb, in which on had become $a$ and was then dropped. . . . This amalgamation accounts . . . for the greatiy increasing frequency of the construction . . as well as for such peculiarities as the frequency of the prep. of before the object."-Otto Jespersen, A Modern Englisk Grammar, Vol. IV (Heidelberg, 1931), pp. 168-169.

[^110]:    ${ }^{53}$ "The essential thing is that the action or state denoted by the expanded tense is thought of as a temporal frame encompassing something else which as often as not is to be understood from the whole situation. The expanded tenses therefore call the attention more specially to time than the simple tenses, which speak of nothing but the action or state itself."-Otto Jespersen, A Modern English Grammar, Vol. IV (Heidelberg, 1931), p. 180.

[^111]:    ${ }^{64}$ Two of the quotations given by the Oxford Dictionary are
    "Instead of looking at the sun, I got thinking about the dry bed of the stream, just beneath." Ruskin Fors Clav. xix. 10.
    "When they got talking together it was Greek to me." Mrs. H. Martin Common Clay III. ix. 144.
    ${ }^{85}$ Three quotations from the Oxford Dictionary are
    "Niagara . . . keeps pouring on forever and ever." Hawthorne Fr. and It. Jruls. I. 124.
    "He kept changing his plans." T. F. Tout Hist. Eng. 134.
    "She kept tumbling off her horse." Temple Bar Mag. (1892) Feb. 198.

[^112]:    ${ }^{58}$ W. D. Whitney, Essentials of Englisk Grammar (Boston, Ginn and Co., 1877), pp. 126-127.

    See also G. P. Krapp, The Elements of English Grammar (New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1908), pp. 145-146; and the following from Grattan and Gurray, Our Living Language, p. 212:
    "In some languages (for example, Latin, Greek) the Passive Voice is distinguished from the Active by inflexions: in English it finds its expression in the union of a Form-Word with a Full-Word, the union of the Auxiliary verb to be with an ordinary verb."

    A stimulating discussion of "The Relations Expressed by the Passive Voice," by E. T. Owen, appears in the Transactions of the Wisconsin Academy, Vol. VII, Part I, 17-148.

    See below (p. 194) for comment on the use of be and have with intransitive verbs, especially with verbs of "motion."

[^113]:    ${ }^{87}$ The terms "subject" and "object" as applied to sentences do not signify the actual relations of things in the world itself; they refer solely to the grammatical point of view of a particular utterance.
    ${ }^{58}$ By this device either of the two nouns following the verb can be made the "starting point" or "subject," the "dative" object as well as the "accusative" object.

[^114]:    ${ }^{50}$ Four quotations from the Oxford Dictionary are
    "His Lordship was voted a bore, and got shelved." Disraell, Viv. Grey II. 1.

[^115]:    "'The taste . . . . is peculiar . . . . but one soon gets used to it.' " J. H. Newman Loss \& Gain 264.
    "I . . . . got caught in a storm." Rider Haggard Jess vi.
    "It may leave on your readers an impression unfair to Prof. Royce if nothing more gets said." Nation (N.Y.) 19 Nov. (1891) 389/3.

[^116]:    "It is true that I have had a days leave for which I . . ." (9007)
    "I . . . have had the opportunity . . ." (9005)
    "I have had the misfortune to . . ." (9001)
    "and he has had charge of . . ." (6410)
    "we had had no report . . ." (6415)
    "he had had no experience in . . ." (6418)
    "I have had the care of . . ." (8077)

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above pages 98 and 99, for examples from our materials.

[^118]:    ${ }^{2}$ For the usage with various types of adjectives see the dissertation by Anny Rohr, Die Steigerung des neuenglischen Eigenschaftswortes im 17. und 18. Jahrhundert mit Ausblicken auf den Sprachgebrauch der Gegenwart (Giessen, 1929).
    ${ }^{8}$ See C. Stoffel, "Intensives and Down-toners," Anglistische Forschungen, Heft 1 (Heidelberg, 1901),

[^119]:    4 Most of the quotations given here and in the immediately following pages are taken from the Oxford Dictionary, O. Jespersen's A Modern English Grammar, Vol. II, pp. 366-377, and C. Stoffel's Intensives and Down-toners.

[^120]:    ${ }^{5}$ The finding here corroborates that of Russell Thomas in his article "Language Attitude," The English Journal, Vol. 19, 1930, pp. 557-560.
    ${ }^{6}$ G. O. Curme, Parts of Speech and Accidence (Boston, D. C. Heath and Co., 1935), p. 92.

    The Oxford Dictionary gives the following definition for the word conjunction in its grammatical sense: "an uninflected word used to connect clauses or sentences, or to coördinate words in the same clause."

[^121]:    ${ }^{7}$ This instance is included here because the words "because of this" inserted between the two clauses which would otherwise have been "- is having trouble with his eyes and has been recommended for discharge" operate as a "because" would before the first clause. See also below comments on and.

[^122]:    - See above, note 10, page 134.

[^123]:    ${ }^{11}$ From the Oxford Dictionary, Like, adv., 6.

[^124]:    "we have two boy go to school one 14 and 10 years old which you see they need edycation" (8178)

[^125]:    "it is a hart broken mother . . . hu has to suffer" (8005)
    "if it was another man they would have got 1 month" (8039)

[^126]:    ${ }^{18}$ Otto Jespersen, A Modern English Grammar (Heidelberg, 1928-1931), Vol. II, p. 246. See also his complete discussion of "the prop-word one." pp. 245-271.
    ${ }^{14}$ Ibid., p. 251.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ A description of the normal positions of the various parts of speech and the exceptions to the usual positions has been the usual procedure of those grammarians that have treated the subject of word order in English. See, for example-

    Henry Sweet, A New English Grammar, Part II (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1898), If 1759-1880.
    E. Kruisinga, A Handbook of Present Day English (Utrecht, Kremenk and Zoon, 1915), Vol. II, pp. 458-489.
    H. Poutsma, A Grammar of Late Modern English, Vol. I (Groningen, P. Noordhoof, 1904), pp. 245-348.
    E. Maetzner, An English Grammar (tr. Grece, London, John Murray, 1874), Vol. III, pp. 535-573.
    E. Einenkel, Geschichte der englischen Sprache (Strassburg, Karl J. Trübner, 1916), Vol. II, pp. 169-192.

[^128]:    2 For a stimulating discussion of "Grammatical Concepts" see Edward Sapir, Language (New York, Harcourt, Brace and Co., 1921), Chapter V, pp. 86-126. For the point made here see especially pp. 98, 99, 116. "We are thus once more reminded of the distinction between essential or unavoidable relational concepts and the dispensable type. The former are universally expressed, the latter are but sparsely developed in some languages, elaborated with a bewildering exuberance in others (p. 99)."

[^129]:    ${ }^{8}$ See below, p. 255 ff.

[^130]:    *See Sapir, op. cit., p. 98. See also above, Chapter VIII, pages 188-190 and especially note 57.

[^131]:    ${ }^{5}$ I am indebted to a number of my students for collecting many of the instances upon which these figures are based. We have tried to count all the examples in each of the texts examined.
    ${ }^{6}$ Not enough texts have been examined to take the figures as an accurate statement of the situation for the 1200 and 1300 dates. The figures for 1500 , however, cover more than a thousand examples.

[^132]:    In as much as the dative-object and the accusative-object are brought into contrast only where the two appear together, the statements 1 to 4 cover only an examination of those instances in which both a dative-object and an accusative-object appear.
    ${ }^{8}$ For most of the instances used here I am indebted to Dr. Frederic G. Cassidy whose dissertation deals with The Backgrounds in Old English of the Modern English Substitutes for the Dative-Object in the Group Verb + Dative-Objoct + Accusative-Object (Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, 1938).

[^133]:    ${ }^{9}$ It is perhaps unnecessary to remind the reader that our discussion here does not include the so-called dative-object with the preposition (function word). If the relationship is expressed by a function word, it does not depend upon word order.

[^134]:    ${ }^{10}$ Pronouns, having distinctive case forms still appeared occasionally as dativeobjects or as acccusative-objects before verbs.
    ${ }^{11}$ The examples printed by Willem van der Gaaf in his dissertation The Transition from Impersonal to Personal in Middle-English (1904) have been of great service. See also O. Jespersen, A Modern Englisk Grammar, Vol. III (Heidelberg, 1927), 11.2-11.35.

    12 See also above pages 90-91.

[^135]:    ${ }^{13}$ Some examples to illustrate the great variety of the kinds of modification are the following. It is not always easy to state the precise kind of relation that exists between the modifier and its headword.

    ```
    fresh water
    shallow water
    muddy water
    rain water
    sea water
    well water
    drinking water
    ice water
    ```

    drunken oaths married life a total stranger
    a perfect stranger a flat denial liquid measure
    a young boy
    an elevator boy
    an errand boy
    a cabin boy
    a high school boy
    one boy
    a college student
    a mathematics student
    a hard student
    a boy friend
    a long sentence (i.e., The Judge gave the criminal)
    utter darkness
    (Continued on next page)

[^136]:    ${ }^{17}$ An example of such a post-positive genitive is the following from Aelfric: "pat he and eall Israhela folc sceoldon offrian Gode an lamb anes geares."

[^137]:    ${ }^{19}$ These examples were all taken from the first page of The Ann Arbor News for May 24, 1938.
    ${ }^{20}$ For the function word developing from this process, see above, Chapter IX, section I.

[^138]:    ${ }^{21}$ See Thomas, op. cit., p. 70.
    ${ }^{28}$ See above p. 119.

[^139]:    ${ }^{28}$ For many examples of these "contact-clauses" from the sixteenth-century to Present-day English, see O. Jespersen, A Modern English Grammar, Vol. III (Heidelberg, 1927), pp. 132-153.

[^140]:    ${ }^{87}$ In this group I should also put the following:
    "The boys are taught the fundamental principles" (9017)
    "the son may be granted the discharge" (8240)
    "their request would be given consideration" (8160)
    "the undersigned was given a physical examination" (9054)
    "any which are lacking to complete my record will be given my prompt attention" (9027)

[^141]:    ${ }^{28}$ One might question the including here of this sentence "My son . . . left home," and not such expressions as "The boy came home," "My son returned home," "The boy went home," etc., and in the form of the sentence as it stands there may be no difference between the two types. But with home in the first sentence any of the "determiners" are possible, the, $a$, this, that, etc., whereas in the others such determiners are not possible and the noun thus lacks the full formal characteristics of a substantive. This fact is also true of such "time" nouns as the following:
    "Yesterday the man went to work"
    "He will come home next week"
    ${ }^{29}$ Such a sentence as "My son was baptized J—— J—— M-_" (8101) I should classify with those in 5 above.

[^142]:    ${ }^{80}$ See examples given in note 27 above for sentences in the so-called passive voice in which such a dative-object or accusative-object has become the subject. See also Chapter VIII, section L.
    ${ }^{81}$ The kind of constructions for which examples are given in note 27 above did not occur in the Vulgar English materials.

[^143]:    38 The fact that they are preceded by the function word by has no bearing upon our immediate problem here, for we are making a statement concerning their relation to each other, not concerning the relation of either one to the verb.

[^144]:    ${ }^{27}$ For many the pressure of the word order pattern is such that years is felt to be a modifier of ago.

[^145]:    ${ }^{88}$ The process of these noun adjuncts seems to me to be the same as that underlying the formation of compounds. In fact it is hardly possible in many instances to draw a line bounding the compounds and separating them from these free syntactical groups. Accent and specialization of meaning set off many clear cases, but there is a
    wide band of borderline cases. Frequency of a particular combination often leads groups. Accent and specialization of meaning set off many clear cases, but there is a
    wide band of borderline cases. Frequency of a particular combination often leads to the phonetic and semantic features characteristic of a compound. For our purpose
    no sharp line of demarkation is either necessary or desirable. See also the attitude to the phonetic and semantic features characteristic of a compound. For our purpose
    no sharp line of demarkation is either necessary or desirable. See also the attitude expressed concerning "compound function words" on pages 114-118 above.

[^146]:    ${ }^{89}$ Compare "his Baptismal certificate" from the same letter (8000).
    ${ }^{40}$ For a discussion of this process in the development of function words with adjectives, see above, Chapter IX, section I.
    ${ }^{41}$ Often, of course, the noun adjunct and its head word tend to become a single unit (almost a compound) which the adjective modifies.
    "the present school year" (은1)

[^147]:    "his entire College course" (9041)
    "some important business matter" (9016)
    "the present labor conditions" (8207)
    "a local cigar factory" (8144)

[^148]:    42 The number of simple adjectives preceding nouns was 1,413 in the Standard English letters and 479 in those of Vulgar English. See above pages 271 and 273.

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above pp. 20-21, notes 5 and 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sixteenth Yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education (Bloomington, Ind., Public School Publishing Co., 1917), p. 110.
    ${ }^{8}$ See the list in Rollo L. Lyman's Summary of Investigations Relating to Grammar, Language and Composition, pp. 112-114, 129, 130.

[^150]:    4See the studies of "persistence of errors" summarized in Lyman, op. cit., pp. 77, 78, 97-104. Compare also L. J. O'Rourke, Rebuilding the English-Usage Curriculum (Washington, 1934), p. 7. "The findings show that the average increase in mastery, from one grade to another, is very slight."
    ${ }^{5}$ See Isabel Sears and Amelia Diebel, "A Study of the Common Mistakes in Pupils' Oral English," Elementary School Journal, Vol. 17, pp. 44-54.
    o "More time is being spent in the high-school English classes of America today upon grammar and usage than upon any other single phase of instruction. Daily checking of what was going on in classrooms from Seattle to Richmond, Virginia, and from Los Angeles to Cranston, Rhode Island, established that fact in 1932. It is further substantiated by similar data from daily visitation in fifty representative towns in New York State in 1936."-Dora V. Smith, "English Grammar Again," Englisk Journal, Vol. 27, 1938, pp. 647, 648.

    For additional evidence concerning the process to which pupils are subjected see also S. A. Leonard, "How English Teachers Correct Papers," English Journal, Vol. 12, 1923, pp. 517-532.

    7See J. C. Tressler, "Is Grammar Dead?" English Journal, Vol. 27, 1938, pp. 396401; Reed Smith, "Grammar: The Swing of the Pendulum," English Journal, Vol. 27, 1938, pp. 637-643; and Dora V. Smith, "English Grammar Again," English Journal, Vol. 27, 1938, pp. 643-649.

[^151]:    ${ }^{8}$ W. W. Hatfield, An Experience Curriculum in English (New York, D. AppletonCentury Co., 1935), p. 228. See also the studies that deal with the problem of whether a knowledge of grammar actually "functions," as listed or summarized in the following:
    a. Dora V. Smith, Instruction in English, United States Department of the Interior, Bulletin, 1932, No. 17, National Survey of Education, Monograph No. 20, p. 35.
    b. Dora V. Smith, "The Contributions of Research to Teaching and Curriculum Making in English, July, 1934, to July, 1937," English Journal, Vol. 27, 1938, pp. 295-311.
    c. Harry N. Rivlin, "The Present Status of Research in Functional Grammar," English Journal, Vol. 27, 1938, pp. 590-597.

    - See, for example, W. W. Charters, Teaching the Common Branches (New York, The Macmillan Co., Rev. ed., 1924), pp. 96, 98, 115.
    "Grammar consists of a series of rules and definitions. . . . Since . . . ninety-five percent of all children and teachers come from homes or communities where incorrect English is used, nearly everyone has before him the long hard task of overcoming habits set up early in life before he studied grammar in school. . . . Such people are exposed to the ridicule of those who notice the error, and the only way in which they can cure themselves is by eternal vigilance and the study of grammar."

[^152]:    ${ }^{10}$ See above, pages 115-117.

[^153]:    ${ }^{11}$ See above, pages 11-15.

[^154]:    ${ }^{18}$ Samuel Johnson, English Dictionary (1755), Preface.

[^155]:    ${ }^{18}$ Lord Chesterfield, in his well known letter, published in 1754, the year before Johnson's Dictionary appeared, wrote as follows:
    "It must be owned that our language is at present in a state of anarchy; and hitherto, perhaps, it may not have been the worse for it. During our free and open trade, many words and expressions have been imported, adopted, and naturalized from other languages, which have greatly enriched our own. . . . The time for discrimination seems to be now come. Toleration, adoption and naturalization have run their lengths. Good order and authority are now necessary. But where shall we find them, and at the same time the obedience due to them? We must have recourse to the old Roman expedient in times of confusion, and chuse a dictator. Upon this principle I give my vote for Mr. Johnson to fill that great and arduous post."

